BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF CROWNED RIDGE WIND II, LLC FOR A FACILITIES PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT A 300.6 MEGAWATT WIND FACILITY

Docket No. EL19-

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS
OF TYLER WILHELM AND DARYL HART

April 1, 2019

1		INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAMES AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	Tyler Wilhelm and Daryl Hart. Our business address is 700 Universe Blvd., Juno Beach,
4		Florida, 33408.
5		
6	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
7	A.	We are both employed by NextEra Energy Resources, LLC ("NEER"). Mr. Wilhelm is a
8		Project Manager of Business Development at NEER. Mr. Hart is a Director of Business
9		Development at NEER. Together, we are responsible for the development, permitting,
10		community outreach, regulatory compliance, and meeting the commercial operations date
11		("COD") for the 300.6 megawatt ("MW") Crowned Ridge II wind generation project
12		("Project"). The Project's COD is planned to occur in or before the second quarter of
13		2020.
14		
15	Q.	WHAT IS THE ORGANIZATIONAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NEER AND
16		CROWDED RIDGE WIND II, LLC?
17	A.	Crowned Ridge Wind II, LLC ("CRW II") is an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of
18		NEER. NEER, through its affiliated entities, is the world's largest generator of
19		renewable energy from the wind and sun, generating over 19,000 MWs in 29 states and
20		Canada. NEER affiliates in the State of South Dakota own the following wind facilities:
21		Day County Wind, South Dakota Wind Energy Center, and Wessington Springs Wind.
22		
23		

A.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUNDS.

Mr. Wilhelm has been employed by NEER since 2015, in the capacity of Land Services Representative, Associate Project Manager, and Project Manager on NEER's Midcontinent Business Development team. As a Project Manager, Mr. Wilhelm manages NEER's development efforts within the state of South Dakota. Since 2015, Mr. Wilhelm has actively developed multiple renewable energy projects within 4 mid-western states contributing to the procurement of over 200 MWs of renewable generation. His involvement in the development process has included efforts such as landowner and community outreach, lease negotiations, local and state permitting, and project origination. Prior to joining NEER, Mr. Wilhelm attended the University of South Dakota where he received his B.A in Business.

Mr. Hart has been employed by NEER since 2007 in various roles including wind farm design, utility distribution project management, and renewable energy business development on NEER's Midcontinent Development team. As a Director of Business Development, Mr. Hart has developed or overseen the development of wind energy projects in eight states with the most recent wind energy center declaring commercial operations on December 23, 2018. Prior to joining NEER, Mr. Hart spent ten years as an officer in the United States Air Force. Mr. Hart received a Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering from Worcester Polytechnic Institute, a Masters of Business Administration from Auburn University in 2003 and a Master of Science in Finance from Pennsylvania State University in 2015.

1	Q.	HAS THIS TESTIMONY BEEN PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR
2		DIRECT SUPERVISION?
3	A.	Yes.
4		
5	Q.	HAVE EITHER OF YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC
6		SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH DAKOTA?
7	A.	Yes. Mr. Wilhelm has provided pre-filed testimony in Docket Nos. EL17-050, EL18-
8		019, and EL19-003.
9		
10		PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
11	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PURPOSE OF THE TESTIMONY.
12	A.	The purpose of our testimony is to provide an overview: (1) of the Project; (2)
13		development activities; (3) the benefits associated with the Project.
14		
15		OVERVIEW OF THE WIND FACILITY
16	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROPOSED WIND FACILITY.
17	A.	The Project is situated within an approximately 61,000-acre Project Area spanning across
18		parts of Codington County, Deuel County and Grant County, South Dakota. The Project
19		will total approximately 300 MWs of installed capacity and will consist of 132 three
20		bladed, horizontal-axis wind turbines, a collector substation, and an operations and
21		maintenance ("O&M") facility located approximately 11-miles north-east of Watertown,
22		South Dakota.
23		

The Project will utilize 117 GE 2.3 MW turbines with 116-meter (381-feet) rotor diameter and 90-meter (295-feet) hub height, and 15 GE 2.1 MW turbines with 116-meter (381-feet) rotor diameter and an 80-meter (262-feet) hub height. Two alternate turbine locations have been identified and if required will use GE 2.3MW with 116-meter (381-feet) rotor diameter and 90-meter (295-feet) hub height. In the end, a maximum of 132 turbines in total will be constructed. Alternate turbines are included to provide flexibility through the permitting and construction process in the event an unforeseen condition arises during construction that indicates a primary turbine location should be dropped and an alternate turbine location activated. The location of the turbines for CRW II is illustrated in the map attached as Exhibit TW DH-1.

