1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	=======================================
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION HP14-001 OF TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE PIPELINE,
5	LP FOR ORDER ACCEPTING CERTIFICATION OF PERMIT ISSUED IN DOCKET HP09-001
6	TO CONSTRUCT THE KEYSTONE XL PIPELINE
7	=======================================
8	Transcript of Proceedings April 14, 2015
10	9:30 a.m.
	=======================================
11 12	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
	CHRIS NELSON, CHAIRMAN
13 14	KRISTIE FIEGEN, VICE CHAIRMAN GARY HANSON, COMMISSIONER
15	COMMISSION STAFF
	John Smith
16	Kristen Edwards Brian Rounds
17	Darren Kearney Katlyn Gustafson
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR
25	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol Building, Room 413, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 14th day of April, 2015.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: We've had a number of motions filed. We've got a number of questions that we're going to wrestle with and answer today. In looking at the questions that we're going to answer, I think we can pretty much take these in the order in which they're printed on the agenda, on page 3 of the agenda.

The only change that I might make, I see

Keystone's Discovery Motion and Keystone's Prefiled

Testimony Motion are listed as, I think, numbers, you

know, 3 and 5 or separate.

Should they be taken together?

MR. TAYLOR: Yeah.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Or at least back to back. So we'll move the prefiled testimony up.

But other than that, unless there's objection from fellow Commissioners, we'll just go down the line.

With that, we are on Docket HP14-001, In the Matter of the Petition of TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP for Order Accepting Certification of Permit Issued in Docket HP09-001 to Construct the Keystone XL Pipeline.

The first question that we are dealing with today is shall the Commission grant Jeff Jensen's request to withdraw as a party to this Docket? Apparently somebody wants out.

Is there a Motion on that request?

```
1
              Commissioner Fiegen.
2
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, in Docket
     HP14-001 I move that we grant Jeff Jensen's request to
 3
 4
     withdraw as a party to this Docket.
 5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Is there anyone that wishes to
 6
     speak on that issue?
7
              Seeing none, discussion on the Motion.
8
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: You know, I just
     appreciate that he came in and withdrew if he's not going
10
     to be a party. So we certainly appreciate his Motion
11
     today.
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion.
13
              Seeing none, all of those in favor will vote
14
     aye. Those opposed, nay.
15
              Commissioner Hanson.
16
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Aye.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
18
                                     Fiegen votes aye.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
19
              Motion carries.
20
21
              That brings us to the Motion to Stay that has
22
     been filed joint by Dakota Rural Action, Rosebud Sioux
2.3
     Tribe, Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, Cheyenne River Sioux
24
     Tribe, and Indigenous Environmental Network.
25
              Let me just say how I plan to deal with these.
```

- The Motions to Stay, the Motion to Appoint a Special

 Master, those certainly apply to everyone that's involved

 in this Docket, and so I will give not only the folks

 that are sponsoring the Motion and Keystone an

 opportunity to speak, but anybody else who's an

 Intervenor who wishes to speak on those motions will be

 given an opportunity to.
 - When we get to the Motions to Compel and those things dealing with discovery, those are really issues between the filing party and the Applicant, and I'm going to restrict the argument to those two affected parties.
 - I think if we don't do that, we will not only be here all day but we will be here all night and into tomorrow, and that won't serve any of us well. So that's how I plan to proceed.
 - So on the Motion to Stay who is going to do the initial argument for the parties who filed the Motion?
 - MR. MARTINEZ: That would be me, Chairman. Robin Martinez.
 - CHAIRMAN NELSON: Go ahead.

2.3

MR. MARTINEZ: I think the Motion fairly speaks for itself. As you've obviously seen from the length of the published Docket in this case and all of the filings, the large number of parties that have intervened, the extremely large number of issues, these are very lengthy

proceedings. They're also very complicated proceedings.

There are a lot of issues at stake.

2.3

So one of the key reasons that we filed this

Motion to Stay was that it did not make sense, given the

fact that you have a couple of major issues that are

outstanding, that really affect, I think, the decision

that you have to make as to whether or not TransCanada

should be permitted to build this pipeline through

South Dakota, as to whether or not we actually go forward

with these proceedings as they currently are in the

current state of affairs.

We've raised in our Motion two, I think, primary reasons why a stay is appropriate. And I think both of those I think are very good reasons.

The first, as everyone on the phone and in the room there is acutely aware, this pipeline does not get built -- they cannot even commence construction on it until and unless there's a federal permit granted. We don't know whether or not the Federal Government is going to take action any time soon. We don't know whether or not the Federal Government is going to permit construction of the pipeline to go forward.

So, frankly, with that degree of uncertainty that is out there, these proceedings are a huge waste of everybody's time, energy, and effort for everyone to go

through the time and expense not only for the Intervenors, the money that we've had to spend getting expert witnesses, the money that we're going to have to spend to get a lot of people in town next month for, you know, these hearings, but for TransCanada as well. And for you as members of the Commission.

2.3

A lot of time, energy, and effort is going to be spent on hearings that in the end may not make any difference if there is ultimately a decision to not permit the pipeline to be constructed by the Federal Government.

And so, you know, I think when you look at this the entire argument of judicial economy is one that makes a tremendous amount of sense. Given the uncertainty, why does it make sense at all to proceed with this case?

I think, you know, in light of that uncertainty that's out there, the better course of action from the Commission would be to basically call a halt and say we're going to stay these proceedings until we get a decision from the Federal Government as to whether or not this pipeline goes forward.

If the Federal Government says no, then we've avoided all of the time, energy, and expense of going through this entire process, which frankly is a great outcome for everybody; for you, for us, for TransCanada.

Likewise, if the Federal Government says, okay, we'll go ahead and grant the permit, TransCanada can go ahead and cross the international border and build this pipeline, at that point we'll be ready to roll.

TransCanada can -- we can reopen these proceedings and you can pick up exactly where we left off, and we can go

ahead, have the hearing and go forward.

And at that point it's really just about this whole notion of judicial economy and whether or not we're going to be wasting everybody's time, energy, and effort. So, you know, that's the first point that I think that really strongly suggests that a stay of these proceedings is appropriate.

You know, the second reason actually goes -- is a little more of a challenging issue. And, you know, as you've seen from the exhibits that we've filed along with the -- you know, with the Motion, TransCanada has some serious issues, serious issues with respect to its credibility, with respect to what appears to be its safety record. As witnesses have testified, you know, a corporate culture that sacrifices safety of its pipelines in favor of profits.

We've laid out the argument in our Motion along with the supporting exhibit that shows, you know, for the second time within the past couple of years, Canada's

```
1
     National Energy Board, which is the major regulator of
 2
     pipelines in Canada and one of TransCanada's major
     regulators has launched a serious investigation into
 3
 4
     TransCanada and TransCanada's safety record.
              And, you know, ultimately the big question is is
 6
     are they going to build pipelines --
7
              Hello.
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Okay. We're getting some
     music coming across the telephone line.
10
              MR. MARTINEZ: I knew whatever I was saying was
11
     dramatic, but I didn't realize --
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. The music has stopped
12
13
     so go ahead and proceed.
14
              MR. MARTINEZ: Well, you know, as I was saying,
15
     for the second time in just the past couple of years
16
     Canada's National Energy Board, which is the major
17
     regulator of pipelines throughout Canada, has launched an
18
     investigation into TransCanada's corporate and safety
     practices.
19
20
              One of the key issues, I mean, that directly
21
     goes to the various permitting conditions that the
22
     Commission has imposed in this case deals with the
2.3
     pipeline safety and pipeline integrity.
```

Given that there are now serious questions about

TransCanada's ability to even comply with those

2.4

25

conditions, once again, I think the better part of wisdom is to let the Canadian National Energy Board complete its investigation, issue the report, and see what they have to say. That in and of itself would really help inform these proceedings, would help inform the debate, and would help the people of South Dakota to get a better understanding of how likely it is that this pipeline is going to rupture, you know, how likely it is that it's going to leak.

2.3

You know, all of those are things that I think the Commission really should be aware of and should permit enough time to allow the record to be fully developed. And, you know, consequently those are the two reasons why I think a stay is appropriate in this case.

Like I say, it's just purely judicial economy.

Don't waste everybody's time, energy, and effort if you don't have to. Second, let the record be fully developed. Let the Canadian National Energy Board complete its investigation and see what TransCanada's really up to.

And, you know, on that basis I think there's really ample reason for a stay, and I think a stay makes a lot of sense. Not just for us but also frankly for TransCanada and for you as Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Martinez, thank you.

Here's how I'm going to proceed. I'm going to give each of these other folks who are part of this

Motion an opportunity to speak, if they wish to. Then
I'm going to go to other Intervenors, if they wish to.

Then I'm going to go to Staff. Then I'm going to go to

TransCanada. And then I'll give Mr. Martinez an

opportunity for brief rebuttal at the end.

So with that, Rosebud.

2.3

Mr. Rappold is in the room with us, and so he's going to speak from here.

MR. RAPPOLD: Thank you, Commissioners. Good morning. I'd echo Mr. Martinez's Motion to Stay the proceedings.

I think one of the issues of primary importance for us here in South Dakota as Mr. Martinez alluded to is the judicial economy and taking a careful look at how we're spending other people's money.

This is a long process. The people of South Dakota elected you to take an informed, considered look at -- into everything that comes before you.

As it stands now, TransCanada cannot build this pipeline because they do not have everything that's required to get a Presidential Permit. They don't even know if they're going to get a Presidential Permit.

They don't have a valid permit route in

Nebraska. That case -- that aspect of the pipeline route is, as you may or may not be aware, being challenged in the courts in Nebraska. The folks down there are estimating that it may take a year or two for that to resolve, the legal process, to determine if they actually do have a valid route.

2.3

They don't, to my understanding, have a Clean Water Act permit in this particular case here in South Dakota. So --

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Rappold, I'm going to stop you because I need to say this. To the folks on the telephone line, we are getting background noise. And so in -- out of respect to the folks that are speaking here today and to the Commission, please, put your phones on mute so that we can clearly hear the folks that are speaking.

I apologize. Go ahead.

MR. RAPPOLD: No problem.

Another thing, this case here obviously can be appealed to the Circuit Court and the South Dakota Supreme Court, which it may be, depending on your ultimate decision on the certification. We may appeal it. Other parties may appeal it. TransCanada may appeal it. That's going to take quite a while.

I wouldn't want to sit here and try to estimate

how long that process could take, but it could take a while.

2.3

2.4

And the other thing that I want you to look at and consider is what appears to be, to me, an arbitrary deadline on the part of TransCanada and the Commission in having this case scheduled out so fast. Other folks have said that these sorts of cases and due to the technical nature of everything that's in front of you can take a really long time to fully develop that record so that the finder of fact has as much information in front of them as possible to make the best decision possible.

And that's what we want you to do. We want you to have that opportunity to have as much evidence as possible to make the best and most informed decision.

I'd also like to reference South Dakota Codified Law 49-41B-24, which is where I think the one-year requirement to have this proceeding resolved is coming from. I could be mistaken, but it seems like that's where it's coming from.

And that statute references within 12 months of receipt of the initial Application for -- and I'm paraphrasing, certain things have to be done, everything has to be done within a year, 12 months.

Well, the only thing that's not listed in this statute is a Petition for Certification. So I would tell

you that this statute does not apply. There is no one-year time frame. There is no rush. We need to take our time and make an informed decision.

2.3

And, as Mr. Martinez said, nobody is harmed by waiting to see what happens. The other side of that is if it is rushed, what is the potential harm that could happen? And I think we all know that the potential harm of what could happen if this pipeline doesn't go the way TransCanada says it's going to go, could be very, very devastating to the people of South Dakota.

So not only do you have to consider spending the money of the people of South Dakota throughout this entire process to get to that point, then we're also going to be looking at other problems that the people of South Dakota are going to have as a result of a ruptured water line above the Ogallala Aquifer.

So we'd ask the Commission to take all of those things into consideration and grant the Motion to Stay.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you, sir.

We'll go to Standing Rock. Mr. Capossela.

MR. CAPOSSELA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you for permitting me to appear by phone this morning.

The Tribe has joined the Motion for the reasons that have been articulated, and we'd request that it be

granted. But I have nothing further to this morning on this Motion.

Thank you for the opportunity.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

2.3

We'll go to Cheyenne River. Mr. Clark. And Mr. Clark is with us in the room.

MR. CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, obviously we second Mr. Martinez's reasoning, his very well written motion -- on this Motion.

We can't stress enough the importance of judicial economy. We don't know what's going to happen on the federal level. There's no way to predict if they're going to approve it, disapprove it, and if they do approve it, if it's going to be a year, three years, four or five years.

I was going to keep my comments really brief, but Mr. Rappold actually gave me -- a thought came to me.

So the certification process that we're going through right now, the permit was issued originally, and in that statute if within four years construction hasn't been initiated, we go through the certification process.

So what if we get through the certification process now and TransCanada's permit is recertified?

1 What happens if construction doesn't happen again for 2 five years? This certification process isn't something 3 that has been used a lot. There's very little case law 4 on it. 5 So staying the proceedings now may avoid some 6 very complicated legal fights down the road. So I would 7 just emphasize that as well. 8 Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 10 Indigenous Environmental Network. Ms. Craven. 11 MS. CRAVEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, other 12 members of the Commission. I appreciate the opportunity 13 to appear this morning telephonically and to be heard. 14 I just want to echo what my colleagues have 15 Those are the reasons that we did join already stated. 16 in this Motion. 17 I think the importance of judicial economy 18 should be stressed. And I hope that you would grant this 19 Motion to Stay the proceedings while we wait for the federal actions to occur. 20 21 Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 23 I'm going to ask, are there any other 24 Intervenors in the room that would like to speak on

25

this?

Go ahead, Mr. Harter.

2.3

MR. HARTER: John Harter, Winner, South Dakota rancher.

I'd like to support the motions. It's been probably a little over two years ago that TransCanada took me to court and took my property through eminent domain proceedings. And during those proceedings they had their one major witness which was a lobbyist out of Washington, D.C., I guess, that was stating that this thing was going to get built in the next two years. It was highly probable.

And, in my opinion, he just done a lot of babbling about a lot of bad facts, and none of them have come to be at the forefront yet. We're still waiting on a Presidential Permit. And I think from what I've been through with this proceeding with TransCanada's acting in several bad actions that it would be good for the people not to have this proceedings go further. Wait until they get a Presidential Permit.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Any other Intervenors in the room that would like to speak?

If not, I'm going to go to Intervenors who are on the phone. And I'm just going to go down the list and

```
1
     give you an opportunity to speak, if you wish.
2
              Mr. Gough.
              MR. GOUGH: Thank you, Commissioner.
 3
                                                     This is --
 4
     (Inaudible).
 5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: We're going to have to stop
 6
     you right there. You're cutting in and out. Maybe just
7
     try speaking a little slower and see if that helps us.
8
     We do have a court reporter here that needs to catch
     every word.
10
              MR. GOUGH:
                          Thank you. (Inaudible).
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: It's not working at all. If
12
     you're on the cellphone, here's what I'm going to do.
13
     I'm going to go to others and come back to you at the
14
     end.
15
              MR. GOUGH:
                          Is this any better?
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: It is not any better.
                                                        It's
17
     all muffled.
18
              Mr. Goldtooth.
19
              MR. GOLDTOOTH: Thank you, Commissioner.
20
              I don't have much to add other than I
21
     wholeheartedly agree and would like to verbally join this
22
     Motion to Stay for all the various reasons and great
2.3
     reasons that the other folks have expressed.
2.4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
25
              Ms. Hilding.
```

1 MS. HILDING: Thanks. I had it on mute. 2 I support the Motion. I join it. If you 3 don't -- I mean, perhaps if you don't stay it forever, we 4 could stay it for a while but I join the Motion and I 5 support it. 6 Thanks. 7 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 8 Mr. Blackburn. MR. BLACKBURN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 BOLD Nebraska supports the Motion for the reasons that have been already articulated. And thank 11 12 you for allowing us to be heard this morning. 13 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 14 Ms. Real Bird. 15 MS. REAL BIRD: Yes, Mr. Chairman, members of 16 the Commission, thank you. This is Thomasina Real Bird 17 on behalf of the Yankton Sioux Tribe. 18 We do support the Motion being considered at 19 this moment for the reasons already articulated. And I 20 think Cheyenne River brings up a really excellent point 21 as far as the timing. You know, we want this process to 22 be meaningful, to have public input, and it's hard to 2.3 predict the timing of whether -- if the certification 24 process does go forward if there's no construction within 25 four years, do we have another certification process?

```
1
              So I think that's an excellent food for thought
2
     when considering this Motion and -- I'm sorry. Can you
     hear me? I'm getting some feedback.
 3
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: We're getting it too, but go
5
     ahead. We'll see how it proceeds.
 6
              MS. REAL BIRD: I'm on a landline so hopefully
7
     it's not my end.
8
              Just the excellent points already articulated,
     Yankton Sioux Tribe supports the Motion.
10
              Thank you.
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.
              Viola Waln.
12
13
              Not hearing anything from Viola.
14
              I'm going to come back around to Bob Gough.
15
              MR. GOUGH: Thank you, Commissioner. Is this
16
     any better?
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: It is.
18
              MR. GOUGH: Thank you. That muffling may have
19
     been my own -- I'm just recovering from pneumonia so my
20
     voice is pretty weak.
21
              But I would strongly like to join -- InterTribal
22
     COUP joined in this function and support it
2.3
     wholeheartedly for the very reasons that have been well
24
     articulated.
```

25

Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

2.3

And I think we've gotten everybody on the phone an opportunity.

With that, I'm going to go to Staff and Ms. Edwards.

MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. Kristen Edwards for Staff.

Staff did file a Brief in response to this

Motion. So for the purposes of saving time, we won't

make any further comments. But if the Commission would

like us to summarize the comments in our Brief, we are

willing to do so. And we stand by for questions.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

With that, TransCanada.

MR. MOORE: Thank you, Commissioner. James Moore on behalf of Keystone.

We too would stand on the written submission we made with just a couple of comments. One with respect to the federal permitting process. The Commission already granted a permit in this matter four years ago. It did so despite the fact that the Presidential Permit was in process at that point.

One of the conditions in the permit that was granted is that before construction or operation that must be granted and obtained, and that remains true and

it does not affect this proceeding.

2.3

With respect to the argument that we need to take our time here, I would respectfully submit that this process has taken a great deal of time. This permit was granted four years ago. This Docket started in September. It's on track to be concluded in about a year.

That is not a hasty proceeding. That is a thoughtful, deliberate, considerate proceeding, and that is appropriate, but there's no reason to stay that proceeding.

With respect to proceedings that may be ongoing before the National Energy Board in Canada, the allegations that Mr. Vokes has made with respect to his prefiled testimony will be in evidence before the Commission at the hearing. That's appropriate.

Mr. Vokes can testify and be subject to cross-examination.

And with respect to any other proceedings that may be before the National Energy Board, we don't know what they are. We don't know the scope of them. We don't know how long they may take. And I would respectfully submit that there is no basis to stay this proceeding based on what may or may not happen before some other entity.

```
1
              To the extent that there are allegations that
2
     concern TransCanada's ability to meet the conditions on
 3
     which the permit was granted in this proceeding, there is
 4
     evidence that should be brought before this body for
 5
     consideration and for testing at the evidentiary hearing
 6
     as part of the contested case.
7
              I think that's the appropriate way to proceed
8
     and for that reason respectfully request that the Motion
     be denied.
10
              Thank you.
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
12
              With that, I am going to give Mr. Martinez an
13
     opportunity for any brief rebuttal before we go to
14
     Commissioner questions.
15
              MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Can you
16
     hear me?
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes.
              MR. MARTINEZ: Okay. I just wanted to make sure
18
19
     that I had my mute off.
20
              What I've heard Mr. Moore say is that -- I'm
21
     still getting an echo. Are you getting an echo as well
22
     on your line?
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: No. It's sounding very good
```

MR. MARTINEZ: I'm hearing myself sort of have

24

25

here.

- feedback on the line. Every word I'm saying has
 telecommunications issues. Well, there it goes. I think
 that went away.
- 4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: We just found the problem.
 5 There was an open mic. in the hearing room here.
- 6 Mr. Harter solved your problem. So go ahead.

2.3

- MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you very much, Mr. Harter.
 - You know, I still have not heard anything from Mr. Moore, TransCanada, or from the Commission Staff in their written submissions that really adequately responds or deals with the issue that we've raised in support of our Motion for Stay which discusses the entire issue of judicial economy.
 - Now Mr. Moore basically came out and told you that you've already, as the Commission, granted the permit a number of years ago and the existence of the federal permit process -- or federal permitting process didn't affect the proceedings.
 - You know, I would be willing to bet and, in fact, having looked at the record of proceedings that occurred back then, that this argument was never raised at that point. Although it sounds like it probably should have been. And, frankly, a lot of time, energy, and effort that has gone into this process by TransCanada, by you as Commissioners, by the Intervenors,

could have been saved.

2.3

Now it's not just the federal permitting process that's in question. There are a lot of other things that simply have not been done yet that I think bear a tremendous amount of relevance ultimately that lead into your decision as to whether or not a permit should be -- a recertification should be granted and TransCanada should be allowed to go forward.

For instance, one of the things that TransCanada is going to need before they can do anything is a wetlands permit from the Corps of Engineers. They haven't even applied for that. So we don't even know whether or not that level of permitting will be granted.

They also haven't even filed a Spill Response

Plan with the Department of Energy and Natural Resources.

We don't even know if that plan is adequate.

Those are all very relevant issues that I think need to be looked at to determine whether or not TransCanada can build a pipeline that won't blow up or leak, you know, that diluted bitumen all over South Dakota's waterways.

So when you look at all of those factors, it just makes sense to stay these proceedings.

The other issue that, you know, Mr. Moore has raised on behalf of TransCanada is -- goes to the second

point that we made with respect to the Canadian National Energy Board proceedings.

2.3

I thought it was very telling when he said that we don't know what the National Energy Board -- the scope of their proceedings are up to, what the time line is, how that is going to play out. And I think that's a telling statement because we should know.

And you as Commissioners should know what the National Energy Board has to say about TransCanada's ability to build a pipeline that won't leak or breach. That's super critical to the water of South Dakota and to the residents and the farmers and ranchers who stand in the way of possibly having their water polluted in the event of a pipeline breach.

Mr. Votes' testimony, which we've, you know, submitted as prefiled testimony, as well as the exhibits that we've added of his testimony before the Canadian Senate, as well as the most recent Reuters news report showing that the National Energy Board is launching yet another major investigation of TransCanada, I think go directly to the heart of why a stay is not just necessary, I think it's mandated in this case.

I think, you know, when you look at what we have here, this is too important of an issue to just rush into without getting all of the information in place and

1 letting it all play out. 2 So between that argument and then there's the 3 judicial economy argument which we've pointed out, which 4 is really frankly also a very strong argument, I honestly 5 think you ought to stay these proceedings. It just 6 absolutely makes the most sense. Thanks. 7 8 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Appreciate the comments, and I appreciate 10 everyone's relative comments in relying on your written 11 argument. That's helpful to us. 12 With that, I will turn to Commissioner 13 questions. 14 Seeing none, is there a Motion? 15 Commissioner Hanson. 16 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, I will move 17 that the Commission deny the Motion to Stay. 18 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion. 19 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman and audience, 20 I -- there's a lot to agree with on the arguments that 21 have been presented here. This is a complicated Docket. It is going to be 22 2.3 a lengthy -- it's already been a lengthy Docket. 2.4 that should not decide that it should be stayed. We have 25 a lot of dockets that are complicated and that are

lengthy. We have a job to do, and we have to do it.

2.3

There's questions of Keystone's ability to perform, and that's exactly why we're having this Docket is to ascertain whether or not they have that ability. What Canada does or Nebraska does is of interest certainly; however, if they choose not to allow the pipeline to go through their territories, then, of course, it's not going to go through South Dakota's either. So we must do our work.

It is -- I agree fully it's extremely aggravating that the Federal Government has been so dilatory on making their decision. It's been six years that they've had an opportunity. And, yes, it could waste a lot of our time and our money going through this process, but we have a responsibility again to go through the process.

I'll be very disappointed and aggravated if the Federal Government chooses to make a decision a year from now or two years from now and they decide not to allow it to cross the border.

Won't be disappointed for Keystone. I'll be disappointed for all of the trouble that all of you folks and the State has gone through in the process. It seems totally unnecessary from that standpoint.

But to say that we should wait on the Federal

- Government or to wait on Canada or Nebraska places

 Keystone in a Catch-22 position. Because then the

 Federal Government gets to continue to say, well, we have

 to see what Nebraska and South Dakota and Canada are

 going to do.
 - Well, that's a Catch-22 that they cannot overcome. And they have a right to have their day in court. And so we have, again, that responsibility to pursue this Docket.
 - And, gosh, I hope four years from now we're not doing this again, but if we have to, then we're going to have to do it again in four years.
- Mr. Chairman, that concludes my remarks.

2.3

- 14 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion on the 15 Motion.
 - I would just -- I'm going to support the Motion. And the only comment that I'm going to make is I hope this Commission never gets to the point of moving at the speed of the Federal Government or taking our direction from the speed or lack thereof of the Federal Government.
 - We are tasked by the people of South Dakota to do the work of the people of South Dakota in an economical and efficient manner, and I believe that we can do that irregardless of what the Federal Government

may or may not be doing on this particular account.

Additional discussion?

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, I support the Motion to Deny the Stay. And Commissioner Hanson and Commissioner Nelson absolutely have stated a lot of my thoughts.

Keystone gets their day in court just like all of you will get their day in court also. So I certainly support that.

And just like Commissioner Hanson said, when the Federal Government uses excuses of denying the permit or actually delaying the permit because of a Nebraska process we certainly don't want that to be part of a South Dakota process either. So we want to make sure we do our work like Commissioner Nelson said.

And the evidentiary hearing is where we get to hear the evidence that -- the certification process and if the conditions are still met. So that's when we get to hear all the evidence that you all get to bring to us.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion.

Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye.

Those opposed, nay.

Commissioner Hanson.

```
COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Aye.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Commissioner Fiegen.
 2
 3
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                     Fiegen votes ave.
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
              The Motion to deny the Motion for Stay passes.
 6
              With that, we will move to the Motion for
7
     Appointment of a Special Master.
8
              And this Motion is brought to us again by Dakota
     Rural Action, Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Cheyenne River Sioux
10
     Tribe, and Indigenous Environmental Networks. And so
11
     we'll go through basically the same process that we went
     through on the last Motion. And I will turn to
12
13
     Mr. Martinez.
14
              MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you very much,
15
     Mr. Chairman.
16
              In light of your -- the action you just took, in
17
     terms of going ahead and denying our Motion for Stay, I
18
     think that really heightens the need to go ahead and
19
     appoint a special master.
              I don't know to what extent the members of the
20
21
     Commission -- with the use of special masters in complex
22
     litigation or where you have a tremendous amount of
2.3
     discovery that's underway.
2.4
              But what we're basically asking is -- and, once
25
     again, this goes to the idea of economy and saving
```

everybody some time and trying to get an orderly and efficient --

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Excuse me. Excuse me. You cut out for just a moment. We lost you at the word "efficient."

MR. MARTINEZ: Oh, okay. I wish I had my own transcript here so I could repeat exactly what I said.

The reason we filed this Motion for Appointment of the Special Master is because it would provide all the parties to these proceedings with an efficient mechanism for resolving discovery disputes.

Like I said at the outset, I don't know what experience each of the members of the Commission has in terms of complex litigation and the use of special masters. They can be very, very helpful in dealing with very complex discovery issues and particularly --

And, I mean, just to give you a little bit of a foreshadowing of what we're going to be looking at when looking at Motion to Compel, Dakota Rural Action itself sent TransCanada something like 86 different

Interrogatories, 56 document requests. Multiply that out by all of the different Intervenors in this case who have simply filed discovery requests as well as the discovery requests that TransCanada has made each of us, then you stack on all of the disputes that we are engaging in, it

frankly makes a lot of sense to have a special master in place to go ahead and help the parties sort through those issues.

2.3

Now one of the -- one of, I think, the responses I believe I read in the Staff's response to our Motion was that you as a Commission could not delegate your authority, didn't have the statutory ability to delegate your authority. To just kind of cut to the chase on that and sort of preempt that, let me state that by appointing a special master you don't have to do that.

What you can do is you can narrowly tailor an order that appoints a special master and tasks him with meeting with the parties, with going through all of the different discovery requests that we've made, reporting them back to you, and then permitting you to issue an order. That wouldn't be a delegation of authority. It would simply be a mechanism to afford you guys the ability to kind of cut through the chase and help make a quicker decision.

Instead of spending, you know, all day here in a hearing room going through every single one of the discovery disputes that every party has one by one, which I think we're going to be compelled to do, and we're going to be here for hours, to me it seems the special master is the most efficient way to do that.

So that's the main reason why, you know, we've suggested that, why the various Intervenors who have joined our Motion have -- also think that's the best approach. And it just, once again, boils down to an issue of economy and efficiency.

And we're hoping that you as Commissioners will see it that way as well and grant our Motion.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Rosebud.

MR. RAPPOLD: Thank you, Commissioners. I'd second Mr. Martinez's comments on the Motion to Appoint a Special Master to assist with the discovery process. And I'll go back to what I've been saying from pretty much the start of these proceedings.

We have a discovery process that requires time to play out, to develop the record, to have all of the evidence, testimony that's required for you guys to make a full and considered decision of the issue before you.

And what we're faced with here, like we spoke about two weeks ago, the cart is before the horse. The cart is still before the horse.

We're in the process of resolving what TransCanada referred to as over 800 requests for discovery on some very complicated issues.

We're dealing with today motions to exclude people from these proceedings based on discovery problems. And we're addressing those issues after the fact. The Commission Ordered prefiled testimony to be filed two weeks ago on April 2. And here it is on April 14, and we still haven't figured out if they're going to be allowed to file testimony and participate in these proceedings or not.

Had we had a special master from this point forward to assist with discovery disputes and resolution, we wouldn't be having any of these problems now. We'd ask the court to consider -- not the court. The Commission to appoint a special master to assist in resolving discovery dispute issues consistent with the approach that Mr. Martinez articulated, that it would not be a delegation of your authority to craft an order in such a way that you ultimately are not delegating your authority, that you are the ones that are ultimately in control finally in making those decisions.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Chevenne River.

MR. CLARK: Thank you, Commissioners.

Frankly, I don't think I have anything

25 | substantive to add to what Mr. Martinez and Mr. Rappold

```
1
     said so I'll just keep it very short and ask that the
2
     Commission grants this Motion to Appoint a Special
 3
     Master.
 4
              Thank you.
5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And if you'd make
6
     sure to turn the mic. off when you leave, that will help
7
     the folks on the phone.
8
              With that, we'll go to Indigenous Environmental
     Network. Ms. Craven.
10
              MS. CRAVEN: Thank you, Commissioner.
11
              I don't have anything further to add at this
12
     point in time. I'd just second what has been said by
13
    Mr. Martinez.
14
              Thank you.
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                 Thank you.
16
              Any of the Intervenors in the room wish to weigh
17
     in?
18
              Seeing none there, we will go down the list on
19
     the phone.
20
              Bob Gough.
21
              MR. GOUGH: Commissioner, I would have
22
     InterTribal COUP join and support this Motion.
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                 Thank you.
24
              Mr. Goldtooth.
```

MR. GOLDTOOTH: Thank you, Commissioner.

25

```
1
              I, as well, would like to support and join this
2
    Motion.
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
 4
              Ms. Hilding.
 5
              MS. HILDING: Yes.
                                  Thank you. I had to turn it
 6
    off mute. Can you hear me?
7
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes.
8
              MS. HILDING: Okay. I have a point of order
    here.
10
              The deadline to submit Motions to Compel was the
11
     7th, and the deadline to respond to Keystone's Motion to
12
    Preclude Certain Intervenors was the 8th. On the 8th I
13
     submitted a Motion to Join with the guys in asking for a
14
     special master, and ladies. And in the title it also --
15
     this is in the title, Motion to Preclude Certain
16
     Intervenors From Offering Witnesses or Evidence at the
17
    Hearing and Joint Motion for Special Master and/or New
18
    Motion for PUC Review and Clarification. Okay.
19
              So then starting on -- I have to see how this
20
    printed out. I think it was page 3. I go into my
21
    ultimate Motion, which you guys seem to have totally
22
    overlooked and not put on the schedule. And I could read
2.3
    that to you. It's on the second half of --
2.4
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: May I ask a quick
25
     question?
```

Are you on speaker phone, or are you talking right into your phone? Because we're having a little trouble hearing you here.

MS. HILDING: Okay. Well, I was on speaker phone, but now I'm going back to my phone.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: We're having a hard time hearing so if you could slow down a little bit, that will help our court reporter and all of us.

Thank you.

2.3

MS. HILDING: Okay. What I'm saying is the deadline to apply for TransCanada was the 8th. The deadline to compel was the 7th. There's no deadline for other motions that I'm aware of.

So I added an additional motion, which is that I supported the Motion for Special Master. However, if you denied the special master -- and, you know, I can read this to you. It's 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 paragraphs here in my brief that I filed that seems to have been completely overlooked.

Do you want me to read that to you?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I don't think you need to read it. But on the agenda today at the end we've got a line that says, How shall the Commission proceed on any other outstanding Motion, and we will deal with that at that point.

```
1
              MS. HILDING: All right. Okay. I just wanted
2
     to -- you know, it was if you denied the special master,
 3
     then I wanted you to do something else.
 4
              All right.
                          So I have joined with the Motion for
 5
     the Special Master. I'm a pro se Intervenor.
                                                    I am not
 6
     represented by a lawyer. I have a lot of concerns with
    how the Keystone filed their Interrogatories. I've been
7
8
     doing a good-faith effort to comply with it, but I
    believe the whole thing is illegally done but --
10
     (Inaudible).
11
                    (Discussion off the record)
12
              MS. HILDING: I think that the Keystone
13
     December 18 Interrogatories -- (Inaudible).
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm sorry. We're not hearing
15
         If you could speak very slowly, very clearly into
16
     the phone, then we can hear you.
17
              MS. HILDING: Well, I'm not on my cell phone.
18
     I'm on a landline. You still can't hear me?
19
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: You're okay there. Go ahead.
20
              MS. HILDING: I have -- I think based on my
21
     telephone conversations with TransCanada, they're okay
22
    with how I've responded to the discovery. I've been
2.3
    doing a good-faith effort to comply with discovery, which
24
     I think was illegally submitted.
25
              So I think that we need -- us pro se Intervenors
```

who don't really understand what's going on really need 2 for you guys to look at how the TransCanada's discovery 3 request was done and is that legal and are we really 4 supposed to be responding to it? Although I have been responding to it. 6 Okay? 7 So I agree with a special master. I think 8 there's a lot of problems with discovery. And I join the Motion but in a written -- I sent a written filing to you 10 saying I joined the Motion. 11 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 12 We'll go to BOLD Nebraska. Mr. Blackburn. 13 MR. BLACKBURN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 BOLD Nebraska supports the Motion as well. 15 are concerned because discovery, of course, is very 16 important for many different purposes in the hearing and 17 believe that effective and efficient decision of all of 18 these different matters in play will require a substantial amount of time and effort to resolve. 19 20 So, again, BOLD Nebraska supports the Motion, 21 and thank you for letting us to be heard. 22 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 2.3 We'll go to Standing Rock. Mr. Capossela. 2.4 MR. CAPOSSELA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 25 Today's agenda with all of these contested

motions, the agenda itself kind of corroborates that
there's some merit to the idea of bringing in a discovery
expert.

I don't think it's common in this type of proceeding for there to have been so little cooperation amongst the parties as was indicated by all the pending motions. So I don't think up to this point the discovery process has gone the way it ought to or the way it normally does. And there may be some merit to bringing in a special master to sort all of this out.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

We'll go to Yankton Sioux Tribe. Ms. Real Bird.

MS. REAL BIRD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. Thomasina Real Bird for the Yankton Sioux Tribe.

The Yankton Sioux Tribe will support the Motion for the reasons already stated. And I would also like to draw the Commission's attention to the portion of the codified law Title 15 that specifically authorizes, as a portion of its civil procedure, the appointment of referees. And I believe that would be the term that's specifically mentioned in the laws.

And so the appointment of a special master or a referee is something that's allowed by the law. And the

1 Yankton Sioux Tribe would argue that the discovery issues 2 are complex, they're lengthy, they're -- you know, 3 they're likely going to require some expertise. And 4 that's exactly why the Legislature included this process to appoint referees in the codified laws. 6 And, specifically, I'm referencing 15-6-53, 7 Subsection A through E. And so I would ask that the 8 Commission review those and consider appointment of a special master or a referee in this case to address the 10 discovery issues. 11 And as pointed out in those sections and by 12 Mr. Martinez, the order appointing such a special master 13 or a referee could be narrowly tailored to achieve the 14 specific purposes requested in the Motion. So the 15 Yankton Sioux Tribe supports the Motion for the reasons 16 articulated and the reasons I just stated. 17

Thank you.

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

And Viola Waln.

Hearing nothing from Viola, we will go to Staff.

Ms. Edwards.

Thank you. Kristen Edwards for MS. EDWARDS: Staff.

For the reasons stated in Staff's Brief, we oppose the appointment of a referee. Staff does still stand by its assertion that the Commission lacks the jurisdiction to appoint a special master.

Furthermore, given the way it was described so far today, it does seem that the duties sought by the referee might run afoul of the open meetings rules if, in fact, they're going to make decisions outside of a public forum and then just bring them here to be voted on.

Because typically the way things are handled here is all decisions are discussed in a public forum, and I think that is required by law.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Keystone.

MR. MOORE: Thank you. James Moore on behalf of Keystone.

I would respectfully disagree with the suggestion that discovery has not gone well in this proceeding.

I think, as is evident from the Docket, the Commissioners know that there was a lot of discovery that was served and a lot of discovery answered in this case. I can personally tell you that I did basically nothing else the month of January except respond to discovery requests in this Docket.

And, frankly, I'm -- I'm a little bit proud

that at the end of the day we have a handful of motions
concerning a handful of requests.

I think the process has worked. I think it's gone well. I think the Commission has a mechanism for resolving the outstanding disputes, which is the hearing that's set for today. And I think the most expeditious way to deal with the disputes is for them to be decided here today.

And I would, lastly, just note that we're not at the beginning of this process. We're nearing the end of this process. The Scheduling Order has been in place.

We have a motions hearing set and a hearing on the merits set to begin in just a matter of a few weeks.

I think this has played out exactly as it should, and I would respectfully request that the Motion be denied.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to remind the folks on the phone, please, put your phones on mute. We're getting some banging around again.

And, with that, I'll turn it over to Mr. Martinez for brief rebuttal.

MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

What the discovery process is really all about is, I think, the fundamental question and issue here.

And that is that the Commission and the people of

South Dakota need to have a full hearing of all of the relevant evidence as it relates to what TransCanada seeks to accomplish.

2.3

Now Mr. Moore has certainly said that this process has "played out like it should." I would suggest that, yeah, that's probably right from TransCanada's perspective.

I think I would like to kind of go back and echo what I said back in December when we were discussing the Scheduling Order. I've never seen an instance where we've had a large, multinational corporation on one side of a dispute where the discovery process has been in any way simple or in any instance where the parties who have been seeking discovery have gotten fully what it is that they have asked for and what they are entitled to under the discovery rules.

Mr. Moore may indeed be proud that there's only a "handful of motions and requests." I would say looking at the Docket, it's a little bit more than a handful. It's substantially more than a handful. It's more like a truckload.

Particularly when we have -- like I said,

Dakota Rural Action alone had 86 different

Interrogatories, 56 different Requests for Production of

Documents.

For instance, of those 56, we've got 24 that are in dispute. That doesn't even -- you know, I haven't even counted up the number of issues that are in dispute with all the other Intervenors in this case who have engaged and sought to get discovery from TransCanada.

2.3

So that's, you know -- that, I think, really goes to the heart of what TransCanada's arguing here.

It has not been a process where the Intervenors and Dakota Rural Action in particular have gotten what they've asked for. Like any other organization, we've had to fight tooth and nail, and, you know, they're doing everything they can to make sure we don't get the things that we've asked for.

Now, you know, Ms. Edwards raised an interesting issue that I do want to address briefly. She did state that the Commission certainly lacks jurisdiction. I disagree with that.

I pointed out earlier that an order can be drafted to where a special master can prepare a report for the Commission, and the Commission can take action on it. That does not delegate your authority. That does not in any way abrogate your jurisdiction as Commissioners.

And actually the answer to that also answers the open meetings objection that Ms. Edwards raised.

Absolutely right, the Commission's a public body. It is subject to open meetings rules, like any other public body of the State of South Dakota or for any other state for that matter that has open meetings laws. And most do.

2.3

And it is, indeed, correct that ultimately decisions that are made are to be made in that public forum in those open meetings. I'm not suggesting that they not be.

What I am suggesting is that with a special master being appointed to work with the parties, to come back and report to you in an objective and efficient manner of what is -- of what discovery disputes are outstanding, what the law says in terms of how those should be resolved, will aid you as Commissioners in making a fair and objective decision.

And at the end of the day by doing that, it actually helps you as Commissioners by avoiding potential due process challenges down the road to whatever decision you make. So it's really a prophylactic measure if you look at it in that way.

So I think there's ample reasons for appointment of a special master. And I would ask that you grant our Motion.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Take just a timeout here.

1 We're having a little trouble with our internet feed. 2 Okay. We are having some technical 3 difficulties. For some reason the internet feed is not 4 working, but we are running our tape recorder so --5 MS. GUSTAFSON: We're back on. 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Now we're back on. Ιn 7 any case, we're going to proceed and open it up for 8 Commissioner questions. Go ahead, Commissioner Hanson. 10 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 Mr. Martinez, would you see the special master 12 or the referee as having the authority to compel 13 discovery -- excuse me. How would I phrase that? 14 Do you see where any of the Intervenors or the 15 Applicant would have the ability to compel the referee to 16 provide information? 17 MR. MARTINEZ: Commissioner, I'm not really sure 18 what you mean by that. But I think, you know -- let me 19 try to answer it this way: The special master could not 20 compel anything. The special master would be empowered 21 to basically sit down with parties to each of the 22 discovery disputes. 2.3 For instance, we have -- Dakota Rural Action has 24 a discovery dispute with the Commission Staff. It would 25 empower the special master to sit down, work with each of us to determine whether or not objections to our discovery requests have any basis, and then come back to you with a report, at which point you could choose to basically say that, yes, we will either compel discovery and tell the Commission and Staff to turn over what we have asked for or not.