CRW II requests that the permit allow turbines to be shifted within 500 feet of their currently proposed locations, with the understanding that any such shifts would still need to comply with setback and sound and shadow flicker thresholds and adhere to all applicable local, State, and Federal requirements. Although such shifts are not expected to be needed, such flexibility would allow for CRW II to accommodate proposed shifts from local stakeholders and governing bodies where possible.

Q. EXPLAIN WHY THE WIND FACILITY IS NEEDED.

20 A. On July 6, 2017, the Minnesota Public Service Commission approved Northern States
21 Power's ("NSP") Petition for Approval of the Acquisition of Wind Generation from the
22 Company's 2016-2030 Integrated Resource Plan, which included NSP's purchase and
23 sale agreement ("PSA") with CRW II. Similarly, the North Dakota Public Service

1		Commission issued an order approving an advance determination of prudence for the
2		PPA between NSP and CRW II on December 6, 2018.
3		
4	Q.	WHO WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL APPLICABLE
5		FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL PERMITS?
6	A.	CRW II will be responsible for obtaining all applicable federal, state, and local permits.
7		
8	Q.	WHO WILL CONSTRUCT THE PROJECT?
9	A.	CRW II will construct the Project.
10		
11	Q.	WHO WILL CONDUCT O&M ON THE WIND FACILITY?
12	A.	CRW II will be responsible for the O&M for the facility.
13		
14	Q.	HAVE ALL NECESSARY PROPERTY RIGHTS BEEN SECURED FOR THE
15		WIND FACILITY?
16	A.	At this time 99% of the necessary property rights have been obtained by CRW II for the
17		construction and O&M of the Project. CRW II anticipates all necessary property rights to
18		be obtained by May 18, 2019.
19		DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES
20		
21	Q.	PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES.
22	A.	Development activities for the Project commenced in 2008. Over the past 10 years the
23		CRW II has been actively engaging stakeholders by working closely with landowners,

1	tribal and local governments, and federal and state agencies to design the Project.
2	Stakeholders have been approached directly to address concerns with the proposed siting
3	and placement of the Project's infrastructure.
4	
5	CRW II conducted informational events on April 25, 2017 in the town of Gary located in
6	Deuel County, on October 2, 2017 and on November 16, 2017 in the city of Watertown
7	located in Codington County. At these events stakeholders were provided information
8	pertaining to the status of the Project's development, current development activities in
9	process within the Project Area, potential impacts to the Project's layout, and a
10	permitting timeline.
11	
12	CRW II conducted a meeting with Deuel County officials (Deuel County Commissioners,
13	Deuel County Planning and Zoning Officer and First District Association of Local
14	Governments) on July 31, 2018 to discuss the requirements of the Deuel County siting
15	and ordinances and the Project's compliance with the Deuel County siting ordinance.
16	у
17	CRW II met with the Codington County Planner and First District Association of Local
18	Governments on February 9, April 5, May 23, and August 31 of 2017 to discuss the
19	requirements of the Codington County siting ordinances and the county's expectations
20	throughout the development and construction of the Project.
21	
22	CRW II conducted meetings with Grant County officials (the Grant County
23	Commissioners, the Grant County Planning and Zoning Officer, and the Grant County

Auditor) on November 8, 2016 and December 20, 2016 as well as on January 17, April 4, June 6 and June 20 in 2017. As a result of these meetings, CRW II was able to better understand proposed changes to the Grant County zoning ordinance and how CRW II could proactively develop the Project to ensure compliance.

Although there is no federal nexus that mandates Tribal consultations, CRW II has voluntarily consulted with the Sisseton Wahpeton Oyate ("SWO") tribe. As a result of these consultations, the SWO tribe and CRW II have successfully worked together on the following issues: (1) siting Project infrastructure to avoid direct impacts to lands containing cultural sensitivities; (2) minimizing construction impacts by reducing the size or revising the location of construction easements; and (3) managing access to locations where Project infrastructure is proposed to avoid impacts during the surveying process.

Project team members have also engaged the local telecommunication company to exchange general information on the Project, such as location of the Project Area and proposed Project infrastructure, and obtain documentation from the local telecommunication company on the location of existing utilities within or adjacent to the proposed Project area. The information allows for CRW II to continue working with all necessary telecommunication companies to assess areas where interference could potentially occur, make necessary adjustments to minimize the chances of interference, design for underground crossing of existing utilities, as well as implement a mitigation plan to address how interferences would be cured through the operations and

maintenance phase of the Project. This collaboration will continue until the Project's layout is finalized.

Additionally, CRW II will continue its coordination with the Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") to apply for the permitted use of an Aircraft Detection Lighting System ("ADLS"). Per Codington, Deuel and Grant County ordinances, towers will be marked as required by the FAA. There will be no lights on the towers other than what is required by the FAA. This restriction will not apply to infrared heating devices used to protect the monitoring equipment.

The preferred manner of lighting by all counties is by using an ADLS. Subject to FAA approval, applicants will install an ADLS within one (1) year of approval by FAA. In the event FAA does not approve the use of an ADLS system, CRW II will comply with all lighting and markings otherwise required by FAA. CRW II filed for Determinations of No Hazard with the FAA in December 2018 and received Determinations of No Hazard from the FAA for all proposed turbine locations in March 2019. With the necessary DNHs in hand, the Applicant intends to apply for the use of an ADLS in the second quarter of 2019.

CRW will continue this collaborative process with landowners, tribal and local governments, and federal and state agencies throughout the development, construction and operation phases of the Project.

1	Q.	PROVIDE THE STATUS OF THE LOCAL CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS.						
2	A.	CRW II has obtained local Conditional Use Permits ("CUP") for the Project from both						
3		the Codington County Board of Adjustment on July 16, 2018 and the Grant County						
4		Board of Adjustment on December 17, 2018. CRW II also obtained a local Special						
5		Exception Permit ("SEP") from the Deuel County Board of Adjustment on October 22,						
6		2018.						
7								
8		Although some alterations and improvements have been made to the locations of Project						
9		infrastructure since the awarded CUPs and SEP, CRW II will ensure that the Project's						
10		final configuration will meet or exceed the setback distances, and noise and shadow						
11		flicker thresholds as required by the Codington, Deuel and Grant County ordinances.						
12								
13	Q.	WHAT ARE THE LOCAL SETBACKS REQUIRED IN THE CUP'S AND SEP						
14		AND DOES THE WIND FACILITY COMPLY WITH THE SETBACKS?						
15	A.	The Project meets or exceeds all local setback requirements as approved within the						
16		Codington and Grant County CUPs as well as the Deuel County SEP. The required						
17		setbacks are as follows for each county:						
18								
19		Codington County Setback Requirements:						
20		• 550' from participating occupied residence, business, church, or school;						
21		• 1,500' from non-participating occupied residence, business, church, or school						
22		(within all Districts other than Town Districts);						

1	•	5,280' from non-participating occupied residence, business, church, or school
2		(within Town Districts);
3	•	5,280' from Municipal Boundaries at the time of CUP Application;
4	•	110% of the height of the wind turbine from right-of-way of public roads;
5	•	110% the height of the wind turbines from any property line;
6	•	Noise level generated by wind energy system shall not exceed 50 dBA, average A-
7		weighted Sound pressure level effects at the property line of existing non-
8		participating residences, businesses, and buildings owned and/or maintained by a
9		governmental entity; and
10	•	Flicker at any receptor shall not exceed thirty (30) hours per year within the analysis
11		area for all schools, churches, businesses and occupied dwellings within a one (1)
12		mile radius of each turbine within the Project.
13		
14	$\underline{\mathbf{G}}$	rant County Setback Requirements:
15	•	1,500' from participating residence, business, church, or school, building
16		owner and/or operated by a governmental entity;
17	•	1,500' from non-participating residence, business, church, or school, building owned
18		and/or operated by a governmental entity;
19	•	5,280' from municipal boundaries existing at the time of CUP Application;
20	•	500' or 110% of the vertical height of the wind turbine, whichever is greater, from
21		Public right-of-way;
22		500' or 110% of the vertical height of the wind turbine, whichever is greater;

1	•	Noise level shall not exceed 45 dBA, average A-weighted Sound pressure including
2		constructive interference effects measured twenty-five (25) feet from the perimeter of
3		the existing non-participating residences, businesses, and buildings owned and/or
4		maintained by a governmental entity;
5	٠	Noise level shall not exceed 50 dBA, average A-weighted Sound pressure including

- Noise level shall not exceed 50 dBA, average A-weighted Sound pressure including
 constructive interference effects measured twenty-five (25) feet from the perimeter of
 participating residences, businesses, and buildings owned and/or maintained by a
 governmental entity; and
- Flicker at any receptor shall not exceed thirty (30) hours per year within the analysis area for all schools, churches, businesses and occupied dwellings within a one (1) mile radius of each turbine within the Project.