2.3

Ultimately, the power is in your hands. A special master is there merely to assist you and essentially avoid you having to go through and listen to every single discovery issue that we have and help you basically make a better informed decision.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Sure. I understand your arguments. You're saying that the special master would not be able to compel discovery from the parties. Would the parties be able to compel information, discovery, from the special master?

For instance, the special master or the referee has e-mails and phone calls with parties, and in attempting to resolve or obtain information and, in essence, to formulate their opinion that they would be providing to the -- to the Commission.

So my question is simply would the parties be able to compel the special master or the referee to provide that information? For instance, e-mails.

MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah. I see where you're

getting, Commissioner -- where you're coming from with that question.

2.3

And, you know, in my experience where we've had special masters appointed in cases like that, the special master is, in essence, acting as, in some respects, an instrument of the body that has appointed him or her.

And so on that basis, you know, no. A lot of the special master's own notes, formulas, thoughts would be deemed his own work product, I think could be shielded.

I don't think -- you know, I don't believe that the parties could compel the special master to turn over, you know, his notes, impressions, work product, that sort of thing.

If you wanted to, you know -- to even clarify that further, I think what you could do is is put that in the form of an order, and the parties could essentially agree to consent to that. That would be one sort of additional way of resolving that, if you see that as being an issue.

Because ultimately the special master, the way the appointment process typically works is it's somebody that's appointed by the consent of all the parties involved. And typically what will happen is each party will put forward, you know, three, four names, and then they'll work through a consensus to try to get to -- to

get to a final name of somebody who will serve in that capacity.

2.3

So as part of the overall agreement to have that in place, I mean, we could certainly agree that their work product would not be subject to discovery by any of us. So that's certainly a work around.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Martinez.

MS. REAL BIRD: Mr. Chairman, this is Thomasina Real Bird.

May I add -- and I think it might answer the Commissioner's question in that regard. Codified Law 15-6-53B specifically discusses the powers of the referee. And they can basically be as broad or tailored as a Commission wishes through an order.

Although there are some limitations on the statute. If the Commission wishes to further tailor it and, you know, discuss the referee's -- you know, the discoverability of their notes, for example, that could be tailored in the order. And then the appointment process is 15-6-53A.

So I think a lot of the questions the Commission is having might be answered by specific reference and review of those codified laws, which does authorize the appointment of a referee.

Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Additional questions from the Commission? 3 4 If not, is there a Motion? 5 Commissioner Fiegen. 6 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, in HP14-001 7 move to deny the appointment of a special master. 8 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: You know, first of all, 10 thank you to the Intervenors for trying to save some time 11 of the Commissioners. I mean, we certainly would have 12 enjoyed a free week this past week of not reading all of 13 the discovery disputes. 14 But actually we have. We have spent a lot of 15 time since last Tuesday morning when we started to get 16 We spent weekends. We spent nights. We've spent 17 an incredible amount of time to understand all the 18 discovery disputes and what we have to vote on today. 19 I believe the Legislature -- actually I don't 20

believe the Legislature -- actually I don't

believe they've intended to give our authority away. And

for an example, I have a relative issue in a Docket where

I have some relatives that own some land, and I had to be

recused of that Docket, or I chose to be. And the

Legislature says in code that in statute that it needs to

be an elected official that sits in on that Docket.

So I absolutely -- and although that is different, I believe the Legislature has kept that authority with us.

As you can see, there's attorneys that disagree, and so we have several attorneys disagreeing. So I don't want to cross that line and be a maybe if we are crossing the line legally. I would rather plow ahead, move forward. We have spent a lot of time on studying what's in front of us today.

And I think it's important that if we think we could possibly cross the line on a legal issue with ex parte, open meetings, delegating our authority, why would we do that?

We need to do what we were elected to do. And I know all three of us have spent an incredible amount of time in the last week reading and being ready for today.

Thank you.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion.

Commissioner Hanson.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I agree with Commissioner Fiegen's statements. The description is also along the line of an arbitrator as it's defined in law and how arbitrators are used in divorce cases and such.

The reason I was curious about and asked the

question that I did of Mr. Martinez is that to a great extent it doesn't completely by any means but to a great extent it describes the Staff's responsibility and Ms. Edwards' responsibility in working with the parties and presenting comments and positions to the Commission after she has done that process and helped to facilitate that.

2.3

So I believe we already have our arbitrator, referee, working through that particular process.

You know, there are ways to make this simpler, I suspect, but it is what it is, and I think we have a responsibility as Commissioners to be involved. I think the more involved we are the more learned we are on the issues.

That was one of the main arguments for the very first motion is that we need to basically fully vent every issue. We need, as Commissioners, to be fully apprised of them and fully knowledgeable of them, and I think this process does facilitate that, as challenging as it is.

So I think that it behooves us to continue to work in the process that we are doing.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Additional discussion.

I will just add a couple of thoughts.

- 1 Mr. Capossela made the statement that discovery hasn't
- 2 gone the way that it should. And I agree with him.
- 3 Mr. Moore said that we're only left with a handful of
- 4 requests. I disagree with that.

2.3

2.4

It appears to me that in this case compared to others there has not been the level of negotiation or compromise on either side to resolve some of these things like I've seen in other cases.

I believe there should have been some Motions to Compel filed much earlier than they were filed.

Keystone's made a number of references that they didn't receive objections until, you know, early in April, which is far, far too late for those kind of things to surface.

And so has discovery gone the way that it should? I don't think so. But having said that, the people of the State of South Dakota didn't elect us to dump our job on somebody else. They elected us to make the hard decisions.

And as Commissioner Fiegen indicated, we've spent the last week plowing through what are going to be some pretty difficult decisions today and preparing ourselves for those. And because of that, we are going to stand here today, and we are going to do the job that we were elected to do.

And because of that, I will not support any kind

of motion to give our job to somebody else. Although it would certainly be an appealing thing to do. 2 3 With that, any further discussion? 4 Seeing none, all those in favor of the Motion to 5 Deny the Joint Motion for Appointment of a Special Master 6 will say aye. Those opposed, nay. 7 Commissioner Hanson. 8 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. 10 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye. 11 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes ave. The Motion carries. 12 13 That brings us to Keystone has a couple of 14 motions. One is Keystone's Discovery Motion and 15 Keystone's Prefiled Testimony Motion. 16 Here's what I'm going to do. I'm going to let 17 you choose which one you want to do first. I think we 18 will take them one at a time, but your choice what you want to handle first. 19 20 MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Commissioners. William 21 Taylor for TransCanada. 22 We have two motions in front of you as a precursor. The first is a Motion to Preclude certain 2.3 24 participation in the hearing, and the second is a Motion

that deals with prefiled testimony. They're inexorably

25

intertwined and related.

2.3

I'll address the Motion to Preclude first, and then I'll move to the issues that are raised by the Prefiled Testimony Motion.

First I want to talk just momentarily to set the stage for what else is going to go on today about discovery itself. And there are some very fundamental underlying rules that relate to the issue of discovery and that tie themselves to these two motions that I'm about to present to you.

First of all is the scope of the proceeding. Is the scope of the proceeding is established by statute, 49-41B-27. And the issue in this proceeding is now, more than four years after the initial permit was issued by this body, can Keystone construct the project according to the conditions that are in the permit? That's the issue that's in front of the Commission.

This is not a retrial. This is not a trial of the fundamental base underlying issues that Keystone was obligated to put before you in 2009. Unfortunately, that line has been blurred in the course of discovery.

There are a couple of other issues relative to discovery. There are both legal and procedural limits on the scope of discovery. A term that you often hear is "fishing expedition" when you talk about discovery.

In this -- proceedings before the Public

Utilities Commission cast as they are here are a little different than they are in the civil courts. In the civil courts the plaintiff, who would be TransCanada, comes forward with a Complaint or a Petition and says this is what we want you to consider. And defendants or Intervenors or respondents file an answer to that Complaint, and they say this is what the plaintiff thinks is an issue. This is what we think is an issue.

2.3

In this process for the Public Utilities

Commission there is no answer on the part of the

Intervenors to define the scope of the issues that are

before the Commission. So the consequence is we start

discovery without a tightly defined scope of the matters

that are in issue.

You narrowed that up a little bit with your orders in December. We propounded a motion to you, and said narrow the matters that are in issue. You tightened it up a little bit, but it isn't as tight as it would be in the civil court system. So where does that take us?

Where it takes us is this: The first thing that Keystone did after you set your procedural order in place within four or five days, I think, the 18th of December, something like that, we sent a set of Interrogatories and Requests for Document Production to every litigant. And

the Interrogatories and Document Production Requests we sent were identical. It went to all 40 odd Intervenors and the State.

2.3

2.4

And we said in those Interrogatories tell us what your issues are, tell us who your witnesses are who are going to address those issues, and tell us what documents you're going to produce in support of your position.

17 of the respondents failed to respond -- 17 of the Intervenors failed to respond at all. We have never heard anything from them. Not only did we serve them with Interrogatories but after the Interrogatory -- after the discovery period had passed we wrote to them and said what are you going to do and received no responses.

It is our view that those 17 persons should be precluded from offering any testimony or calling any witnesses in this proceeding. To allow them to appear in this proceeding, to call witnesses or to give testimony would be manifestly unfair to TransCanada and, for that matter, unfair to the other Intervenors because we don't know what they're going to say, and we don't know what they're going to talk about. And they have ignored the Commission's Order directing that they reveal what they intend to talk about.

In addition to that, four Intervenors, Harter,

BOLD Nebraska, Carolyn Smith, Gary Dorr, and the Yankton Sioux Tribe responded to the Interrogatories, but they said we don't have to answer them because your Interrogatories do not comply with the Commission's Order that requires that each question be tied to a specific condition.

2.3

Yankton Sioux Tribe said it would be frivolous for us to speculate at this early hour who our witnesses will be. And Yankton Sioux Tribe said that they had the right to assert the Work Product Doctrine to protect their documents and thoughts and plans.

All right. So here's the problem with that.

The purpose of discovery is to allow a full and fair exchange of information as we advance towards the trial of the matter so that TransCanada knows what the other side intends to produce and that the other side intends to know -- the other side knows what we intend to produce so that the Commission can make reasoned decisions about the evidence that's put in front of it.

If one or more parties simply say we aren't going to respond to your Interrogatories, the system grinds to a halt. And for a party to say, TransCanada, we don't have to answer your Interrogatories because you didn't say -- there are 100 some Findings of Fact and Conditions in this case -- Dear Intervenor, taking into

account Conditions 1 through conclusion, what is it that you intend to say?

2.3

It's a preposterous argument to say that you don't have to answer the Interrogatories. Because I suppose we could have submitted Interrogatories that said there are 50 Special Conditions at the end. Here are 50 Interrogatories. Interrogatory 1, what do you say about Condition 1? Interrogatory 2, what do you say about Condition 2? It's preposterous.

So we say you should enter an order as to those 17 who failed to respond at all -- they don't get to call witnesses in the proceeding. They don't get to appear in the proceeding and offer evidence. Maybe they get to appear in the proceeding and make an opening statement and maybe they get to appear in the proceeding and make a closing statement. But to participate in the evidentiary portion of the proceeding, they forfeited their right to do that.

As to those respondents, those Intervenors who said we don't have to respond because your Interrogatories don't comport with the Commission's Order, you should say you had your chance to bring that issue before the Commission if you really wanted to. You didn't. And, number two is had you brought it before the Commission, your contention is frivolous and your order

wouldn't have been denied and so you too should be excluded from offering evidence or participating in the hearing.

2.3

Now maybe those -- maybe those people get to cross-examine. That's an issue that the Commission will have to decide. Maybe they get to cross-examine the witnesses that other parties bring.

And then there are those four who responded but failed later to disclose witnesses, and that kind of leads into the next motion, which is the motion that has to do with prefiled testimony.

COUP and Dakota Rural Action have taken the position that it's a constitutional due process offense for the Public Utilities Commission to request and order and direct that witnesses -- that Intervenors, parties, submit prefiled testimony.

Their argument is fundamentally flawed. First of all, prefiled testimony is not sworn testimony, and it's not offered in lieu of testimony at the proceeding. Prefiled testimony really, when you think about it, is part of the discovery process. It affords the parties the opportunity to narrow the scope of the issues that will come before the Commission, but most importantly what it does is it informs the Commission as to the issues that the Commission will be dealing with.

Then when the hearing, when the trial begins, the witness who has filed prefiled testimony appears, raises his right hand, swears under oath that that is his prefiled testimony, and adopts it as his testimony in the hearing.

2.3

Then the witness is submitted for cross-examination, which is exactly, precisely, what due process contemplates in conducting a proceeding of this type.

I personally in my career, when I was a member of the Board of Minerals and Environment, served frequently as a Hearing Officer. I required prefiled testimony in those cases that I thought it was appropriate for the very reasons I've said so that me, as the Hearing Officer, could have a better and more concise grasp of the issues that came before the Commission.

I've appeared before this Commission with prefiled testimony, Minnesota Public Utilities Commission with prefiled testimony, the North Dakota Public Utilities Commission with prefiled testimony, Environmental Protection Agency of the United States with prefiled testimony, the Environmental Protection Agency of the State of Indiana, State of Ohio, State of Iowa, prefiled testimony.

Although I have never made an appearance before

the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, FERC, I've seen many applications to FERC, all which include prefiled testimony. Always managed in exactly the manner that your Commission manages it.

2.3

So COUP, DRA at least, it's a bold challenge that they pose to you. Not so much a bold challenge to you but a bold challenge to their own case.

They ignored your Order that prefiled testimony must be submitted. There has to be a consequence for that. And what is the consequence for that?

I submit that if -- those groups who failed to submit prefiled testimony forfeited their right to offer testimony in front of this Commission.

And you'll notice in the many court papers that have been filed you probably picked up the fact that Dakota Rural Action and others who challenged your authority to require prefiled testimony tried to cover their trail at the end of the day by submitting in DRA's case a couple of one-liners. We're going to call this guy who's going to talk about this, and we're going to call this guy who's going to talk about this. And then later on enhanced that a little bit by submitting narrative statements.

In one case it's a scholarly paper. In another case it's a statement, which I happen to know to be part

of a Canadian Energy Board filing. So they tried to backfill and cover their basis, but they did not succeed in doing it.

2.3

So we are here today to ask you to do this: As to the 17 who failed to respond to our discovery, they have forfeited their opportunity to participate in the hearing, and we'd ask you to enter an order to that. As to the Yankton Sioux Tribe who challenged the discovery process and challenged your authority, we think that they suffer from the same problem.

Now the Yankton Sioux Tribe did make some nominal presentation of witness testimony, and they did make some nominal effort to answer Interrogatories. So we think they don't fall into the same class as the 17 who failed to respond at all. We think the Yankton Sioux Tribe should be allowed to appear, make an opening statement, and cross-examine witnesses, but they have forfeited their opportunity to provide testimony at the hearing.

Cindy Myers was in that group, but she resolved that with an e-mail that I think came yesterday when she said she didn't intend to call any witnesses so she's kind of out of the mix. The other four, Harter -- BOLD Nebraska has sort of resolved it because BOLD -- I don't know if the letter was filed with you or not, but they

1 wrote to us and said that they don't intend to call any 2 witnesses, that they're going to rely on other people's witnesses so they're sort of out of the mix. 3 4 But for those others, Mr. Harter, Mr. Dorr, 5 COUP, and Dakota Rural Action who failed to submit 6 prefiled testimony, I think they have forfeited their 7 chance, and we'd ask you to enter an order to that end 8 also. Ouestions? CHAIRMAN NELSON: I think we'll wait with 10 11 questions until the end. Thank you. 12 Here's what I'm going to do. I'm going to go --13 since Mr. Taylor presented his arguments on both of his 14 motions, I'm just going to kind of start at the top of 15 the first motion and work my way down offering folks an 16 opportunity to make their arguments. 17 So the first question I'm going to ask is are 18 there any of the 17 Intervenors that have been mentioned 19 wishing to offer any testimony? 20 MR. DORR: Hello. My name is Gary Dorr.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Gary, you are also in the

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Just hang tight. Just hang

MR. DORR: I thought I was in both.

21

22

2.3

24

25

four.

tight.

```
1
              Is there anybody in the 17 that wishes to
2
     speak?
 3
                    If not, then we are going to go to the
              Okay.
 4
     group of four.
 5
              MR. GOLDTOOTH: Can you hear me?
                                                 This is Dallas
 6
     Goldtooth.
7
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                I can hear you, Dallas.
8
     you in the group of 17?
              MR. GOLDTOOTH:
                             I do believe.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Okay. Go ahead.
11
              MR. GOLDTOOTH: I hear everything that the
12
     lawyer for TransCanada has spoken. You know, it has
13
     been -- I'm not a lawyer myself, and so this is a new
14
     process for me. And I'm sure it's also a process that a
15
     lot of the Intervenors who are not lawyers who are not
16
     from organizations they're not used to this process so
17
     it's definitely overwhelming.
18
              So I definitely want to speak, you know, on
19
     behalf of a lot of the Intervenors who are among that 17
20
     who, you know -- I can speak for myself, was mindful that
21
     there was a fair amount of work involved in signing on.
22
     I do want to express that.
2.3
              I hope to be involved all the way up and through
24
     the evidentiary hearings. I have a -- that I can speak
25
     up in the evidentiary hearings. And also I do reserve my
```

```
1
     right for rebuttal in the discovery process and from
2
    between now and the evidentiary hearing. But, as I
 3
    understand it, there's a phase also for me to rebut some
     of the, you know, documentation that comes from
 4
 5
     TransCanada. Or also any other -- any other Intervenors.
 6
    And so I really do -- I don't want to be excluded from
7
     this process in any fashion.
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.
              MR. GOLDTOOTH: So, you know --
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Go ahead.
11
              MR. GOLDTOOTH: No.
                                   The basis of it.
                                                      I mean,
12
     it just -- it is frustrating, you know -- I speak -- I'm
13
     kind of, I quess, a layman in certain aspects. But to be
14
    overwhelmed with all -- this whole process and kind of
15
     learn as I go but then also after all of that and trying
16
     to catch up to speed and be on the calls and listen in
17
    and speak up when I can, to be presented with the fact I
18
    might be excluded from all of that is discouraging. And
19
     I hope -- I hope I can speak on behalf of the other folks
20
    who are in that group of 17.
21
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                               Thank you.
22
              Anyone else in the group of 17 that wishes to
2.3
     speak?
24
              Okay. Hearing none, we're going to go to the
25
     group of four. And I'm going to go -- Mr. Taylor, the
```

thought that issues with BOLD Nebraska may have been resolved in this regard so I'm going to go to

Mr. Blackburn at this point.

2.3

MR. BLACKBURN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'd like to make a couple of clarifications because I believe that Mr. Taylor over spoke on a number of matters.

But before that, I note that TransCanada typically argues this is not a retrial. And I actually don't believe that that argument is -- to an extent the argument is not helpful.

Circumstances have changed that affect conditions or facts, that it may not be a retrial of everything in this matter, but at the same time those issues should reviewed and considered by the Commission. So merely stating that this is not a retrial is not particularly helpful in guidance to anybody.

Second, I believe that the reason a lot of the citizens didn't respond to the discovery, the everyday folks are trying to struggle through this process, is because it's very difficult for them to understand. And, unfortunately, the Commission's rules don't require or don't allow citizens to participate except for as formal Intervenors. And that puts a tremendous burden on everyday people. And I don't think it's particularly

fair, and I think the Commission should be generous with people who are -- everyday people who are struggling with this process. That's only fair.

2.3

Third, TransCanada incorrectly states that
BOLD Nebraska argued that it didn't need to respond to
these discovery requests in part because TransCanada
failed to note which conditions and facts were -- that
each discovery request -- each of TransCanada's discovery
requests related to. In fact, BOLD Nebraska did not make
that argument. And TransCanada's brief on that matter
states that BOLD Nebraska didn't make that argument.
Other parties, in fact, made that argument. We did not.

Fourth, TransCanada said that every -- that people who -- it's an issue on the Motion today and as the Commission meets today is whether or not parties can participate in the hearing essentially except for maybe making I believe -- I believe Mr. Taylor said opening or closing statements.

In fact, TransCanada's Motion today is not that broad. It said that it's requesting that the Commission prohibit people from offering any testimony. It does not request that people not be allowed to do cross-examination. It says nothing about opening and closing statements. The only thing it requested is that the Commission prohibit people from offering testimony.

Cross-examination is listed as a different issue -- or a different right and action in this -- in the Commission's rules and the state's laws and rules.

2.3

And TransCanada didn't ask that people be excluded from offering testimony -- or from doing cross-examination, just simply offering testimony. And so, therefore, it's simply not on the table today.

TransCanada didn't ask for it. We haven't argued in brief whether or not people should be allowed to do cross-examination if they haven't offered testimony themselves. It's typical in other states that various parties will offer testimony and some will not choose to offer testimony, but everybody gets to do cross-examination.

So it's not on the table. The Commission should not consider that issue today.

And, frankly, BOLD looked at all the other discovery requests and the other experts that were being offered by the parties and determined that the issues that BOLD would want to cover would be -- that the discovery requests of other parties and that the testimony we understand other parties will offer is sufficient for Bold's needs, specifically with regard to cross-examination. So, therefore, we withdrew our -- essentially told TransCanada through three discovery

```
1
     responses -- we did file three responses to TransCanada,
 2
     and we said that we would rely on other parties!
 3
     discovery.
 4
              We hoped that that would facilitate and expedite
 5
     the hearing somewhat. And we do not believe it's
 6
     appropriate for the Commission to punish or chastise
7
     BOLD Nebraska for making that decision and recognize that
8
     we -- that that exclusion from cross-examination or
     participation in the evidentiary hearing in other ways
10
     other than offering testimony is on the table today
11
     because it's not.
12
              And I'll leave my comments there. Thank you for
13
     allowing us to be heard.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
15
              With that, I'm going to go to John Harter, who
16
     is also one of the group of four.
17
              MR. HARTER: Thank you, Commissioners.
18
              Frankly, I don't know what TransCanada's afraid
19
     of hearing. More truth or what? In my opinion,
20
     TransCanada when they requested to the Commission that
21
     everything be identified by the finding of -- (Inaudible)
22
                     (Discussion off the record)
2.3
              MR. HARTER: When TransCanada requested that the
24
     Intervenors must hold to the Findings of Fact, if that's
```

what you want to call them, they did not relate to us.

25

And to me just doing that was a burden. And I didn't have the time to fully participate and go into all of that.

2.3

TransCanada, if they weren't going to follow their own request, when they requested that they should have had the burden to explain to the people that don't have lawyers what they were going to do. And, in my opinion, they didn't follow their own request. And that's what's been stated with some of the answers within the answers sent back to TransCanada.

They have the education and the knowledge.

They're very good at abusing and using the laws when it suits them. But when it comes to people that are less educated in this field then they turn around and put that against you.

And I don't think that myself or any other

Intervenor, for that fact, should -- that should be held

against them if that's what they're thinking.

TransCanada stated that allowing the rest of us would be prejudice against them. Quite frankly, the proceedings is burdensome, and I'm involved in this because they drug me into this. They would not accept no for an answer.

And other people that I know that are on this list or involved in this because they support my

position. And I don't think that anyone should be exempted from putting testimony out. And I think a way to resolve that would be to accept public testimony and put it on record.

2.3

2.4

What are -- what is the Commission afraid of?

Probably nothing. To hear? What's TransCanada afraid

of? Hearing the facts of what they've done and how they

relate to this case?

One of the facts that I think they're afraid of is the fact that -- and I don't have the number in front of me. I didn't take the time to look it up -- is the fact that they have no -- they are not even planning on treating southern Tripp County as a high consequence area.

I know what they done for my property, and it isn't even what they stated in the public utilities meetings that were happening in Winner and I believe Reva was the other one.

They said that all high consequence areas would have nearly three-quarter-inch thick pipeline plus under the road same statement. Under the roads and high consequence areas would have nearly three-quarter-inch thick pipeline. And now they're not doing that.

They stated several times that they will make and build the safest pipeline ever, and they've

downgraded the wall thickness of the pipeline. I don't think the two go together.

They are not intending to build the safest pipeline ever. And they should not be repermitted to build this pipeline.

I have a lot of other things that I could go into, but I'm not going to. I would appreciate that you would open up the public comment and that all Intervenors be allowed to have their say in these proceedings.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Moving down the list of the four, Mr. Dorr.

MR. DORR: My name is Gary Dorr. My name is Gary Dorr. Can you hear me?

All right. In relation to the TransCanada

Motion to Preclude, I'd just like to make some comments
as an average everyday citizen here listening to what's
being said and what's being presented to the Commission.

Mr. Taylor had said that they wanted the scope limited at the outset of this process. They asked for the Stipulation that we reference the number and the paragraph. Later on Mr. Taylor made a statement that he said what do you say about -- or he said -- he said the system grinds to a halt if we fail to answer these questions.

I take objection to that. TransCanada's compliance with the Commission's Order is what ground to a halt. They did not comply with the Order. And Ms. Edwards stated all parties have to say the number and the condition that they're talking about.

2.3

We asked that question. One of our Intervenors asked that question. That was not what TransCanada did. There is nothing in the Order that stipulates contention questions. There's nothing in there.

So when that argument was made earlier that TransCanada said, well, these are contention questions, that's not in the order.

Mr. Taylor said that -- he made a reference that -- he said that they could have asked questions what do you say about number 1? What do you say about number 2? That would be preposterous, he said.

Is that what I did? What I did was preposterous? Asking every single question that I had relating to a number and a paragraph in compliance with the Order? That's the position if you take to overturn this that you're going to put me in. That I complied with it, and I did something preposterous.

TransCanada had that opportunity to ask every single question. Number 1, number 2, number 3, number 4, the same opportunity that I had, and I took advantage of

it by asking by certain question numbers and paragraphs.

2.3

On the part about disclosing witnesses, with regard to the prefiled testimony -- and, again, this is from an average everyday citizen reading what's been put out, the orders.

The wording of the Order for the prefiled testimony did not indicate that prefiled testimony was the only opportunity to name witnesses.

There was also some talk about that that was the time the deadline was for rebuttal witnesses. That Order does not say rebuttal witnesses. That wording is not in there. So for me as an average everyday citizen reading what the orders have been put out, again, if you deny me the right to present evidence and testimony, now you're putting -- now you've just worked against me as a Commission. So heaven help anybody else that comes to this process for another issue this happens to them.

You said you're here for the responsibility of the people. I am one of the people. I'm an average everyday citizen. I'm following the orders. So if you grant this motion to deny me the right to present evidence and testimony, it's going against everything that you've put out that I've read as an average everyday citizen, not as a lawyer.

And, again, on the prefiled testimony and the

witnesses, there's a pending deadline for presenting witnesses. I'm still in compliance with that. I'm compliant with your Order that you have for -- I believe it's April 21 to provide witnesses. So now which order -- if you deny me the motion -- or if you grant this Motion to Preclude me from providing witnesses and testimony, what happens on April 21?

2.3

There's nothing that says that's rebuttal witnesses. So if you grant this motion today, you're denying me that right based on your own Order.

And, again, going back to the wording of the Order, the prefiled testimony, the language said "may." The word is in there, M-A-Y. May file by the deadline, words to that effect. It doesn't say shall.

So I was under the impression that we could either provide a prefiled testimony or at the deadline of April 21 we could file our witnesses and our testimony. Or we didn't have to file prefiled testimony. But there's nothing in there that indicated that if we did not, then we wouldn't be able to offer anymore testimony.

So as an average everyday citizen I'm looking at this, and I'm wondering, well, how am I supposed to comply with all of these rules that are flying around and then we have TransCanada coming up here saying that I

didn't follow the rules?

2.3

Your intentions behind the orders may have been there, but the wording to the average everyday citizen did not come through.

We have a term in the army -- I served 11 years in the army. We have a term; it's called RTFM. Read the fricking manual. That's what I'm doing here, and I'm not seeing what's being argued here.

The other thing is Mr. Taylor and I are still in communication. In fact, if I had had time to talk with him this morning when I walked in, I was getting my thoughts together, I would have talked to him some more. We're still communicating about discovery. That process is still ongoing.

So I think what this goes back to was the fact that -- and I'm not trying to be offensive here, but this is a knee-jerk reaction on the part of this Commission, setting this deadline, schedule, which has put -- we're still in communication with TransCanada.

And so now these deadlines have become unrealistic. It's becoming obvious. We heard about the discovery process is not going the way it was intended to. And the reason is because the deadlines are unrealistic. We're still holding to that May 5, that May 5, 6, and 7. Your intentions of holding that are not

taking into consideration the fact that this process is
not working because the deadlines are unrealistic.

I also filed a response to TransCanada. I'd like that noted for the record. I have more reasoning in there. But for now I would just like to make that note to the counsel that I'm an average everyday citizen. I'm reading the fricking manual, and I don't see what it is that -- what's being argued here today.

So for that I ask that you deny this Motion and allow me to present witnesses and testimony and allow me to present according to the deadlines that have been set by the Commission.

Thank you.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Dorr, I'm just going to interrupt. I've got to ask a question that will help me as we move forward.

You made an issue of the word "may" versus shall. And I'm looking at the Order, and I'm not seeing the word "may." So where are you looking to find that?

MR. DORR: I thought it said may. There's somewhere in there that says may.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Here's what I'm going to do.

I'm going to let you find that, and we'll come back to
that before we conclude.

MR. DORR: And I may be wrong.

```
1
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                I'd love to see that.
                                                        Thank
2
     you.
 3
              Are you concluded?
 4
              MR. DORR:
                         Yeah. No more questions for me?
 5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Not at this point.
 6
    might be later.
7
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    I'll ask one.
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Go ahead.
9
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: I'll ask one as long as
10
     you're here.
11
              Mr. Dorr, I'm surmising from your testimony
12
     right now that you intend to call witnesses?
13
              MR. DORR:
                         Yes. And part of my communication
14
     with TransCanada I have disclosed some of those
15
     witnesses. And I also in my speaking with Mr. Taylor
16
     disclosed that I will comply with the April 21 deadline
17
     to provide the list of witnesses and exhibits.
18
              So, you know, no one's been prejudiced here.
19
     anything, TransCanada, as I noted in my response, should
20
     be allowed to present witnesses on April 21 and testimony
21
     up until the 21st. Nobody's prejudiced in that case.
              But in this case if this allows to go forward
22
2.3
     and I'm denied, now I'm being prejudiced because I can't
24
     even meet the deadline that you've set.
```

COMMISSIONER HANSON:

Thank you.

25

```
1
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to ask a follow-up
2
     question on that line.
              When did we set that May 21 deadline?
 3
 4
              MR. DORR: April 21?
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yeah.
 5
 6
              MR. DORR: For witnesses?
7
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes.
8
              MR. DORR: I'm not sure, sir.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you. Any other
10
     questions at this point?
11
              If not, thank you. We may have questions later,
12
    but thank you.
13
              The fourth person on the list, Carolyn Smith.
14
    Ms. Smith here? Represented?
15
              Not.
16
              Okay. We are going to go then to Yankton Sioux
17
     Tribe, who is the next mentioned in the --
18
              Actually just hang tight.
19
              Here's what we're going to do. The Commission
20
    has another matter that needs to be resolved that's going
21
     to take about 20 minutes worth of time. And so we are
22
     going to take a 20-minute recess at this point, come back
2.3
    at 10 minutes to 12:00.
2.4
              And, Thomasina, we will kickoff with you at that
25
     point.
```

```
1
              MS. REAL BIRD: Okay. Thank you.
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: We are in recess.
                    (Discussion off the record)
 3
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. We've just had a little
 5
     sidebar up here. I think we're going to extend this to
 6
    about a quarter after 12:00. I'm going to give everybody
7
     just a little bit of time. If you want to go out and get
8
     lunch, you'll have to do it quickly.
              12:30? 12:30 we will be back.
10
                     (A lunch recess is taken)
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thomasina Real Bird, have you
12
     joined us? Thomasina, I'm not hearing you.
13
              Okay. Here's what we're going to do. We're
14
     going to move past her. Certainly we'll come back to
15
    her. And we will move to Cindy Myers.
16
              Cindy, do you have any response? And we are
17
    working right now on the Keystone's Amended Motion to
18
    Preclude certain Intervenors from offering evidence or
19
    witnesses at hearing and to compel discovery.
20
              Go ahead, Cindy.
21
              Not hearing you. Cindy Myers. Cindy, we're not
22
    hearing you. I'm assuming maybe you're trying to call
2.3
    back in.
24
              We're going to go to Mr. Dorr. Do you have an
25
     answer to the question I asked a little bit earlier,
```

```
1
     regarding the "may" versus "shall" in our Order as it
2
    relates to prefiled testimony?
 3
              MR. DORR: Yes. Let me grab something.
 4
              This is Gary Dorr. Can you hear me?
 5
              Thank you, sir. I do have an answer for you.
 6
              January 9, 2015, in a letter from John J. Smith,
7
     Commission Counsel, to all parties in the Docket
8
    HP14-001. Let's see. It says here --
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: While you're looking for that
10
     I'm just going to ask Mr. Smith is the letter he's
11
    referring to, is that posted in the Docket?
12
              MR. SMITH: I do not know.
13
              MR. DORR: Yes, it is, sir. That's where I got
14
    it from.
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Okay.
16
              MR. DORR: Hold on. I just saw it here.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. It is filed in the
18
    Docket on January 9.
19
              So go ahead, Mr. Dorr. Tell us where you're
20
    referring to.
21
              MR. DORR: Okay.
                               Hold on.
22
              Okay. Maybe it's not. I thought I saw it
2.3
    there. I must have mistaken. I just looked it up, and I
    thought I had it here.
24
25
              Yeah. I'm mistaken, sir. So I'll have to
```

exclude that.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And I appreciate your taking the time to try to find that. Because we want to make sure that we're looking at the right stuff.

MR. DORR: Okay. You did ask me also for the dates on some of the orders.

On December 17 the PUC Order ordered and it had wording that said prefiled direct testimony and prefiled direct rebuttal testimony. There's no mention of a witness list on the December 17 Order.

On the 2nd of April PUC Order now we see the term "witness lists." And that was the April 21 deadline. So I don't know if you've been rid of the rebuttal testimony deadline now because it's not listed again or what happened in between there. But now I'm dealing with as the average citizen the April 21 deadline for the witness list as requested by Kristen Edwards and you passed an order.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And I appreciate you clarifying those deadlines. I guess my response to that would be on April 2 the Order that was in effect on April 2 that we issued on December 17 indicated that April 2 was the deadline to have prefiled testimony filed.

And my understanding is you did not have that

1 filed by that date. And so any argument that you're 2 making that it really should have been the 21st, you didn't know that until April 2. And so you couldn't have 3 4 relied upon that April 21 date that you were arguing earlier. 6 MR. DORR: But I did file some prefiled 7 testimony. 8 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. And certainly that will be taken into account. 10 MR. DORR: Okay. And then the other thing I would add to that is you also issued an order for 11 discovery on all parties. There's no -- there's nothing 12 13 that says you have to file discovery, and some of the 14 parties have not filed -- have not requested discovery 15 upon anybody. 16 Are we going to exclude them now because they 17 didn't comply with the Order? There's no exclusionary 18 language here or any language that says if you don't, you can't. All it said was is there was a deadline. 19 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. And that's the question 21 that we're here to resolve. So I appreciate -- thank 22 you. I appreciate your time. 2.3 MR. DORR: Thank you, sir. 24 CHAIRMAN NELSON: We're going to go back

25

around.

```
1
              Thomasina Real Bird, are you on the phone?
2
              MS. GUSTAFSON: She's having difficulties.
                                                           Are
 3
    we on the bridge? Tina seems to think we lost the
 4
    bridge.
 5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Let me ask you, is there
 6
    anybody on the telephone?
7
              Okay.
                    Yeah.
8
              Okay.
                    For the folks on the internet we're going
    to have to hold on for a second. We've got to get our
10
    telephone bridge back.
11
              Okay. I think we've got the telephone bridge
12
    back up so anybody out there that needs to be calling in
13
    give it a try again.
14
              Okay. Mr. Dorr, you found what you thought you
15
    had earlier?
16
              MR. DORR: Yes, sir.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Everybody on the phone just
18
    hang tight. We've got a little more testimony here in
19
     the hearing room from Mr. Dorr.
20
              Go ahead.
21
              MR. DORR:
                        Okay. It says in here although at
22
    some point parties --
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. And which document are
24
    you referring to?
              MR. DORR: This is the John J. Smith letter of
25
```

```
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Okay.
              MR. DORR: And he writes in the third sentence
 3
 4
     "Although at some point parties may file discovery
 5
     requests and responses as exhibits to a motion or
 6
    prefiled testimony or offer them into evidence at
7
    hearing, discovery documents don't have to be filed with
8
     the Commission during the discovery process."
              So the word may is in there. And as an average
10
     everyday citizen that's what I read. So this is
11
     something that's been in my mind. It came to mind when
12
     you -- when we brought this up. So in my mind there is a
13
     "may." And it's from your counsel. And that's from
14
     January 9. So this has been somewhere in the back of my
15
    mind. It doesn't say "shall." It says "may."
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you. I appreciate that.
17
              MR. DORR: Thank you, sir.
18
              Okay. We're going to see if our telephone
19
    bridge is working now.
20
              Thomasina Real Bird, have you joined us?
21
              MS. REAL BIRD: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'm on.
                                                           And
22
     I apologize for the connection problems. I've tried
2.3
     several times.
24
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm just going to hold for a
25
     second. Katlyn, can you make sure that mic. is off?
```

January 9, 2015, from the Commission.

1

Okay. And, again, everybody that's on the phone except for Thomasina, if you would put your phones on mute because we're getting some background. And with that -- let me say to everybody we had a little confusion over how long our break was going to be.

2.3

That's because we can't consult on those things ahead of time. So we're running on the fly. And we obviously had some differences of thought as to what that ought to be. So, hence, kind of the confusion beforehand. But we're back.

If there's anybody that is on the telephone that has an internet playing in the background, please shut the internet off because we're getting feedback.

Okay. We're going to go ahead and try this.

Thomasina, are you ready to make your case?

MS. REAL BIRD: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Go ahead.

MS. REAL BIRD: Thank you to Katlyn on your Staff for helping to troubleshoot the connection problems. She's been great and we've been in contact and I'm glad to be connected now. Thank you.

So the Tribe opposes TransCanada's Motion to Preclude Certain Parties, including the Yankton Sioux Tribe. On December 17, 2014, the Commission entered a discovery order, and it mandated that in all discovery

requests parties shall identify by number and letter the specific condition or finding of fact addressed.

2.3

There were no exceptions for TransCanada. There were no exceptions for contention Interrogatories. And there's not even a description of what contention Interrogatories are in the codified laws. Nor whether the use of these contention Interrogatories would somehow elevate the Applicant above every other party or above the Commission's own order.

So on December 18, 2014, TransCanada did submit its Interrogatories and Requests for Production to the Tribe. Yet it failed to comply in any of its requests with the Commission's discovery order.

We did hear earlier from TransCanada the attorney that mentioned that parties cannot ignore the Commission's order. And so here we have the Applicant that is the party that requested the Order in the first place yet it failed to abide by that discovery order. And now it seeks to take the extraordinary remedy -- or the extraordinary act of precluding Yankton's and others' testimony and evidence just because Yankton pointed out to TransCanada that, hey, you didn't comply with the Commission's own Order and the Order that you requested.

Yankton, nor any other party, should be punished in this way. It's not appropriate, and it's not

supported by the codified law.

2.3

So on February 6 the Tribe did submit its answers and objections to TransCanada. In its objections the Tribe rightfully objected to each of the Applicant's requests in good faith. And one of the grounds listed was that the Applicant failed to comply with the Commission's discovery order of December 17. That's a valid and objectionable ground for not complying with a court or a Commission's order.

There were other grounds that the Tribe set forth in its objections. There were grounds on privileged and that discovery was ongoing and that the Tribe had not yet compiled all of its information.

Because the Tribe was still formulating its case. Still is. All the parties are.

So then on February 12 the Applicant sent a letter to the Tribe and said the Tribe didn't comply with the South Dakota Rules of Civil Procedure and it requested the Tribe respond. However, TransCanada through that letter did not remedy the deficiencies that the Tribe pointed out to us -- pointed out to it.

Instead it threatened that if the Tribe did not make an effort to respond, it would seek protection including dismissal of the Tribe's Petition. Clearly, TransCanada refused to engage in good-faith discussions

when it did not respond to our specific objections, which we still think are valid objections.

2.3

There was never an attempt by TransCanada to respond or engage. It simply responded in what I can describe as a very aggressive manner. Seeking to exclude or preclude a party from presenting its witnesses and exhibits at the hearing. That's not supported by the law, and that's not supported by what has been happening here.

And so it did just that. It filed a Motion on March 23 seeking to preclude Yankton and other parties. Again, this is a departure from the Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Tribe thinks it's inappropriate at this point.

So on April 1 the Tribe did send a letter to TransCanada concerning both parties' discovery responses and specifically the Tribe's discovery responses we do reiterate again that TransCanada has still failed to remedy the deficiency contained in each of those requests. It still has not provided us with a specific Permit Condition or a Finding of Fact.

And I'm not belaboring this point to take up time, but this was TransCanada's own request, that the discovery order contain the specific requirements. And now TransCanada attempts to sweep it under the rug or say

that, you know, these were contention Interrogatories and, you know, it's ridiculous that they should have to comply.

2.3

Well, it's not ridiculous. This is the Order that they requested and that they received and that if parties are going to include these as bases for objection as the Yankton Sioux Tribe has it should not be precluded from providing its witnesses or evidence at the hearing because it was simply trying to hold the Applicant to the order that the Commission had entered.

So we did notify TransCanada through that April 1 letter that we won't be calling expert witnesses and that we will be providing an exhibit and witness list as required by the Order of the Commission. And that was the same response we received from TransCanada is that it would -- you know, it would provide an exhibit list by the deadline.

And so, you know, we're not -- you know, we're not asking -- I'm sorry. Let me back up. Let me finish with that letter.

So the April 1 letter we did conclude it by stating that if you continue to have additional and specific concerns regarding our discovery responses, please detail those to us in writing. Otherwise, we trust that this response fully addresses your concerns.

Because the Tribe has never and still has not received TransCanada's detailed concerns to our discovery responses. Again, the only response we received to our objections was that, well, we're going to seek to have your Petition dismissed and you can no longer be a party.

2.3

So, you know, that's a great departure from any discovery process that I've ever been engaged in to have a party respond so aggressively to threaten to seek to have us excluded, and that's concisely what it's seeking to have done today.

So after that letter we did not receive a response. And considering our final concluding remarks to TransCanada, we did trust that TransCanada was satisfied with the Tribe's response, you know, that we were not going to provide an expert witness and that our exhibit list would be provided by the deadline.

And, you know, we're still being targeted by TransCanada as a party it seeks to preclude. And I'm, quite frankly, appalled that TransCanada continues to push this issue considering the Tribe did file prefiled and has not violated any order with respect to its witness and intends to comply with the witness and exhibit deadline set for April 21.

TransCanada's request is not grounded in the laws of civil procedure, and it would certainly prejudice

Yankton as a party when we have engaged in the process. We've submitted an answer and objection. These were probably -- well, I know these weren't the answers and objections that TransCanada wanted to receive, but the next step is not to preclude a party from offering witnesses and exhibits.

2.3

The next step would have been to detail its concerns with our objections, tell us why it doesn't think privilege applies, tell us why it thinks it needs to know our trial preparation at this stage when it's still being formulated, tell us why it failed to meet the Commission's discovery order. You know, supplement. Tell us which numbers it's specifically wondering about.

Again, that's the request that TransCanada made, not any other party. And when it's being held to task it says that -- I heard it earlier he said it was preposterous to have to go back and do that, but that's the Order it asked for. And so the parties that stood up for that are being targeted in this way, this very aggressive way.

So now TransCanada wants to jump to the extraordinary and prejudicial step of excluding the Tribe from offering exhibits and witnesses. There's no basis in law. In fact, the codified law, that 15-6-37A, states the next step is for an order compelling an answer and

reasonable expenses.

2.3

The codified laws do not include the remedy of preclusion of a party from offering exhibits or witnesses, unless that party has acted without substantial justification. And here the Tribe has substantial justification. The Tribe nor any party should be required to answer without objection to those discovery requests that do not comply with the Commission Order.

And also we have other objections, the privileged burden, et cetera. And, you know, like any party, the Tribe is and it was and is still shaping its case. Discovery is ongoing. And to grant TransCanada's relief would be highly prejudicial. And I just have to note that it would serve as an appealable issue. You know, exclusion of witnesses and exhibits is one of the most appealed grounds and one of the most, you know, reasons for a reviewing court to overturn.

So I just -- it's really -- to use TransCanada's word, it's preposterous to seek such an extreme remedy at this point when the Tribe has in good faith engaged, has not received that engagement back. TransCanada still doesn't tell us why it thinks, you know, our objection X, Y, and Z is incorrect here.

There's been no specific discussion on our

objections but a blanket response that we're going to seek to exclude you. That's not permitted by the law, and it shouldn't be permitted to stand here.

2.3

So if TransCanada does wish to challenge the Tribe's objection without engaging in these discovery responses, the codified laws state that it should have filed a Motion to Compel, not preclude. Because the Tribe's actions are permissible under the rules of procedure, no grounds exist to preclude the Tribe from offering any testimony or evident or otherwise limit our participation in the hearing.

TransCanada's concern as noted in the hearing from a couple of weeks ago that the Tribe has not yet provided it with a list of witnesses or exhibits, you know, the Tribe notified through its April 1 letter that it would not call experts and it also notified the Applicants that it would comply with the Commission's order regarding the deadline for witness lists and exhibit lists. And it still intends to comply with that deadline.

So TransCanada, therefore, has not been prejudiced by the Tribe's valid discovery responses and objections. In the event the Commission overrules the Yankton Sioux Tribe's position, it would be premature to limit the Tribe's participation. This is precisely why

the codified law provides for issuance of an Order to Compel that provides for the nonmoving party to comply with an order once the Commission has decided that it must provide that information. To jump to the extraordinary remedy of preclusion of a party, violates the Tribe's rights at stake here.

2.3

And I just want to touch shortly on prefiled witness statements. The PUC Order dated December 17 did not make mandatory the filing of prefiled testimony, just as it did not make mandatory the issuance of its discovery.

If a party chose not to issue discovery, it could have, and there were several parties that did not issue discovery. That doesn't mean that they should be precluded from participating.

And I would note that the Commission does know how to make certain actions mandatory, as it did so, for example, when it required all parties identify the condition or finding when issuing discovery. And a second and more recent example is when the Commission amended its Order making mandatory the filing of exhibit and witness lists.

The Tribe argues in support of those that wishes to present witnesses that were not offered in prefiled that it may do so because the filing of prefiled was not

made mandatory on the face of the Commission's own
Order.

And, moreover, on the Administrative Rules, the written testimony rule applies to both testimony and evidence, but this rule expressly allows presentation of evidence that has not yet been prefiled. And so the Commission must read testimony consistently so that testimony must be allowed if not prefiled. And those citations are 22.06 and 22.07.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Cindy Myers, have you joined us?

MS. MYERS: Yes. I'm here.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I'm not sure if you were listening when Keystone was making their case. They seemed to indicate that based on your latest filing with us that the issue may have been resolved.

Is that your understanding?

MS. MYERS: That is my understanding. And I would just like it to be noted in the record then that TransCanada no longer has compliance issues with me as an Intervenor.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Appreciate your clarification on that.

That, I believe, resolves or that is all of the

```
folks that were involved in that particular Motion.
2
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Do you think we could do
 3
    an all mute again?
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: We can. Once again, everybody
 5
    that is on the telephone if you would please put your
 6
    phones on mute.
              Perfect. I think.
7
8
              MR. GOLDTOOTH: Commissioner, this is
9
    Dallas Goldtooth on the phone. Can you hear me?
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes.
11
              MR. GOLDTOOTH: I'd like to, if it's possible,
12
    add to my response. I know you called on me earlier.
13
     I'm one of the parties mentioned in this Motion.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Very, very briefly. We've got
15
     to keep moving this afternoon. But very briefly.
16
              MR. GOLDTOOTH: I understand.
17
              I just want to make sure that, you know, on
18
    behalf of the other 17 folks that are endangered with me,
19
     I just really want to encourage you to not approve this
20
    Motion. I think that the fact that we didn't -- that I
21
     didn't, you know, respond to it and that -- any of
22
     TransCanada's requests or even offer prefiled testimony
2.3
    shouldn't exclude me from doing actually doing
24
    cross-examination on evidentiary hearings or actually
25
     testifying.
```

1 And also I don't know the other 17, but 2 there might -- other folks might be still working on providing a witness list for the 21st. So I think it's 3 4 premature to make a decision on that. 5 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 6 Okay. We are now going to go to the groups that 7 are affected by Keystone's Motion to Preclude Witnesses 8 From Testifying at the Hearing who did not file prefiled testimony. 10 MS. HILDING: Who are they? 11 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Just hang on. We're dealing 12 with some audio issues again. 13 Again, if you don't have your phone on mute, 14 please do so. And do not have your computer on streaming 15 this at the same time your phone's on. 16 Here's what we're going to do. Okav. The two 17 groups that are affected by this Motion are Dakota Rural 18 Action and COUP. And so we are going to go to Dakota Rural Action. 19 20 Mr. Martinez, have you joined us on the phone? 21 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. I'm on the phone. 22 believe Mr. Ellison is going to go ahead and take this 2.3 one. 24 He's approaching. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. Thank 25

you.

Go ahead.

2.3

2.4

MR. ELLISON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Bruce Ellison on behalf of Dakota Rural Action.

I want to echo -- begin by echoing a little bit of comments of Ms. Red Bird [sic]. It is interesting that TransCanada has come here seeking to preclude testimony and even parties who have been granted intervention without filing a Motion to Compel first, without getting an Order to Compel.

Chairman Nelson, you mentioned at the beginning that that was one of the problems that it took so long for some of the parties to file Motions to Compel.

TransCanada hasn't even filed one yet. They are procedurally jumping over civil procedures that are designed to be implemented by our Legislature prior to seeking the extreme remedies that TransCanada is seeking.

So on behalf of Dakota Rural Action I would move to dismiss the Motions to Preclude as being an improper use of the Rules of Civil Procedure, being premature, and we shouldn't have to really address this thing further.

However, I will. I'd like to continue further with a quote from Commissioner Fiegen earlier today when an issue arose as to TransCanada's rights to present their case.

Commissioner Fiegen said TransCanada gets its

day in court just like all of you get your day in court.

And here we are with TransCanada trying to preclude many of the people that have -- that this Commission has said are proper Intervenors in this case.

2.3

Under your rules 20:10:01:15.05 it states that "Once the Commission has granted intervention a person granted leave in whole or in part to intervene is an Intervenor and is a party to the proceeding. As a party an Intervenor is entitled to notice of a hearing, to appear at the hearing, to examine and cross-examine witnesses, to present evidence in support of a person's interest, to compel attendance of witnesses," et cetera. Those are your rules.

On behalf of Dakota Rural Action we oppose this effort by TransCanada to deny the day in court to us, for witnesses that we have not yet been able to get statements from, from witnesses that we may not even be aware of that come forward between now and the time of the hearing, the documents that we are unaware of or have not been able to obtain as of yet become available to us.

I would like to take a moment. Mr. Taylor was telling some stories about all the different agencies that he's been in front of. Having recently been in front of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and spending most of 2013 in front of DENR, we didn't have any of

these issues at those particular hearings.

2.3

We didn't have a question of prefiled testimony in front of the DENR on very complex matters. That Commission or that Board, the Mining Board, the Water Management Board, wanted to hold hearings in three days, four days. Now we're looking at three to four weeks because they have recognized that in order to give proper due process to the parties, that's the way it needs to be.

DRA did file written testimony. We also reserve the right to submit additional, as I mentioned, and with additional documents. Many of the people that we are considering calling are ranchers and farmers. This is a terrible season, has been for a while, time of year to get ahold of people, to get them to sit down, work on testimony, try and get drafts.

If they have to choose, as many of them have, between trying to get some kind of testimony in prefiled or taking care of their calves, you know which they're going to do.

But that should not preclude them. Because they have a regulatory right, rule right. They have a statutory right for intervention.

We are operating on an incredibly shortened schedule. Again, in front of the DENR both of the boards

waited until the parties were ready because they knew how important the proceedings were, and they wanted to get everything done completely and in a proper way that allowed for all of the parties to be heard fully.

2.3

Now DRA is a grass roots organization. We don't have billions of dollars like TransCanada does. I'm appearing pro bono. So is Mr. Martinez. Because these issues are important to us. But it also means we have limited resources. I'm a sole practitioner. I have nobody in my office to help me.

But I do -- am aware that our State Supreme

Court has said that parties to a contested case

proceeding are entitled to due process of law. Due

process of law, I would submit to this Commission, must

be based upon the reality of the circumstances of the

respective parties to the case and the respective issues

and circumstances of the case.

And including in that, as I mentioned, is extreme differences in resources. And we're not asking for special favors. We're just asking for an opportunity to get what our responsibilities are to be done.

DRA is doing the best that it can to prepare for trial. And we will continue to do that. What we would suggest is that equity has to be looked at, balancing of interests has to be looked at. We're talking about a

foreign transportation company that wants to use our state to transport this stuff versus the long range potential damage to water resources and agricultural land of the people of the State of South Dakota.

2.3

TransCanada should not be able to take advantage of its resources against individual Intervenors or even grass roots organizations like us that are doing the best that we can.

Our witnesses scatter across the state. There's places where there's no cellphone coverage unless someone's in their home at the moment you call and if they don't have an answering machine, you try and you try and you can't get ahold of them.

So we would submit that this is premature, and due process rights would allow for the parties to, in fact, go ahead, as do the rules.

DRA did challenge the written testimony requirement of this PUC. And, as I mentioned, in front of the DENR in an equally as complex of situation, no less, we didn't have written testimony. Everybody had enough to do to get ready for trial. And though the Mining Board and the Water Board recognized the right of Intervenors to participate, whether they knew how to deal with discovery rules or not.

Now TransCanada, interestingly enough, in its

response suggests that what we should do is seek a declaratory ruling from this Commission as to the requirement of written testimony as a precursor or prerequisite to presenting live testimony.

2.3

And TransCanada cites SDCL 1-26-15 and our rules 20:10:01:34 and 35. Even if a statute or rule was not cited by us in raising this issue, that's what we're asking for. We're asking for a declaratory ruling from you. And we don't know if this issue's ever come up before. We haven't found it, if it has.

But, essentially, that's what we're doing. So we should have had a slightly different forum or something. Perhaps that's the case. I'll take responsibility for that.

TransCanada also suggests that if we have an issue either with this Commission's ruling on written testimony, why it feels it is authorized to go beyond the civil procedure rules and implement this written testimony requirement as a prerequisite to testimony. To present our situation in court, to raise this issue up and ask the Circuit Court for a declaratory judgment.

It would seem prudent to me as an attorney to even if our Supreme Court has said you don't have to go first to the PUC, you can come straight to the Circuit Court, we're in front of you folks. It seems to me

incumbent that we ask you first. We ask for a ruling on this particular matter and then consider going to Circuit Court.

2.3

Now if we do go to Circuit Court, we're going to need a stay. Otherwise, by the time we would get a ruling it would likely be moot. Just from the realities of how busy our circuit courts are and despite our Governor's efforts and Supreme Court's efforts to appoint more and more judges. It's just part of the reality. We're here to deal with the reality of the situation before you that we are presented with and simply ask that that be accorded.

I would imagine there could then be an appeal for the nonprevailing party. So perhaps this should have been stuck on the Motion for Stay, but it just seems incumbent to be raised here. I know the Commission has decided that. But I just wanted you to know some of the practicalities if we were to pursue what TransCanada has suggested.

On the issue of preclusion of the late

Intervenors. Because, like I said, we're going to try to
get the witnesses. We want to present them. You know,
we do the best we can. But that happens. It happens in
all litigation. We'll submit some testimony or put some
person's name on the witness list that we haven't been

able to get that testimony from. TransCanada can try and claim some kind of prejudice, and then we can resolve it at that particular point if there are appropriate motions that are filed by TransCanada.

2.3

Intervenors, not only by the rule that I had cited to this Commission but under SDCL 1-26-18 Subpart 2 admitted Intervenors have a right to present evidence.

It doesn't say if you answer TransCanada's questions. It doesn't say if they don't file a Motion to Compel, they skip some steps and go right to a Motion to Preclude.

And I just want to comment instead of filing a Motion to Compel a couple of months ago what TransCanada did was sent out a letter threatening the parties. You don't answer our questions, we're going to move to preclude.

That's not a Motion to Compel. It may be some sort of notice, but it's not a Motion to Compel. They don't have an order to compel that they are saying Mr. Commissioners, Mrs. Commissioner, this order has been issued by you, you instructed this party to answer, they have refused to answer despite your order, now we're moving for a higher sanction.

We would submit that should the PUC side with TransCanada, they will be depriving a lot of Intervenors their rights to due process.

SDCL 15-6-43A states, as TransCanada noted, in all trials the testimony of witnesses shall be taken in open court, unless otherwise provided by this chapter or by the South Dakota Rules of Evidence.

2.3

2.4

TransCanada then claims that based upon this statute the contention that written testimony is not a prerequisite -- or it is a prerequisite is baseless.

Well, we would submit really?

Where in SDCL 15-6-43A does it say that testimony from witnesses shall be taken in open court if they have provided written testimony? It says "or unless otherwise provided by this chapter."

I haven't seen any part of the SDCL Civil Rules of Procedure cited by TransCanada that says unless you provide prefiled testimony you can't be a witness.

The other part of this statute says or by the South Dakota Rules of Evidence. We have not heard a rule of evidence by which TransCanada can claim that prefiled testimony is a prerequisite. And I think that with just lay parties and the shortened schedule it's impossible.

Dakota Rural Action further objects to the imposition of the drastic sanction of exclusion. I've already given the arguments as to the failure of TransCanada to file -- to follow proper civil procedure rules to get us to this point. And they should be made

to go back and do it again, but the South Dakota Supreme Court has said, and we submit that sanctions as being sought by TransCanada are inappropriate and disproportionate. South Dakota Supreme Court has said the severity of a sanction must be tempered with consideration of the equities. Less drastic alternatives should be employed before sanctions are imposed which hinder a party's day in court and, thus, defeat the very objective of litigation, namely to seek the truth from those who have knowledge of the facts.

2.3

Our Supreme Court has said that the only way that such an extreme sanction, assuming the other parts of the discovery procedural rules have been followed, would be if TransCanada has shown willfulness, bad faith, or fault.

We've heard no evidence of that. TransCanada's not presented evidence as to each respective Intervenor that they want to preclude and show how it's bad faith and an intentional disregard for the rules.

Equitably there is no real prejudice that has been shown at all by TransCanada. And we strenuously object to such a seeking of extreme sanctions by a party that has continually violated the rules of civil discovery procedure.

Without filing a Motion to Compel, no Order to

Compel being sought or obtained, this is, in fact, a

premature and possibly even I would suggest, with all due

respect, not in good faith.

2.3

They want to limit -- prevent you from hearing the things that are most important for you to hear. And doing what all the nonlawyers in our state, maybe around the country, keep blaming us lawyers about; these lawyers playing all their fancy little legal tricks trying basically to deny us a day in court.

It's not right. It's not fair. We would ask that the Motion to Preclude against DRA and the other parties be denied, as well as the Motion to Preclude being dismissed and TransCanada instructed that it should go about the civil discovery procedure rules correctly and file a Motion to Compel if it thinks that's appropriate.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And if you'd shut the mic. off as you depart, that will help.

We will now go to COUP's representative, Mr. Gough.

MR. GOUGH: Mr. Chair, and for the record it's pronounced coup, as in counting coup InterTribal Council and utility policy.

I apologize again for the condition of my voice, but I very much -- I'll keep this brief.

I very much want to underscore second and adopt completely the arguments you just heard Dakota Rural Action, number one.

2.3

2.4

Number two, just to -- would he would like to present four or five witnesses in direct testimony. That was our initial hope.

We've had to cut that list down due to financing. We are not able -- we are not an organization budgeted by litigation. This is not what we normally do. We have risen to this extraordinary level to participate on behalf of our member Tribes in North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Wyoming and are here to supplement what they have to say, compliment what they have to present.

So we've had to look very carefully at how we can afford to participate in this process. I am doing this work pro bono. We are not on salary for any of this.

In seeking to get funding for our expert witnesses we have sought funding to compensate them for their time, to cover for their travel. We have not been able to raise enough resources to do that appropriately. Basically have had to cut our witness list down considerably.

We would seek to deal with the leaks along the

pipeline, the impacts, potential impacts on the water resources of West River South Dakota. We are looking at \$50,000 to obtain the services of reputable water resource technologists. We do not have that. We, therefore, are going to have to more strategically use our resources. And in so doing we have selected three and we have named them and have provided a scope of what their testimony is likely to be. And we are fully preparing to have some written testimony submitted by the deadline of April 21.

2.3

We look at the rules that have just been discussed by Mr. Ellison, and we find nothing in South Dakota Statute compelling prefiled testimony anywhere, other than the Order that was issued. And, therefore, we could not find it under South Dakota Law bona fide. We believe that it is outside of the authority of the Commission to require this as a make or break option as to whether or not we can participate in this proceeding.

We fully intend to have everything in by the April 21 deadline and look to have our witnesses present in open court to actually present their testimony.

However, we reserve those rights in that same

Motion in which we objected to the Commission's

requirement for prefiled direct testimony by April 2. If

we have to offer our witnesses as rebuttal witnesses, we believe that the prefiled testimony for rebuttal witnesses is -- the word escapes me. It's not necessary.

2.3

We would be waiting to see fully the evidence and the testimony presented in court, presented before the board, Commission, and rebut at that point. We would then also like to underscore the failure of TransCanada to provide appropriate discovery either in form or in content in terms of their request to us.

I again would underscore the comments made earlier. They requested very specific kinds of Interrogatory requests, citing chapter and verse of conditions and findings, and yet they completely ignored their own request that was then put into the Commission's order. We complied with it in our Interrogatories to them. But they did not see fit to do so to us.

The material and content material that they did provide seemed dated and irrelevant to the particulars of our questions, and we have not in those terms completed discovery with them. They have failed to comply with our Interrogatories. So discovery is still going on and to get testimony prefiled in this case becomes an impossibility.

I would just close with requesting that you deny and dismiss both of TransCanada's motions that are before

```
the board at the moment, before the Commission at the
 2
     moment, and ask that you compel and seek an order to
 3
     compel TransCanada to comply with the Commission's
 4
     initial Order, provide us with Interrogatories that cite
 5
     conditions and findings that they're asking of us, and in
 6
     the meantime deny and dismiss their motions.
7
              Thank you very much.
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
              Keystone, brief rebuttal.
10
              MR. CAPOSSELA: Mr. Chairman, excuse me.
                                                         This
11
     is Peter Capossela with Standing Rock.
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                               Yes.
13
              MR. CAPOSSELA: May I make a brief statement
14
     before Keystone conducts its rebuttal?
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I don't believe that you're a
16
     party to these motions.
                              Am I incorrect?
17
              MR. CAPOSSELA: Well, I think the Motion to
18
     exclude testimony could be interpreted as applying to any
19
     party who has a witness to testify at the May 5 hearing
20
     who did not prefile testimony for one reason or another.
21
     And Standing Rock may have a witness or two that fits
22
     that definition.
2.3
              We did prefile testimony per the Commission's
24
     order, but we may have witness testimony that we're going
25
     to seek to put on at the hearing who did not prefile
```

testimony. And if the Motion is to be interpreted as prohibiting the Tribe from bringing forward those witnesses, then that's a concern of the Tribe.

"and any other party." So if you're in that situation, I will allow your statement.

Go ahead.

2.3

2.4

MR. CAPOSSELA: Thank you, sir.

I think that TransCanada probably is not in the best situation to request equitable relief in light of concerns that have been expressed by many Intervenors that TransCanada itself violated discovery rules. I think that's a consideration.

The other point that I'd like to make is this proceeding is about the Keystone XL Pipeline project.

It's not about this Tribe's land or a ranch over there or a medical provider's concerns with public health or any of the things that the Intervenors are -- about the Intervenors. It's about Keystone.

And so the flow of information and the disclosure rightfully would be dominated by information flowing from the Petitioner, TransCanada, to the Intervenors. It's not about the Intervenors.

Now the Intervenors have identified concerns that they would like to raise and that perhaps would

affect the ultimate decision on whether the permit gets recertified, but it's about the Keystone XL Pipeline project.

2.3

And so in making decisions with respect to witnesses and discovery, I think it should be kept in mind that what this whole thing is about is TransCanada's Petition.

Some of the discovery requests and some of the tactics with respect to Motions to Exclude, especially as it relates to unrepresented parties, does strike one as heavy handed. And I just think that the decision on these motions should be made with that in mind.

Again, though, the main concern that the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe has is that we tried very hard to comply with all of the orders and the rules and have provided a lot of information in discovery and a lot of prefiled testimony, but there may be other testimony that we may want to put on too. The fact that it's not prefiled does not reflect any bad motives on the Tribe's side.

We're not trying to pull a rabbit out of our hat. We're just trying to put on our case as best we can under the time frames that exist. So we're not trying to do anything that would surprise TransCanada if parties put on testimony that has not been prefiled, but it seems

contrary to the spirit of the proceeding to exclude

testimony that has not been prefiled, especially in light

of the statutory rights that Dakota Rural Action

articulated to the Commission with respect to putting

forward evidence and testimony on the part of

2.3

Intervenors.

- So we're a little bit concerned of Standing Rock with the Motion to exclude evidence. I think ultimately over all TransCanada is simply not in the position to ask the Commission for equitable relief because it's trying to push the Intervenors around a little bit in the discovery process, as you're learning today. But thank you for letting me speak on the Motion.
- CHAIRMAN NELSON: You're welcome, and I appreciate you speaking up.
- MS. HILDING: This is Nancy Hilding. I want to speak on it also. And it will be quick.
- CHAIRMAN NELSON: So are you in the same position that you're planning to offer testimony that you haven't prefiled?
 - MS. HILDING: I may. I'm not clear on whether -- if I'm offering evidence, I have to then stand on the stand and testify that I'm offering evidence? Do you know if I have to do that?
- 25 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Go ahead with your argument.

MS. HILDING: Okay. So this is actually not that. They list 17 people. One of them is Cindy Jones. If you check the service list, there is no Cindy Jones on the service list. There's a Cody Jones and a Cindy Myers.

2.3

So one of their people that they're asking to be excluded is nonexistent. They have Gena Parkhurst's name wrong. Her name is spelled with G-E-N-A. I've talked to her about it. She knows this is going on.

Okay. This has not been raised by anybody. In their cover letter when they sent out their Interrogatories on December 18 they had this clause. It wasn't in the Interrogatories. It was in the cover letter. "Please note that under South Dakota Codified Law 15-6-33A and 15-6-34B you have 30 days from the date of service to answer the discovery." They sent this out a day after you set the schedule, and your date for answering discovery was February 6, 30 days after January 6.

So the day afterwards. Besides sending out an Interrogatory that was not consistent with your order, they sent out misinformation to everybody, many of whom were not lawyers about when they had to answer.

Okay. So when you sent out your Order that you did for the December 17 hearing, you had an Order for

that, on that on the Certificate of Service your

Certificate of Service said that you filed this

electronically. It didn't say that you filed that order

2.3

by mail.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Ms. Hilding, we are on Keystone's Motion to Preclude witnesses from testifying at hearing who did not file prefiled testimony.

You indicated to me that that was your issue.

And so if you want to argue that, this is your opportunity to argue that point.

MS. HILDING: Oh, okay. Well, they did not properly characterize my response to their discovery. We've had conversations. They're okay with my discovery responses. But they said that I did not intend to bring witnesses, and what my answer to the Interrogatory said is while not waiving my general objections, Nancy has no witnesses planned at this time but Nancy is investigating a couple of them and if allowed may add a few later or not.

So I never said that I wasn't going to never bring any witnesses. I said I didn't know at that point in time. So they mischaracterized me and put me in the wrong column for that. Their facts are wrong about how I responded to their discovery.

And so I just wanted to be -- but we've had

```
1
     phone conversations, and they say they're okay with what
2
     I'm doing. Okay?
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Thank you.
 4
              Mr. Taylor, I think we are to you.
 5
              MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. Thank you,
 6
     Commissioners.
7
              First, I want to talk to the nature of our
8
     Interrogatories that have been beleaguered here today.
     The guts of our Interrogatories are in Interrogatory 5
10
     and 6.
11
              Interrogatory 5 says "Identify by number each
     condition in Exhibit A to the Amended Final Decision and
12
13
     Order of June 29, 2010, that you contend Applicant
14
     TransCanada Keystone Pipeline cannot now or in the future
15
     meet."
16
              So I could rephrase that Interrogatory. And I
17
     could have said 52 times.
18
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Taylor, I apologize.
19
     Folks, whoever's on the phone please put your phone on
20
     mute. We don't need to hear heavy breathing in the
     hearing room, which is what we're getting right now.
21
22
              Thank you.
2.3
              Mr. Taylor, you may go ahead.
24
              MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. I could have said
25
     52 times what do you contend about Condition No. 1?
                                                           What
```

do you contend about Condition No. 2? And the Complaint we'd be hearing today is that heavy-handed TransCanada submitted 52 Interrogatories that each of which we had to answer with respect to the conditions.

2.3

2.4

Interrogatory No. 6 says identify by number each Finding of Fact that you contend is no longer accurate because of change of factor circumstances, et cetera.

There are 110 of those. So I could have then added 110 Interrogatories saying do you contend that Interrogatory 1, et cetera, et cetera. The point's well made.

Contention Interrogatories, that's not a term that's contained in the statute. That's a term that lawyers use. This Interrogatory is a question aimed at you to explain to me what your contentions are with respect to this case. And that's all these are. Common practice.

Mr. Ellison's been around almost as long as I have. I'm sure that he has seen contention

Interrogatories by the trainload, as I have, in my professional career.

Second issue: A Motion to Compel. That's a boogeyman argument that we failed to make a Motion to Compel.

Understand that our contention is that these

Intervenors failed to comply with your Order. They
failed to comply with your Order in that they failed to
answer Interrogatories by the date in question.

2.3

So are we obligated to make a Motion to Compel first, Dear Intervenor, you failed to answer Interrogatories? Dear Public Utilities Commission, direct these Intervenors to answer their Interrogatories?

I suppose we could have come here and done that. Instead we wrote them a letter and said answer the Interrogatories or we will seek to exclude your testimony -- seek to exclude you from the process -- from the hearing process.

A Motion to Compel is used to clarify, expand, settle interpretation discovery -- interpretation differences in discovery documents. It is not used when someone is in the face of the examiner and says we're just not going to answer your questions. So it's a boogeyman argument.

Now let's get around to the question of prefiled testimony. I have great sympathy with Intervenors who say, well, I didn't know what my case was going to be so I couldn't list my witnesses or, B, I didn't have the money or the time to put together my prefiled testimony.

This started in September. Applications for

intervention had to be in by October. Decisions on intervention by the Commission were made promptly and shortly thereafter.

2.3

Presumably, if you are a litigant who wants to come to court to contest the permit certification,

Application of TransCanada, the day that you intervene you have in your mind some idea of why you are there.

You don't get to come to court and say, well, I just don't like this project. There has to be a why don't you like it that goes with it.

It is self-evident in the arguments we've heard here today that a number of the Intervenors seem to think that if you intervene, then you can use the discovery process to your advantage to determine if there are arguments that you want to make, if there is a factual basis to contest the permit.

The whole purpose of formulating the contentions among the parties is is so that the process can pass along an expedient line to follow a time line, in this case clearly established by the Commission in December of 2014, to accomplish all of these things.

COUP -- I'm sorry. COUP and DRA chose to say we don't have to file prefiled testimony because you don't have the legal authority to do that, to compel us to do that. And that's a bogus argument. Nobody's said yet --

there has been no logical, legal argument made to explain why you can't order prefiled testimony, which is exactly what you did.

2.3

And they tried to hedge their bet by adding a couple of paragraphs at the end of their Motion response saying but we may call this witness or that witness.

We heard from Mr. Gough out of his mouth the real explanation for why they didn't file prefiled testimony. Because they didn't have the financial resources or the time to get it done. That's the real answer.

Well, like the citizens of South Dakota and like the Intervenors in this case, TransCanada also has legal rights, and one of those legal rights is to know what the allegations are that are being made against its

Application and to have, as due process requires, an opportunity to prepare to meet each and every one of those defenses that's raised against its Application.

And you set the time line to do that, and we complied with the time line to do that, and everybody else should be required to comply with the time line.

Last point: As to the Yankton Sioux,

Ms. Real Bird, I think, is making an argument that the

Yankton Sioux is entitled to some exception. But I don't

understand why she thinks the Yankton Sioux is entitled

to an exception.

2.3

She answered her Interrogatories all right, by saying we don't have to answer them and then making due process objections, making objections as you're inquiring as to attorney work product.

Well, attorney work product is not to identify what it is that you contend that is wrong with our Application. Attorney work product are the memos in my file, the research work that I've done in my file. Asking a contention Interrogatory is not a attorney work product.

I don't know when we looked at those Answers to Interrogatories the first time around and thought what is this about. And the only conclusion that we could come to was is that Yankton Sioux had simply chosen that they weren't going to abide by the Commission's Order. And I don't know why that is. Very dangerous stance to take if you want to litigate a case and offer witnesses and testimony.

And then they kind of backed off from that. As we progressed along through the discovery cycle they did offer prefiled testimony of one witness.

And then last week I think sometime sent us a letter saying, well, here's the things we think are wrong. But the letter is not an invitation to meet and

1 confer. The letter is simply a bold-faced statement of this is what we think is wrong with your approach.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

As you'll find out when we move into these ongoing discovery issues, meet and confer is nothing new We have met and conferred and resolved discovery issues at every opportunity and as cordial and as meaningful way as we possibly can. Because, frankly, I don't want to be here today arguing about these things. What I want to do is prepare the case for trial and get it ready.

So I think the way this should end up, there are 17 Intervenors who have done nothing, including a few who spoke today. They forfeited their opportunity to participate in the hearing.

As to -- as to Mr. Dorr, who I kind of like, we've had an exchange of conversations, and I got to know him a little bit during the lunch hour today as we worked out some differences in linguistics. I'm sorry for Mr. Dorr that he misunderstood the Application of the Order, but that's part of the way it is.

As to DRA and COUP, both represented by able, capable, and competent lawyers. And if you're represented by an able, capable, and competent lawyer and you do not comply with the orders of the Commission, then you suffer the consequence. And the consequence should

```
be that their participation in the hearing should be
 2
     accordingly limited.
              And I think it also serves to moot in DRA's case
 3
 4
     its upcoming argument that it's entitled to compel
 5
     discovery because if they can't call any witnesses and
 6
     they can't participate in the hearing, their discovery
     issues are moot.
7
8
              So I'd ask you to ignore the Motion to Compel
     argument -- it's, I believe, a boogeyman -- and to
10
     require the persons who ignored the orders of the
11
     Commission to pay the consequences of that.
12
              Thank you.
13
              MR. ELLISON: Mr. Chairman, may I just briefly
14
     respond to a false statement?
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: We're going to keep moving.
16
     I'm going to open it up for --
17
              I was asked a question about whether Staff ought
18
     to be able to offer some comments, and as I said at the
19
     beginning, when we get to this point I am inclined just
20
     to ask those who are directly affected to comment.
21
              So with that, are there any Commission
22
     questions?
```

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I just have a real quick

For any of the 17 or the four or five -- especially

2.3

24

25

Go ahead.

the lay people because in the beginning in December we talked about how hard this is going to be. And we know it's hard, especially for lay people. And we gave the phone number and the name of Kristine [sic] Edwards.

2.3

Did you guys continue to call her any time you had questions, concerns? Did she help you through that process?

Because we certainly gave her name out there for you. So just checking to make sure that you used that resource that we gave you.

MR. HARTER: John Harter. I did not. I don't believe I talked to Kristen at all. Mostly because what I'm doing, I'm usually putting in 15, 18 hours a day anyway. So what I put together today was done last night.

And, to be quite honest with you, going through this for eight years when about two or three days ago this stuff was going through my head what we were dealing with and whether it was just tension or what, I started getting a tightness in my chest. And whether that's because of just the anger of what we've had to be put through in this stuff, what TransCanada has put us through to take our property --

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And I know, Mr. Harter. It is a lot of work. I'm sorry. I just asked a quick

```
1
     question about whether you used Kristine Edwards. But it
2
     is a lot of work.
              Thank you for answering that question.
 3
 4
              MR. HARTER: I guess you don't want to hear it
 5
    then.
 6
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    I'm sorry. You answered
7
     the question, and that's all I had is if you worked --
8
     did you work with her anymore that I did not hear.
              MR. HARTER:
                          No.
10
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                   Okay. I just wanted to
11
    make sure that you had an opportunity to answer that
12
    question.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. There are several
14
    others that have their hands up. Do you want them to
15
    answer your question also?
16
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Yes.
                                          If they would like
17
     to answer the question if they worked with Kristine
18
    Edwards during this process. Especially the lay people
19
     that we gave them that opportunity to work with
    Kristine Edwards.
20
              MR. RAPPOLD: We're not directly involved in
21
22
    this dispute, but I --
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Please identify yourself.
24
              MR. RAPPOLD: I'm sorry. Matt Rappold on behalf
25
     of the Rosebud Sioux Tribe.
```

```
1
              We're not directly involved in this dispute
2
     that's currently playing out in front of the Commission.
 3
     However, in response to your question, Commissioner
 4
     Fiegen, I've -- how should you say it?
                                            There's been some
 5
     discussions taking place through e-mail communications
 6
     and I have seen that various Intervenors that aren't
7
     represented by counsel have gone to Ms. Edwards for her
8
     advice on how to -- how to do certain things throughout
     this process.
10
              I'd like to point out that the most recent, and
11
     I think it's going to be the last e-mail that I saw from
12
     Ms. Edwards in response to questions from Intervenors
13
     that are not represented and I'm going to have to
14
     paraphrase now is that I'm not your lawyer. I represent
15
     the PUC Staff, and I cannot give you advice on how to do
16
     this in front of the Commission.
17
              That's what I wanted to add. Thank you.
18
                                    Thank you.
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
19
              Any other questions or comments about procedure
20
     and how that was answered?
              She's right. She can't give you advice.
21
22
     wouldn't be good for her party.
2.3
              MS. MYERS: This is Cindy Myers on the phone.
24
     Can I make a comment?
25
                                We've got somebody at the
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
```

```
1
     witness chair in the hearing room, and then we'll get to
2
     you.
 3
              MR. DORR: Gary Dorr.
 4
              The only knowledge that I have again was an
 5
     e-mail that was passed on to me where Kristen Edwards
 6
     stated that all parties had to comply with the Order from
7
     the PUC by referencing finding number or amended permit
8
     condition and paragraph.
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                     Thank you.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Go ahead, Cindy.
              MS. MYERS: This is Cindy. I'm an individual
11
     Intervenor and I've contacted Kristine a few times and
12
13
     she's been very helpful to me and she's made it clear
14
     about not giving advice but she's been very helpful about
15
     procedure-wise.
16
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you.
                                                 I appreciate
17
     that.
18
              Of course, she can't give advice. I wanted to
     make sure she helped you with procedure because as a
19
20
     layperson that's difficult.
21
              Thank you.
22
              MS. MYERS: Yeah.
                                 Thank you.
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Other questions from the
2.4
     Commissioners.
```

COMMISSIONER HANSON:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

25

1 Mr. Ellison, could I ask you just one quick question?

Are you aware of any case in which a court has found that disclosure of witnesses prior to trial has been found to be overly broad or unduly burdensome? we saw considerably in the -- I'll call them briefs at this point. They weren't briefs, but they were motions back and forth between you folks.

Would you like me to repeat that?

MR. ELLISON: Yeah. I'm not sure that that was a position that we took on the written testimony. I'm not sure that that was a position we took on the written testimony. But offhand, no, I'm not aware of a case.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay.

MR. ELLISON: But if I may just briefly correct the record, if I may, attorney for TransCanada said that Dakota Rural Action did not file written testimony. We did, in fact, both expert and lay. We just were not able to get everybody within the time frame that we would have liked to be there.

So the suggestion that we should be precluded from arguing our Motion to Compel, I won't say an adjective. Just improper and blithed by the record.

> COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you.

And I have a question for Keystone as well. Two

2.4

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

1 questions, I guess, Mr. Chairman. I believe it was Cindy Myers who stated that you 2 3 had resolved the concerns between the two of you. 4 that --MR. TAYLOR: She wrote to us, and I don't 6 remember when -- quite recently -- and said that she had 7 made the decision not to call witnesses, which resolves 8 that issue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. So that's resolved. 10 I can understand to an extent your Motion that 11 the 17 parties would forfeit their right to submit 12 testimony of witnesses, but it seems extensive to prohibit them from asking questions of other witnesses. 13 14 MR. TAYLOR: Examining witnesses. 15 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Right. As parties 16 shouldn't they still be allowed to ask questions? 17 MR. TAYLOR: The scope and breadth of the 18 sanction for noncompliance with discovery is within your discretion. 19 20 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Yes. But you advocated 21 that they should not be allowed to. So I'm just giving 22 that you opportunity, knowing that it seems to me that 2.3 that's going beyond --2.4 MR. TAYLOR: In my mind simply ignoring

discovery responses is a pretty egregious offense when

25

you're in the process of trying to develop a case for trial.

2.3

In the civil court system if you sued somebody and they submitted a set of Interrogatories to you and you just ignored them, the judge would dismiss your case. The judge will dismiss your case. It will start and stop right there, send you home.

Maybe it's appropriate to allow those

Intervenors who didn't answer to make an opening and closing statement. In my mind it's not appropriate for them to participate at all. But to allow them to simply say we aren't going to tell you, TransCanada, what our contentions are and then to come in and examine witnesses to develop their contentions and then to argue those contentions seems to be right in the face of the purpose of discovery in the first instance.

So, yes, I think it's appropriate that they not be allowed to examine witnesses. I mean, in effect, what they're going to do if some Intervenor chooses to not answer our discovery, not tell us what's on their mind but then to show up and examine witnesses -- and it's not just our witnesses. Presumably they could ask questions of other witnesses called by other parties -- then they're making their case through the back door without telling any of us what the case is.

1 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. 2 Thank you. MR. TAYLOR: I have a question also. 3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: 4 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I don't have anymore 5 questions with you. 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I understand what you're 7 asking for based on where everything sits today. But 8 would you agree with me that if in some of the upcoming Motions to Compel we end up compelling Keystone to 10 provide some additional discovery, that based on our April 2 order it may be possible for some of these 11 12 parties, some of these Intervenors, to utilize that 13 discovery that's been compelled to file testimony based 14 on that by April 27 and, therefore, those folks would be 15 allowed to offer evidence and testify at the hearing? 16 that your understanding? 17 MR. TAYLOR: I can conceive of a circumstance 18 where that would happen. It's possible, but it's not 19 probable. 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: And can you follow up and explain to me why it would not be probable unless we deny 21 22 all of the motions this afternoon? 2.3 MR. TAYLOR: Because -- okay. Suppose you 24 suggest to us that -- you say you're compelled to answer

a question on subject X and we had not answered anything

25

ever. There was no -- nothing in the public domain anyplace to know anything about issue X.

2.3

In that case, yes, you compel us to answer that. We reveal for the first time in recorded history the answer to issue X and somebody wants to call a witness to testify on that subject, yes, I agree with you.

However, this is the most studied and -- there is -- I can't -- in my lifetime I can't imagine anything that has had more public exposure than this project. And for there to be an issue X, very unlikely.

You know, Commissioner Hanson, when he was
Mayor Hanson once said to me in a Water Rights hearing in
Sioux Falls anything is possible but many things are not
probable. And that is precisely my point.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Appreciate that response.