Deuel County Setback Requirements:

- 1,500' from existing participating residence, business, church, or school, building owner and/or operated by a governmental entity;
- 4 times the turbine blade height from existing non-participating residence, business, church, or school, building owned and/or operated by a governmental entity;
- 3-miles from the Lake Park District at Lake Cochran, 2-miles from the Lake Park District at Lake Alice, and 1-mile from the Lake Park District at Bullhead Lake;
- 1-mile from the nearest residence of municipalities of Altamont, Astoria, Brandt and Goodwin;
- 1.5-miles from the city limits of Gary, Toronto and Clear Lake (except Sections 11,
 12 &14);

] •		110%	of the	turbine	blade	height	from	Public	right-c	of-way
-----	--	------	--------	---------	-------	--------	------	--------	---------	--------

- 110% of the turbine blade height from Property Lines (unless wind easement has been obtained from adjoining property owner);
 - Noise level shall not exceed 45 dBA, average A-weighted Sound pressure at the perimeter of existing residences, for non-participating residences; and
 - Flicker at any receptor shall not exceed thirty (30) hours per year within the analysis area for all schools, churches, businesses and occupied dwellings within a one (1) mile radius of each turbine within the Project.

Q. WERE TURBINE, ACCESS ROAD, AND COLLECTOR LINE LOCATIONS COORDINATED WITH LANDOWNERS?

A. Yes. Upon completion of field surveys for natural and cultural resources, the Project's preliminary site plan was created. CRW II conducted several meetings with landowners to discuss the proposed placement of project infrastructure and to take into consideration feedback from landowners. CRW II incorporated landowner feedback and made revisions to the site plan where feasible to help preserve the use of private lands and maintain compatibility with farming operations. For example, in some cases landowners preferred for CRW II to adjust the approaches and/or the alignment of turbine access roads to utilize existing farming approaches off of county roads or to avoid noted acreage that produces higher yields. CRW II also adopted changes to county setback requirements during the development process. Shifts to turbine placement were recommended, but could not always be adopted on a landowner's property due to a new setback requirement

from a participating landowner's residence, minimum setback requirements from a nearby municipal boundary or natural and cultural constraints that may be present on the property.

A.

BENEFITS OF THE WIND FACILITY

Q. ARE THERE ANY BENEFITS ASSOCIATED WITH THE WIND FACILITY FOR SOUTH DAKOTA?

Yes, South Dakota will benefit from the proposed Project. Specifically, the Project will produce the following benefits: (1) the delivery of 300.6 MWs of zero-emissions wind energy; (2) the creation of approximately 250 temporary construction jobs, which will include employing local workers if qualified and available; (3) supporting the local economy through the purchase of regional goods and services, including increasing the use of hotels and restaurants in Codington, Deuel and Grant County; (4) the creation of 7–12 permanent O&M jobs; (5) increasing sales/use tax and contractor excise tax revenues; (6) the generation of property tax revenues of approximately \$39 million over the contracted life of the Project; (7) land lease payments to landowners of approximately \$40 million over the contracted term of the project; and (8) approximately \$425 million in capital investment within the state of South Dakota.

Q. HOW MANY HOMES CAN BE SERVED BY THE CLEAN ENERGY THAT THE

21 WIND FACILITY WILL DELIVER?

A. The Wind Facility will deliver enough energy to power more than 150,000 homes.

1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

2 A. Yes, it does.

1		
2		
	STATE OF FLORIDA)
	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) ss)

I, Tyler Wilhelm, being duly sworn on oath, depose and state that I am the witness identified in the foregoing prepared testimony and I am familiar with its contents, and that the facts set forth are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Tyler Wilhelm

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of April 2019.

SEAL

Notary Public

My Commission Expires ____



STATE OF FLORIDA)		
) ss		
COUNTY OF PALM BEACH)		

I, Daryl Hart, being duly sworn on oath, depose and state that I am the witness identified in the foregoing prepared testimony and I am familiar with its contents, and that the facts set forth are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Daryl Ha

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of April 2019.

SEAL

Notary Public

My Commission Expires ____

JULIE N. KRAUSS
Commission # GG 092884
Expires June 3, 2021
Banded Thru Troy Fain Insurance 800-385-7019