Commissioner Hanson, Mayor Hanson, did you have anything further to add?

Just a comment to Mr. Blackburn. Mr. Blackburn made a statement that the PUC does not allow persons to participate unless they're parties. I don't know if he misspoke when he stated that. However, the PUC does not require persons to be parties to the Docket in order to

participate. They can, in fact, give their own personal testimony. If they're not parties, they can't call witnesses and present evidence and cross-examine and go into those processes.

However, they are allowed to -- any person is allowed to participate from that standpoint. And I'm just assuming it was a misspeak, that I do agree with a number of things that he said. This is very complicated and the average person has challenges following the processes that we have.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2.3

MR. SMITH: May I make one kind of clarifying condition to that?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes.

MR. SMITH: And I think Commissioner Hanson used the word "testify." What we usually do is it's not testify because it's not evidence. It's comment. But we do allow that. We do allow --

And with these lay people I, frankly, don't know that there'd probably in most circumstances be much difference as to whether it's testimony or comment because usually what we hear is pretty much personal, emotional --

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. That's about as far as I want to go with that. I'm not sure I would concur with that.

2.3

2 MR. BLACKBURN: Mr. Chair, this is

3 Mr. Blackburn, representing BOLD Nebraska.

4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. Go ahead.

MR. BLACKBURN: I think I remember we were involved in a 2009 docket that there was discussion at that time related to the role of public comment and testimony. And the Commission decided that it would be allowed as part of the record, which we appreciated that. And it sounds like you're also saying that now public comment be part of the record.

I would note that there's a difference between this Docket and that Docket, however. In that Docket the public comment period and the public hearing notices were all noticed by the Commission through formal notices that, you know, talked about when the public hearing would be along with public comment.

Here the Commission hasn't noticed any public comment or public hearing. Even though the Commission doesn't allow and isn't required to have at this point a public hearing, meaning that -- apart from the evidentiary hearing, as happened last time.

Still I believe they should be on notice there's a public comment period open. And I'm not sure the Commission has issued such notice. At least I have not

seen it in the docket. I just wanted to point it out, at least that's why I said it.

It's not clear to me that public comments are necessarily on the record at this time, and it also wasn't clear to me there's been a formal public comment period noticed.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Commissioner questions?

If not, we are open for motions, and you can either offer motions on both of these or take one at a time. And it might be easier to take one at a time. But whichever is your pleasure.

Well, in that case, I will -- and I'm going to confine my first motions to the Amended Motion to Preclude Certain Intervenors From Offering Evidence or witnesses at hearing or to compel discovery.

On page 8 of that Keystone lists four really conclusions. And these are the four things that they are asking for, and so these are the four that I'm going to respond to in my Motion.

The first is that we are asked to preclude the 17 Intervenors who failed to respond to discovery from offering any testimony or evidence at hearing.

I am going to move to grant that. And I just

want to point out how limited the language is in what

Keystone has asked for here because we've talked about

that. But notice how limited that language is.

2.3

Secondly, preclude the four Intervenors who are still investigating their case from making lay disclosures for the first time after the close of discovery and, therefore, also precluding them from offering witnesses or evidence at the hearing.

I am going to move to grant that, but realizing the question that I just most recently asked Mr. Taylor, there is still, I believe, a window for additional witnesses to be added. I believe that window may be wider than what Mr. Taylor thinks, but it's there.

Number three, overruling the objections of the Yankton Sioux Tribe, thereby limiting its hearing participation. I am going to move to grant the Motion to Overrule the Objections of the Yankton Sioux Tribe. I'm going to move to deny limiting their hearing participation. And I am further going to move that the Yankton Sioux Tribe be ordered to comply with the discovery requests of Keystone by Friday, which is our deadline for any discovery coming out of today.

And point number four, dealing with Cindy Myers, I would move to grant, although I think that's a moot point as of now.

Discussion on the Motion.

2.3

2.4

I think we've pretty much talked through all of this. The only thing I think that I would elaborate on is with the Yankton Sioux Tribe I'm going to give them one more chance. I'm going to give them the next three days to get their discovery requests back to Keystone, give them one more chance.

I heard the arguments. I'm rejecting those arguments. But I'm giving you another three days to comply.

Further discussion.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Perhaps you don't have to separate those motions. In the process of going through, would you -- I'm wondering whether you would be amenable to a change on the second item.

You wish to preclude the four -- or your Motion is to preclude the four Intervenors who are still investigating their case from making late disclosures for the first time after the close of discovery and, therefore, also preclude them from offering witnesses or evidence at the hearing.

And prior to hearing the testimony here, I would have agreed with you. I believe Mr. Dorr should be

placed in the same basket as the Yankton Sioux Tribe. I think that -- at least I had the impression that it was an innocent mistake on his part. And we are really attempting to be as sensitive to the challenges that the lay people have in this process.

2.3

Obviously, I made the statement hearing just now and it should have been comment. So the semantics are important. And I would like to see him as a part of that process as well.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Let me -- let's just have a discussion on that.

I'm open to that. I don't have a hard and fast line here, but here's the thing that I'd like to point out. You know, Mr. Dorr was kind enough to find the line in the January 9 letter that he claims led him astray.

And if you read just that sentence, I get his point.

But you've got to read the sentence before and the sentence after and understand the context in which it was written. And the sentence before said "We've had a few parties file their Interrogatories and their discovery requests in the Docket file and also in some cases sending them to the Commissioners."

Okay. So this was the issue, the fact that these things were being sent to the Commissioners. And so how did Mr. Smith respond to that. "Although at some

point parties may file discovery responses and requests as exhibits to a motion or prefiled testimony or offer them into evidence at hearing," in other words, that's how you properly get them to the Commission. Discovery documents are not to be filed with the Commission during the discovery process.

And so when you read that in the context in which that paragraph is read, I think it takes on a different meaning than if you read the sentence by itself.

Your thoughts.

2.3

OMMISSIONER HANSON: I don't disagree with you on what you're saying. I guess seeing someone and speaking to them in person is obviously different than hearing them over the phone. And I saw a lot of sincerity and attempt to -- I didn't see that he was trying to mislead us in the process.

I think that it was an honest mistake. I know that Keystone should not be prejudiced by his honest mistake, but I think that they're big enough boys to be able to handle it.

And I -- you know, it's -- it's challenging.

There's a lot of things to read, and it's not his job, so to speak, to -- I'd argue on the other side, on your side, saying that if someone's a party to the Docket they

have a responsibility. And I can argue both sides
without any problem.

2.3

But I just lean far enough on that that I would like to support your Motion in its entirety, but I cannot just on that particular portion. So if you'd separate that one out just in case there's a question on -- so that I could vote for the remainder of it.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And I'm going to ask Mr. Taylor a question at this point. And forgive me that I don't know this off the top of my head, but are there unresolved discovery issues with Mr. Dorr, things that he's not provided to you in discovery?

Because my understanding was your issue with him was he hadn't provided any prefiled testimony. I'm trying to figure out how analogous his situation is to the Yankton Sioux situation.

MR. TAYLOR: I don't know if I can answer the question. I have to think for a minute -- give me two minutes to consult with Mr. Dorr.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Absolutely. While you do that I'm going to make another point that I should have made earlier.

Mr. Harter, you made the statement several times what are they afraid of hearing? What is Keystone afraid of hearing?

1 And I'm not going to be argumentative with you, 2 but my response to you is by your filing prefiled 3 testimony that was your opportunity to tell them what 4 they needed to hear. 5 And you've waived that opportunity. And that 6 brings us -- that's one of the reasons we've got this 7 particular part of the Motion here is the fact that you 8 waived the opportunity to do exactly what you've come here asking us to do. 10 And I will give you a moment to respond while Keystone does their -- go ahead. 11 12 MR. HARTER: So does this mean that I cannot as 13 a -- even a person of the community put in my comments? 14 Is that what you're saying? I can't do anything? 15 CHAIRMAN NELSON: No. If this Motion passes, 16 you would be precluded from offering witnesses or exhibits during the hearing. That's the limit of the 17 18 Motion. 19 MR. HARTER: But I can ask questions? 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. 21 MR. HARTER: Fine with me. 22 Okay. Well, apparently we've CHAIRMAN NELSON:

still got some discussions going on. And we encourage

Other comments from Commissioners?

2.3

2.4

that.

```
1
              MR. SMITH: Can I ask one quick, just so I
2
                  Because if I understand Mr. Taylor right
     understand.
     earlier, he drew a distinction between the people who
 3
 4
     didn't respond at all.
              And I understood his request for relief in my
 6
     main reading of it until I heard him today that people
7
     would be precluded -- and this has -- this is not
8
     involving what you just were asked, John.
              But with respect to the people who didn't
10
     respond at all, are they still allowed to -- does the
11
     term offering witnesses and evidence refer to their
12
     evidence, or does that also preclude them from
13
     cross-examining, if they so desire?
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Again, reading from page 8 of
15
     what Keystone has asked for, they asked that we preclude
16
     the 17 Intervenors who failed to respond to discovery
17
     from offering any testimony or evidence at hearing.
     That's it.
18
19
              MR. SMITH:
                          Thank you.
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Go ahead.
21
              MR. HARTER:
                          I'd like Mr. Taylor to read how I
22
     answered their first question on -- I think it was the
2.3
     first question on their Interrogatory.
```

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm not -- we're not going

there at this point. I think we've --

2.4

25

```
1
              MR. HARTER: What I told them was is that I
2
     didn't have time to put questions together.
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Fair enough. Fair enough.
 4
              MR. HARTER: I didn't not respond. And I agree
 5
    with the fact that they get to set the rules that they
 6
    want to follow but we have to follow them completely.
7
     think that is very prejudice against the Intervenors.
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.
                                            Okay.
              Mr. Taylor, back to the question. Is there --
10
              MR. TAYLOR:
                          I regret to say neither Mr. Dorr
11
    nor I can answer the question whether he answered his
12
     Interrogatories. I can make a phone call and get the
13
     answer to that question very quickly. We didn't bring
14
     that file with us today.
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Okay. I don't feel so bad for
16
    not having that answer on the top of my head.
17
              So I go back again to Commissioner Hanson.
                                                           How
18
     does that impact what you've asked for? If there's
19
    nothing left to --
20
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, I think I have to
21
     include him, if there's a question.
22
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. I'm fair with that.
2.3
    Let's go ahead -- if there's an outstanding question --
24
    apparently it's not a biggie. Okay.
```

And so I'm willing to accept your thoughts of

25

```
1
     the friendly amendment. We'll put him in the same
2
     category, same criteria as Yankton.
              MR. TAYLOR: We're not objecting to Mr. Dorr's
 3
 4
    prefiled testimony. He identified a witness in prefiled
    testimony. That's fine. We're okay with that.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Okay. Very good. And
7
     I'm good with incorporating your comments into my Motion.
8
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Gary Dorr as part --
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Will be treated exactly the
10
     same way as Yankton. Yes.
11
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Thank you.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion from the
12
13
    Commission.
14
              Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye.
15
     Those opposed, nay.
16
              Commissioner Hanson.
17
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Aye.
18
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
19
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Fiegen votes aye.
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
21
              Motion carries.
22
              MS. HILDING: This is Nancy Hilding.
2.3
     included a Cindy Jones who does not exist. Their 17
24
    people includes a nonexistent person.
25
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I believe you have made
```

1 that point already. And that will certainly be resolved 2 before our order is written. 3 MS. HILDING: That person has not -- if it's a 4 different name, Cody Jones, he didn't have due notice that he was on this list of 17 so he didn't have notice 5 6 to come. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Taylor, you might respond. 7 8 MR. TAYLOR: It says Cindy Myers in our Motion. Not Cindy Jones. 10 MS. HILDING: If you read your list of the 17, 11 it goes Jerry Jones, Cindy Jones, Debbie Trapp. There is 12 no Cindy Jones. 13 MR. TAYLOR: I'm looking at the back page of the 14 conclusion. 15 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. We are going to move 16 along. We are going to move to Keystone's Motion to 17 Preclude witnesses from testifying at hearing who did not 18 file prefiled testimony. Are there motions? 19 20 I will move to grant Keystone's Motion to 21 Preclude witnesses from testifying at the hearing who did 22 not file prefiled testimony. 2.3 Discussion on the Motion.

I would simply say the same thing that I did

Let me say two things. First of all, in

24

25

before.

December we established a Scheduling Order. Most of us, all of us, sat in this room, and we talked about these things. We've talked about it, and there were conferences that I wasn't part of where these things were talked about.

2.3

And I distinctly remember Commissioner Hanson bringing up this issue of whether or not we wanted to require prefiled testimony. And I'll be honest with you. Personally I could have gone either way on that. But Commissioner Hanson felt strongly about that. I remember that.

And I think anybody that was in that room probably remembers his comments on that. He felt it important that that be a precursor, that that was the best way to get the information that is important on the record ahead of time so that we could all study it before we went into the hearing.

It was a big deal. It was important. And, thus, it was included in our Order.

Failing to comply with orders does have consequences, and I would agree with Keystone on that. And the consequence is that if you have witnesses that didn't file prefiled testimony, they are going to be precluded under this Motion.

Having said that, I go back again to the last

1 question I asked Mr. Taylor. I believe there is still a 2 If we end up compelling Keystone to provide any additional information and there are witnesses that end 3 4 up filing prefiled testimony on that by April 17, I think there's a window for them to still come in. 5 6 Additional discussion on the Motion. 7 MS. HILDING: This is Nancy Hilding. We can 8 still do rebuttal witnesses? You're talking -- when you talk about witnesses you mean witnesses that are not 10 rebuttal witnesses because the deadline for that is the 11 21st. 12 Does your Motion apply to rebuttal witnesses? 13 CHAIRMAN NELSON: The deadline for rebuttal 14 witness testimony is April 27. My Motion applies to the 15 deadline for prefiled testimony, which was either April 2 16 or April 10 if you're Rosebud Sioux Tribe or the 17 exception to that, that I just mentioned. Additional discussion from the Commission. 18 19 Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye. 20 Those opposed, nay. 21 Commissioner Hanson. 22 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. 2.3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. 2.4 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye. 25 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.

Motion carries.

2.3

That brings us to Dakota Rural Action's Motion to Compel -- no. No. I'm sorry. That brings us to Standing Rock's Motion for Discovery Sanctions or to Compel.

We are going to take a 5-minute break, and we will back to Mr. Capossela. In recess for 5.

(A short recess is taken)

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Call the hearing back to order. We are now on Standing Rock Sioux Tribe's Motion for Discovery Sanctions to Compel.

And we will turn to Mr. Capossela.

MR. CAPOSSELA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I know it's been a long day, and I appreciate the Commission's indulgence. I appreciate you looking closely at our Motion.

Our Motion maybe the only one that's fully brief, filed this last month, and it may be the only Motion or action on the agenda today that's fully briefed. The record in this case supports the granting of the Tribe's Motion.

Exhibit A to the Motion is a Document Request the Tribe filed back in January. Request 3 is documents on Keystone XL relating to the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 and PHMSA regulations. Request 4 involves documents for

compliance with the Pipeline Safety Act. Request 5 is for the Clean Water Act. And so on and so forth.

2.3

And TransCanada didn't provide any information for most of these requests identified in the Motion and objected on the grounds that they're not asking for relevant information but the information that's preempted by federal law and that the Commission has no jurisdiction over these issues and also that the requests are overbroad and vague.

Now let me reference the prefiled testimony that both TransCanada and the Staff has filed in this matter. When one looks at TransCanada's testimony, all of these issues that the Tribe requested documentation in discovery are touched on in all of the prefiled testimony of TransCanada. These issues are not irrelevant based on TransCanada's own prefiled testimony.

For example, I refer to the prefiled testimony of Meera Kothari whose responsibilities "oversight responsibility for the design and engineering of Keystone XL." Well, the design and engineering, that's -- those standards are prescribed in the Pipeline Safety Act in the PHMSA regulations that we asked information for that. And the testimony that's been prefiled directly discusses the withdrawal of the PHMSA special permit and changes that relate to the PHMSA relations under the Pipeline

Safety Act.

2.3

TransCanada's prefiled testimony is of

John Schmidt discussing the biological opinion that the

Fish & Wildlife Service prepared under the Endangered

Species Act. Well, that's Request for Document

Production 6. Looking for documents that are in

TransCanada's possession involving recent developments in

areas like pipeline safety, endangered species, clean

water, cultural resources, issues that may have arisen in

information that they possess since the Permit was issued

and the Amended Conditions were imposed in 2010.

Clearly relevant because all of the prefiled testimony on TransCanada's part deals with the very issues that we requested. Information and documentation for -- in discovery.

And I can go on. High consequence areas, high volume areas. Exhibit B to the Motion, Interrogatory

No. 5, basically identify the high volume areas which the project would be located. That's an Interrogatory.

"Objection. This requests information that is governed by federal law and within the province of PHMSA. It's beyond the scope of PUC's jurisdiction."

Well, John Schmidt testify with respect to high constant areas, as did Heidi Tillquist submitted prefiled testimony in "changes in high consequence areas" based on

the changes in the project.

2.3

So the information that we asked for in discovery is precisely much of the information that TransCanada put on in prefiled testimony. So the notion that the Tribe's requests are not relevant or are preempted under federal law, TransCanada -- their own prefiled testimony undermines the very objections that they made.

I'd also point out with respect to the Facility Response Plan required under the Clean Water Act, the regulations for which are issued by PHMSA as well as the EPA, there are response plans for pipelines that pose less risk to the environment than Keystone XL that you can -- that oil companies have posted on the internet or state regulators have posted on the internet.

So when TransCanada contends that their response plan for Keystone XL need not be disclosed because it's preempted under federal law, well, other states post it right on their website. And so it's really an argument asking South Dakota to require less disclosure and less public health and safety information than other states. And that's not right.

Then we've heard all day arguments and counter arguments with respect to discovery and who's violating discovery rules and who isn't.

This is not my first rodeo. And in my experience the way this stuff is done is if a party thinks that another party asked for too much stuff, that doesn't get them -- divest them of the responsibility to respond.

2.3

The response to the Tribe's discovery request and document requests TransCanada could have said, okay, we have a lot of documents with respect to endangered species, for example, but we have the most recent study that South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks might have put out. Well, we'll provide that, but we're not going to provide anything else because we don't have anything else that is going to be helpful to you or relevant to the case. Here's our most recent cultural resource survey for segment X or segment Y that was done most recently. That's not in the public record. That's this is what we've got. And provide those documents.

And then say, okay, we have other e-mails and correspondence, but that doesn't touch on the pipeline. It doesn't touch on impacts on cultural resources, per se. But here's what we're going to provide, and if you don't like -- you know, and then we'll negotiate the rest out. And if you don't like the results in the negotiation, then go to the Commission on a motion.

And there's give and take. And that's very

common. And actually my experience it is not common to be making all of these Motions to Compel and Motions for Sanction that are before you this afternoon all day today because judges and discovery judges routinely castigate the lawyers and tells to us not act like children, get together and work it out.

2.3

Even on Motions to Compel. Judges will frequently say I'll give you guys two weeks to work it out. Act like adults and do it.

We haven't had any of that here. Standing Rock Sioux Tribe has received virtually no information in discovery with respect to the Keystone XL Pipeline project.

We've got some documentation in response to a request for tribal consultation, but even that documentation is just really not very helpful and not very to the point.

For Standing Rock's part I think this is really important. We've made every effort to comply with the rules, and in the first round of discovery on February 6 the Tribe produced six substantive documents and then on the second round of discovery supplemented that on March 10, submitted 20 documents relating to TransCanada's safety records, EPA's concerns, federal EPA's concerns with the environmental reviews, impacts on

water and studies on drought in the upper plains, just other documents that the Tribe intends to rely upon at the hearing and produce them.

2.3

The Tribe takes its hand on our case at the hearing. TransCanada knows just about everything that the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe is going to say at the hearing because we told them because that's what the rules require.

This is about their project. Yet we've turned over 26 documents about their project, and TransCanada has turned over to Standing Rock Sioux Tribe basically nothing on the project. And that's a little backwards.

You know, counsel for TransCanada earlier today -- I tried to write it down precisely -- said to the effect that this whole process is established to advance an expedient process, kind of playing to the Commission's value to keep the process moving along quickly.

But that's not a true statement. That might be true in the People's Republic of China. But the process and the rules are not for an expedient process. The process is designed to get to the truth. And that's what discovery is about is getting to the truth.

And so what parties normally do in discovery is not just say that's overbroad, we don't have to respond

because that's overbroad. Give the information that you possess or that is readily available, and if there's a bunch of stuff that is spread all over the continent and less helpful, you negotiate that through. But here TransCanada just said, look, we don't need to do it, and we're not going to do it.

2.3

But I think the point is the Tribe tried earnestly to comply with the discovery rules. And by doing that because TransCanada didn't and provided no information responsive to the Tribe's discovery request, the Tribe is really at a competitive disadvantage at the hearing.

They know our case. We don't know theirs in advance. And we can't devise our case accordingly because they're not being forthcoming in discovery. That's precisely why parties -- why the remedies are available with respect to violations in discovery.

When all of this was unfolding I thought to myself what am I missing? How can they feel confident that they need to make no efforts at all to comply with our document requests? So I did what we're supposed to do, and I pulled the discovery manual off the shelf.

And on page 3 of the Reply Brief that we filed I quote an excerpt from the discovery manual. And it kind of is the process I just described. Many times

recipients of discovery requests complain that's too much, that's overbroad. So the requester, the Standing Rock Tribe in this case, it's incumbent upon the requester to try to work it out too. Both parties have an obligation to try to work it out.

2.3

So I contacted counsel back in February after receiving the first response to our first discovery request. Tried to have discussions early on. And then for subsequent discovery, okay, what can I do to scale down the Tribe's discovery requests.

And I followed the Interrogatory, which is now Interrogatory No. 51 on the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, which is if you don't want to produce the documents that were requested, list the documents. We'll take that. In which that will enable us to pick and choose what we feel is needed to put on our case.

A person may not conclude that that's an adequate compromise, but we're trying to comply with the rules. We're trying to problem solve. Got nothing out of it.

Instead TransCanada disparaged Interrogatory

No. 51 in its Brief responding to our Motion. They

disparaged our efforts to compromise by saying that was

broader yet than the original discovery request. But the

language in that Interrogatory is actually right out of

Rule 26A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

2.3

And so it's not reasonable. If we were in Federal Court, they would have to produce all the stuff that is requested in Interrogatory No. 51. They'd have to respond to that without our even asking under the federal rules. There's not a comparable South Dakota rule. But my point is we're trying. We're trying to work it out.

So we have one party, South Dakota Tribe, complying with the rules, producing information, producing documentation, the party that's the Petitioner not providing any substantive documentation.

February 6 when we responded to their first discovery request I just want to note that the Tribe produced, sent to TransCanada two appendices in the State Department Environmental Impact Statement. We produced the documents Appendix E and Appendix H, and these documents relate to cultural resources and terrestrial wildlife habitat. Because we may use part of these documents as exhibits at the hearing.

So we produced them, which is what the rules require. The same day TransCanada sends its nonanswers to our discovery requests, and they responded to five document requests by listing the website to the State Department Environmental Impact Statement.

So they referred the Tribe in response to our discovery request to a website. We went to the website because we know some documents that we may use at the hearing from that website, downloaded the documents, and supplied them to TransCanada.

2.3

The rule requires that you produce documents.

The South Dakota Rules of Civil Procedure do not permit you to respond to a discovery request by saying it's in the public sphere. And that -- it's stunning the same day that we produced the documents that are at that same website TransCanada failed to produce the documents and instead cited the website and told us to go look there.

Well, that's not compliance with the rules.

And I just thought that was kind of a stunning illustrative example where we sent the docs and they listed the website.

One of the things that the courts do not take lightly and really is disfavored are blanket objections and boilerplate objections. Look, if you object to a discovery request, you produce the information that's not objectionable and negotiate -- tend to work out a compromise on the rest.

A blanket objection is where you produce nothing, and the courts look very unkindly on that. And that's what TransCanada did here. They didn't produce

anything. They just made generalizations that it's irrelevant, that it's broad, and that it's preempted.

And none of that holds water.

2.3

Now the rule should apply to everybody. And TransCanada's had motions to the detriment of Intervenors today that did not cooperate in discovery. I'm not sure because of TransCanada's own conduct in this case that it should be in a position to be awarded that remedy. But certainly Standing Rock Sioux Tribe did try to fully disclose documents in discovery that TransCanada requested and answer Interrogatories and provide the information that timely way.

We got nothing in return. And what's good for the goose is good for the gander. They both should apply to everybody. And if some Intervenors are going to be excluded for not responding to discovery and are not going to be able to advance witness testimony or evidence, that same rule, that same sanction, should apply to TransCanada, especially in favor of the Standing Rock Tribe which did comply with the rules.

So I -- on behalf of the Tribe this afternoon, we ask for a finding that the Tribe complied with the discovery rules. Certainly TransCanada has not included the Tribe in any of its adverse motions that it's made against other Intervenors.

We'd ask you to make a finding that

TransCanada's response to the identified requests for

production of documents and Interrogatories violated

South Dakota Rules of Civil Procedure and order the

exclusion of evidence and prohibit TransCanada from

introducing evidence regarding continuing compliance with

conditions for which the 2010 Permit was issued by the

Commission.

The record supports that, and I think the Tribe earnestly attempted to comply with the rules and encountered a level of noncompliance with the rules as set out in the record that justifies that result, and TransCanada itself is relying on these principles of law as it relates to the prior motions. And so we think the rules should apply to everybody and that TransCanada's evidence should be excluded.

Thank you.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Keystone.

MR. MOORE: Thank you. James Moore on behalf of Keystone.

Just two general observations, and then I'll respond particularly to the items that are part of the Motion.

First of all, the suggestion that Mr. Capossela

made that the Tribe is tipped its hand by being fully compliant with discovery and Keystone is still hiding the ball and he has no idea what our case is about I think is contrary to the Tracking Table of Changes that Keystone filed with the Certification Petition.

2.3

It's essentially our case that we intend to submit through our prefiled testimony and did, in fact, submit through our prefiled testimony has been a matter of record since September. The prefiled testimony it was based is entirely consistent with the Tracking Table of Changes.

Secondly, we did have a meet and confer with Mr. Capossela, as he said. We tried to talk through what we thought were some extremely broad questions that were difficult, if not impossible, for us to answer because of the way that they were phrased. And these were document requests for the most part, not Interrogatories.

And the result of that conference was is

Mr. Capossela said -- a new Interrogatory No. 51 that

said fine. Just list every document in your possession

related to the Keystone XL Pipeline project, which, as

you can imagine since this project started before the

Petition was filed in this case in 2009, is more than six

years worth of documents.

And we said that's simply not possible. We

can't do that. Can you be more specific? We didn't get there, and that's why we're here today.

2.3

With respect to Document Request No. 3, which is the first thing that's identified in Mr. Capossela's Motion, again, this is a very broad request for all documents related to compliance with the Oil Pollution Act and PHMSA regulations.

Again, the reason for asserting the broad objection there was in part because the request is so extremely broad, in part because this Commission's jurisdiction does not include to matters that are the responsibility of the federal regulator in this case, which is PHMSA, and in part because compliance with what Keystone has to do before PHMSA is in the first instance a matter for PHMSA.

But we thought that the objection was appropriate on that basis. The more particular request that is part of that request is for the Emergency Response Plan. And as I think the response is made clear, there is no Emergency Response Plan that has yet been prepared for Keystone XL. There is a template for the Emergency Response Plan based on the Emergency Response Plan that was prepared for the Keystone Pipeline.

It is part of Appendix I to the Final

Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, and a link to that was provided to parties during the course of discovery. That Docket, which is part of Department of State's website, is partially redacted to exclude confidential information related to the Emergency Response Plan, and, again, that was part of the basis on which we objected.

2.3

With respect to Document Request No. 4, the issues are essentially the same as with Request No. 3. The Integrity Management Plan is treated on the same basis. The request for all documents related to compliance with the Pipeline Safety Act is again so overly broad that it's not possible to respond to.

With respect to Document Request Nos. 5 through 9, and I tried to be very particular about this response because we did not simply issue a broad objection and walk away from that, we responded as indicated on page 5 of our Motion with respect to each of those by providing specific information in specific sections of the FSEIS that we thought was responsive to those issues.

And Mr. Capossela did not respond to the fact that we provided those citations in his response to his Motion, and he's not argued today why that information is insufficient for the purposes that he needs for the sake of discovery in this case.

And, lastly, the two Interrogatories that are at issue, Interrogatory No. 30 and 52, both relate to the matter of an incident that is disclosed again in the Tracking Table of Changes where there was an investigation that yielded evidence that there was some external corrosion on pipe due to stray current in a location where there was collocation of utilities.

2.3

2.4

And we answered by providing the circumstances of that, stated that because there is no shared pipeline corridor in this instance in South Dakota, further any specifics about that request were not relevant because this situation could not happen in South Dakota with respect to the Keystone XL project.

And I don't want to otherwise repeat the arguments that have been made in writing, and so I'll stop unless you have questions.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Questions from the Commission for either side.

I do have questions for Mr. Capossela. And I'm

going to reference the specific document requests.

Mr. Moore just talked about Nos. 5, 6, 7, 8 and I'm not sure if he mentioned 9 but I'm going to throw 9 in there where he's provided a web link to the information that he believes is responsive to your request.

Can you tell us why that is not an adequate

response?

2.3

MR. CAPOSSELA: Mr. Chairman, under South Dakota Codified Law 15-6-34A any party may serve on any other party a request to "produce and permit the party making the request or someone acting on his behalf to inspect and copy the documents."

In discovery we exchange documents. That's what parties in contested cases do. And so to cite -- to rely upon documents that may be in the public's view or to refer a person to a call number in the library or to a website does not meet the standard in the statute that I just read of producing and permitting to inspect.

That's what we do. We give documents to one another. And that's what advances the fact-finding process. Not to point over there. That's not compliant with the rules. And I just read in Rule 34A of the South Dakota Rules of Civil Procedure.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

The next question I've got is in relation to

Document Request 10, all documents relating to

communications or meetings with the Standing Rock Sioux

Tribe or other Indian Tribes in the United States or

Canada.

Keystone indicated that any responsive nonprivileged documents would be provided on or before

1 February 6. Has that been complied with? 2 That we did get documents, yes, MR. CAPOSSELA: 3 sir. We did get documents in response to that request. 4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And I think the 5 last question I've got for you is in relation to Document 6 Request 14. All letters, correspondence, e-mails, or 7 instance messages to and from the South Dakota Public 8 Utilities Commission, its employees, attorneys, or agents since January 1, 2008. 10 Can you tell us what you're looking for here 11 that would comply with our discovery order? 12 MR. CAPOSSELA: The communications between 13 TransCanada and the Staff may very well shed light on 14 issues regarding compliance with the South Dakota acts as 15 well as the federal laws, areas -- there may be 16 There may be questions that Staff asked. admissions. 17 There about acknowledgements by TransCanada of a need to 18 improve this area or that area in order to get their 19 permit. They'll need to go see if, subsequent to the 20 permit, there's anything in the record that shows what 21 they did or didn't do what they promised back in the 22 prior Docket. 2.3 With respect to tribal consultation, in our 24 Reply Brief I cited an Interrogatory and an Answer to an

Interrogatory regarding tribal consultation that I think

25

is illustrative of their attitude in discovery.

2.3

It's an incomplete answer. It's an evasive answer, and it's just something that the Tribe cares about for obvious reasons. And that nonanswer to the Interrogatory with respect to not consultation with Tribes in South Dakota, North Dakota, or Canada but the Standing Rock.

We asked specifically cite the meetings, cite the individuals. Who did you meet with? Who did you talk with? They said that yes, they consulted, period, with no other information. And I think that answer to that Interrogatory is very reflective of their attitude in discovery as a whole.

And you have to lift a finger. Even if something is overly broad, you can't not give any information. You have to share what's reasonable, and if the other -- if the requesting party feels that that's insufficient, then they have -- then we would have an obligation to try to negotiate a compromise. And we tried to fulfill that obligation in this case. Obviously we weren't able to do it.

But I think the underlying issue is they didn't lift a finger and the Tribe did and the Tribe put a lot of resources into responding to TransCanada's discovery requests. They didn't reciprocate even though they're

the Petitioner. And that's why action on the part of the Commission in response to the Tribe's Motion I think is appropriate.

2.3

2.4

Now one thing that might get everybody off the hook would be to grant the Tribe's Motion to Exclude Evidence without prejudice and make TransCanada refile its Petition for Certification. That would give everybody a chance to do it right next time.

But those rules are there to the deter the kind of noncompliance that TransCanada has brought to the table in this case. And so the Tribe's Motion is meritorious and should be granted.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'd like to go back to the line of questioning I began with on Document Request 14.

Are you familiar with Dakota Rural Action's Motion to Compel Staff that we're going to hear later this afternoon?

MR. CAPOSSELA: I've read it, but, no, I don't -- I read it, sir.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I appreciate that candor.

In my mind it covers much of the same information and perhaps the discussion on this would be better held at that time so but we'll see where the remainder of this discussion goes.

That's the end of my questions.

1 Other questions from the Commission.

Commissioner Hanson.

2.3

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Could you help me out here? You made a statement, Mr. Capossela, that other states -- and you're referring to the Emergency Response Plan as not being confidential. You stated in your remarks earlier today that other states who have this file it right on their website.

Could you tell me what states those are?

MR. CAPOSSELA: I included in our Motion in the

Brief the Kinder Morgan Response Plan for the Puget Sound
website on the Washington State Department of Ecology
website. Unredacted Emergency Response Plan for
pipelines from Canada in the Puget Sound area of western
Washington.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Right. I saw that, but are there other ones that -- you said plural, and I'm just curious if there are other ones.

MR. CAPOSSELA: That is a particularly helpful website. With much of the information TransCanada refused to disclose involving contractors, emergency response contractors, the location of equipment for emergency response, and that's why I -- that's why I included that one, because it's especially helpful.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And I have a question for Keystone.

2.3

2.4

With I guess I'll call it Keystone East, since we have an existing Keystone Pipeline from TransCanada dissecting the eastern half our state, there was a considerable amount of discussion during that process on the Emergency Response Plan, and on the Integrity Management Plan. And much more than what is disclosed in your responses here.

Why could you not have responded more fully, fleshed it out a little bit more? I know that you state that it's confidential in some respects, however, and you're saying that there isn't one that exists and you use the skeleton of Keystone I for it. Why couldn't you provide more of that information with this one?

Certainly there's going to be a lot more information going through this process. We as Commissioners are going to have to see a lot more of that information in order to ascertain what our position's going to be.

MR. MOORE: I think there are a couple of responses to that. One is that the information that was available as part of the hearing process in this Docket in connection with the Permit Application is still a matter of record.

Secondly, to the extent that the request is for all documents related to the Emergency Response Plan, it's too broad to know where to begin.

2.3

Thirdly, with respect to a request for this particular Emergency Response Plan, the response has to be particular. It doesn't exist yet. But there is a template for it, and there is extensive discussion about it in connection with the pending side to the Final EIS.

So our position is, given all of that information, tell us specifically what more you want to know, and we'll be happy to try to comply with that.

We're not there.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Just one second.

Someone must be listening to this hearing on their website and also have their phone off of mute. You cannot do both or else we have feedback here. And the Chair has asked repeatedly for people to cooperate with that. And it's becoming very frustrating. So place your phones on mute. For whatever good that did.

That just doesn't seem good enough at this juncture. There are particular items with Keystone XL that do not exist with the first Keystone, such as the --well, as I recall, it was Commissioner Kolbeck who made the Motion that even 1 pint of oil that spilled had to be reported.

So there's things of that nature that I think at this process when you are filing a request for a permit you should have -- certainly four years, six years after the filing, after the process you should have some information available in that respect.

2.3

I really find it strange that an offer has not been made to flesh that out somewhat, you know, to say that it hasn't been approved yet. You know where your -- the siting process is.

It was approved. Once it's approved it's along the same line as when I was admonishing persons who wanted to be parties to this. And I said, well, now we don't know if we're going to be parties or not. And then a month later we decided after we became parties we better find out whether we can afford to do it and go on and on.

Well, there's some planning in this process.

And Keystone's a big company. They've done this before.

They know that they're going to have to have that plan.

They know they have to have that plan for the Federal

Government. And in order to cross our border I would

certainly think that the Federal Government would want to

see that plan.

So it just amazes me that you're not able to say here's our plan. We've redacted those things that for

whatever reason the Federal Government thinks is -- has to be confidential. But it's -- excuse me. I just am amazed that you don't have that.

2.3

MR. MOORE: Commissioner Hanson, if Jim White is on, he can correct me if this statement is incorrect.

But my understanding is that the current state of the Emergency Response Plan for the Keystone XL Pipeline is in the form that is part of Appendix I to the FSEIS.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: So we have the ERP, and you're saying we have the IMP, the Integrity Management Plan.

MR. MOORE: No. I don't think I'm saying that.

I'm saying that the Commission's Order requires that when those are prepared for Keystone XL that they be filed with the Commission prior to the commencement of construction.

When the emergency response and the integrity management programs were discussed and considered as part of the FSEIS in the Department of State's review what was submitted is the template for the plan that will be prepared for Keystone XL but has not yet been prepared, and that is part of what's Appendix I.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Correct.

MR. MOORE: I don't think that there's anything more that Keystone can give on that matter given the

state of the project, given how long the matter has been in limbo. I don't think there's any ongoing efforts to complete the Emergency Response Plan at the moment.

2.3

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I understand. It surprises me that it is not fleshed out considerably more. For South Dakota I would think that you'd be able to say here it is. There's going to be some slight changes to it, but here it is at this juncture. That just really surprised me.

MR. WHITE: Commissioner Hanson, this is

Jim White on the phone. I don't know whether you want me
to address that further. I could.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I'd appreciate that, yes.

MR. WHITE: Sure. So, yes, we are working to flesh out the specifics of the Emergency Response Plan.

I don't want denigrate what's in the Final SEIS.

There's several hundred pages showing the template for what the Keystone XL ERP will look like. It is redacted.

The State Department agreed to redacting it.

We're moving along for plans with specifics in South Dakota. But, frankly, given the state of the federal permitting process and given the fact that we don't file the plan with PHMSA until shortly before we go into operation, we don't have a fully flanged up plan for South Dakota that we could provide at this point.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: I understand that.
                                                         Thank
2
     you for --
 3
              Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional questions,
 5
     Commissioner Fiegen.
 6
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    I just wanted to ask a
     quick follow-up question on that on the discovery request
7
8
     on the Emergency Response Plan.
              Could it not be beneficial to the Intervenors
10
     that are asking for this that you would maybe give them
     Keystone's because that has been filed? It would be a
11
12
     great example. And I'm sure the template is very much
13
     like it.
14
              And I don't know if there's some confidentiality
15
     issues there that you would have to take portions of it
16
     out, but could you not give them Keystone's for their
17
     discovery request and say it would be similar?
              MR. MOORE: I think that's what we tried to do
18
19
     with the response because that is what is part of
20
     Appendix I to the FSEIS is the Keystone Emergency
21
     Response Plan that has been redacted by Department of
22
     State.
2.3
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I thought it was
24
     the template. I'm sorry. I misheard you.
```

Well, it is the template for the XL,

25

MR. MOORE:

but it is actually the template ERP.

2.3

2 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional Commissioner 3 questions.

Okay. Hearing none, is there a Motion?

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: You know, I did have one question for Standing Rock.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Certainly.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Standing Rock, you know, we certainly read your discovery request, and is it my understanding it was Standing Rock that actually asked for every single document relating to TransCanada? They asked for every single document in every single office?

Was that one of your requests, or was that a different Intervenor?

MR. CAPOSSELA: No. Commissioner what we did is after the initial request for documents were not -- we didn't get the docs. And so we filed an Interrogatory which took the very language that is in Federal Rule of Procedure 26A, which is the disclosures in Federal Court, and we didn't ask for every document that TransCanada has. We asked for every document of -- a listing.

We didn't ask for the documents. We asked them to prepare a bibliography of the documents that exist relating to Keystone XL for the purpose of enabling us to scale down our request.

So we didn't ask for every document. And the language in the Interrogatories was taken right out of the federal rule regarding disclosures. So we copied the federal rule in making that request. But, no, we did not ask for every document that TransCanada has.

2.3

2.4

We asked them to list documents that they have, to make a list so that we could pick and choose a smaller number of documents from the list. That's what we asked.

And that's -- I hope that answers your question.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you.

MR. CAPOSSELA: With respect to the Emergency Response Plan, TransCanada could have acknowledged three months ago, two and a half months ago that an Emergency Response Plan for Keystone XL does not exist.

Instead they played a game about overbroad, federal preemption, and so on and so forth. And we wouldn't have to be having this discussion now. But they had to be too cute so it came to this to discover that there's no emergency response for Keystone XL in South Dakota.

One other point I'd like to make, Mr. Chairman, briefly is counsel mentioned the Tracking Table of Changes. And we did request the information regarding a corrosion issue on a different pipeline. And TransCanada actually did include information on that item in the

Tracking Table of Changes in Meera Kothari's Prefiled
Testimony. The answer didn't provide any information
about that. That's exactly the kind of thing that
parties disclose to one another in civil litigation in
discovery. What happened? Who was the other utility?
What were the circumstances? And parties don't get to
self-regulate and say, well, that's a different set of
circumstances. That doesn't apply here, which is
counsel's argument this afternoon.

2.3

That's up to the world to determine. You cannot hide that information and self-regulate in discovery.

They did that in their written response, and counsel did that again this afternoon.

One other point I'd like to make in closing is in the written response to our Motion TransCanada cites various regulatory bodies and various proceedings that they're involved with in Nebraska, in Montana with the State Department, and it seems to me it would not be overly burdensome for TransCanada to compile docs. shared with the State Department, to compile docs. relating to the pipeline that might have been submitted to regulators in Nebraska.

These are relatively -- documents that exist in the ordinary course of business. And they cite these various proceedings themselves. The volume of documents

may be a lot. And certainly the Tribe would be willing to work with them to make sure that we get information relating to the things that are most important to the Tribe.

2.3

2.4

But we never had that opportunity because they just didn't give anything and then did stuff like they did with the Emergency Response Plan, refused to acknowledge that it doesn't exist and made objections which they have since admitted that the doc. doesn't exist. They could have did that three months ago.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Motions from the Commission.

If there aren't any, I do.

In relation to Standing Rock Sioux Tribe's

Motion for Discovery Sanctions or to Compel -- and to

guide me as I enunciate this Motion I'm going to read

from that Motion, page 2, the last paragraph. And in

that last paragraph I see three distinct items that we're

to rule on.

The first sentence says "The Tribe moves for an order excluding the introduction of evidence by TransCanada regarding compliance by Keystone XL with applicable state and federal law." I move to deny that Motion.

Secondly, it says "Alternatively, the time

period for discovery should be extended, the hearing continued." I am going to move to deny those requests.

And I'm going to go through those individually. For

Docket -- Request for Production of Documents, to the

extent the documents exist I am going to move to grant
the Motion to Compel for Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11,

12, 13, and move to deny Motions to Compel for Nos. 10,

14, 15, Interrogatory 51, and Interrogatory No. 30.

Discussion on the Motion.

2.3

First of all, I hope I got everything. Let me begin by saying back in December we set the scope of the question that is ultimately to be answered in the hearing, and that scope I still believe is relatively narrow.

That said, I don't believe that the law allows this Commission to limit the Intervenors' ability to access information that may relate to that relatively narrow scope of what we're going to deal with in the hearing.

Are some of these requests pretty broad?

Absolutely. But might they lead to information that is directly applicable to the question we're going to deal with in the hearing? The answer is yes for the ones that I have moved to grant.

For the ones that I have moved to deny obviously in my mind the answer is no. And that's how I devined between those that I have moved to grant and those that I have moved to deny.

2.3

2.4

I guess the last comment that I would make based on the Order from two weeks ago, if this Motion or any of the other Motions to Compel pass, Keystone has until Friday to comply with these. And as I said two weeks ago, I said to all the parties, be prepared to comply should this Commission grant any of these Motions to Compel.

And so while I know there's a short three days,
I think we gave adequate notice two weeks ago that this
was a possibility.

Further discussion on my Motion.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, I'm just asking for a minute or two.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I'm looking through the different ones to see whether or not I can support the Motion.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: In your Motion could you restate the beginning? Because you kind of said like to the extent --

CHAIRMAN NELSON: To the extent documents exist,

and as we have gotten some testimony today, there may
have been some misunderstanding between whether a

particular document existed or not. But to the extent
that it exists and is in Keystone's possession, my Motion

is that it would be compelled to be turned over.

2.3

- We will be at ease for just a moment as folks are looking through and ascertaining how far they can go with me.
- MR. SMITH: Do you have something with those numbers on it again?
 - CHAIRMAN NELSON: I will read those to you again. And the document that I'm using is Keystone's objections to Standing Rock Sioux Tribe's First Request for Production of Documents. That's kind of my guide.
 - And for Production of Documents I said yes to 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 13. And I said no to 10, 14, 15, and then Interrogatories 30 and 51.
 - And I believe from again the last paragraph on page 2 of the original Motion -- I think I've covered everything that was asked.
 - I apologize. I did miss some Interrogatories. I'm going to supplement my Motion by moving to grant Interrogatory 15, 16, 17, 18, and deny Interrogatory 19 and 20. And that, I think, covers everything that was listed in that last paragraph on page 2.

```
1
              And I'm going to ask you, Mr. Capossela, have I
2
     covered everything that was all of the different numbers
     that you identified?
 3
 4
              MR. CAPOSSELA: Yes, sir. Now that you've
 5
    addressed Interrogatories 15 through 20.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. I apologize for
7
    missing that. I'm shuffling through three sets of --
8
    four sets of papers here.
              MR. CAPOSSELA: I understand.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: We're just going to be at ease
11
    here while fellow Commissioners review.
12
              MR. GOUGH: Mr. Chairman, this is Bob Gough.
                                                             Ι
13
    would like at some point to raise a point of
14
    clarification affecting InterTribal COUP when the time is
15
    appropriate.
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Yeah. Let's get this
17
    one resolved first. Thanks.
18
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Did you do anything on
19
    No. 52, Interrogatory 52?
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I did not because 52 is not
21
    listed in the Motion.
22
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay.
23
              And just for the benefit of folks listening in,
24
    you understand that I can't confer with my fellow
25
     Commissioners before the hearing, and so they have no
```

idea where I'm coming from and I have no idea where
they're coming from when we walk into these motions.

2.3

And so that's why we're granting some time here to make sure that they're where I'm at or can tell me why I'm way off base.

(Pause)

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion.

Commissioner Fiegen.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Certainly the Motion that we have before us is going to certainly put some time pressures and some information that you'll have to get quickly to Standing Rock, but we want to make sure Standing Rock also has the information that they can develop their case and that they can move forward.

So I'm going to support the Motion. It's pretty detailed, as you can guess. But some of it it looks like you have given. You might have to supply the documents to support it, but it looks like you have given quite a bit of the information but you'll probably have to supplement it with the documents that led to many of those items.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion.

Commissioner Hanson.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Still debating whether I was going to say something. It may not have gone quite

```
1
     as far in some areas and went a little further in other
2
     areas. I'm going to support your Motion.
                                                 I think it's
 3
     reasonably made, and I can see where it has a good deal
 4
     of merit and provides -- I want to make certain that this
 5
     Commission allows the parties to have the information
 6
     that I really think they need to have. So I appreciate
7
     your Motion.
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
                                             If Commissioner
     Hanson says I'm being reasonable, I'll take that any day
10
     of the week. Thank you.
11
              Additional discussion.
12
              Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye.
13
     Those opposed, nay.
14
              Commissioner Hanson.
15
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Aye.
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
17
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.
18
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
19
              The multisection Motion passes.
20
              MR. CAPOSSELA: Thank you, Commission.
21
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Yes, Mr. Gough. I thought
22
     that was Mr. Gough who wanted to speak.
2.3
              MR. GOUGH: Yes, Chairman.
24
              Point of clarification on the Motion regarding
25
     Dakota Rural Action and InterTribal COUP. Given what was
```

resolved today, do I understand that we are precluded
from bringing a written on direct? And that's the only
preclusion, that we still have all of our rights for
cross-examination and all other rights as parties,
including providing rebuttal witnesses?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: That is my understanding. And I'm going to turn to Mr. Smith to make sure that I'm right on that.

MR. SMITH: That's my understanding of what you -- when I asked you the questions.

And, again, it's a little unusual because of the weird way we're set up here this year in terms of -- but my understanding is that people have responsive testimony, and they're not -- and they wish to -- they're not -- and they do prefile, that that will not be precluded.

Is that --

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Maybe we need to differentiate. If someone has not -- if a particular individual has not filed prefiled testimony, they would not be able to turn around and file rebuttal testimony. Is that -- but if there is information that comes out of Motions to Compel that are granted today, prefiled testimony can be filed on that by new witnesses by the 27th. Is that -- and I'm looking at Mr. Smith. Is

```
that --
2
              MR. SMITH: Okay. Yep.
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Or am I misunderstanding?
 4
              MR. SMITH: No. I don't think so. I'm just
 5
    trying to get it clarified. Like new witnesses, we
 6
    mean --
7
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Somebody that's not filed
8
    prefiled testimony. I mean, the ticket in that we
     established in our Order was that you had to file
10
    prefiled testimony. That was your ticket in to be able
11
    to be a witness in this proceeding.
12
              Now, Ms. Edwards, you've got this really puzzled
13
     look on your face. Am I -- talk to us.
14
              MS. EDWARDS: Forgive me. I didn't mean to have
15
    that look.
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. You're forgiven for
17
    having that look.
18
              Okay. Mr. Gough, does that clarify?
19
              MR. GOUGH: I'm not quite clear, sir. Despite
20
    our objection, we did name three witnesses, and we
21
     included the scope of their testimony. It was not their
22
     full direct testimony, but it was the scope of their
2.3
    testimony. And identified the witnesses.
24
              We received no information from Keystone during
25
     the Interrogatories with regard to any of their case
```

Keystone's

```
1
     regarding our witness testimony. So we may have pulled
2
     them as rebuttal witnesses, but I would still like the
 3
    opportunity to file a brief statement of their testimony.
 4
              In accordance with the schedule I was prepared
 5
     for April 21. And certainly April 27 would work, but I
 6
     just want to make sure that we are not precluded from
7
    brings those witnesses forward.
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: So my understanding is that
    those three did not file prefiled testimony. Is that
10
     correct?
              MR. GOUGH: We filed a scope of testimony. Not
11
12
    their actual testimony. I don't have that yet.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Thank you.
14
              Mr. Taylor is sitting at the seat here, and I'm
15
     going to ask him what his view is of those three at this
16
    point? Is your understanding the same as mine?
17
              MR. TAYLOR: It's unclear to me what your
18
    understanding is, Commissioner Nelson. If you could
19
     articulate it, I'll see if I can line up with you.
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                The Motion that we granted was
21
     to --
22
              MR. TAYLOR: I guess I should say I think I
2.3
    understand what your view is. I'm not sure of
24
    Mr. Gough's question.
```

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Here we go.

25

Motion was to preclude witnesses from testifying at hearing who did not file prefiled testimony. And that is the extent of my Motion. And I'm going to read again "Keystone respectfully requests that the Commission enter an order precluding DRA, COUP, and any other party from offering testimony at the hearing, other than rebuttal testimony that meets the deadline for prefiled rebuttal testimony."

2.3

So I guess the question that I've got is that last phrase. When you say "other than rebuttal testimony that meets the deadline for prefiled rebuttal testimony," and I'm going to turn to Mr. Smith to answer this question, is it usual that we would allow new witnesses to enter rebuttal testimony? Or are we limited to those that had prefiled initial testimony?

MR. SMITH: Well, these have been at least identified so I would say no. I mean, you know, the thing is are they only offering -- are they offering testimony that's in the nature of direct testimony, or is it truly responsive and rebuttal in nature? I think that's really more the question.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. I'm going to turn more to Mr. Taylor and ask what did you mean by that phrase "other than rebuttal testimony that meets the deadline for prefiled rebuttal testimony"?

```
1
              MR. TAYLOR: Forget the "meets the deadline"
2
           That's self-explanatory.
    part.
              If there is true rebuttal testimony to be
 3
 4
    offered by COUP, then, yeah, they can offer rebuttal
    testimony.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Even if they have not offered
7
    prefiled testimony?
8
              MR. TAYLOR: Right. But it's got to be rebuttal
     testimony. It doesn't get to be their case in chief
10
    under the hat of rebuttal testimony.
11
                               Okay. That to me clarifies
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
12
     this. And that's exactly where I think it needs to
13
     stand. I am good with that.
14
              Mr. Gough, does that answer the question?
15
              MR. GOUGH: I'm not quite sure it does.
16
     question also included my rights for cross-examination of
17
     any of the witnesses being deposed.
18
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: That's open. That's not been
19
    precluded.
20
              MR. GOUGH: Fine. Okay. The second part,
21
    though, has to do with the three witnesses as identified
22
     in the scope of their testimony.
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: If they have not filed
24
    prefiled testimony, and apparently they have not, they
```

would not be able to offer testimony. You would be able

25

```
to offer them as rebuttal witnesses, but as we have just
    discussed, only in the nature of rebuttal. Not as a
2
 3
    precursor to put on a chief case.
 4
              MR. GOUGH:
                          Thank you. Okay.
 5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                And their testimony again
 6
    would be due by the 27th. And if they don't file that
7
     rebuttal testimony by the 27th, then they're not in.
8
              MR. GOUGH: Understood.
9
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okav.
                                      Thank you.
                                                   And I
10
     appreciate your asking for that clarification because I'd
11
    much rather get that taken care of today than on the
12
     first day of the hearing. So thank you for helping us
13
    with that.
14
              MR. GOUGH: Exactly. Thank you. Thank you very
15
    much.
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. That brings us, I
17
    believe, to -- brings us to Dakota Rural Action's Motion
18
    to Compel Keystone.
19
              And who's going to handle this one?
20
              MR. MARTINEZ: I will be handling that.
21
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Okay. Mr. Martinez, go ahead.
22
              MR. MARTINEZ:
                            Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I hope
2.3
     everybody's enjoying this long afternoon.
24
              We've got a number of very similar issues that
```

we've raised in our Motion to Compel that were also hit

25

upon by Standing Rock. So to the extent that there is a little bit of overlap there, I'll try to keep it short on those particular issues because I think, you know, there's no need to be redundant.

2.3

Now we had sent TransCanada on behalf of DRA an entire series of Interrogatories, approximately 86 separate Interrogatories as well as 56 separate Requests for Production of Documents.

Under the applicable law, the South Dakota rules

I think are worth taking a look at. And South Dakota

Supreme Court opinions are also worth taking a look at.

I think there is the overriding sort of principle that applies to discovery motions and discovery rules is that they are to be very liberally interpreted, including determinations of relevance.

And that is actually -- that's a direct quote from one of the Supreme Court cases I think that was cited in Standing Rock's Motion, which was State By & Through the Department of Transportation v. Grudnik.

And, you know, the other thing that is worth pointing out and the other key case that's been cited is Kaarup v. St. Paul Fire and Marine, which talks about all relevant matters are discoverable unless privileged.

And that gets into the question of, once again, what's this liberal interpretation of what is considered

relevant. And the standard that you have is is that if there is any possibility that the information sought may be relevant to the claim or defense of any party, then it is discoverable.

2.3

Now that sort of lays the groundwork for what under law Dakota Rural Action is entitled to get from TransCanada during the course of discovery.

Now once we have that sort of framed in mind, I think the next thing to look at is what Commissioner

Nelson has just referred to as the relatively narrow scope of discovery. That was a quote from just this last segment where we were discussing Standing Rock's Motion.

Now what's interesting is when you look at your Order of -- that was entered back in December that related to what we can or can't get into, it is actually fairly broad. Because the question then comes to what can we look at with respect to any of the conditions.

And the conditions cover everything from federal laws and regulations, and that includes everything from pipeline safety to environmental rules. Compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local permitting, including the Presidential Permit and all of the additional permits such as the Clean Water Act permitting, the permitting related to, for instance wetlands that I referred to in one of our prior motions,

compliance with, for instance, all of the recommendations set forth in the Environmental Impact Statements and presumably also the final supplemental, which was then issued by the U.S. State Department as well.

2.3

So there's a lot of groundwork here that can be covered. And we, unlike TransCanada, actually went through when we submitted our discovery requests and identified during the course of making those which specific findings and conditions those applied to.

And, you know, let me go ahead and just tell you that of the 86 Interrogatories that we had, we really made I think a very good-faith effort on DRA's part to sort of narrow that down. Frankly, we weren't happy with, you know, any number of responses that TransCanada provided to us, but we narrowed it down just because we knew this was going to be a long hearing.

We decided to focus on, you know, the stuff that we thought was absolutely critical as opposed to just everything we would like to have and we think is still relevant.

About 21 out of the 86 different Interrogatories we submitted and then 24 out of the 56 Requests for Production of Documents. Frankly, we could have gone into a lot more, but we chose to focus on those.

Now you'll look at the different categories.

And I guess you can start with the Interrogatories we had. One of the first things that we point out and what I'd like to do -- and you should have it in our Motion there, but the Interrogatories that we have in contention are No. 7, 8, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 21, 23, 25, 26, 30, 40, 48, 56, 57, 58, 60, 76, 83, and 86. You know, those are the Interrogatories in question.

2.3

Let's start with, you know, No. 7, which was our very first one, where we asked TransCanada for information concerning leaks and spills of crude oil for pipelines that they own and operate. We requested information concerning their IMP, which they have now finally admitted they don't have, their SCADA systems which supervisor control and data acquisition specs, as well as their ERP, which they have also now finally said that they don't have.

Now what did TransCanada provide us? They provided us with a schedule that set out those leaks and spills. It's a several page, multi-page spreadsheet saying here are all the instances where pipelines have leaked, and here's how much they have leaked. Or here's where we've had spills or any kind of pipeline failures.

But they didn't provide any of the additional information we sought in connection with that. They just said it was confidential and not relevant.

How is it not relevant, given the conditions that they've got to meet, when one of the core questions we have is their ability to build a pipeline that meets the specifications and safety standards that are there?

2.3

DRA's got a right to know that. We've got a right to discover that. Whether ultimately at the end of the day you as Commissioners decide whether it's relevant or not with respect to actually getting it into evidence at the hearing is a completely separate question. For the purposes of discovery, we're entitled to it.

TransCanada does not get to make that call.

You know, based on the information that we have provided and several of the exhibits -- in fact one of the exhibits, Exhibits 3 Adam Vokes' testimony that we submitted as an exhibit to our Motion, it's pretty darn clear that if this pipeline ever gets built, there are going to be leaks and spills.

In fact, in my Motion I refer to it as a virtual inevitability. And so consequently that information that we've sought is incredibly relevant. We've got to have it.

The next category -- and what I'm going to do is -- and in our Motion I grouped a number of them together, which, frankly, is going to help get through this a little bit quicker. But Nos. 8, 12, 13, 15, 16,

```
17, 26, 30, 33, 34, and 40, now we put those discovery
1
2
     requests together in kind of one group because from
 3
     various different angles and different ways of asking for
 4
     it.
5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Just a moment.
 6
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Could he just repeat those
7
     last -- I got the first.
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                They're on page 5 of his
     Motion.
10
              MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah. It's Nos. 8, 12, 13, 15,
     16, 17, 26, 30, 33, 34, and 40.
11
12
              You got those recorded?
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes.
14
              MR. MARTINEZ: Okay. Now in various different
15
     ways we have asked for information concerning the crude
16
     oil demand, various aspects relating to refinery
17
     capacity, and other factors that TransCanada should have
18
     considered whether or not this pipeline is even
19
     necessary.
20
              Now TransCanada's, of course, making the
21
     argument that this is not even relevant. It's outside
22
     the Commission's jurisdiction. But honestly it's not.
2.3
     Because one of the key conditions -- in fact, you go back
24
     to Condition No. 2 within your Permit Conditions, you're
25
     talking about compliance with the Presidential Permit.
```

You're also talking about compliance with the -- with Department of State and Environmental Impact Statement recommendations.

2.3

All of those issues directly go to the basic need for the pipeline and whether or not alternate routes are -- you know, might be, you know, necessary or whether or not it's even, you know -- an additional pipeline is even needed given the existing pipeline capacity.

at, which is very relevant, and they -- what blew my mind a little bit when we took a look at TransCanada's responses, they flat out told us that they did not engage in any kind of forecasting for this. They just said, hey, we felt that there was sufficient demand. We're going to go ahead and launch this pipeline project.

Honestly, I don't believe that. My Motion that I filed on behalf of DRA makes it pretty clear that any company that's going to engage in a project of this nature -- and I forget exactly how many billions of dollars this pipeline is going to cost in total, but it just defies credibility to believe that any company is going to launch a project like that without engaging in some actual forecasting.

Now this particular Interrogatory, one of the things we requested in all of our Interrogatories was

that TransCanada identify any documents that they relied upon in answering that.

2.3

So it kind of ties back to our Requests for Production, which I'll get to here in a little bit. But the response we got was, well, A, we don't think any of this is relevant. We're not going to give it to you. And, then, B, they wound up giving us essentially a couple of what looked like consulting reports or industry sort of newsletters that talked about -- that talked about crude oil demand.

That really wasn't what we were asking for. We were asking for TransCanada's internal materials and forecasting that we believe we're entitled to and they just flat out did not provide.

Now that goes for that whole group of Interrogatory requests.

Our next one that we asked for was No. 21, for instance. We wanted information as to whether or not a failure by TransCanada to construct this pipeline in accordance with the conditions set out by PHMSA and in Appendix Z of the State Department's FEIS would wind up being a violation of federal law.

The conditions of the permit talk specifically about the fact that TransCanada has to comply with all federal, state, and local permitting and regulations. So

it's clearly a relevant question.

2.3

Once again, TransCanada just basically says it's overly broad and burdensome, it's beyond the scope, and they said that they weren't -- none of this information was even binding upon them in terms of the recommendations in the Final EIS until the Department of State issued a record of decision and the Presidential Permit was issued.

So here -- I think earlier you had indicated -- or one of the Commissioners. I can't remember. It might have been Commissioner Nelson or maybe Commissioner Hanson referred to TransCanada being a sort of chicken and egg kind of situation.

And this is really the condition that

TransCanada is putting Dakota Rural Action in in trying

to put together our case for this hearing. This is

information that we've got to have, but they're saying,

well, you don't get it until a permit is ultimately

issued down the road. We don't know when that permit is

going to be issued so we're not going to give you an

answer.

I would suggest that that is unacceptable. And it's really an issue where they should be compelled to answer. We believe they've got information in their files and they're -- you know, in their e-mail

communication chains that provide all of this information. It needs to be disclosed, particularly disclosure of their correspondence with PHMSA. That could help Dakota Rural Action as we prepare our case, particularly as it relates to Mr. Vokes' testimony by showing that there may be underlying issues with respect to the integrity of the pipeline. And as Mr. Vokes has indicated, TransCanada tendency to use substandard materials when it comes to construction and ignoring their pipeline safety regulations. That's critical stuff that DRA needs to know in order to present our case.

2.3

Next I go to Interrogatory No. 23 where we ask specifically for dates that crude oil transportation was disrupted on pipelines that TransCanada has from the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin.

Once again, I previously referred to the spreadsheet of pipeline spills they gave to us. Once again, that's all they gave us. It was not responsive to the requests that they had because we asked specifically for a date -- a range of dates in association with each of those spills where there was a disruption.

Now we argued that that's relevant because it could provide DRA with information concerning

TransCanada's ability to actually respond to pipeline breaches or respond to any particular measures that were

1 | needed in terms of clean up.

2.3

One of the key issues that we have, for instance, is if you do have a leak, TransCanada's not provided us with the information that we have requested regarding how long it would take them typically to detect and respond to those kinds of leaks.

We need to know that. And the information just hasn't been -- you know, hasn't been responded to. And I think it should be responded to.

Other issues. We specifically went into and asked for information about their software and data systems.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Just a minute. Before you move on past 23, did Keystone provide you some supplemental information there that might have answered that?

MR. MARTINEZ: No. We haven't received anything from them other than a response that, yeah, maybe we'll get it to you.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. I'm sorry. Continue.

MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah. The next one we had was

25. And this one was kind of a critical one for us because one of the -- one of the key things that TransCanada's been touting has been that their pipeline

25 is so much safer now because of software security systems

in place and software controls.

2.3

Well, every time these days you turn on the news you're hearing or seeing in the news or hearing about it, major data breaches at companies everywhere. You know, everybody's heard about the one at target where, you know, millions of customers were affected. JPMorgan Chase had huge data breaches.

We see data breaches constantly occurring. So in light of the fact that, you know, TransCanada says we have all of these wonderful systems in place to prevent that, we'd like to know what they are. Because if they're going to be so highly reliant on software systems that are in place to detect leaks and to manage this process, we need to know whether or not those systems are safe, whether they're secure.

We need information about, for instance, what consultants or vendors they're using. I mean, it's one thing if, for instance, they're using IBM to help develop them as opposed to maybe they've decide to go on the cheap and hire Joe Software Company out of a garage in San Bernardino. We don't know.

That's the kind of information that we were looking for that is extremely relevant as to whether or not this pipeline is going to leak and spew dil. bit. all over the South Dakota waterways. Very relevant. And

TransCanada has not provided that, any information that
we have requested. That goes to, as I put it in my

Motion, core operational and safety issues. They're

highly relevant.

2.3

Other Interrogatories. No. 40. We asked them, for instance, to talk about the potential for pipeline transportation to replace rail transit from both the Williston Basin areas in the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basins.

Now TransCanada's made public statements that one of the reasons that the pipelines are much more safer than rail when it comes to transporting this dil. bit.

We seriously -- we wonder about that. We don't know that that's the case. That, once again, goes to pipeline safety issues, which TransCanada, you know, is, once again, not willing to provide us.

We think that they certainly have this information. They've -- we think they can provide it to us. They need to be compelled to do that because it's clearly relevant to what we're asking for.

Interrogatory No. 48, for example, we asked TransCanada to give us information concerning worst-case discharges and describe worst-case scenarios that could result from damage as a result to the various geological formations that we have.

That's information that, frankly, is going to be very relevant for one of our key expert witnesses who we have filed written testimony from on his behalf and that is Dr. Arden Davis. And his testimony is going significantly into detail about the geology of South Dakota and where the pipeline is coming -- is proposed to cut through the state.

2.3

We need to know from TransCanada the information that they have concerning those worst-case scenarios that could result from damage caused by movement or swelling in geological formations.

Now what's mind boggling here is TransCanada's response. Without providing any kind of statutory or regulatory authority or anything they just came out and said we can't give it to you because it's exempt under Homeland Security rules.

Well, we had a meet and confer, talked on the phone with Mr. Taylor, asked show us the rules. Have yet to see anything. Honestly, I don't think that those rules exist.

And even if they do exist, I think we're still entitled to see it, and it's incumbent upon TransCanada. At that point they've got a burden to file a motion for a protective order. That's the way the discovery rules work. They just can't tell us we're not going to give it

to you because of Homeland Security rules. That just -that just doesn't wash.

2.3

We had the same issue again with Interrogatory No. 56 where we asked about worst-case discharges along the Cheyenne and White River crossings. Water for us is an important issue. And it's a critical issue for the family farmers and ranchers that are DRA's constituents.

Without water, they have no crops. Without clean water, they don't have livestock. So it's -- you know, for that matter even for urban communities or towns within South Dakota, this is a critical issue for them as well. Because a lot -- I mean, if you're like -- a lot of the cities and towns in South Dakota are like the ones in Missouri and Kansas that I deal with and are familiar with, we get a lot of our water from the rivers And from the water tables that are adjacent to the rivers.

We need to know what the damage scenarios are in the event of a pipeline spill. Because I think that clearly goes into your duty as Commissioners to protect the natural resource of the state, including the water that both agribusiness and human populations rely on in order to survive. That's information we need to know, and that's information that TransCanada's not willing to provide us.

No. 57, once again, we requested the same

information about worst-case scenarios as it passes under channels, adjacent floodplains, and flood protection levies. TransCanada simply refused to provide it.

2.3

Same thing for Interrogatory No. 58 where we asked that TransCanada provide in light of spill risk assessments that were provided in the original '09 Docket to talk about and tell us a little bit about the leaks and spills on pipelines that they operated and to provide additional details concerning those worst-case spill scenarios that were posed by this proposed XL Pipeline.

Once again, they just said, A, it's confidential and made a totally unsupported recitation saying we're not going to give it to you because Homeland Security says we can't.

Well, either show us why they can't or file a motion for protective order and maybe have our expert witnesses and us take a look at it under seal. That I think is an appropriate approach. Don't just simply tell us we're not going to give it to you, which is what TransCanada's done.

Same thing, you know, for Interrogatory No. 60 where we asked for specifically those impacts of worst-case spill scenarios in the aquifers in Tripp County. We asked TransCanada to identify any documents that would support their answers. And, once again,

confidentiality and Homeland Security, which I think are just totally -- I mean, they're bogus answers.

2.3

Interrogatory No. 76, we also asked them about slope instability. And, you know, one of the issues that our expert witness, Dr. Davis, has indicated is that the pipeline cuts through areas where you have a fair amount of bentonite deposit.

Bentonite is -- you know, it's a form of clay, but I guess somebody described it to me once as the best way to describe it is cat litter. You know, when it gets wet it swells up. It causes instability, and the stuff collapses.

So we said, well, you know, if the pipeline is going through areas where it looks like there may be some potential geological instabilities, I think it's important for us to know whether or not TransCanada has taken those factors into account and what documents they have to show that they are doing something to mitigate that.

Now, of course, we've asked for their Integrity
Management Plan, their IMP, which they have indicated
they don't have because they haven't prepared yet so I
understand maybe why they haven't provided that. But the
rest of it they indicated it's beyond the scope of the
Commission's jurisdiction. They said it addresses the

jurisdiction that's within the exclusive province of PHMSA and that it's "confidential and proprietary."

2.3

We made -- that request in particular was very narrowly tailored. TransCanada also has said it's overly broad and unduly burdensome. I do not believe that the objections that they have raised have any merit whatsoever.

The question of whether or not the pipeline will pose the types of risks, the specific risks that we've asked for, is something that I know darn well that they have in their possession. They should answer it. They should be compelled to turn over information about that.

And if they haven't, it leads me to believe that there may be some problems here that they do not want the Commission or that they do not want the people of South Dakota to know about.

And that ought to be a huge concern for not just all of the Intervenors in this case but for you as a Commission as well.

Interrogatory 83, for instance, we asked once again for the IMP. I won't go over that, but they haven't provided that information because they don't have it.

86 we asked for another -- in the event of a worst-case discharge or a release of crude oil into

farmland and/or water resources as a result of some kind of a pipeline breach near homes or towns where people lived. We asked TransCanada how to -- you know, because they previously represented to us that the pipeline would have a minimal effect or any kind of breach or leak would have a minimal effect on health, safety, or welfare of the people of South Dakota.

2.3

And we asked TransCanada to explain how a worst-case spill scenario or discharge or a pipeline -- you know, some type of a fire or a breach would wind up having -- or why that would only result in a minimal effect. And we asked for documents -- or TransCanada to identify any documents relying on to answer that. Now, of course, they simply objected and said it was argumentative and improper in form, calls for speculation.

I don't think so. If TransCanada has done its job as they should have in terms of trying to design a pipeline that isn't going to leak, blow up, or breach in any way, they have to have thought of this.

If they haven't, they're being negligent, and you ought to dismiss their ability -- their Petition outright and not grant them the right to build a pipeline through the state.

Now if they have thought about this, like I'm

sure they have, they at least ought to tell us about it and tell us what they've found and identify any documents they have to back up their claims.

2.3

Now those were the Interrogatories. We had, once again, a substantial number of document production requests that we made.

For instance, we asked them to produce any of the documents that they identified or referred to in their Answers to the Interrogatories.

Once again, they really didn't -- you know, they gave us a document of maybe -- I'd have to count it up. A little over 1,000 pages. But mostly that consisted of a couple of energy consultant reports that I previously told you they provided, a spreadsheet showing a pipeline breach -- or pipeline breaches that they've had. And then also copies of -- multiple copies of pages of the State Department's Final Environmental Impact Statement. Stuff, frankly, I mean, thank you for providing it, but we already pulled it down, you know, off Department of State's website.

But the request that we made, and this is

Document Request For Production No. 1, was much broader

than that. We asked for whatever they identified or

referred to. But the problem we have is they refused to

identify a lot of the documents in the Interrogatory

requests. So those two kind of go hand in hand.

2.3

Now you go to the next one we have, and that was Document Request For Production No. 9. One of the things that -- one of the key questions that we have is is about the pipeline. It's about pipeline integrity. And particularly, you know, the prefiled testimony we have attached as Exhibit 3 to our Motion for Mr. Vokes who suggested that TransCanada uses substandard materials and sacrifices safety in favor of profits.

So in light of that we said, well, give us some information, give us your documents concerning your decision to use the particular type of steel that you have indicated you're going to be using here in the pipeline.

Now they have come right out and said that, well, we're not entitled to give -- we're not going to give it to you. It wouldn't have a significant impact on the pipeline integrity, and, you know, it's overly broad and unduly burdensome is what they said.

I respectfully disagree with that. It may require TransCanada to do a little bit of work to gather the documents that we've asked for that relate to their decision to use this particular type of steel, but that's something that they ought to have in their files already. That's something that they should have had ready and able

just to basically pull from a file because they probably already provided something of that nature to PHMSA and to other regulators.

2.3

Why can't they provide it to us? DRA is entitled to those documents. And that includes -- you know, documents are not just reports that they've prepared but copies of their e-mail communications, for instance, with various regulators discussing this issue.

There's a lot of material here that, frankly, we would like to take a look at because it's relevant, it fits in with the standards that we have for -- the legal standard for what we're entitled to see in discovery, and they just really -- they haven't provided it.

In fact, what was interesting was was one of the words or catch phrases that TransCanada used throughout its responses to our discovery requests. They said, you know, we've -- we're going to give you some information, but they said -- and we asked them in our Interrogatories if they made a due and diligent search of their books, records, documents, to get all of the information that we were asking for.

And their response was, yeah, we did it, but only to the extent it was reasonably practical in attempting to respond to all of these discovery requests that were made within the time period allowed.

You know, I'm sorry, but reasonably practicable isn't good enough. That's just simply -- who's to decide what exactly is reasonably practicable? Under that standard TransCanada could just not give us a darn thing and say, well, it wasn't reasonably practicable for us to respond to your requests. I have a huge issue with how TransCanada has responded to our requests.

2.3

Now, you know, going through some of the rest of the ones we have had, we asked for information, for instance, in Request No. 10 concerning their use of fusion bonded epoxy coating, which they said is one of the key issues that's going to keep the integrity of the pipeline apparently in place and keep it from leaking.

Well, we wanted to know a little bit more about that. We want to know who manufacturers it. We wanted to know, for instance, whatever communications that they had between TransCanada and the manufacturer of that fusion bonded epoxy so maybe we could get a better understanding of what the specifications were that TransCanada asked for, whether there were any issues relating to how it works when it's actually in the ground.

Now all of those issues are extremely relevant to pipeline safety and pipeline integrity and TransCanada should provide that information to us and they just --

they just haven't.

2.3

Document Production Request No. 12. We wanted to know where locations were for power lines for pumping stations. And this kind of raises an interesting issue because, you know, they basically once again relied on this Homeland Security rationale to tell us we're not going to give you location pump stations and main line valves.

Well, one of the prior statements, and I think it was made during the arguments that Mr. Capossela made for Standing Rock's Motion, was there was an instance where some of this fusion bonded epoxy had failed because of collocation with another utility.

Well, one of the -- that sort of prompted a question in my mind and I think underscored why the information we are seeking to get from TransCanada is relevant here. Because if, for instance, they're running power lines out to various pumping stations, how do we know that the same type of failure that occurred with the fusion bonded epoxy isn't going to occur again because of the proximity of the power lines out to the pumping stations?

We don't know that. We'd like to know that.

And I think DRA is entitled to know if there's any
information or any documents in TransCanada's files that

might shed some light on that. Because, once again, the safety of the pipeline is a critical issue. It's a key factor that ties back to the conditions that you imposed on TransCanada back, you know, five years ago. DRA's entitled to know whether or not they can meet that.

2.3

Our next request was No. 13, and that was really a simple request. We wanted their documents concerning compliance with PHMSA regs and conditions as well as TransCanada's communications with PHMSA and federal regulators regarding these compliance issues.

TransCanada said, well, it's overly broad and unduly burdensome. You know, I hate to tell TransCanada, but that's not a good objection. It may indeed be a lot of information that they're required to produce under here. It may be -- you know, it may be a couple of gigs of data, you know, on a disk. But we're entitled to see it.

We're entitled under the Rules of Discovery as they exist and under the rulings of the South Dakota Supreme Court as they relate to what is producible in discovery.

And not only that, it's crucial. Because one of the key issues you've got and conditions you've placed is TransCanada's compliance with the federal rules and state rules and even local rules and regs. We're entitled to

see what communications that TransCanada has engaged in with federal regulators to determine whether or not they can comply with those rules. And that's information that we're entitled to have and that they should be compelled to produce.

2.3

2.4

Request For Production No. 26, for instance.

Once again, this kind of goes back to the idea of a failure that they had of that fusion bonded epoxy coating that was referenced that we previously referenced. We asked for documents about that. Pretty simple request.

They did not produce it for us. They should be compelled to produce it. It may indeed shed some light on what could happen, for instance, if they were going to run power lines out to pumping stations. That may be an issue that we need to look at. It may lead to something that's very admissible, and that's the standard for discovery.

Request For Production No. 28 we wanted to know information about TransCanada's decisions to use horizontal directional drilling crossing waterways.

Now it's important for DRA to understand that process and what thinking TransCanada engaged in when it made that decision. Because, once again, that goes to one of our core issues that's of importance to the family farmers and ranchers that Dakota Rural Action

works with.

2.3

Waterways and water crossings are crucial.

Without -- you know, without water in South Dakota, and you know how scarce water's getting and it's going to get even more scarce in years ahead given what drought conditions look like, we need to -- we need all the information possible from TransCanada to understand what risks the construction methods that they have used or they're planning on using may wind up posing to the residents of South Dakota and to the risk posed to South Dakota's water resources.

The information was very narrowly tailored in this particular document request. And it's highly relevant to these proceedings. And I don't think you as a Commission should let TransCanada basically escape by saying we're not going to produce it because -- because, essentially they don't want to.

No. 29, we requested documents relating to their forecasts and projections of tax revenue. One of the wonderful things that we've heard from TransCanada's representatives and various -- the ranchers and farmers who Dakota Rural Action works with is that they've heard that TransCanada is going to pay all of these wonderful taxes to various counties throughout South Dakota. It's going to be a great economic boom. Going to be a

fabulous benefit to all of these counties.

2.3

So we simply asked, well, give us your projections. Give us the documents that went into your determination of these forecasts and how this information was wound up -- wind up being derived.

And, you know, once again, all they really provided to us was a schedule saying this is what we project. They did not provide anything that went into their thought processes, discussions that they may have had internally, reports that might have been prepared, e-mail communications back and forth between TransCanada managers. Maybe -- you know, who knows? Maybe there may be some documents in there in those e-mail chains that talk about whether or not they're pulling the wool over the eyes of the residents of South Dakota on these tax revenue projections. We don't know that. That's why the discovery process exists is to entitle us to take a look and see what is there.

It is relevant to what -- you know, to -- it's relevant to these proceedings. We're entitled to get it. Production should be compelled. And for TransCanada to basically come out and say, well, the only documents that they've got is the schedule that they gave, which we've attached as Exhibit 6 to our Motion, is just -- it's not reasonable, and it's not responsive to our request.

No. 30, once again, we -- we sought additional Requests for Production. They objected suggesting that the documents would be covered under the Request No. 1 that we've set forth so I'm not going to go into that because we've already repeated that. We've already argued it.

2.3

Request For Production No. 31, this was kind of interesting because one of the key conditions that's in the original Amended Order is that TransCanada has to go and get all of its permitting in place. That is a key condition. Now we went ahead and said, okay, if that's a condition that you've got to comply with, show us the documents where you've gone and complied with that particular condition and gotten the required permitting.

The response we got from TransCanada was, well, it's overly broad, unduly burdensome, not relevant, not likely to lead to discovery of admissible evidence.

I don't think you can be more wrong in making a statement like that in terms of an objection. It is highly relevant. It may indeed be a lot of documents, but we're entitled to see them because it goes straight to the heart of whether or not TransCanada is complying with the conditions that you as a Commission have imposed on them. They should be compelled to produce it.

No. 33, we asked for documents concerning any

deviations to the route. That was kind of an interesting response because what they basically gave us were a series of route variation maps. We've referenced those in the exhibit.

2.3

The instruction, though, that we gave them in terms of what we wanted in terms of the Document Production Requests was a bit broader than just simply give us the map, show us where the route changed.

The definition section that we had in our Request for Production of Documents, I've excerpted it.

It's on page 18 of our Motion that we filed. It provides for a lot more than just simply route maps.

DRA wants to know what decision making went into that process of deciding what route changes were appropriate. Now we had a meet and confer with Mr. Taylor, and he gave us a couple of instances of where -- you know, verbally where some route changes were made at the request of various landowners or to make certain accommodations, but we still have not seen any documentation that actually explains how and why those occurred.

Now I certainly, you know, had a great conversation with Mr. Taylor. He certainly, you know, explained what a couple of these were. But, once again, DRA's entitled to get documentation, and we don't have

that documentation. It was not provided to us.

2.3

No. 34, we asked for information about the appointment of a public liaison officer and communications between that officer and the landowners that were affected by the proposed pipeline project.

Once again, TransCanada said, well, that was overly broad, unduly burdensome, not relevant, et cetera, et cetera.

It is relevant. The appointment of a public liaison officer is something that you have specifically mandated in Condition No. 7 of the Amended Order that was directed to TransCanada.

Now why is that relevant? Well, you know, if there's some communications in there between landowners and the public liaison officer, it could certainly reveal to us whether or not TransCanada has been in compliance with the conditions of the permit as well as whatever issues may have been raised by landowners regarding TransCanada's treatment of them, treatment of their land, for instance, or any of the other issues that are relevant to these proceedings.

TransCanada should be required to produce those documents that we've requested and not just simply tell us no, you're not going to get it.

Production Request No. 36, we wanted to know

what their efforts were to comply with mitigation measures that were in the Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan that was submitted. Now all they did was come back and state, well, all of those recommendations aren't binding on us until the Federal Government acts.

2.3

I think that is really kind of an ironic objection for them to make because I don't want to replow all the ground that we've raised in the Motion to Stay, but we've suggested, you know, maybe the idea should be that we don't really need to act on this until they get the information in place and get a federal permit in place, a Presidential Permit. Well, in this case they say, well, none of this stuff is binding on us so we're not going to provide it.

It seems like TransCanada's wanting to have it both ways here. Ultimately, their response was nonresponsive to our request, and we would ask that the Commission go ahead and compel TransCanada to comply with the highly relevant document production request that we've made.

I've lumped in our Motion items No. 37 and 38 on our document requests together because that specifically relates to the development of these construction reclamation units.

Now TransCanada has said that it's, you know, unduly burdensome and overly broad. Now from our respect, you know, in all due respect DRA's constituents, the farmers and ranchers that DRA represents, believe that is something that is highly relevant because it ties right back to the issue that we're going to have with respect to what TransCanada's required to do to reclaim the land and what kind of construction activities they engage in in the event that you decide to grant them their permit -- or grant their Petition and let them move forward.

2.3

Now the reason that's relevant is one of the items of written testimony we have submitted has been from another farmer/rancher who we talked to who said, you know what, I had an awful experience with those guys on Keystone I on the original XL Pipeline. They did not comply with anything that they said they were going to comply with.

So I think it's pretty relevant for us to understand what it is they're going to do and how they're going to go about the construction process and reclamation process.

Because we've got a pretty good inkling that they've been noncompliant in other areas. And this goes directly to TransCanada's pattern and practice of bad

behavior, something we're entitled to hear about and something we're entitled to find out about.

2.3

Item No. 42 we asked for -- once again, this goes to the compliance issue. This is more of a, you know, species and wildlife issue. You know, a lot of people come to South Dakota to hunt and fish. So we asked for information concerning whatever consultations TransCanada has had with South Dakota Department of Game, Fish & Parks. That's something that is clearly relevant and is clearly one of the conditions that you have in your Amended Order.

Now, once again, they gave us a little bit of a narrative saying that, yes, we wound up consulting with them, but that wasn't what we asked. We asked for their documents. That includes any reports, any communications they've had. Their objection was it's overly broad and unduly burdensome.

Well, you know, too bad. They have documents.

They should be required to produce them. We're entitled to them. Their objection just doesn't hold water.

No. 44, that one was a crucial request. And I won't go over, you know, DRA's concerns about the water issues that we're facing, you know, throughout not just South Dakota but the entire Upper Midwest. We asked for information describing or whatever documents TransCanada

had concerning their efforts to comply with construction of the pipeline near any water bodies riparian areas, wetlands. We need to know what TransCanada has in mind for construction around sensitive areas where in the event of a pipeline breach you could have a negative effect on the scarce water resources of this state.

2.3

Now the only response that we got from them was, well, they haven't gotten their permit authorization for wetland construction yet. Okay. Fine. Maybe they won't give us a permit yet. But I find it very hard to believe that they haven't had internal communications between TransCanada's managers about what they need to do and how they're going to go about getting that.

To the extent that they've prepared drafts of requests or any other reports or documents, that's always in the scope of our discovery request, and that's what we're entitled to see.

TransCanada's answer to us was just nonresponsive to a very core critical issue relating to the water resources that DRA is interested in protecting.

No. 46, we asked for private and access roads to be used during pipeline construction. And that was kind of interesting because we wanted to know, okay, where are you going to be moving heavy equipment? Where are you going to be moving heavy trucks? Those are all kinds of

issues that can certainly affect a lot of the landowners and a lot of the sort of construction and reclamation efforts that may wind up being used.

2.3

Now what was interesting here is, once again, TransCanada came out and said, yeah, we're not going to tell you how we're going to use any private or access roads because it's all confidential thanks to Homeland Security.

With all due respect, we've not been provided any support for why that may be the case. TransCanada's not filed a motion for a protective order like they should have under the rules if indeed they believe that was information that was truly confidential in a Homeland Security nature.

And, you know, to be blunt about it, I think the Homeland Security argument that they're making is somewhat bogus. I mean, I just don't see, you know, ISIS in, you know, Sioux Falls being out to, you know, look at farmland and, you know, ranchland in South Dakota. That just doesn't make any sense.

No. 48, we wanted agreements reached with landowners, including specifically anything relating to or modifying any of the requirements or conditions that were established by the Commission in its order, Amended Order from over five years ago.

Now, once again, TransCanada said they're not going to give us anything. They just said our request is overbroad, unduly burdensome, their standard objections.

Once again, I think that is misplaced.

2.3

DRA and the people of South Dakota and you as Commissioners need to know whether or not TransCanada is even upholding those conditions that were set forth in that original permit, and we specifically tailored this request to determine whether TransCanada in its communications with landowners along that proposed route remains in compliance. They've just simply refused to provide it.

That's wrong. They should be compelled to give it to us. It's discoverable under the rules.

Document -- Request For Production No. 50. We asked for assessments performed in connection with their activities in high consequence areas, including documents concerning or referencing their efforts to comply with federal regulations. Consultations that they may have had with South Dakota Geological Survey, the Department of Fish, Game & Parks, and various affected landowners and government officials.

Now that request was highly relevant in light of the conditions that are placed upon TransCanada with respect to their compliance with law. Compliance is a

key issue within those conditions and within that
original permit.

2.3

Now what was TransCanada's response? First they said, well, it's confidential. And, second, they said it's not within the Commission's jurisdiction. Wrong and wrong on both accounts.

How are their communications with regulators just confidential under some sort of blanket assertion of confidentiality? They've provided no argument, no rationale for that. And if it truly were confidential under discovery rules, they've got a remedy. They can come and seek a motion for protective order. They haven't done that. Consequently, I don't think any confidentiality exists.

And, second, they said it's not within the Commission's jurisdiction. That's just patently incorrect. The conditions that you placed on TransCanada are spot on in terms of they are required to comply with federal state and local rules and regulations laws and ordinances. How can that not be relevant?

What we're looking for is what are they doing to comply, and, once again, they're not providing it.

Number 51, we, once again, asked hyperlogically sensitive areas, once again, because of our requests and interest in waterways and in aquifers. And what we

specifically focused on here was the High Plains Aquifer that's down in southern Tripp County. Now they said that's the only vulnerable and beneficial useful aquifer that was being identified in the State Department's FEIS.

2.3

Now, once again, TransCanada didn't provide us with any documents. They simply said this is our answer. They answered it almost like an Interrogatory or a response to an Interrogatory. But it's just simply nonresponsive. We asked for documents where they've identified those sensitive areas directly referenced in Condition No. 35 that you've imposed upon them. And they just simply have not complied.

They didn't provide us with a single piece of paper that relates to that. Other than going back and, once again, referencing the FSEIS.

Request For Production No. 53, we asked for their efforts once again to comply with protection mitigation efforts from the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and the South Dakota's Game, Fish and -- Game & Fish Department, relating to endangered species.

Once again, instead of providing us with anything, all TransCanada did was basically said -- say go look at the biological assessment and biological opinion that's in the State Department's Final EIS and the Final Supplemental EIS.

Again, that's nonresponsive because we've asked for their documents as it relates to compliance. That would include internal e-mails between TransCanada manager and TransCanada Staff. That would include any reports that they've prepared, any drafts of reports that they've previously prepared.

2.3

There has to be a tremendous amount of documentation there that is relevant, that DRA's entitled to look at, is entitled to see whether or not there is anything in that that could ultimately be admitted as evidence. We're entitled to see it. They have not provided it. I hate to keep saying they haven't provided it, but that's exactly the response that we've pretty much -- it's fairly consistent throughout our discovery requests here.

No. 55, we asked for information regarding documents referencing or containing information concerning cultural or paleontological resources along the route. Once again, they simply pointed back to the State Department documents and said that they're not producing any kind of a paleontological monitoring plan because it's "confidential and privileged information."

Once again, if it's confidential or privileged, by all means they're entitled to come and get a protective order from you and explain to you why that is

the case. They haven't done that. It's too late for them to do it. I think it needs to be produced. You need to put an order in place compelling them to produce the information that we're seeking.

2.3

Finally, thank goodness I'm getting on my very last one here, Document Production Request No. 56. This goes back to leaks and spills. We asked for incident reports. Because those incident reports are going to help us in any number of ways to present a case when we ultimately get in front of you for our hearing.

Those incident reports can have some very valuable information about them, such as what particularly caused a spill, what was the timing in terms of a response to those spills. What exactly -- you know, what were the consequences? How did they engage in remediation? What was reported to regulators?

All of that is relevant information in terms of how TransCanada as a company acts in the event that there is an inevitable spill or breach of a pipeline.

We're entitled to see it. It's relevant. It goes to compliance. It goes to safety issues. It goes to the consequences and risks of a pipeline breach in the event that they construct it. And, once again, they simply are not interested in providing that to us.

In fact, interestingly enough, they --

TransCanada basically came out and told us that those incident reports were not readily accessible to TransCanada where production would be a burden.

2.3

2.4

Well, how does a company like TransCanada operate from a compliance standpoint without containing some kind of a compliance file? And I've done work with a number of regulated industries, and every business I know of that has to deal with regulators and prepare reports and incident responses keeps a file on these sorts of things where it is readily accessible and can be easily produced.

Heck, I've got bars and restaurants that I work with that keep incident reports regarding their liquor licenses, much less a multinational corporation like TransCanada something a lot more serious that deals with things like pipeline spills.

Honestly I think their response defies credibility. I think the consistent response that they've engaged in throughout our Requests for Production of Documents and our Interrogatories has been one of just simply blowing us off. They're not going to give us the information that we've requested even though it's relevant it's discoverable under the law. We're entitled to it.

On that basis our Motion to Compel should just

be flat out granted all the way across, and that's what
I'm asking you for.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. That special master idea. Who voted against that?

2.3

At this point I'm guessing, Cheri, you're going to want -- yeah. We're going to take 5 to 10 minutes.

Just for everybody's benefit, we are going to finish this deal today. But let's take 5 or 10 minutes, and we'll come back and keep plowing.

(A short recess is taken)

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. I think we're all settled in, Mr. Taylor. It's your turn.

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. I'm going to try and be as brief as I can my comments. I am not going to go through Mr. Martinez 24-page dissertation point by point. Rather, what -- I'm going to tell you about four things.

When we started out today I talked about fishing expeditions, and the discovery rules do not permit fishing expeditions. You've got to have a theory. You have to advance your discovery based on that theory. And you have to support your discovery requests accordingly.

You don't get to just throw the line in the water and troll for may I quote "all documents" and then retrieve all documents, whatever that is, sort through them and decide that they are relevant or not relevant to

the issues, that they lead to discoverable information or they do not lead to discoverable information.

2.3

If you carefully examine Mr. Martinez's requests, virtually every one is couched with the phrase all documents referencing or containing information concerning. And then he uses a broad brush to paint a particular subject. It's nothing more than a fishing expedition.

On top of that, many of his comments are disingenuous. I'll give you an example.

Document Request 55, DRA asks for all documents referencing or containing information concerning cultural or paleontological resources along the route. And then he argues that it's inappropriate that we say that those issues are confidential.

Well, first of all, they're confidential not because of TransCanada; they're confidential because the State of South Dakota says that they are confidential.

Why does the State of South Dakota say that?

Because there have been two, three that I know of -- at least two reported Supreme Court decisions on fights over the ownership of paleontological items developed in the Elk Creek Formation in Harding County.

Commissioner Hanson was along for the road show in 2009 when two DRA members expressed in Harding County

one of their great concerns was the confidentiality of paleontological information developed off of their properties because they did not want to have some issue come up with who is the owner of valuable and rare fossils found on their property.

2.3

One of those people is listed as one of DRA's witnesses. The paleontological plan and the characteristics wrapped around it were in large measure developed as a consequence of the comments made in that hearing process. To now come into this room and argue that TransCanada is playing hide the ball is disingenuous.

The next point, I don't think listening to

Mr. Martinez that he read our careful response to his

Motion to Compel. We address point by point every point

and every argument they made, and he ignored every one of
them in the course of his arguments.

If I wanted to go through those with you, we would be here for another half-hour because our points were -- are well made and carefully laid out in our careful responses to his casting the line in the water, his fishing expedition.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Again, whoever's on the line we need you to put your phone on mute. We're hearing a lot of coughing, et cetera going on out there.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. TAYLOR: With all due respect to Mr. Martinez, I think there's some elements of his discovery requests that he doesn't understand.

Let's talk about the appointment of the public liaison officer, for example. You read his discovery request and you listen to his comment made today and you come to the quick conclusion that the public liaison officer was appointed by TransCanada. The public liaison officer was not appointed by TransCanada. It was appointed by you.

And the public liaison officer answers to you. Doesn't answer to TransCanada. And the public liaison officer files her reports with TransCanada. Short of us issuing a subpoena to the public liaison officer, Sara Metcalf, we have no access to what notes she may have kept regarding contacts with landowners and discussions she's had and those things that Mr. Martinez reaches to.

Throughout his Motion to Compel there are similarities to that. I think the most efficient way to deal with this is for us to say we rest on what we said in our document. And I would say to you one last thing. Actually two. We had a meet and confer with Mr. Martinez.

25 Lasted about an hour. Very pleasant conversation. He's a delightful man to talk to.

2.3

Mr. Moore and I spent the better part of the day preparing for that meet and confer, anticipating that many of these issues that he has now laid before you he would lay before us.

Candidly, I don't think he was prepared for that meet and confer. We talked for about an hour. The only thing that we really talked about was he asked about route changes, as he said today, and I explained a couple of them to him, very simple.

So we're now here today because the discovery process in his mind has collapsed. The fact is that the discovery process in his mind is borderline abusive of his -- his behavior is borderline abusive of the discovery process to start with. And you can talk for an hour and a half about your motion and your memorandum, but it doesn't take a way from the fact that you are still bound by the questions you ask.

You're still bound by the rules, one of which is all documents is not, as he said, a "narrowly tailored request" by any means.

Yes. We made a reasonably practicable effort. In his mind it isn't good enough. You're the ultimate deciders. We responded. We'll rest in our response.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

1 Questions from the Commission. MR. TAYLOR: I felt like I ought to ask 2 3 Mr. Moore to -- I'm sorry. Excuse me. 4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. 5 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Taylor, I would like 6 to give you a little work to do, and it might take you a 7 few minutes to do this. 8 MR. TAYLOR: Sure. 9 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: But I think as a 10 Commission before we make a decision I would like to have 11 you categorize the information that they're asking for. 12 And, first of all, the question of do we have jurisdiction. And there are some -- and I think there 13 14 are certainly some, but which ones are exactly the ones 15 that we don't really have jurisdiction over that you 16 believe that we should proceed on that information? 17 MR. TAYLOR: Okay. See if I can restate your 18 question so I understand what you're asking me to do. 19 You want me to categorize those items mentioned 20 in Mr. Martinez' Motion to Compel we believe are beyond 21 the scope of your jurisdiction? 22 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Correct. Correct. 2.3 And then number two. A lot of times you state 24 that it's confidential. Well, which ones are absolutely

confidential according to law and absolutely have to be

25

```
precluded?
1
2
              MR. TAYLOR:
                          Okay.
 3
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And then the last question
 4
     is is so many of the responses can't happen because of
 5
     the construction phase isn't here yet and et cetera.
 6
    which ones absolutely you can't answer because -- or
7
     can't give them additional information because of the
8
    construction phase issue?
              MR. TAYLOR: Because the information doesn't
10
     exist because we haven't started building the pipeline
11
    yet?
12
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Correct. So those three
13
     type of different categories.
14
              MR. TAYLOR: How would you like me to do this?
15
    Would you like me to go through their Motion to Compel
16
     and check off those numbered items that fit any one of
17
    these three categories?
18
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Right. For me I would
19
     like that. I don't know. I guess I'm asking the
20
     question so yes.
21
              MR. TAYLOR:
                          We'll try to do that.
22
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So I would certainly give
2.3
    you time, but the Chairman has the gavel.
2.4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: You have the floor. I mean,
25
     is this something where you can go through this Motion
```

```
and do that on the fly, or do you want five minutes to
2
    kind of collect your thoughts?
 3
                          Well, my colleague, Mr. Moore and I
              MR. TAYLOR:
 4
     can --
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: He can do it on the fly?
 6
              MR. TAYLOR: We'll give it a shot.
7
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Whatever you're most
8
    comfortable with.
              MR. TAYLOR: It would probably be most efficient
10
     if we took about 5, 10 minutes if we ran through the
11
     thing and just marked them off.
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I would agree. Let's stand in
    recess for 10 minutes.
13
14
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Because your testimony is
15
     so brief we're giving you this information. You can do a
16
     little extra homework.
17
              MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask you are there other
18
     questions that fit into this line of inquiry that we
19
     should supplement?
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I have no questions.
21
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Taylor, I'm looking
22
     through my notes to see if there are any. I would think
2.3
     that you'll answer them as you go through that process.
2.4
              MR. MARTINEZ: I trust you'll afford me an
```

opportunity to respond to Mr. Taylor's statements as

25

```
well?
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. 10 minutes.
                     (A short recess is taken)
 3
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I think we're ready to
 5
     reconvene. We think any -- whatever air movement may
 6
     have been present gets shut off at 5:00. So, gentlemen,
7
     if you prefer to remove your coats, please do so.
8
     disrespect, if you do.
              Mr. Taylor.
10
              MR. TAYLOR: I'm ready. Thank you.
              As to jurisdiction, matters of jurisdiction, all
11
12
     of those Interrogatories that seek information regarding
13
     demand for the production and availability of oil, that's
14
     a national interest question to be decided by the
15
     Department of State and the President of the
16
     United States.
17
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So those are 8, 12, 13 --
18
              MR. TAYLOR: Yeah. His No. 2,
19
     Interrogatories 8, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 26, 30, 33, 34,
20
     and 40 to the extent that they are focused on issues of
21
     demand.
22
              His Interrogatory No. 21, which is his point
2.3
     No. 3, is a PHMSA jurisdiction, compliance with PHMSA
24
     regulations is obviously for PHMSA to decide, not the
25
     Commission.
```

```
1
              Document Request 13 is also PHMSA compliance.
2
     And that is his No. 17. And then Document Request
     No. 50, which is his point No. 31, that is high
 3
 4
     consequence areas and all efforts to comply with
 5
     49 CFR Part 195. So that's it for the jurisdictional
 6
     points.
7
              Confidentiality, his Interrogatory No. 56.8.
8
     His Interrogatory No. 48 -- sorry I was out of order
     there -- which is his No. 7.
10
              His Interrogatories 57 and 60 which deal with
11
     worst-case spill information. His Interrogatory No. 76
     to the extent it deals with the Integrity Management
12
13
     Plan. His Interrogatory No. 83, which is a request for
14
     the current IMP, which is on file with the Commission as
15
     a confidential document. And Document Request No. 55,
16
     the paleontological inquiry.
17
              And you should note that we said in our response
18
     we'll give him the paleontological plan without debate,
19
     but we won't give him the appendices which identify the
20
     areas of whatever the plural of paleontological is.
21
     Where the stuff is.
22
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Excuse me.
                                                 What number
2.3
     was that one?
2.4
              MR. TAYLOR: Document Request No. 55.
                                                      His
     No. 34, point 34.
25
```

Then as to construction phase issues, Document Request No. 36, which asks for CMR mitigation measures that have been undertaken. And his Document Request 44, which relates to wetlands. And that's it.

2.3

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Some follow-up questions.

I have a couple follow-up questions, Mr. Moore
[sic].

Landowners: As you know, Commissioners do not see those agreements your land agents and the landowners sign, normally anyway. Is that not confidential information?

MR. TAYLOR: It depends on what you mean by landowner agreements. If you're talking about the easement documents themselves, the answer is no, they are not confidential. They are all filed public record.

If you're talking about -- he said all documents relating to negotiations with landowners. Well, here's what we have. We have a contact report for every time that a landowner is called on. Every time the landowner is called on.

Sometimes there are enumerable calls during the negotiation process to require an easement. Then there's a financial document that's prepared with each landowner when the deal is struck. And that financial document reached the compensation for the easement for crop loss,

crop damage, all of those things that are related to that. And then there is probably correspondence with landowners.

2.3

And then there would be School & Public Lands, all the same sorts of things with School & Public Lands. School & Public Lands has 40 some tracts that are covered by the pipeline.

I can't guess how broad that inquiry is and how many matters -- I also can't guess how many displeased landowners there would be in South Dakota if the financial information relative to their compensation arrangements became cafe knowledge in local communities or, for that matter, exposed to discovery here.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So the financial information is confidential between Keystone and the landowner, just not the easement information.

MR. TAYLOR: There are some -- there are some that are -- there are specific confidentiality agreements that are entered into with landowners. I can remember authoring some of those.

The financial information, yes, I think is confidential.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So would you list the Document Request 48 in your confidential -- oh, you did. I'm sorry. I didn't see that. See it was misnumbered.

That's why I missed it. You listed it as confidential.

I'm sorry. That was my error because I didn't look at

3 the order of the number.

2.3

How about private roads? You talked -- I thought in your filing that you gave us that the private road information may be confidential.

MR. TAYLOR: Well, first of all, a lot of the roads we had don't exist. The private roads won't exist until construction conditions are defined. The routing on to the right of way in the construction process depends on what surface conditions are at the time. If we're having a wet summer, for example, it makes a huge difference.

Secondly, there's private road information.

Some of the landowners who have agreed to private access roads across their property. Mind you, when you think about western South Dakota some of those private roads could be of some length.

They want those roads to remain private because they don't want hunters coming on their property, number one. Number two, they are all gated, and there have been more than one instance where landowners have said, okay, we'll give you a private road agreement, but we don't want it to be public information.

You know, I don't think the pipeline company

cares very much about whether the road information, the private road information is private or not. I think that's more of a landowner concern. And the company is very oriented to trying to accommodate the landowners' wishes.

There are some areas of the construction project where the access road will be the right of way itself just for that very reason. Even though it's not very convenient.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So if I'm understanding you right, the private roads on No. 46 not necessarily is confidential on Keystone's part but it's confidential on the part of your parties?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes.

2.3

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. No. 25, the data security, the software. I don't think you listed that as one of your confidentiality --

MR. TAYLOR: We may have missed that.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: -- list which --

MR. TAYLOR: Adequate security systems and controls in place.

We did object to providing the details of security systems for safety and security reasons. We did say we would supplement our response. And our intention is to provide DRA with an expression of TransCanada's

policy, its internal corporate policy, related to data security to see if that satisfies them.

2.3

2.4

Mr. Martinez expressed the view he wanted to know if we had hired somebody from the garage to manage it. Well, we'll give him the information.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you. Those are my questions.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional Commissioner questions.

me. I was doing some reading.

Mr. Taylor, in DRA Interrogatory No. 57 asks for the worst-case scenario from pertaining to water channels and floodplains, et cetera. And you gave a partial answer to that and stated for Homeland Security reasons.

There's been some discussion from the other party pertaining to potential overuse of the Homeland Security reason. I'm wondering if you'd elaborate a bit more on that.

I would think that if it's not a specific situation, a specific location -- and I agree. If we're giving specific locations, we're providing information, paleontological information on specific locations, situations of that nature we create challenges.

I'm curious, though, when it's not a specific

1 location, when it's just generally addressed. 2 MR. TAYLOR: Let me find it in his 3 Interrogatory. Excuse me just a second. It's numbered 57? 4 5 COMMISSIONER HANSON: DRA's Interrogatory 6 No. 57, yes. 7 MR. TAYLOR: Describe the worst-case scenario 8 which could occur from Keystone XL Pipeline as it passes under channels, adjacent floodplains, or flood protection 10 levies. 11 Our response is "This request seeks information 12 that's confidential by statute. The location and volume 13 of worst-case scenario spills are kept confidential for 14 Homeland Security reasons." 15 You know, I suppose that -- what I should say 16 first is that there is a discussion of those issues 17 within the various risk assessment materials that have 18 been supplied. And I suppose we could describe a 19 worst-case scenario in a generic fashion without 20 identifying the specific focus. But the two are kind of 21 tied together. 22 A spill in New York City, if New York City were 2.3 on the route, would be more of a worst-case scenario than

a spill out in the countryside. We could expand that

answer if you wanted us to, but I --

24

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, I appreciate the challenge. And I don't mean to impugn anyone's reputation here, but obviously with as many folks as are involved there's a potential when you start describing worst-case scenarios that's going to be -- we've had situations where people place those on the front page and pretend that that's what is likely to happen. So I appreciate the consternation there from TransCanada's standpoint.

2.3

2.4

But I also think it's important for us to understand the process. And indeed a good deal of that information is already available. But just what is the process from the standpoint of what could happen and how would that be mitigated?

And, like I say, a lot of that information is already available from the previous hearings that we had on this.

MR. TAYLOR: Really there's a mountain of that information that's in the prior record, and that's also contained in the Department of State information.

And my comment about -- well, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I would think that you could at least point to that information because there are volumes of information.

MR. TAYLOR: Sure.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Prior to this process I
     have spent some time reading that information again, and
2
     there is -- it's a lot to go through.
 3
 4
              Mr. Chairman, I think that's the only item I
 5
    have at this time.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional questions.
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I have one more follow up,
7
8
     Mr. Taylor, on your confidential portions that you gave
     us.
10
              Which ones could be given to an Intervenor under
     confidential agreement, and which ones are absolutely
11
12
     banned because you are not allowed to give that
13
     information?
14
              MR. TAYLOR: I would say that the HCA
15
     information, we don't have any capacity to decide how
16
     PHMSA enforces its rules. So those that relate to HCAs,
17
     that would be 48 and 56, probably 83 in the IMP piece,
18
     and probably 55, the paleo, since it is the State of
19
     South Dakota that's imposed that constraint on us.
20
              Have I missed any, James?
              MR. SMITH: I can't remember what the statute
21
22
     says, but isn't cultural -- we have a state statute;
2.3
     right, that limits --
2.4
              MR. TAYLOR: The dismissing of paleontological
25
     information is in our state statute, yes.
```

```
I'm talking cultural.
1
              MR. SMITH:
2
              MR. TAYLOR:
                          Yeah.
                                  The cultural information.
              MR. SMITH: Yeah. I think it's more the
 3
     cultural that's state statute, and the paleo came about
 4
 5
     as a result I think of our proceedings in the actual
 6
    Docket back in 2009-'10.
7
              MR. MOORE: I think that's right.
8
              MR. SMITH:
                          Again, I don't have that in front of
    me.
10
              MR. TAYLOR:
                          I may have that backwards.
11
                          That volume I don't have that here.
              MR. SMITH:
12
              MR. TAYLOR: You may be right about that.
13
              MR. SMITH:
                          Yeah.
                                 I know. And the purpose of
14
     that, the reasoning behind the confidentiality
15
     requirement for cultural is thievery honestly. It really
16
     is. People find there's something, and they think it's
17
     going to be -- we've had a lot of instances it ends up
18
    being stolen from somebody's property.
19
              MR. TAYLOR: And the paleontological concern is
20
     a very valid and very legitimate concern. We have two
21
     Supreme Court cases on that subject, one of which is just
22
     a couple years old.
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Additional questions.
24
              If not, Mr. Martinez, any brief rebuttal based
25
     on any of our questions?
```

1 Mr. Martinez, I'm not hearing you. Are you 2 still with us? 3 MR. MARTINEZ: Can you hear me now? 4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Go ahead and try it 5 again. 6 MR. MARTINEZ: Thanks. I will keep it brief, 7 thank goodness. We've been at this for quite a while. 8 You know, I'm going to just ignore Mr. Taylor's ad hominem, you know, takes on this, but what I will do 10 is I will address what he talked about in terms of this 11 being a fishing expedition. 12 I think he is way off base on this. One of the 13 first things I pointed out was that the South Dakota 14 Supreme Court has made it very clear that the discovery 15 rules are to be interpreted very liberally, including 16 determinations of relevance. And all relevant matters 17 are discoverable, unless privileged. Now let's set that 18 privilege and confidentiality issue, you know, aside here for a moment. 19 20 Now it is certainly inconvenient for TransCanada 21 to produce a lot of what we have requested. There is a

It gives us -- it gives my client, DRA, and the other Intervenors in this case an ability to determine

large volume of information that we have requested and a

fairly broad scope. But that's what discovery is about.

22

2.3

2.4

what TransCanada has in its files to be able to put together a case and challenge them when they move their Petition forward.

2.3

Every corporation that launches any legal action, whether it be filing a Petition in this case or filing a large lawsuit in any other case knows full well that when the discovery process gets rolling they're going to be subject to those rules and they're going to be required to fork over a lot more documents and a lot more information that they might necessarily -- not like necessarily have to have out there.

It isn't our fault that TransCanada has filed a Petition to seek to put the pipeline through here. But once they've done that they've put it in play. And by putting it in play they can go ahead -- they have subjected themselves to those discovery rules, and we're entitled to go take a look whether they like it or not. That's what the Supreme Court has to say.

Now let's talk a little bit about the confidentiality issue because I think that's a big one.

The point that seems to be missing here is that confidential material gets disclosed during the course of discovery all the time. I can't tell you the number of cases where we've been in where one party or another has

asserted that something is confidential, whether it be everything from final agreements to compliance reports, things like that, internal documents perhaps. There's a process for that.

2.3

If Mr. Taylor and his folks and TransCanada truly believe that all of this information is confidential, the appropriate response would have been to file a motion for protective order, and then what we can do is is we have a number of potential remedies.

They can disclose that information with an instruction to the attorneys to just review it attorneys eyes only. I've had a number of agreements and orders reached in other proceedings where confidential material has been released but only for the view of the attorneys.

There have been other instances where it's put under a protective order where it says, okay, we can let you and your clients see it, but you can't disclose it to the media or you can't publicly post it up on a website somewhere.

Those are all potential remedies that are easy to deal with. And, frankly, that's one of the reasons why I suggested the special master would be appropriate in this case.

And that covers a lot of ground. The paleontological information, cultural information that

we've asked for, yeah, we did ask them for all documents related to that. They've come back, and they, I think, made a pretty good argument that, yeah, we don't want to publicly disclose specific lotions of key resources because it could be subject to theft. I think we're very sensitive to that.

2.3

And we'd certainly be happy to abide by any particular order that says it's attorneys eyes only, we can't disclose. Or, for that matter, if you say that's not disclosable, at least give us the plans for dealing with this information without necessarily disclosing specific locations. I think we could live with that.

The other information that they talked about, that is confidential such as the high consequence areas, up to now all I've heard is an assertion that we cannot disclose that for whatever reason, PHMSA, Homeland Security. I have not seen and we have requested specific citations.

Give me a statute. Give me some regulations.

Give us some case law that shows that that is the case.

I cannot on behalf of my client simply accept an assertion that something's secret.

Show me why. Show me the rules. We can talk about it then. I previously asked for that. It hasn't been forthcoming.

Now the final issue is one of jurisdiction. And those are a couple of areas, you know, the big one being the regulatory compliance with PHMSA and then, of course, the demand for oil and the national interest determination. When I argued the Motion I went in depth as to why that was relevant to these particular proceedings.

2.3

The oil demand issues and the national interest determination is clearly relevant to the Presidential permitting and the other issues that are specifically referenced as conditions in the original permit that you granted TransCanada.

And then the PHMSA issues, you know, it's great for Mr. Taylor to come out and say it's up to PHMSA to deal with and decide whether or not TransCanada's in compliance or not.

The question that we have, though, is the communications and the documents and the information we have sought from TransCanada goes to the heart of their communications with those regulators and whether they can comply and what they have discussed with in terms of compliance.

Because going back to the conditions that you laid out in the amended -- the amended permit conditions for that original order you come right out and say that

TransCanada has to comply.

2.3

Well, what they're asking us to do is is trust us, we're going to have compliance. Well, you know, I'm going to quote one of -- probably many of y'all's favorite presidents, Ronald Reagan, who when negotiating the SALT Treaty with the associates uttered that famous line, "Trust but verify."

Mr. Taylor is a great guy, have enjoyed conversations with him, but can't just simply take his word for it. Can't take TransCanada's word for it. It's up to the verification process. The discovery process that we're engaged in here is part of that verification.

We're entitled to see it under the rules. I think you've got to go ahead and enter an order compelling them to disclose that information.

It may be a lot of information. They may not like it, but we're certainly entitled to it. And we're entitled to see whether or not they're capable of meeting all of those conditions that have been laid out for them.

Now, you know, I guess to kind of wrap up, I'm almost inclined here and maybe I will just reassert and maybe ask you to reconsider both the Motion for Stay and the Motion for Special Master that we addressed at the very beginning of this day.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Martinez, this is

PHMSA

```
Chairman Nelson. When I referenced that earlier I was
2
              I didn't think anybody would pick up on that.
     joking.
 3
     So don't even go there. We need to move along.
              MR. MARTINEZ: Okay. Well, I was going to
 4
     simply say what we're dealing with here is illustrative
 5
 6
    of the argument I made for it. But at the end of the day
7
     I'm asking that you go ahead and grant the Motion to
8
     Compel.
             I think we've got very good reasons for it.
              I don't think the reasons that TransCanada has
10
    provided in terms of their objections hold water.
11
     think the law mandates disclosure.
12
              MR. TAYLOR: Let me make a point.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you. Yes, briefly.
14
              MR. TAYLOR: Make a point on the HCA.
15
              If you look at -- Mr. Moore filed an Affidavit
16
     yesterday I think in response to the Rosebud Sioux Motion
17
     to Compel. It deals with the HCA data issues. And we
18
    have an e-mail dated April 13, 2015, from the National
19
     Pipeline Mapping System, which is the governance
20
     component of the Federal Government that deals with HCA
21
     data.
22
              And they say "The HCA data which is available
2.3
     for download from the National Pipeline Mapping System
24
    website is commercially navigable waterways, highly
```

populated areas, and other populated areas.

directs TransCanada. You can distribute that information as you'd like. The rest of the HCA data is protected.

2.3

Drinking water unusually sensitive areas called USAs and ecological USAs may not be distributed outside your company."

What's today? The 14th? Yesterday that e-mail -- we got that e-mail in response to this very issue.

So the Federal Government said yesterday here's what you can tell them, here's what you can't, and that's in Mr. Moore's Affidavit, which is filed.

The second point, it's an apples and oranges contention. We're entitled to see what conversations they've had with the regulators, et cetera, et cetera to determine whether or not they can comply with the PHMSA regulations.

No, they're not. Whether we comply with the PHMSA regulations is PHMSA's decision. And the correspondence that the company may have had with PHMSA is not discoverable in this proceeding because it's not within the scope of your jurisdiction.

You can't just brush over jurisdiction by saying we really need to know about that to know if they can comply or not. And that's what this argument is.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Question.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I do have a question.
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Yes.
 3
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: For Mr. Taylor, in your
 4
     response to this Motion you did not give any information
     on Dakota Rural Action's No. 86.
5
 6
              MR. TAYLOR: 86?
7
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Yeah. On the worst-case
8
     discharge. And I didn't see it. Now I could have missed
     it because there's hundreds of pages of documents, but I
10
     didn't see that you -- and maybe you didn't care so
11
     that's why you didn't reply to that.
12
              MR. TAYLOR: Let me get to 86 and see if --
13
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And I would assume it
14
    would be page 6 about of your information. Because you
15
    have 83, but you don't have 86.
16
              MR. TAYLOR:
                          Okay.
17
              We did not respond to 86. Did they? Is there
18
     a --
                                          You know, they
19
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Yes.
20
    certainly have information on page 13 of their Motion.
21
              MR. TAYLOR: Maybe we missed it.
                                                It was a busy
22
    weekend. And we did not respond to that.
                                                Just let me
     look at it for a second.
2.3
2.4
              MR. SMITH: Is that Interrogatory 86?
25
              MR. TAYLOR:
                          Yes.
```

1 MR. SMITH: Am I misconstruing something? 2 What's on page 84 of the responses? Now it isn't --3 MR. TAYLOR: Well, I'll tell you what. We'll 4 5 stand on our objection to 86 as made in page 84 of our 6 Interrogatory Answers. 7 The question asked in 86 is Explain how the 8 project would have a minimal effect on the health and safety and welfare of inhabitants if there is a spill, 10 explosion, et cetera. 11 The question is argumentative. It is not a 12 question that is designed to elicit discoverable 13 information. It's argumentative. 14 And I'm sorry. Part of the discovery process 15 requires that you formulate your question in a proper 16 fashion and you don't get to ask argumentative questions 17 just for -- and that's the objection we made, and we'll stand on that. 18 19 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. Thank you. I did find that. 20 Thanks. 21 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Motions from the Commission. 22 Okay. Commissioner Hanson. 2.3 COMMISSIONER HANSON: As much as there is in 24 this Motion, there will be plenty of opportunity to

25

discuss it.

1 To the extent that documents exist, I move that 2 the Commission support DRA's Motion to Compel on Interrogatory No. 7, Interrogatory No. 57, 76, Request 3 For Production No. 9, 10, 12, 26, 28, 37, and 38 and 4 5 No. 56 and deny all others. 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion. 7 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, I would like 8 to recess for like 5 to 8 minutes to look at all of this. CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm good with that. But in 10 the interest of maybe trying to move this along, I'm 11 going to ask you a question. 12 I can concur with all of the yeses that 13 Commissioner Hanson has just elicited. I have an 14 interest in going further than that. And so I guess my 15 question is do you have an interest in going further than 16 that, or is this --17 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: You know, I really need to 18 look at it. I can't even tell you -- I mean, I have 19 lists here, and then I have confidential requests so I 20 just need to go through the numbers. 21 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Let's take 5 to 10 22 minutes. 2.3 COMMISSIONER HANSON: If I could, just before we 24 take that brief intermission, there are at least three of

those items where I have a teeter totter, and it's really

```
1
     going back and forth and attempting to decide.
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: But those are all ones that
     you included as yeses at this point?
 3
 4
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Nos -- there's three of
 5
     them that are weighted just enough to be nos for me.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay.
7
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, I know that
8
     our previous Chairman didn't allow discussion and only
     allowed you to amend motions, but if you do have items
10
     that you would like me to research -- otherwise, when you
     give me those items I'm going to ask to research it
11
12
     again.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: That's fair enough. Yeah.
14
     We're in discussion at this point.
15
              Let me just indicate the areas where I would
     perhaps be willing to go further, and that will help
16
17
     Commissioner Hanson also.
18
              Interrogatory No. 23, 25, 48, 56, 58, 60, 83,
19
     Request For Document Production No. 1, No. 13, No. 29,
20
     No. 30, No. 31, No. 33, 34, 42, 44, 50, 53, and 55.
21
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: 53 and 55?
22
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. We will stand in recess.
2.3
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you.
24
              MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, may I?
25
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Yes.
```

1 MR. SMITH: Just something else to think about, 2 and I haven't been able to thumb through these fast 3 enough, but in a Motion is this a point in time where 4 I -- and I don't know with respect to any of these 5 particular items, but where the Motion ought to include 6 to the extent an item that's in one of these is 7 confidential that we include a protective order as a 8 portion of the order? CHAIRMAN NELSON: Well, that's certainly an 10 issue. I think that needs to be requested, though. 11 MR. SMITH: Okay. 12 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I thought that would go 13 without saying, but, yes, I would certainly include that 14 in my Motion. I wrote down confidentiality in a number 15 of areas, but I think that discussion will come up on the 16 ones of which you have -- I don't see it as a problem 17 necessarily with the ones that I --18 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. And so you would 19 anticipate that we would proscriptively issue a 20 protective order? 21 COMMISSIONER HANSON: No. If items -- if we consider items to be confidential, then certainly they 22 2.3 have to be marked and treated as confidential. And if 2.4 there's information in them that is considered to be

confidential by the Feds, then that has to be redacted.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And my anticipation would be that Keystone would come to us and make those individual arguments.

2.3

MR. SMITH: Okay. Well, I -- you know, we have done protective orders on many occasions since I've been here.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Without request?

MR. SMITH: I don't know. I'm thinking here that because the issue is there -- and if the parties want to debate it and request it, but, I mean, the bottom line is I guess I'm really uncomfortable with having material that is confidential released without some form of protective provision.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I do not disagree with that at all, but what I don't think I can do is stand here today and say, okay, this one has a protective order and to what extent and that one doesn't.

I think it's incumbent upon Keystone to come to us and say this one and this is why and this is how far it goes and give the opposition an opportunity to argue that. And I don't think we're prepared to argue that tonight yet.

MR. SMITH: Maybe we're not. I mean, on some of them I feel confident. You know, like, for example, cultural and paleontological, I know that's confidential

```
stuff so --
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: No disagreement with that.
     And I don't want that out there in a public domain at
 3
 4
     all, okay. But I think that request needs to come
 5
     specifically, and we need to be able to let both sides
 6
     argue that. And I don't know that anybody came prepared
     to argue that tonight.
7
8
              Okay. We will be in recess.
                      (A short recess is taken)
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: We will reconvene. We will
11
     reconvene, but we're at ease for just a moment longer.
12
                               (Pause)
13
              Commissioner Fiegen, are you on or --
14
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Yes.
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Go ahead.
16
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    I do have some requests
17
     for us to look at some confidential filings.
18
              No. 57, I57, I76 in Mr. Hanson's Motion. I25 in
19
     the need that part of that needs to be confidential.
20
     don't know that all of that has to be confidential, but
21
     there might be a piece in there.
              MR. ELLISON: I'm sorry. Could you repeat the
22
2.3
    prior number?
2.4
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: 25 may have a portion of
25
     that that needs to be confidential.
```

```
1
              48 and 56. And then in the documents -- oops.
2
    And I have a couple more. 60 and 83. And then in the
 3
     documents, No. 55 I believe is the only one I asked for.
 4
    And No. 50, I quess.
 5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Some of those were not
 6
    ones that Commissioner Hanson indicated; correct?
7
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Oh, correct. This is in
8
    discussion.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Proceed.
10
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And so I just believe that
    we should be forward thinking as a Commission. I have
11
12
    certainly asked questions about confidentiality issues
13
     today. And I believe unless we're wrong and we can
14
    certainly take the -- we can lift the confidential issues
15
    up if we need to, but I think right now we should make
16
    those items confidential.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: If we are to do that, can you
18
    define confidential? What do you mean by that?
19
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I'm not an attorney, but I
20
    would assume that it is protected and that the people
21
     that can see that are the attorneys of both the
22
     Intervenor and TransCanada.
2.3
              I am not an attorney, though. You would have to
24
    ask Kristine Edwards for sure and Mr. Smith. Oh, except,
```

I mean, if you're -- I believe that would be a protective

```
order. I would think confidential is confidential from
2
     the public.
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I guess the real question is
 4
     is it limited to only the attorney, or is it the attorney
    and the attorneys' client?
 6
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I don't know. I'm going
7
    to ask General Counsel on what we think we should do
8
           We've talked about confidential. I don't know if
     that's from the public or --
10
              MR. ELLISON: Excuse me. I want to interpose an
     objection. There is a procedure that is laid out in the
11
12
    rules --
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Yeah. Mr. Taylor, if
14
     you would let him slide in there.
15
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And we're in discussion
16
    because we haven't amended anybody's information.
17
              MR. ELLISON: I understand that.
18
                                Introduce yourself again.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
              MR. ELLISON: Bruce Ellison on behalf of Dakota
19
20
    Rural Action. I forgot how to work this thing.
21
              There is a procedure that is set out in
22
    ARSD 20:10:01:41 that is to be followed when there is a
    request for confidential treatment of information.
2.3
24
    believe it was Mr. Nelson, but I may be mistaken.
25
    Perhaps it was Mr. Hanson. Somebody pointed out that
```

there needs to be a motion by TransCanada.

2.3

And so I'm interposing my objection because I know this is discussion and I appreciate it and I'm not trying to interfere with the discussion but I think it's already going in a direction than is way beyond what is allowed by the statutes and by the rules as to who is supposed to assert this.

And, of course, one of the things that is stated is the statutory and common law ground in any Administrative Rules that would require such confidentiality.

Anyway, I'm interposing an objection that in the absence of a motion from TransCanada specifying the identification of any particular document as the rule requires, that this is a premature discussion about this.

Thank you. I'm sorry to interrupt.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen, you have the floor.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: My question is to

Mr. Smith because we've heard information, but it may be

correct. There maybe needs to be a motion instead of

Commission --

MR. SMITH: Well, there's that procedure when a party wants to have something treated as confidential.

And maybe with respect to those things that are on the

behalf of TransCanada, I can understand that.

2.3

My issue here is if we're going to compel the release of information that is confidential without protective provisions, I guess that's a real concern to me.

And the Commission has previously during my tenure here imposed protective provisions in its orders requiring disclosure of things to parties. We've done that. Because we have to.

Now there are some of these that aren't like that. They're Keystone asserting its -- that it's confidential with respect to it. But certain things like, for example, the three -- like the statutory ones, for example, the cultural resources, avian species that are required by law, I mean, I would be very uncomfortable ordering the release of those in violation of those -- without the Commission itself ensuring that those are done under a confidentiality requirement.

MR. ELLISON: If I may, Mr. Smith, just so that my -- if I may, just so my position or DRA's position is clear.

We are not objecting to matters being held in confidentiality. We're just trying to get the rules followed as to a process and a procedure. And I do realize we're under -- TransCanada's under a three-day

time constraint. That's where we're at.

But I just want to be clear. It's not that we're objecting should there be a determination. We will certainly abide by any protective order. That's not an issue. I just want to make that clear.

Thank you.

2.3

MR. SMITH: And I certainly have no problem with if we were to impose protective provisions again for now for anybody -- I mean, anyone else has the ability under those rules to -- you know, to challenge, you know, its right to be protected.

And, again, some of these are assertions by the company with respect to its belief that for its competitive or whatever reasons it's entitled to confidential treatment.

But the ones that concern me are -- like I said, we've had -- like some of the statutes like the avian data I don't think that's at issue here, but, I mean, the reason that's in the law is that information gets released publicly and all of a sudden the eagle is dead, you know. But okay.

MR. ELLISON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to move to amend the Motion to include an order that for any items that we compel that are confidential by law that they be covered

```
1
     by a protective order such that only the attorney of
2
     record for the receiving party may view that data.
 3
              MR. RAPPOLD: I hate to interrupt. Can I make a
 4
     comment?
 5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: You're not part of this one so
 6
    we're going to hold on that.
7
              Discussion on the amendment.
8
              I hope I cover what needs to be covered here.
     Am I entirely comfortable going this route? No. I wish
10
     we had the luxury of time to do as Mr. Ellison has said
11
     and have a party bring that forward, but we don't have
12
     that. And I think this covers the concern.
13
              I agree with Mr. Smith that that is a legitimate
14
     concern. I think this covers it. And we'll see where it
15
     goes.
16
              Other discussion on the amendment?
17
              Seeing none, all those in favor of the amendment
18
     will vote aye. Those opposed, nay.
19
              Commissioner Hanson.
20
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Aye.
21
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Commissioner Fiegen.
22
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Fiegen votes aye.
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
2.4
              The amendment passes.
25
              We are now back to the main Motion. I quess,
```

Commissioner Hanson, I'd just ask after your review are there any other of these items that you would want to add to your list or subtract from your list before we move forward?

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I was debating from the standpoint of whether to amend my

Motion to exclude the portion of denial on all of the

others and just simply leave what I have made a Motion

for approval of.

I found that there were more of them that I was challenged with than what I had thought. But I only -- and I marked them as I went through with different information showing that I feel some are confidential. Quite a few of them I felt were just too confidential for us to require the Applicant to provide.

So I appreciate the Motion. And I was debating how that would fit within at least six of those items that I felt were -- that I gave reason not to include because I felt of their confidentiality.

I think some of those -- some of them have already been answered. And I guess to directly answer your question, No. 23 and No. 50 -- 23 being the Interrogatory and 50, I believe, is a Request For Production.

That's not taking a giant leap towards

```
1
     supporting yours, but I felt others were answered and I
2
     don't have an answer for you on the others right now.
 3
     need to, again, look at those individually in
     relationship to the amendment that we just put on it.
 4
 5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Would you like to move
 6
     to amend to add those two? Interrogatory 23 and the
7
     Request For Production Document 56?
8
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: 50 I believe you said.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: You're right. 50.
10
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Yes.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Move to amend.
11
              Discussion on the Motion.
12
              Seeing none, all those in favor of the Motion to
13
14
     Amend to add Interrogatory 23 and Request For Document
15
     Production 50 will say aye. Those opposed, nay.
16
              Commissioner Hanson.
17
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Aye.
18
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
19
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
21
              Motion carries.
22
              We are back to the main Motion as amended.
2.3
              Discussion.
24
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So just a question
25
     procedurally, Mr. Chairman. So in the discussion before
```

we took the recess was that an amendment to the Motion of

Mr. Hanson -- Chairman Hanson? Are all of those amended

yet?

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: No. That was not -- that was just part of the discussion to let you know what I was thinking. And I think maybe the way we might proceed -- would you like me to put it in the form of an amendment so we can move past it?

Okay. I will move to further amend by adding Interrogatory 25, 48, 56, 58, 60, 83, Request For Document Production No. 1, No. 13, No. 29, No. 30, No. 31, No. 33, No. 34, No. 42, No. 44, No. 53, and No. 55.

Discussion on the amendment.

I understand this goes considerably further than where Commissioner Hanson was at. But as I looked at each one of those, I think they meet the same standard that I use as we dealt with the last set of Motions to Compel and that these are -- while they may be broad, I think they stand the opportunity to allow for discovery of information that will ultimately -- or could ultimately be part of a narrow focus that we will ultimately be dealing with at the hearing and, hence, my Motion to include those.

Further discussion on that amendment.

1 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman. 2 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. Mr. Chairman, I would 3 COMMISSIONER HANSON: 4 submit that I'm going to have trouble supporting Interrogatory 58. 6 I believe that Request For Production No. 1 and 7 No. 29 have already been sufficiently answered. And I 8 would have trouble supporting 33 and 55 and 42, just as a for your information. 10 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Further discussion on 11 the amendment. 12 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, I think 13 today the Commission has certainly given Intervenors an 14 opportunity to provide their case. And we have been very 15 broad in giving discovery request and documentation. 16 I do believe Commissioner Nelson's amendment is 17 very consistent to what he did in the very first motion 18 we had on compel, Motion to Compel. So it's consistent. 19 Do I think some of this is a little broad? 20 Yeah, maybe. But I quess I'd rather be broad and allow 21 all our Intervenors to get their information that they 22 need to provide for their case than to deny it. 2.3 So today I will be supporting the amended 24 version of Commissioner Hanson's First Amendment because

I believe it's consistent, and it gives every Intervenor

```
1
     an opportunity -- or this Intervenor an opportunity to
 2
     provide their case.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Additional discussion
 3
 4
     on the amendment that I just moved adding all of those
     additional items.
 6
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: I was just slightly
7
     confused as to Commissioner Fiegen's --
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: As was I.
 9
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    I am supporting
10
     Commissioner Nelson's amendment to Commissioner Hanson's.
11
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Thank you.
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So if I did the Hanson and
12
13
     the Nelson wrong, I'm sorry. If my name would have
14
     stayed Olson, we could have had an Olson too. But it
15
     changed to Fiegen.
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion.
17
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, on No. 13 I
18
     struggle with that because they have to comply with
19
     PHMSA.
           PHMSA's regulations are PHMSA's regulations.
20
              And No. 34, we appointed the public liaison so I
21
     don't know what the -- why that should be included.
22
              No. 55 they really can't do a lot with that
     until construction begins. I think they've already
2.3
2.4
     answered 1 and 29.
25
              Taking to heart what -- I do want to support the
```

position. At the same time, I think it just goes too far. So I won't support the Motion to Amend.

2.3

2.4

Obviously, Commissioner Fiegen makes a very good point, and now I'm about to argue against my position and that is that we do want to make certain that we go to extraordinary measures to make certain that all parties -- that this is done right and all information is provided.

I have a mix. I can support more than half of what you have put up there, but I just -- I think it's just a lot of extra work that doesn't need to be done that's not going to provide any assistance to anyone.

And I'm talking at length so that I can talk myself into supporting the Motion.

Let Keystone do the extra work.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Let me just make a couple of comments in response. You mentioned the one that talked about PHMSA. And I get we're not the pipeline regulator. PHMSA is. But if there are documents that relate to their interaction with PHMSA, even though PHMSA's the jurisdictional authority there, that's still information that may be relevant to the ultimate case that we're going to decide.

And similarly with the public liaison, there may be documents there that TransCanada has that relates to

```
1
     that public liaison. We don't know. I mean, if there
2
     aren't, there aren't. If there are, there are.
 3
              Commissioner Fiegen.
 4
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    I would agree,
5
    Mr. Chairman. I think there may not be any documents in
     the PHMSA because it hasn't been constructed. So it will
 6
7
     be interesting because there may not be documents
8
     available.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional comments on my
10
     amendment.
11
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: In the interest of getting
12
     halfway done with our Docket today, I'll not speak any
13
     further.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Seeing no further discussion,
15
     all those in favor of my amendment will vote aye.
16
     opposed, nay.
17
              Commissioner Hanson.
18
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    No.
19
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
20
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Votes aye.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
21
22
              Motion to Amend carries.
2.3
              We are back to a heavily amended main Motion.
2.4
     Further discussion on the main Motion.
```

Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye.

```
1
     Those opposed, nay.
 2
              Commissioner Hanson.
 3
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Aye.
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                 Commissioner Fiegen.
 5
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                     Fiegen votes aye.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
              Motion carries.
7
8
              I believe we have concluded that particular
     Motion to Compel.
10
              Yes, Mr. Taylor.
11
              MR. TAYLOR: I have a question, point of order.
12
     Would you be so kind as to define for us what all
13
     documents is intended to mean with respect to this
14
     production?
15
              All documents is perhaps the most all
16
     encompassing expression that you can formulate.
17
     not -- you didn't say all documents that we think are
18
     relevant. You didn't say all documents that are
19
     meritorious to the discovery inquiry. You said all
     documents.
20
21
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                 That is correct.
                                                   Yes.
22
              MR. TAYLOR: So your intention is that in the
2.3
     next three days we produce all documents? Let us use
24
     PHMSA communications, for example.
25
              All documents with respect to Keystone I,
```

Keystone II? That's what the question asks.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: That is correct. And that's why two weeks ago I said to all of the parties be prepared should we grant any of these Motions to Compel because I knew the time would be short.

MR. TAYLOR: The point is this. I don't have any issue trying to comply. If you want us to do that, we'll do that. But the point is all documents is burdensome and oppressive and will not lead to any usable work product that will be produced in this proceeding.

I mean, first of all, how do you categorize it?
What do I do? Back a truck up to Mr. Martinez's office
and dump the stuff in his lap? No. I'm not going to do
that. But you can't categorize it and you can't assemble
it.

Commissioner, you have to define a scope narrower than all documents. It cannot be done. There's case law from me to you that says that when you ask for all documents you have to define -- there has to be a definition wrapped around all documents. Relevancy is the concept.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I'm just going to stand on the Motion. Your objection is noted. I would encourage you to work with Mr. Martinez and Mr. Ellison to see if there's an opportunity for you to narrow that in the time

1 given.

We will move along.

We are now to Mr. Dorr's Motion. And in moving to Mr. Dorr's Motion.

Mr. Dorr, I mean, absolutely no disrespect as I say this. And I hope this will help you as you make your presentation.

MR. DORR: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I had a really hard time following what you were actually asking for. Keystone in their response indicated that most of what you were talking about was either commentary or argument, either of which are appropriate at this point.

And so if you could help us focus on what you're actually asking for here, that I think would help speed us to a resolution.

MR. DORR: Thank you, sir. I'll be as brief as I can. Hi. My name is Gary Dorr.

Okay. When I was asking for the questions from TransCanada my primary -- or my primary goal out of all of this was to seek the easements for the entire water system.

Now I asked for a map from TransCanada of the entire water system that they had. The map that they provided, which is here, is incomplete. It's from 1999.

The system was not even complete at that time. We overlaid the pipeline onto the map. And I don't know if you can see all of these lighter lines coming off of -- all of those lighter lines that are coming off of there are the distribution lines as TransCanada has termed them.

2.3

I called them the branch lines. According to Public Law 100-516 the entire system, the Lower Brule, the Cheyenne River Sioux, the Oglala Sioux, and the Rosebud Sioux and Lyman Jones and West River are all considered the system as stipulated by Congress. That's in the law.

So everything from the intake to the house is a system. I did ask at one point for easements where they cross the Corps lines. I also asked for where they crossed the distribution lines or the -- what did I term it? The branch lines. The term I used was branch lines. TransCanada understood it to be distribution lines.

You can see there are hundreds probably of crossings of the branch or distribution lines. I'm asking for an easement for every single place they cross a water line. I will limit my discussion today to primarily that in asking for those documents for every single easement.

My line of reasoning is because I visited -- and

I take a little bit of offense to the fact that I have been -- I have not been prejudiced as you stated in the last meeting.

2.3

2.4

I had to go up to Haakon County and Jones County on my own to find the easements that were -- and discover that TransCanada was wrong in one of the easements that they provided me.

I also found the as-built documents filed by Oglala Sioux Rural Water Supply System. I found the easements from Lyman Jones, which is on top of the easement for the United States. The United States has an easement for all of those water lines, and on top of that Lyman Jones issued another easement.

And in that easement Lyman Jones got agreement, which is even more specific to the landowners, and the landowners agreed that the only thing they would build on the water line easement is an ordinary fence. And that term is in there.

My line of reasoning was based on that. And I needed to see all of the easements from TransCanada for every single place and -- to be simple here, every single place where there's a water line which is connected to this, which is part of the system.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm just going to jump in again so that I can clarify.

So you're not looking for the easements that a landowner entered into with the water system. You're looking for the easement that TransCanada entered into with the landowner.

2.3

MR. DORR: Yes. It would be in addition to the easements that are already standing. Because I feel it would be a violation. So that was my line of reasoning for asking for that.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Thank you. And so that's the sum of what your request is.

MR. DORR: Yes. That's pretty much it.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Thank you. And we probably will come back to some questions, but we'll let TransCanada respond.

MR. TAYLOR: We addressed a lot of other subjects with Mr. Dorr. And I think I gave him that map yesterday. I found it in my file. I don't know where it came from. I think it came from the Bureau of Reclamation. It is a 1999 map.

Okay. So let's start at the beginning. You are familiar from your rural electricity the difference between transmission and distribution. Transmission being wholesale transmission of electricity, distribution being retail distribution of electricity. The concept is very much the same in the water business.

1 Let me talk to you about this map for a minute.
2 You take a look at this map.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN NELSON: We're going to have to have you keep a mic. close.

Katlyn, could you just hang on to that for him.
MS. GUSTAFSON: Yes.

MR. TAYLOR: All right. So what we're talking about is the Mni Wiconi Water System. I don't remember the years and I didn't bring the legislative history with me but Senator Johnson sponsored a statute that created the Mni Wiconi Water System. And I think it was the late '80s.

The essence of the system is is there's an intake right up here just north of town. There's a water treatment plant out there on the flats below the dam.

And then there's a pipeline that as originally conceived was a 24-inch steel pipeline called the Mni Wiconi

Pipeline that ran sort of southwest and ended up on the Pine Ridge Reservation.

When the water system was conceived the statute said that the water system would be held in trust by the United States for the affected Tribes. Very important point.

Bureau of Reclamation, Department of Interior,
Bureau of Reclamation is the designated federal agency

that manages the trust responsibility of the

United States with respect to this water system.

2.3

Shortly after the 24-inch steel line began construction Congress passed an amendment that said it will be extended to Brule. You look on that, and there's kind of a light blue area. That's the Brule reservation. And then you see I think it's a light blue pipe that goes down to Brule.

Then they said it would be extended to Rosebud.

And that's the red area. That's not the Rosebud

Reservation. That's the Rosebud Rural Water System's territory.

And there's a Corps line that goes down to the Rosebud Rural Water System. That great big huge one, the yellow one, is the Lyman Jones West River. There used to be two rural water systems. West River was one. Lyman Jones was one. They combined about the time of the genesis of all of this.

And you'll see that there is a Corps line that goes north up in Haakon County. It's 14-inch PVC line that supplies the Lyman Jones West River system.

Then you'll see that sort of burnt orange color down in the bottom. That is the Oglala Sioux Rural Water System. And that is Pine Ridge and areas that surround the Pine Ridge Reservation.

Depicted on that map, and I'll grant you, a 1999 map, it's not a build-out map, but depicted on that map are the Corps lines. And those are the Corps lines as they are today.

2.3

So Mr. Dorr asked me a question. He wants to know where the XL Pipeline crosses the Corps line. And I answered those questions. It crosses in two places. It crosses up in Haakon County on the 14-inch PVC pipe on some land that belongs to some people named Hostutler. And then it crosses the -- down in Jones County just north of the interstate on some land that belongs to the Dahlke-Mann family.

Now I made a mistake, and I'm free and quick to admit it. And I'll tell you why I made the mistake.

Where the Dahlke-Mann crossing is it's in the quarter section. The quarter section is split by U.S. Highway

16. The pipeline parallels U.S. Highway 16 on the south side.

A friend of mine Glenn Iversen owns the little north corner of that section, and the Dahlke-Mann family own the south corner. The Dahlke-Mann family hosts the Mni Wiconi Pipeline. Not Glenn Iversen.

I don't remember who I told, whether it was Keystone land agents or whether it was my assistant, here's the legal description. Get me the easement that

1 Keystone has in that quarter section. She gave me the 2 Iversen easement instead of the Dahlke-Mann easement.

2.3

As soon as I saw Mr. Dorr's letter I went back and looked and saw that I had given him the wrong one and e-mailed him the right one within 20 minutes or so.

Now I never had a meaningful conversation with Mr. Dorr until today, although he did exchange some e-mail last week. The first time I heard from him after we submitted our Interrogatory Answers was Monday the 6th. Late in the day he sent an e-mail, and his e-mail was in effect sort of a build up to a meet and confer.

The next day, the motion deadline, he filed a motion, the 7th before I even had a chance to respond. In fact, I wasn't even in town. I read his letter. I forgot if it was the evening of the 8th or the evening of the 9th and immediately started e-mailing him information. Because I could see from reading his letter that we had a fundamental misunderstanding as to what he was asking.

He asked for the Corps line, and he talked about branches of the Corps line. And the branches of the Corps line -- the branches of the Corps line -- the Haakon County piece, for example, is a branch of the Corps line.

Now if he had said to me the distribution lines,

I would have said to him we can get you that information.

But here's what I'm going to tell you about the

distribution lines. The distribution lines belong to the

4 rural water systems.

2.3

You know, this whole thing is a ball of federal funding, but it comes from two different sources.

There's funding for the Mni Wiconi Corps line under the statute that Senator Johnson passed. The funding for the rural water systems -- and there are four of them, the Brule Rural Water System, the Rosebud Rural Water System, the Lyman Jones West River, and the Oglala Sioux Rural Water System comes under a whole separate section of federal law. The way I understand it. Now I'm learning this on the fly the last two days, but I think I've got it down straight.

Those distribution lines, and there are a number of them that are crossed by the pipeline, belong to the respective rural water systems. We have an agreement with the Lyman Jones Rural Water System for crossing their distribution lines.

Today Mr. Dorr, he's a nice guy, a smart guy, said to me, well, can I see that agreement. I don't know where it is. It's on my desk. I had a stack of Mni Wiconi paper like that, and I didn't bring them.

25 I'll give him the agreement.

Beyond that, I think we have no dispute.

Nobody's trying to hide the ball.

2.3

Oh, one other point I should talk to you about. It's a legal point. And it's a legal point that's important to your understanding of this, and it's either going to come up now or it's going to come up in the hearing so I might as well educate you about it now.

It's the law of conjoin easements, and the law of conjoin utility easements in South Dakota is quite clear. I'm a landowner. I own Black Acre. And I grant the Bureau of Reclamation, the Government of the United States, the legal authority to construct a pipeline on an easement in Black Acre.

If the easement says we're going to construct the pipeline, water line and it says nothing else, then as the landowner I am free to make any use of that land that I choose. Including granting an easement over the same authority, a junior easement, to somebody else. And a third junior easement if necessary.

The law of conjoined easements is that if
Mni Wiconi is the senior easement and Keystone is the
junior easement, if I have permission from the
Hotsuplers, me, Keystone, to build my pipeline on that
property that is covered by Mni Wiconi easement, I can do
it.

The only thing I can't do is I can't make use of the easement in a manner that would unreasonably interfere with the Mni Wiconi's use. So that's the law of conjoined easements. It's well-defined, not very complex.

2.3

And the fact is is that TransCanada has had extensive discussions with the Bureau of Reclamation about how they can mechanically cross the pipeline. They have had extensive discussions with the rural water systems as to how they will cross the rural water system pipelines.

I asked James this morning, I can't remember.

Are they going to lower the water system lines and go

over the top of the pipeline, or are they going to lower

the pipeline? I can't remember which way it is.

South Dakota State University, Dr. somebody whose name I can't remember did an extensive study. You know about that. You're all attuned to that. So there's no mystery here and no surprise.

And I respect Mr. Dorr for not making me argue with him about treaty rights today.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Before I let Mr. Dorr respond,
I just want to ask a question. Is it correct that all of
your easements are now publicly filed?

MR. TAYLOR: I think so.

1 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. 2 You mean the landowner easement MR. TAYLOR: documents? 3 4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. 5 MR. TAYLOR: Yeah. Sure. I think they're all 6 public record. 7 CHAIRMAN NELSON: With that, Mr. Dorr. 8 MR. DORR: Gary Dorr. Thank you, sir. The reason why I'm here asking these questions 10 is because TransCanada stated in its -- under its plan 11 for crossing reclamation facilities, which would be the 12 Bureau of Reclamation, that they would gain permission 13 from Oglala Sioux Water Supply System and the Bureau of 14 Reclamation. 15 The answers that TransCanada provided, they said 16 they have had discussions with the Bureau of Reclamation. 17 And that's true. They've had discussions, but I see 18 nothing granting permission. 19 When I talked to the Bureau of Reclamation 20 regional director in North Dakota who manages this area 21 who has jurisdiction he said they cannot provide it. 22 Only the Department of State can provide it. He said 2.3 that he was not authorized to do that and he talked to 24 his lawyer.

So the reason we're here now and the reason why

25

this is relevant to some of the other questions is because the cultural survey was done along the pipeline routes. Well, which route are we talking about? We're on like what, the third version of the route.

2.3

2.4

And that's why in the other Interrogatories I asked for the cultural survey information, proof of it, and proof that they had consulted with the Tribe about the survey. Which survey have they consulted with the Tribe about? Is it the latest route or the first route? And that's where my directions to my questions were.

And TransCanada, like I said, in their own document they stated that they would get permission, and that has not happened. And the reason why this is important to the State of South Dakota is because the pipeline's going to be at 150 degrees Fahrenheit. What effect is that going to have on the -- number one, the PVC and the soil, the compaction that's underneath the PVC?

And the reason why I was alluding to the consultation with the Tribe is because this water right under -- or this water line under Codified 100-516 is as a result of the trust responsibility on behalf of the United States to the Tribes to provide safe drinking water.

So that Public Law 100-516 is a guiding

document, and it states in there that you won't break
ground on the easement for the Oglala Sioux Rural Water
Supply System without permission from the United States.
And that's where this line of reasoning is from.

2.3

And the entire system, Mr. Taylor alluded to the fact that these are four different systems. It's one system, and public law 100-516 states that all four of them are the system, one system.

The reason why it's important to the Tribe is because all of these, the entire system, is connected, and it all -- the Tribes are at the end of the line.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I guess the only question I've got is it is correct that you have received from Keystone the landowner easement for the two locations where the proposed Keystone line crosses the water transmission lines; is that correct?

MR. DORR: Yes. And I also have the Lyman

Jones -- I found this at the county recorder's office,

the additional, the junior easement from Lyman Jones that

states the landowner will only build an ordinary fence on

that right of way.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. And I think that whole issue becomes -- that's an issue we'll deal with, I'm assuming.

MR. DORR: That's just to guide my reasoning why

```
1
     I'm asking these questions. And it all stems from the
 2
     fact that TransCanada said they would gain permission
 3
     from Oglala Sioux Rural Water supply and the Bureau of
 4
     Reclamation.
 5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Questions from the Commission
 6
     for either party?
7
              Seeing none, is there a Motion?
8
              Katlyn, thank you.
              Well, let me kick it off. I will move to deny
10
     the Gary Dorr Motion.
11
              Discussion on my Motion.
12
              It looks to me like they've given him what he's
13
     asked for. He's gotten the two easements where it's
14
     crossed the transmission line, and any of the easements
15
     that deal with part of the distribution line are all
16
     public record and available. So it looks to me like
17
     things are covered here.
18
              MR. DORR: Can I speak?
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional Commissioner
19
     discussion.
20
21
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    I quess, Mr. Chairman, in
22
     Mr. Dorr's conclusion he talks about tribal consultation
2.3
     and questions regarding a map, which he does have the
24
     map. I don't know which -- and I think most of those
```

questions have been answered so it's the tribal part that

25

```
I didn't hear --
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Do you want to ask him a
 3
    question? You may.
 4
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Yes.
                                          In fact, yes,
 5
    Mr. Dorr, have you received the tribal consultation
 6
     information that you deemed necessary?
7
              MR. DORR: No, I have not. And just to explain
8
    my reasoning behind that, the State of South Dakota under
    Article 6, Clause 2 of the Constitution, the
10
    United States Constitution Treaties are the supreme law
11
    of the land. And state law cannot supersede the Treaty.
12
              The Treaty rights have not been abrogated.
13
     There's usufructuary rights which are included in the
14
     Treaty. That has not been answered yet. I have not
15
     received the consultation.
16
              Mr. Taylor provided me evidence of talking with
17
    certain members of the Tribal Council. Maybe he's not
18
     familiar with what -- or maybe he didn't understand my
     question. I said for the council, not individual tribal
19
20
    members of the council. Because the entire council has
21
     to be consulted because the entire council is the one who
22
    makes the decision, not the chairman, not the president,
2.3
    not one member.
2.4
              Can I make one additional statement?
25
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Yes.
```

MR. DORR: From the map that I showed you there's literally hundreds of distribution lines. I don't have an easement for every -- I have three -- or two easements from TransCanada. You said I have all of them. I don't have all of them.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I think my statement was that you've got the easements for where it crosses the water transmission line, not the distribution line. And it's my understanding that that's what you'd requested is where it crossed the transmission line.

MR. DORR: I asked that, and then later on I asked for the entire system. That's in the document that's on file, that I filed. I asked for the entire system.

There's a couple questions. I asked for the Corps lines is what my term was, and then I used branch lines. And then I also alluded to the entire system.

Now in TransCanada's own statement they called it the Oglala Sioux Rural Water Supply System. That was -- I got my terminology from them, and I then I went and looked to the law. Now I haven't received -- I have two. That crosses literally hundreds of water lines that are part of the system. I need -- I requested every single place where it crosses the system, and the system includes the distribution lines or the branch lines as I

```
termed them.
1
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. And I think the
    disagreement we've got here is in the definition of the
 3
     term "branch" line.
 4
 5
              MR. DORR: I had three questions. One was Corps
 6
     lines, one was branch lines, and then one was for the
7
     system. So, I mean, I was specific on that. So I
8
    have -- for the Corps lines. I don't have for the branch
     lines or the system. So those two would be included, the
10
    branch or the distribution lines.
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Thank you.
12
              Mr. Taylor.
13
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I have a question.
14
              Mr. Taylor, you said on your desk you have an
15
     agreement with the Lyman County.
16
              MR. TAYLOR: With Lyman Jones West River Rural
17
    Water System.
18
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you. That would be
    the distribution lines.
19
              MR. TAYLOR: Covers the distribution lines that
20
21
    are crossed by the Keystone Pipeline.
22
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: That's what I needed to
2.3
    know. Thank you.
2.4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I've got two follow-up
25
     questions. So he mentioned wanting any documents
```

```
1
     concerning the consultation with the Tribal Council, not
2
     the individual people but the council.
              Does that exist?
 3
 4
              MR. TAYLOR: Fundamental law question underlies
 5
    his position.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I understand that. Does the
    document exist?
7
8
              MR. TAYLOR: Rosebud Sioux, yes. There have
    been discussions between the Keystone -- TransCanada
10
    Keystone and the Rosebud Sioux Tribal Council, yes. And
11
     I gave him a link to the stuff that we supplied in our
12
     large body of documents.
13
              Is there one with respect to crossing the
14
    Mni Wiconi Pipeline?
                          No.
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you. That's the --
16
    that's the question that I had.
17
              Additional -- any additional questions that spin
    out of that?
18
19
              I have one more, Mr. Taylor.
20
              Mr. Dorr talked about asking three questions,
21
    Corps line, branch line, the system.
22
              MR. TAYLOR: Right.
23
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: What do you think he meant by
24
    the system, and is that the terminology that was used?
25
                                  The way he characterized it
              MR. TAYLOR: Yeah.
```

1 is reasonably accurate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

Motion.

The way I read the statute, the system is the Oglala Sioux Rural Water Supply System. And that consists of the intake, the plant, the Corps lines -- did I say the water treatment -- yes. The Corps lines and the pumps.

Then there are four rural water systems, one of whom shares the same name and may share the same management, but it is not, to my understanding, funded under the Mni Wiconi statute.

I'm not trying to play hide the ball. I read -the problem with answering Interrogatories is it's my obligation to answer the question he asks. And I have to do that to the best of my ability.

I'll be absolutely candid with you. If he had called me on March whenever and said, hey, Taylor, I got a problem with this, we would have worked it out. But I didn't hear from him until Monday. But for this hearing today we'd probably get that worked out today.

> CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Any additional questions?

Seeing none, additional discussion on the

Commissioner Fiegen.

Your Motion also includes COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:

2.4

25

I need to

```
1
     number 2 item; right? Precluding Keystone from offering
2
     evidence. You're denying that; correct? Or are you just
 3
     doing one motion?
 4
              Are you doing the Motion to Compel and offering
 5
     evidence? Are you doing that as one motion or separate?
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: One motion it would be a
7
     blanket motion to deny.
8
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Thank you.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion.
10
              Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye.
11
     Those opposed, nay.
12
              Commissioner Hanson.
13
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Aye.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
15
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Fiegen votes aye.
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
17
              Motion carries.
              We are now to Rosebud Sioux Tribe's Motion to
18
19
     Compel Discovery, which brings Mr. Rappold to the stand.
20
              I'm going to make an introductory statement, and
21
     I do not wish to impose upon your right to make whatever
22
     argument you want. The only thing I would say is you may
2.3
     by now have an indication of the direction we're headed.
24
     With that, I'll turn it over to you.
```

MR. RAPPOLD: May I have a moment?

25

1 get some of my stuff.

2.3

2 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Certainly.

MR. RAPPOLD: And I may ask for an additional moment to get a little more organized.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Certainly.

MR. RAPPOLD: Thank you.

I've spent a lot of time today here listening and observing the proceedings. Matt Rappold on behalf of the Rosebud Sioux Tribe.

And I appreciate the opportunity that the Commission and the parties have engaged in throughout the day to get to the point where we're at now.

I think some of these issues could have been resolved earlier in the process, as the Commission's pointed out. And so I have a lot of --

One of the things I've been figuring here is exactly where do I get started with what I want to tell you guys connected to what we've already heard today and what other folks have said today and what you guys have said today and where exactly do we go from here?

As you know, we do have a Motion to Compel Discovery on the table. Myself and Mr. Taylor and Mr. Moore have been trying to work through our discovery disputes.

Obviously we haven't been able to work all of

those out, and that's why we're here. We're engaged in conversations as late in the day of yesterday prior to leaving to get to Pierre.

2.3

But where I want to start, I think, is to -TransCanada's answer to Rosebud Sioux Tribe's
Interrogatory No. 2. And prior to the Interrogatories,
this has been brought up before, we made a diligent and
due search of all books, records, and paper with a view
of listing of all information available in this action.

And the response, as you guys know, is to the extent reasonably practicable in attempting to respond to over 800 discovery requests within the time allowed.

And as others have brought up, the Rules of Civil Procedure don't permit a party to respond to discovery requests to the extent it's reasonably practicable.

So we have been discussing quite a few things over the course of the last let's just say two months because we did ask for these -- our second Interrogatory filed on February 20, I believe is the date, and March 10. So I think we can say that we've been engaged in communication since at least February 20. Probably sooner than that. Or back in time further than that actually because our First Set of Interrogatories was due prior to that, and I don't recall the exact date.

What I wanted to bring up, and just to spend a little bit of time, have they answered the questions -- everyone's questions under the Rules of Civil Procedure adequately? And I think what we're finding here today is maybe they haven't.

2.3

We've been asking them for certain information, and it seems to be that there's a little bit of give and take back and forth with all of this. And based off of some of the things that I heard earlier, I don't know where exactly the things I learned today fits into the context of the discussions that we've been having over the course of time.

Because today I learned, as did the Commission and the other parties have, they don't have an Integrity Management Plan. They don't have an IEP. They don't have an ERP is my understanding of what was presented earlier.

We've asked for certain things, and they haven't been provided. And as we have gone along in time certain things that we asked for before have been provided. And so that causes me to wonder did they not have them? Do they have them and they're just not giving them to us? Are they forcing us into engage in, I don't want to call it, game playing because we're not playing games?

But, as we talked about in law school and I

heard people mention today, are we playing hide the ball?

I would certainly hope that we're not playing hide the

ball.

2.3

I left my last discussion yesterday with Mr. Moore and Mr. Taylor, and I informed them that I think some of the issues on our Motion to Compel I'll consider resolved at this time. And, again, I have to go back to what I learned earlier today.

I don't know if I should have told them that.

But I did tell them that some of our issues I thought
they were resolved based on their responses. And I'm
going to stick to that. And I'm not going to pull that
back today just because of what I heard here. And as
soon as I can find them, I'll tell you what they are.

And what I did tell those guys, that I would get them a list of what those things were, but I haven't been able to do that.

Before I do that I want to talk a little bit about the boilerplate objections and why you should overrule their boilerplate objections from the start.

Just in going through my Motion to Compel I counted 41 boilerplate objections. And boilerplate objections as we have talked about, and as other folks have talked about earlier, is just saying I object because the information you asked for is not relevant, I

object because the information you asked for is unduly burdensome, and it doesn't go the next step to say why.

2.3

It's like Mr. Taylor said earlier, when the witness is up on the stand you can't just say, well, I don't like this project. There has to be a why. Why don't you like this project?

And that's the same in the discovery process and objections. When they object to something they have to tell me they're objecting, and then they have to state why.

And I'll tell you they did that in some cases, and some Interrogatories they did that. And what that allowed me to do was judge the basis of their objection. And it also allowed us to reach a resolution through discussion of that objection and the grounds that support it. And those are some of the ones that we've been able to resolve.

Some of the other ones I've decided -- I'll come back to that.

So No. 10 and 11 from our First Set is a good example. They said -- so 10 and 11 through discussion we agreed to amend our Interrogatory, and they've answered it. And I will inform the Commission that we'll accept that answer at this point. That's for No. 10 and 11 in our First Set of Interrogatories.

I'm not sure how I feel about Interrogatory

No. 78. What I didn't include in my Motion and

TransCanada did in their response that they did provide

me the statute. Paleontological -- cultural protection.

Sorry. I can't say some words. It's a mouthful. And I didn't put that in my Motion.

2.3

There's a lot going on. It's just I -- I acknowledge that I got the statute that they sent to me after they told me what their objection was.

In consideration of Interrogatory No. 78, I would like the Commission to consider, as it did earlier, to put that information, those requests, under the scope of a protection order, similar to the order that it issued earlier, if it decides -- if the Commission decides to grant -- or to compel, rather, production.

The statute also permits the State Historical Society to disclose that information directly to a Tribe, and it also permits the State Historic Preservation

Office to disclose that information to any state agency, regulatory body. So that's how I'd like you to deal with that.

I'll take 18 and 19, Request For Production, off the table.

Now I want to get into my Second Set of
Interrogatories. We've heard mention earlier about being

on a fishing expedition. Through the discovery process -- and I can assure you that we are not fishing. We have a theory to our case. And it's actually pretty simple. The statute requires the Applicant to show that the conditions upon which they got the permit are the same as they were today four years ago, five years ago now almost when they got the permit.

2.3

And it's our contention that they can't show that. That's our theory. It's pretty simple. Are they the same or not? And we say they're not.

So we've hired some experts to work with us.

Our theory of the case is to evaluate TransCanada

Proposed Oil Spill Response Plan or worst-case discharge in a way that can be independently verified and present it to the Commission for a determination and also to evaluate changes in economic benefits and costs and the adequacy of Keystone's financial assurances in the event of a spill in South Dakota. That's part of the theory of our case.

One of our experts is Richard Kuprewicz. He currently serves as a member representing the public on the Federal Technical Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Standards Committee, which is a technical committee established by Congress to advise PHMSA on pipeline safety regulations.

Mr. Kuprewicz has also advised TransCanada on Keystone. He recommended leak detection methods for the Keystone Pipeline in the vicinity of the Fordville Aquifer prepared by TransCanada Keystone LP by Richard Kuprewicz, president of Accufax, Incorporated. That was for 2007. He's also advised the Pipeline Safety Trust on maximum operating pressures on the Keystone XL.

2.3

The other group of experts is from The Goodman Group, and they're experts in pipeline economics.

They're able to evaluate economic costs and benefits along with TransCanada's ability to provide adequate financial coverage in the event of a spill.

So these are the folks that we're working with, and in this case we're not fishing. We need the information that we've asked for that they haven't provided to adequately provide a proper evaluation of TransCanada's Proposed Oil Spill Response Plans or worst-case discharges that can be independently verified.

All the information that we're asking for is relevant to that point. As we have discussed earlier, relevancy in these proceedings is a very broad concept.

Interrogatory No. 1, Sub A we asked for the most recent and accurate project route and facility locations to provide an approximate elevation profile of the proposed pipeline, capturing the segments from the

```
1
    nearest upstream north to the state border to the nearest
2
    pump stations just south of the state border.
 3
              We got that earlier this week. Monday? Did you
 4
     send me that on Monday? Did you send me the elevation
 5
    profile on Monday?
 6
              MR. MOORE:
                          That was last week.
7
              MR. TAYLOR: We sent it -- I think last
8
    Wednesday we sent you the data.
9
              MR. RAPPOLD: That was the data. The graphic
10
     design that was earlier.
11
              MR. TAYLOR: Probably Monday. What's today?
12
     Tuesday?
13
              MR. RAPPOLD: Yeah.
14
              MR. TAYLOR: Yesterday.
15
              MR. RAPPOLD: Yesterday.
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: For but a few more hours,
17
    Mr. Taylor.
18
              MR. RAPPOLD: Boilerplate objections don't give
19
    us an opportunity prior to today to really resolve the
20
    underlying -- the underlying issue. Is what they're
21
     saying relevant? Is what they're -- what they've
22
     objected to, is it really, in fact, confidential? Or is
2.3
     it not?
24
              When someone makes an objection on the grounds
25
     of protected information they're supposed to inform the
```

```
1
     requesting party what the grounds are, what the documents
 2
     are, how it's supported. Then we can look into that.
 3
     And then we can decide if we agree with them or not.
 4
     maybe we'll say, hey, it is confidential, it is protected
 5
     information. Okay. Well, let's go to the Commission and
 6
     ask for a protective order and decide how the
7
     Commission's going to give it to us. Because it's
8
     clearly relevant.
              Everything that we've asked for in our Motion to
10
     Compel is relevant to the issue before the Commission.
11
     Can TransCanada certify the conditions upon which they
12
     got the permit are the same today as they were four years
13
     ago?
14
                                If I could interrupt just for
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
15
     a moment.
16
              MR. RAPPOLD:
                            Sure.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Did I understand you correctly
18
     that Interrogatory No. 1 is resolved?
19
              MR. RAPPOLD:
                            That was 1A.
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                1A.
                                     Thank you.
21
              MR. RAPPOLD:
                            Thank you for clarifying that.
22
              1B on the elevation profile provided above,
2.3
     Indicate the location of the pump stations, the location
24
     of all main line valves, including check valves by mile
25
     post, the type of main line valve actuation and location
```

of all valves in reference to water crossings.

2.3

We haven't got all of that information. It's necessary to have that information in order to adequately assess their Spill Response Plans and their Integrity Management Plans, which apparently they don't have yet. And that's concerning.

Not only is it concerning that they won't provide us that information, it's also concerning that they don't have those plans yet.

The point of contention has been high consequence areas and whether or not those are confidential and if they could be disclosed. We've asked for the high consequence areas to be superimposed on the elevation profile and we're told that they're confidential and they can't be disclosed.

In Exhibit D is an e-mail that we saw earlier,

HCA data which is available from download from the -- I'm

not going to read the whole thing because you've already

got it. You've already seen it.

This isn't a law. It's an e-mail from somebody telling someone else what they think about a particular topic. It's not a law. It shouldn't be treated as such.

49 United States Code 60132 is a law. And that's the law that addresses the National Pipeline
Mapping System. And that law requires information to be

provided to the mapping system not later than six months after the date of enactment of this section. The operator of a pipeline facility shall provide to the Secretary of Transportation the following information:

Geospatial data appropriate for use in National Pipeline Mapping System. And I'm not going to read all of this, but what do they do with that information?

2.3

They make a map. And on that map what do they put on there? Map of high consequence areas. The secretary shall maintain as part of the National Pipeline Mapping System a map of designated high consequence areas as described in Section 60109(A) in which pipelines are required to meet integrity management program regulations, excluding any proprietary or sensitive security information.

This is the law. It's public information. High consequence areas are public information because the operator of a pipeline is required by law to provide those areas to this person, to this system, to make a map, to put it on the internet where people can go and get it and look at it. It's not confidential.

The only thing that's going to be excluded is any proprietary information or sensitive security information. What we're asking for is not sensitive security information.

What are unusually sensitive areas?

49 USC 60109, high density population areas and environmentally sensitive areas. Locations near pipeline right of ways that are critical to drinking water including intake locations for community water systems and critical sole source aquifer protection areas.

2.3

Me talked a little bit earlier about the

Mni Wiconi water system that's operated by the Rosebud

Sioux Tribe under the Congressional Act that was passed

because of the United States Government's obligation to

the Rosebud Sioux Tribe through a Treaty that they

entered into with them many years ago before South Dakota

was even a state.

Rosebud water supplies -- Rosebud provides the water and funding for the Tripp County Water Users

Association, which is clearly right where this pipeline's going. Or planned to go.

There's been some information provided through testimony already that there's wells, there's production wells over there connected to the aquifer that Tripp County and some of the dependent Indian communities over on that side of the reservation, they use that water.

But yet we can't get a clear, definitive answer on a graphic representation that experts that do this stuff that know what they're talking about, that advise

1 PHMSA about these things, we can't get them to just give 2 that to us. We have to be here arguing about it. 3 we've been talking about it for quite a while. So we 4 would like you to order them, to compel them, to provide us this information. 6 We've asked them -- there's been reference to -and I'm moving on -- about Appendix Z, questions 4E and 7 8 D. Findings 22, 60, and 90 refer to Keystone implementation of the 49 PHMSA Special Conditions. 10 Keystone is also committed to implement mitigation 11 recommendations from the Battelle and Exponent Risk Assessment Reports and including specifically addressing 12 13 several issues in its Emergency Response Plan, which we 14 learned earlier that they don't have --15 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm sorry. I'm going to 16 Which Interrogatory are you on? interrupt. 17 MR. RAPPOLD: I'm sorry. I'm on 4D. I'm on 18 page 22. 19 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 20 MR. RAPPOLD: Oil Spill Response Plan and its 21 risk analysis that is used in the development of plans. 22 Please explain what, if anything, Keystone has committed 2.3 to in regard to implementation of mitigation 24 recommendations from the Battelle and Exponent Risk

Assessment Reports and how this affects Findings 22, 60,

25

and 90 and any other Findings.

2.3

And the answer that we got is that Keystone will implement additional mitigation measures included in Appendix Z. The answer is deficient. It's improper. You can't reference -- you can't answer a question by referencing the question.

How are you going to implement the additional requirements from Appendix Z, the 59 additional requirements. Don't you guys want to know that? One of the conditions was that they implement those new conditions, but they won't tell us how they plan to do that. They just say that we're going to do it. They don't give us the how.

I don't like this project. Why? You can't have it both ways.

So in our discussions we said here's some examples of how you could answer the question fully -- more fully. And they told us, well, now you're asking extra questions. You don't get to ask extra questions was their response.

So an example is on 22. The answer provided does not address how Keystone plans to implement Special Condition 6, monitoring foreseen fatigue in transportation.

A complete answer would address how Keystone

plans to avoid double submerged arc weld cracking introduced during transportation and installation along the pipeline. An answer that describes Keystone's plans to implement other measures to avoid DSAW cracking introduced during transportation and installation along the pipeline would be a more complete response.

2.3

And I could go on and on, but it's in my Motion. They merely attempt to say they're going to do it without telling you or us or anyone else for that matter how they're going to go about doing it. And the purpose of discovery is to exchange information and develop your case.

I'm sorry. I'm kind of jumping out of order here. Interrogatory 1G, the location of the high consequence areas is confidential. And Keystone is required by PHMSA to keep this information confidential. What law? We could debate over what's in this e-mail.

We know there's water there. We know that there's water in one of these areas, and that's why we're asking for it. We don't need them to tell us there's water there. We already know that. We operate the water system. Of course we know there's water there. You get it from the aquifer.

So they can tell us where the high consequence area is located without actually telling us why it's

considered a high consequence area. We could debate the interpretation of that e-mail and their response.

But the fact is that in their objection they didn't state the why, the how, the what law says that these are confidential.

2.3

2.4

Now I have to go back and look up laws to figure it out when they were required under the Rules of Civil Procedure to state that in their objection. This is why courts reject boilerplate objections. Because it makes this process longer, and it doesn't help us get to the truth.

Do you want me to go through each and every one of our requests?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I have read your -- everything that you have submitted. So I'm okay with you not doing that unless either of my fellow Commissioners -- I think they're both good also.

MR. RAPPOLD: Is it going to affect your decision?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: No. I think -- stand by for questions. That's going to be where if we've got anything we're -- at least in my mind where I might move, it's going to come down to questions.

MR. RAPPOLD: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

1 Keystone.
2 MR. RAPPO

2.3

MR. RAPPOLD: Can I say something off the record?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: You probably -- let's wait until we've gaveled out. The last time I tried to make a joke here some lawyer took it seriously.

MR. RAPPOLD: Well, it was going to be mostly serious, but I'll wait.

MR. MOORE: James Moore on behalf of Keystone.

With respect to Interrogatory No. 78, which is part of the first request, that was the request for the location of the stone circles. The statute that says that that's protected and confidential information is 1-20-21.2. It does not give Keystone the authority to disclose that information.

The whole process is a process between the State Historic Preservation Office and the Department of State. Keystone is just not in a position to have provided that information in response to the request.

With respect to the second round of discovery requests, we provided the elevation profile that takes care of 1A. The elevation profile also takes care of 1B. It provides the location of the house and the pump stations within the elevation profiles. We answered the other subparts of Interrogatory No. 1, except for the one

related to location of HCAs for the reason supported in the Affidavit.

2.3

2.4

Mr. Dorr says what PHMSA tells us is just what PHMSA says, and it's not a law. I'm sorry. That's not how Keystone responds to direction from PHMSA. PHMSA is our federal regulator, and when PHMSA says don't disclose this that's what Keystone does.

With respect to Interrogatory No. 4D and E with respect to the mitigation of the 59 Special Conditions -- or compliance with the 59 Special Conditions. With all due respect to Mr. Rappold, the question that he asked was not explain how you will implement each of the 59 Special Conditions.

Keystone answered the questions that he asked. He came back and now has said now I need you to explain how you will implement each of the 59 Special Conditions. That's 59 new Interrogatories. And I would submit that it's not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Again, this is a matter that's within the exclusive jurisdiction of PHMSA. And, secondarily, you don't get to ask new questions in a Motion to Compel, and that's what it is.

With respect to Interrogatories 9, 10, 11, and 12, for the most part we answered those. No. 10, No. 11,

- and No. 12 related to insurance and other financial information. We answered most of it. We did object with respect to No. 11 to Subparts C and D to the extent that we thought that they were hypothetical questions based on future scenarios and that we similarly had insufficient information to answer them and could not answer them for that reason.
 - With respect to Interrogatory No. 9 the only thing that I will note there is that Mr. Rappold is seeking, you know, Keystone's distribution policy with respect to the LLC, which is clearly proprietary information to Keystone. There's no showing that that's reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this proceeding.
 - So, you know, we've worked hard with Mr. Rappold, and, frankly, we resolved most of these.

 I'm very comfortable standing on the remaining objections we assert either because we answered the question and the Tribe just doesn't like the answer or because the objection is well founded.
 - Thank you.

- 22 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.
- Questions from the Commission. Commissioner
 Hanson.
- 25 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

```
I just want to make certain I understand which items were
2
     taken off the table. Matt, if you would --
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                And, Matt, if you want to just
 4
     pull that mic. over if you're spread out there, wherever
 5
     you're comfortable.
 6
              MR. RAPPOLD:
                            Should be on now.
7
              Interrogatory No. 10 and 11 in the First Set of
8
     Interrogatories located on page 6 of the Motion to
     Compel.
10
              Request for Production of Documents, No. 18 and
11
     19 on page 10. No. 78 we didn't take off the table.
12
     Rather we asked you to consider a protective order for
13
     that like you've done with the other parties before us.
14
              What's curious on here is that they indicated
15
     that they -- Keystone began cultural surveys in May of
16
     2008, and they found several prehistoric stone circles.
17
     But now they're saying these sites are addressed during
18
     the course of government-to-government consultations with
     the DOS. Site locations are confidential and cannot be
19
20
     disclosed outside of the consultation process.
21
              How did they get it in the first place?
22
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: That's the only question I
2.3
     have. Thank you.
2.4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Any other Commissioner
25
     questions?
```

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Oh, excuse me. Forgive
2
          I do have another one, as I'm looking through my
     me.
 3
     notes here.
                  See if I can find it. It pertains to the
 4
     insurance.
 5
              It's on Interrogatory No. 12 regarding the
 6
     insurance. I understand, but I want to make certain I
7
     understand correctly that Keystone's intention is to have
8
     a 100 million dollar policy for the pipeline it says
     during operation.
10
              Does that mean during construction or during the
11
     entire duration that this pipeline is in operation?
12
              MR. MOORE: I think it means during operation,
13
     not including construction, is my understanding.
14
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Not including
15
     construction?
16
              MR. MOORE: I didn't specifically ask that
17
     question, Commissioner Hanson.
18
              My understanding of operation is it means
19
     postconstruction when it's placed into service.
20
     can't tell you that with 100 percent certainty.
21
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. We'd like to know
22
     that.
2.3
              MR. MOORE:
                          Okay.
24
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: And then you state an
25
     additional corporate policy with limits of 200 million
```

```
dollars. How does that work?
2
              I've been in insurance a lot over my lifetime,
 3
    and I just want to make sure I understand.
 4
    pipeline's a subsidiary of a corporation and, therefore,
 5
    you have 200 on the corporation and 100 on the
 6
     subsidiary; is that correct?
7
              MR. MOORE: I think they're actually separate
8
    coverages. And my understanding is that the 200 million
    would not be dedicated specifically to South Dakota, to
10
    Keystone's operations in South Dakota. The 200 million
11
    would apply to the Keystone line whether it be in
12
    Montana, South Dakota, Nebraska.
13
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: It's aggregated for all of
14
    the states?
15
              MR. MOORE: That's correct.
16
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: And the 100 million
17
     dollars, is that also aggregated then?
18
              MR. MOORE: No, it is not. That is dedicated to
19
     operations in South Dakota.
20
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. Thank you very
21
    much.
22
              Thank you, Mr. Chair.
23
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Any additional questions?
                                                            Ιf
24
    not, is there a motion?
25
                                    If you want to make one,
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
```

```
go ahead.
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Let me give it a shot.
     And I am working off of, yeah, Rosebud Sioux Tribe's
 3
 4
     Motion to Compel. And I'm just going to name the ones
     that I would grant.
 6
              I would grant Interrogatory No. 1 to the extent
7
     there's any information that has not yet been provided.
8
     That's on page 12.
              I would also grant Interrogatory No. 2 on page
10
     18.
11
              Ladies and gentlemen, that's it.
12
              Discussion on the Motion.
13
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, you're
14
     saying No. 1 and No. 2. Is that it?
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Yes.
16
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. Thank you.
              MR. SMITH: 12 or 2?
17
18
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    2.
19
              MR. SMITH:
                         Okay.
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion.
21
              Go ahead. Commissioner Fiegen has a question.
22
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    I just have a question on
2.3
     your Motion because it appears to me a lot of information
24
     has been given from Keystone. So it also appears to me
25
     the Intervenor may ask new questions of Keystone, and I
```

don't know if it's in these questions or not. So I just need to spend a little bit of time to see if they're asking new questions on this one.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Certainly. Or do you want to just ask Keystone that question? Would that shortcut it?

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Sure. That would give a shortcut.

Are there new questions being asked from the first discovery questions or their discovery questions from this Motion to Compel?

MR. MOORE: I just want to make sure I'm understanding your question. Can you ask it again?

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Sure. On the two discovery items that we're looking at granting for Motion to Compel they ask two sets of questions actually. And then it looks like they came back in their Motion to Compel. And it appears that they may have asked additional questions or asked you to do it a different way to ask you new questions.

Are these discovery items asking new questions?

MR. MOORE: I'm not sure. I'm not sure I know
the answer to that. Because my understanding and
impression based on conversations with Mr. Rappold is
that we have provided the information in response to both
Interrogatories 1 and 2.

```
1
              If he thinks there's additional information that
2
    we still have not provided, I'm going to have to talk to
 3
    him and find out what it is. Because I just think we've
 4
    given what we have.
 5
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Because it appears to me
 6
    that you have given a lot of information. And I just
7
    want to make sure it doesn't lead to another round of
8
     discovery, that the Commission doesn't grant another
     round of discovery by granting this Motion.
10
              MR. MOORE: Thank you.
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. I'm going to go back to
12
    Mr. Rappold. I want to try to drill down to this.
13
              Can you give an example of something specific in
14
     1 or 2 that has not been provided?
15
                                  IR, 1C is not answered
              MR. RAPPOLD: Yes.
16
     fully.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. So let me just -- we're
18
     going to look at it. According to Finding 20 and
19
    Reference 4, Keystone's proposing a number of changes to
20
    both the type of valves and their locations since the PUC
21
     decision of June 29, 2010. Please list these changes and
22
     indicate them on the elevation profile requested above.
              MR. RAPPOLD: They're not on the elevation
23
24
    profile. In 2009 there was 15. Now there's 20.
25
                                Mr. Moore, has that
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
```

information been provided that I've just read? 2 MR. MOORE: We provided the elevation profile in 3 It was initially provided in tabular form, two forms. 4 and it was 3,000 some pages long because it's actually an 5 elevation profile, basically every foot. And it started 6 at the first pump station north of the South Dakota 7 border and ended at the first pump station south of the 8 South Dakota border. And pump stations and valve sites are located. 10 You can tell when you come to the place one is. It tells 11 you. Mr. Rappold and Mr. Taylor talked about that not 12 being in useful form for Mr. Kuprewicz. We went back and 13 we created a graphic form that provided the same 14 information. 15 It's not actually information that we possessed. 16 We created that for him and provided that. I don't know 17 how else to answer the question. 18 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 19 MR. RAPPOLD: We'd like to see the locations of 20 all the valves in reference to the water crossings. 21 CHAIRMAN NELSON: And which number is that? 22 MR. RAPPOLD: It is 1B, Sub 4. 2.3 They have suggested that we can on our own take 24 all of the information that's contained within the

3,500-page document and then do that ourselves.

```
1
              Certainly I believe that we could. But it would
2
    be a lot easier and a lot simpler for Keystone to do
 3
     that. The information is already there. They provided
 4
     an elevation profile within several hours of letting me
 5
    know that it would -- you know, following an e-mail.
 6
     I don't see how that would be too hard for them to do.
7
              1E is not answered entirely. 1D is not answered
8
    entirely. And No. 2, we do not have exact locations of
     the valves on the elevation profile. And these things
10
     are all necessary in order to analyze their system and
11
     their response plans and their ability to maintain this
12
    pipeline.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                I'm going to withdraw my
14
    Motion.
15
              Additional motions.
16
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson.
18
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: In regards to the
19
     Commission -- the Rosebud Sioux Tribe's Motion to Compel
20
    Discovery, I would grant second round Interrogatory
21
    No. 2.
22
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion.
2.3
              Seeing no discussion on the Motion --
24
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Just wait. I just got
25
             Just wait a minute.
     there.
```

```
1
              I guess, Mr. Chairman, I have to ask the same
2
     question.
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Certainly.
 4
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    It appears to me Keystone
 5
     has answered most of these questions. So we want to give
 6
     discovery to our Intervenors, but when it appears to a
7
     Commissioner that you have given most of your responses,
8
     I guess if the Intervenor could tell me what exactly
     you're still missing so --
10
              I mean, first of all, I think the Commission is
11
     so thankful that Standing Rock and Keystone have worked
12
     together.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Rosebud.
14
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Or Rosebud. Thank you.
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. Because I wanted to say
16
     that very same thing.
17
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I mean, you two groups
18
     have worked together above -- I mean, it has just been
19
     pleasant to watch you guys work together.
20
              But I still don't see what you're missing in
21
     No. 2.
            So if Rosebud could help me with that, that would
22
     be great.
2.3
              MR. RAPPOLD: Just a moment. We have the
24
     approximate locations of 1,000, the elevation profile not
25
     by exact locations, by mile post. We do not have which
```

1 locations are proximate to water crossings from
2 Interrogatory 2.

2.3

Again, I think we also need to go back to the boilerplate objections. Keystone, the information they gave us in the Final SEIS is outdated. There's 15 valves in South Dakota when it was provided. Now there's 20.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. I'm going to interrupt here.

But my understanding was that you've been provided with this rather large document that's got all of that information in it; is that correct?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Can I get that on the mic.?

MR. TAYLOR: Last Wednesday we sent a -- maybe

it was Thursday. I forget. We sent -- Keystone keeps

its records in a GIS -- they keep their records in some

tabular format that's essentially a mystery to me.

We communicated that, took them a day and a half or so to get it pulled together in the format that he wanted it. And we sent it to him. I think it was Wednesday.

And then Friday I got an e-mail from Matt -maybe it was Thursday. I don't remember. We traveled
Thursday, I guess, so it must have been Friday -suggesting that he wanted our profile. And he sent us an

Enbridge profile from some other pipeline as an example.

2.3

And I passed that on to Keystone, and they said that isn't how we do it. And then Saturday we had some discussions, and I think Saturday evening I got an e-mail, maybe Saturday afternoon, from him, and the Keystone people said, all right, we'll do one. We'll invent one. And, you know, under the discovery rules we don't have to do that.

So they asked me how soon do you want it? I said Monday morning. I probably could have said Sunday, and they probably would have produced it.

Sometime Monday morning, 10 o'clock or 11 o'clock or something we get this profile that looks like the Enbridge profile. The valves are all shown on the profile.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to interrupt for a moment. We're talking 20 valves, not 15; correct?

MR. TAYLOR: Correct. And the check valves that are on the downstream side of the major water crossings are all shown and denominated as check valves. And we e-mailed that to Matt sometime Monday.

And I don't know what else we can do. In the graphical -- in the tabular information it's -- they're down to I think it's every foot. So he could identify where they are by the foot.

```
1
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.
2
              Commissioner Fiegen, you have the floor.
 3
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you so much for
 4
     working together, Rosebud and Keystone.
 5
              It may take a while to go through all of that
 6
     discovery information for -- for Rosebud, but I believe
     it's there.
7
8
              MR. RAPPOLD: It's not there. The example
9
     from -- I'm sorry to interrupt you, ma'am. The example
10
     that we sent had water crossings on it. The document
11
     that we were provided doesn't have water crossings on
12
     it.
13
              MR. TAYLOR: Well, I guess if there's a check
14
     valve on the downside of every major water crossing, so
15
     you back up a couple of feet, and you've got the water
16
     crossing.
17
              MR. RAPPOLD: How are we supposed to deal with
18
     300,000 date end points to get that information and then
19
     put it on the map? Or on the elevation profile?
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. I'm going to confine
21
     this to Commissioner discussion.
22
              Any further discussion on the Motion to grant
2.3
     Interrogatory No. 2?
24
              Seeing no further discussion, all those in favor
25
     of Interrogatory -- of granting Motion to Compel for
```

1 Interrogatory No. 2 will say aye. Those opposed, nay. 2 Commissioner Hanson. 3 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. 4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. 5 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes nay. Motion fails. 7 8 I'll just open up any further motions. I think we have concluded this. And I -- I want to echo what 10 Commissioner Fiegen just said. I want to say thank you 11 to Mr. Rappold. I want to say thank you to Keystone. 12 It's apparent that you may be the two parties that have 13 worked the best on this to try to narrow things down, and 14 we appreciate that. 15 I will move that the Commission deny Rosebud 16 Sioux Tribe's Motions to Compel. 17 Discussion on the Motion. 18 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: You know, Mr. Chairman, I 19 just do believe that the information's there. Sometimes 20 discovery takes a lot of work to go through but I believe 21 it's there and I will support your Motion. 22 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 2.3 Additional discussion. 24 Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye.

25

Those opposed, nay.

1 Commissioner Hanson. 2 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I'd simply say that -- I 3 feel compelled now to say something because I do very 4 much appreciate the parties working together. 5 everybody had worked this well together. It would be 6 marvelous for all of us. At the same time, there's information and 7 8 there's information. And this -- you know, you can be overwhelmed with some information. I think we've over --10 I know we've overwhelmed XL with the information they 11 have to provide in another situation here today, but I 12 really think that Rosebud needs to have something they 13 can decipher a little bit more easily and obviously 14 that's why I -- and I totally respect and understand the 15 positions of the other Commissioners. 16 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 17 Additional discussion on the Motion to deny? 18 Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye. 19 Those opposed, nay. 20 Commissioner Hanson. 21 COMMISSIONER HANSON: No. 22 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. 2.3 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye. 2.4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye. 25 The Motion is carried. It is denied. We'll

1 take 10 minutes. 2 (A short recess is taken) 3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: We'll call the hearing back to 4 Our next motion is Dakota Rural Action's Motion 5 and Supporting Memorandum to Compel Discovery. 6 Dakota Rural Action. 7 MR. ELLISON: Bruce Ellison on behalf of Dakota 8 Rural Action. Mr. Chairman, before I begin, if I may while 10 Mr. Smith and the Commission are considering the aspects of the protection order, there are a couple of aspects 11 that I just ask that you consider and figure out how you 12 13 want to deal with. 14 And that would be we would certainly like to be 15 able to show our experts. If it's just limited to 16 attorneys, for example, we'd like it expanded to our 17 experts as well to be able to show them the materials. 18 There also is the question what do individual 19 Intervenors do who are not represented by counsel? 20 I'd just ask that you make some provision for that. 21 MR. SMITH: Uh-huh. Yes, sir. 22 MR. ELLISON: Thank you. I want to address the 2.3 Motion to Compel. It's late. I'm tired. I can hardly

see. I'll try to keep this as short as I possibly can.

I think the issue comes down to PUC Staff

24

counsel takes the position -- what we've asked for is we've asked for communication between the PUC Staff and TransCanada regarding this Application. And Staff counsel has suggested that -- or in an Affidavit stated that the only communications that she had were between counsel from TransCanada and that somehow this becomes an attorney privileged communication.

2.3

And I really -- you know, our brief sets out what the standards are. This is not a situation where Staff counsel and TransCanada are representing the same party. It's not like a joint representation. Staff counsel doesn't represent TransCanada. TransCanada doesn't represent Staff.

And so I'm having difficulty trying to understand how the mere fact that two attorneys talk especially one from a regulatory agency, Staff, and the Applicant -- it would seem to me that any of their communications, whether in e-mails or notes or memoranda about personal or phone conversations, that this would be discoverable. It probably would be under the public records. Well, certainly not a violation of our public records statute.

But I just don't see how this would involve -you know, absent the representation issue, which I don't
think exists, we're not asking for mental thoughts.

```
1
    We're not asking for impressions. We're not asking for
2
     trial strategy. We just are asking for communications
 3
    between a regulatory agency and the Applicant who is
 4
     applying to that agency for a permit or a relicensing or
 5
    whatever it might be. We think this is something that is
 6
    certainly discoverable and not protected by any attorney
7
    privilege.
8
              And that's essentially what our argument is,
9
     supplementing our pleadings that we've submitted.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
11
              And, Ms. Edwards, do you want to argue from
12
     there? Or wherever you're comfortable.
13
              MS. EDWARDS: I'm comfortable right here.
                                                          Thank
14
     you.
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                You just stay right here.
16
    We've probably got questions.
17
              MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. Kristen Edwards for
    Staff.
18
19
              As much as I would love to produce these e-mails
20
     and defend my honor as it were, it would set a terrible
21
     legal precedent for Staff to produce that. Staff's role
22
     is to follow the law and to be fair to all parties.
2.3
    Nothing in our communications would jeopardize that.
```

we do take that role very seriously. We just don't want

to set a poor legal precedence here.

24

```
1
              A mention of the open records law was just made.
2
     I'll just address that briefly. There was no open
 3
     records request made, and if there was, Staff would have
 4
     to assert that correspondence of any public employee are
 5
     protected, not discoverable -- or not subject to open
 6
     records.
7
              Beyond that, we would just stand by what we put
8
     in our brief, and we are available for questions. I am.
              Thank you.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you. Any rebuttal?
11
              MR. ELLISON: If there's going to be a legal
     precedent that would be set, we would submit it would be
12
13
     the sudden barring of a confidential nature which should
14
     be public record, and we think that we are entitled to
15
     it.
16
              It's not just e-mails. It's any communications.
17
     Anything that there's a record of between the Applicant
18
     and the regulatory agency is discoverable and is not
19
     privileged, whether it's counsel, Staff member,
20
     engineers, whoever it might be.
21
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you. Questions from the
     Commission.
22
2.3
              Is there a Motion?
2.4
              Commissioner Fiegen.
25
                                    Mr. Chairman, in HP14-001
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
```

1 move to deny Dakota Rural Action's Motion to Compel
2 Staff.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I mean, I believe Staff makes a lot of great points not only verbally but in their written information to us. And we don't want to set a precedence in the future that will not be able to be upheld in everything that we deal with.

So I believe we're okay. The open meetings law that was discussed isn't relevant, and I believe that Dakota Rural Action has been given a lot of information today before that they can create their case in a fair and equitable way.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion.

Commissioner Hanson.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I agree with Commissioner Fiegen. No surprise.

When Mr. Martinez was discussing the second motion pertaining to a special master, referee, arbiter, he to a great extent described the duties of our Staff. A lot of people don't realize that we have basically a trifurcated Staff, and the Chair, Commissioner Nelson, alluded earlier in the day that we don't even talk to each other about dockets outside of an open meeting.

By the same token, we don't discuss with Kristen

any dockets outside of an open meeting. She very much represents the citizens as well as the utilities. She represents all sides. She gathers that information, acts as a facilitator.

2.3

That's why Commissioner Fiegen stated earlier and asked the question pertaining to people in the audience if they had availed themselves to calling and chatting with her. Because that's something that Commissioner Fiegen had talked about on a number of occasions previously, to make certain that people have that availability to them.

And so that's one of the reasons I voted against the second motion by DRA; because we have that system in place, and that is their purpose. And it would really complicate that situation if a person is attempting to gather the information, be an arbiter, trying to resolve the issues and working for everyone in that respect and having that -- basically a relationship so that she can facilitate it.

And to say, okay, now Staff has to provide all of that information, it immediately destroys that wall that exists right now. I would be able then, of course, to avail myself to all of that information that's being provided to whomever.

MR. ELLISON: Mr. Hanson, with all due respect,

I don't understand how you doing your job and the way you think is right has to do with whether or not communications that are memorialized in some way between an Applicant and a regulatory agency, that that somehow makes them privileged.

2.3

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, I thought I was explaining it. I failed in explaining that to you, but I think I've sufficiently explained my reasons for how I'm going to support the Motion.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion on the Motion.

I'll just say I'm going to support the Motion for two reasons. Number one, if there had been communications from Staff, not the attorney for Staff but Staff analysts, I may have had to take a pretty hard look at granting that. But the testimony was that there was none of that communication, that it all came from the attorney.

And given that, I am convinced that that communication is all solidly covered under the work product doctrine and is not available for compel -- compelling.

Additional -- we're in Commissioner discussion at this point. Additional Commissioner discussion?

Seeing none, all those in favor of the motion

```
1
     will vote aye. Those opposed, nay.
2
              Commissioner Hanson.
 3
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Aye.
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
 5
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Fiegen votes aye.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
7
              The Motion carries, and DRA's Motion to Compel
8
     is denied.
              That brings us to Yankton Sioux Tribe's Motion
10
    to Compel.
11
              Thomasina Real Bird, are you still with us?
12
              MS. REAL BIRD: I am still with you,
13
    Mr. Chairman.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Oh, my goodness. Give her a
15
     gold star.
16
              MS. REAL BIRD: Okay. Well, we have the benefit
17
     of being a little bit more relaxed on the telephone.
18
     I certainly appreciate all the folks still with us and
19
     value your time. So we'll try to keep it relatively
20
     short.
21
              Shall I proceed?
22
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. You shall.
23
              MS. REAL BIRD: Okay. So our Motion to Compel
24
     was filed timely the day they were due. I won't belabor
25
     the standard, but we do believe the discovery rules
```

should be accorded a broad and liberal treatment and each of the ones -- each of the requests that we've articulated are set out in our attached April 1 letter that was sent to the Applicant.

2.3

Specifically, we had listed a number of those.

And I'll just go down the letter there and go through them briefly for the Commission and the parties.

Interrogatory No. 10, our request is based upon submission No. 6. TransCanada did object that it's not relevant. However, we responded back that it is, and it's designed and calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. And we site Condition No. 6.

Interrogatory No. 13 is similar, and we site Condition No. 13.

Interrogatory No. 15 is also similar, and we site Conditions 1 and 2. And I will note for Interrogatory No. 15 that in response to the Applicant's concerns we did rephrase the question, the Interrogatory, and we hope that that had addressed the Applicant's concern.

It turns out it didn't because it decided to rest on its earlier objection. And that's a similar approach to Interrogatory No. 16. We site Conditions 1 and 2. And, again, we rephrased the question in response to the Applicant's concern but again received no

production of discovery.

2.3

Interrogatory No. 21 we cite to Condition

No. 44. However, still did not receive any production of discovery. Interrogatory No. 32 we cite Conditions 1, 2, and 36. This is the one that the Applicant did provide additional discovery on April 7. They did provide coordinates so Interrogatory No. 32 is no longer at issue in our Motion to Compel. So if you'd like to make a note as to that, we're satisfied with the Applicant's supplemental discovery response for Interrogatory No. 32.

And on to the Requests for Production, No. 2 is still at issue, and that relates to the Finding of Fact No. 41. And in response to the Applicant's specific concerns to that Request For Production we did rephrase the request. And that's the same for No. 3. We rephrased that request as well, trying in good faith to resolve our impasse with the Applicant.

And that's the same with Request For Production No. 4. We -- you know, we received the Applicant's objection and in response attempted to rephrase the request in response.

Request For Production No. 6, this is our request regarding the Emergency Response Plan. And we heard some discussion earlier on the PUC's jurisdiction and Keystone's burden, and we do just have to note that

the PHMSA information that's on the Department of Transportation's website does a good job of explaining the State's -- the State's authority in these federal pipeline safety acts.

2.3

And so we haven't heard that discussed a whole lot, but in response to the Applicant's challenge to the PUC's jurisdiction in that regard, the State does have some authority under those acts. And so it is relevant to the proceedings.

And our Request For Production No. 7 and 8 are nearly identical. And this is the request that we made that the Applicant provide all documents that support its proposed changes to the Findings of Fact. And it identified those changes in its first filing with the Commission in this new Docket as Appendix C.

You know, the Applicant did object that the information requested is overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. And, you know, I guess we're not convinced. Because this Request For Production was designed to have the Applicant produce the documents it intends to rely on when it proposes its changes to the Findings of Fact. And that's one of the core reasons -- one of the core areas that will be examined by the Commission.

And so we find that response pretty disingenuous. And, you know, if it the Applicant chooses to rest on that objection and not produce anything further, we would -- you know, we would certainly like all of our discovery requests to be compelled, and in particular for this one if the Applicant chooses not to produce anything further, we would like any of the documents not produced to be excluded from the evidentiary hearing.

2.3

And I know that's not something we included in our Motion, but I'm making that oral request now that, you know, it's sort of mind boggling why the Applicant wouldn't produce those documents and instead say that request is overly broad and burdensome when we're actually asking for the documents that support its proposed changes.

So, you know, we rephrased quite a few of them. We attempted to work with the Applicant in our request, and we -- with the exception of the one latitude and longitude coordinates, we haven't received anything supplementing the Applicant's discovery responses.

So we do ask today for a Motion to Compel and with regard to the Request For Production No. 7 and 8, a Motion to Compel and if nothing is produced to exclude those particular documents from use at the evidentiary

hearing.

2.3

2 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Keystone.

MR. MOORE: Thank you. James Moore on behalf of Keystone.

To some extent there are still two ships passing in the night here. The documents that were attached to the Tribe's Motion did not include the answers that Keystone actually provided. So I submitted those with an Affidavit and then specifically argued the ones that we clearly answered. And I've still not heard any acknowledgment from the Tribe about that.

With respect to Interrogatory No. 10 related to the identity of civil surveyors, we provided the names. With respect to No. 13, the environmental inspectors, we said we can't answer that; we haven't hired any of them.

With respect to No. 15 related to contractors who may have received any notice from a regulatory agency of a deficiency, we said with respect to the Keystone XL Pipeline we haven't hired any contractors; we can't answer that.

Interrogatory No. 16 relates to the same request in Canada, but the objection there is to relevance and it not being likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. What issues a contractor may have had

```
1
     regarding some pipeline in Canada at some point is not
 2
     germane to this proceeding.
 3
              With respect to Interrogatory No. 21 regarding
 4
     the identity of cultural surveyors, we identified those
    persons.
 6
              So I'm not sure what all is being asked for here
7
     in addition to the information that was provided in the
8
     Interrogatories.
              With respect to the document requests, you know,
     most of those issues look familiar based on other
10
11
     conversations that we've had today. And I'll rest on the
12
     written responses unless the Commission has questions.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                 Thank you.
14
              Questions from the Commission for either party.
15
              Is there a Motion?
16
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                     I have a question for
17
    Keystone.
18
              I think you talked about No. 10, but I was
19
     writing notes on something else so I missed that on what
20
     you said about No. 10.
              MR. MOORE: We identified the civil surveyors.
21
22
     We gave the names.
2.3
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay.
24
                                I'm going to follow up.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
25
     Request For Production No. 6 can you just expand,
```

```
1
     Mr. Moore, on how you believe you complied with that?
2
              MR. MOORE: Well, that request is specifically
 3
     not all documents relating to Keystone's Emergency
 4
     Response Plan but all documents constituting the
     Emergency Response Plan.
 6
              And I think as we've discussed today, the
7
     Emergency Response Plan for Keystone XL does not yet
8
             So the only responsive documents, I mean, are the
     plan, and I think what we said is that there's a -- the
10
     redacted Keystone ERP is part of the Appendix I to the
11
     FSEIS, and I don't know how else to answer that.
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
13
              Additional questions.
14
              Is there a motion?
15
              Well, here I go. I will move to compel
16
     discovery for Interrogatories No. 15, 21, and Request For
17
     Production No. 2, 3, 4, 7, and 8.
18
              Discussion on the Motion.
19
              I believe that these are consistent with what we
20
     have done elsewhere today, and I would also grant that my
21
     Motion would contain a protective order similar to what
22
     we have granted in the other Motions to Compel if needed.
2.3
              Additional discussion.
```

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Just give me two minutes.

Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN NELSON:

2.4

```
1
              I'm just going to note for everybody that's on
2
     the telephone, we do have an issue with our system.
     Apparently at 9 o'clock it's going to knock you off.
 3
                                                            Wе
 4
     may or may not be done by 9 o'clock.
                                           If it does knock
 5
     you off -- I'm looking at Katlyn. Do they dial back the
 6
     same number?
7
              MS. GUSTAFSON: And the same password.
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: And the same password.
                                                         So
     it's going to knock them off. So if that happens just
10
     dial back in on the same number.
11
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman.
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Yes.
13
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Do you have any concern
14
     about the all documents on 2 -- excuse me. 7. 3, 4, 7?
15
     I think the point -- at least I took it pretty well that
16
     this may be a duplication of the work that they are
17
     doing, but all documents is call up to Keystone
18
     headquarters and tell them to lock the doors and all
19
     personnel inside and start making copies.
20
              And I'm wondering whether the Applicant here has
21
     the -- not -- excuse me.
22
              I'm wondering whether Ms. Real Bird can narrow
2.3
     that down at all or if she wants to receive the amount of
```

This is Thomasina.

Thank you

information that she's going to get.

MS. REAL BIRD:

24

for this chance.

2.3

We're really just interested in the documents that TransCanada intends to introduce that support its changes to the Findings of Fact.

So to the extent those can be identified, that's really what we want. If there's other documents that would be considered "all" that won't be used at the hearing, we don't necessarily want those.

So, you know, we could agree to limit it to that. That's really what the request was after.

And if I could just still add that if, you know, some of these documents are not produced, we would like protections in the order that would allow us to exclude those at the hearing. That's really the next step after a party doesn't comply with a Motion to Compel. But we'd like those protections set out in advance if possible from the Commission.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I appreciate that, that response. It does help to limit the amount of information.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And if I might just respond, I will make that a part of my Motion.

And, Ms. Real Bird, greatly appreciate -Commissioner Hanson, I appreciate your asking the
question. And, Ms. Real Bird, I appreciate your

```
1
     willingness to help us move past that point.
2
                    Additional discussion on the Motion.
              Okay.
 3
              Seeing none, all those in favor -- and I want to
 4
     specifically say Ms. Real Bird has asked for us to go
 5
     beyond and issue an order disallowing an Applicant's use
     of certain information if it's not turned over. I'm not
 6
7
     prepared to do that at this point.
8
              Seeing no further --
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: One additional question.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes.
11
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: In their Motion they were
12
     asking for attorney fees to be brought, I believe. Are
13
     you denying that?
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Am denying that if that's part
15
     of it.
16
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     That is part of it.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. I'm denying that.
18
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: I thought you were going
19
     to take it separate.
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
21
              Additional discussion.
22
              Seeing none, all those in favor of the Motion
2.3
     will vote aye. Those opposed, nay.
2.4
              Commissioner Hanson.
25
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Aye.
```

```
1
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
2
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                     Fiegen votes aye.
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes ave.
 4
              The Motion carries.
 5
              That brings us to the point how shall the
 6
     Commission proceed on any other outstanding motion?
7
              Now Ms. Hilding brought up the fact that she had
8
     a motion, and I believe she is no longer on the phone
     with us.
10
              Ms. Hilding?
11
              Not on the phone with us.
12
              MS. HILDING: I'm on the phone. I had it on
13
     mute.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. If I'm understanding
15
     correctly, your Motion is on page 3 of your filing.
16
              MS. HILDING: Yes.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: About halfway down.
18
     says "I alternatively ask and move that if the Commission
     chooses not to appoint a special master, it review
19
20
     TransCanada's December 18 Discovery Request and Answer
21
     for all us pro se Intervenors and those with lawyers, our
22
     objections and questions about which of their
2.3
     Interrogatories or Requests For Documents are legal, in
24
     part or wholly, and which we do or do not have to keep
25
     supplementing."
```

1 Is that your Motion? 2 MS. HILDING: Yes. We have -- (Inaudible). (Discussion off the record) 3 4 MS. HILDING: So we have to keep supplementing 5 constantly, and so then the questions -- I'm a pro se 6 Intervenor. I don't understand a lot of things. You know, I was really shocked today. I think 7 8 you've decided that their Requests and their Interrogatories where they didn't specifically pair it to 10 a Permit Condition or a Finding of Fact, that's okay with 11 you guys. That's what I heard, that you didn't think 12 that the things about their getting into attorney's 13 strategy, work product doctrine, you didn't seem to agree 14 with that, that we are disclosing trial strategy. 15 didn't seem to agree with the overly broad, vague, or 16 burdensome objections. 17 So what I was hearing is that everybody's 18 objections to the Interrogatories were sort of overruled, 19 and we should be keeping on supplementing their 20 Interrogatories if that's -- that's the kind of feeling 21 that I got from listening to this, but I would like to 22 know because I'm not a lawyer and I don't understand 2.3 these things. 2.4 And I did have a specific question about their 25 Discovery Request. I think it's 7 and 8, which I'd have

1 to find it again. It's late. I'm sorry. I don't have 2 it in front of me. Maybe it will take me a minute here. 3 But I think that's the one where they asked for 4 anything else that you might have, and it was in no way 5 geared to Findings of Fact and Permit Conditions, their 6 No. 7. 7 No. 7 is In addition to the facts you identified 8 in your response to -- (Inaudible). 9 (Discussion off the record) 10 MS. HILDING: I won't make you read it. It's in 11 all of the -- it's in whole bunches of people's appendix 12 or exhibits. It's their Interrogatory No. 7 and No. 8, 13 which when they're not asking us to produce the Findings 14 of Fact we're going to object to or the Permit Conditions 15 we're objecting to, it says is there anything else you're 16 going to raise, which is in no way geared to a Finding of 17 Fact or a Permit Condition. 18 So I would just -- I'm a pro se Intervenor. don't understand this stuff. I would like to know what 19 20 I'm supposed to keep on supplementing? Am I supposed to 21 keep on supplementing their entire discovery request? 22 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 2.3 Keystone, response?

MR. MOORE: James Moore on behalf of Keystone.

She has

We have no issues with Ms. Hilding.

2.4

```
been diligently providing copies of documents that she
1
2
     may introduce as exhibits at trial. Witness and exhibit
 3
     lists are due next Tuesday.
 4
              I don't know whether her supplementation
 5
     obligation is done or not. It depends on whether she's
 6
     identified all the documents she intends to use. But
7
     there's no motion pending. I don't think any action is
8
     necessary.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Ouestions --
10
              MS. HILDING: They're going to kick us off in
11
     two minutes.
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: If you do, you just need to
     call back on the same number.
13
14
              Ouestions from the Commission.
15
              Seeing none, is there a motion?
16
              I will move to deny Ms. Hilding's Motion.
17
              Discussion on the Motion.
18
              Seeing none, all those in favor will say aye.
19
     Those opposed, nay.
20
              Commissioner Hanson.
21
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Aye.
22
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
2.3
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.
2.4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
25
              Motion carries.
```

1 Are there any other outstanding motions? 2 Wow. 3 MR. DORR: It was not an outstanding motion, but 4 I have an objection to what was spoken earlier. I'd just 5 like to put it on the record. 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Certainly. Certainly. 7 MR. DORR: Gary Dorr. I would like to state for 8 the record my objection to the statement by John Smith earlier today that any Intervenors are only offering 10 emotional testimony. 11 I feel it is belittling and shows a complete 12 disregard for the effort that I am taking and the other 13 Intervenors to comply with the factual evidence amongst a 14 twisted jungle of Orders, Amended Orders, and all the 15 other documents that are involved with this process. 16 I feel it can only negatively color what is 17 supposed to be an objective legal process. And I want to 18 register that with the strongest of offense that it was 19 spoken today from one of the Staff. 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Your objection is well 21 received. 22 Mr. Smith. 2.3 MR. SMITH: I want to apologize for that. Ιt 24 was just -- and I do. I mean that sincerely. I

apologize for making that comment. It wasn't called for

```
and I was sort of rambling on and I made a mistake.
 2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                And I want to assure you that
     the Commission does not share that statement whatsoever.
 3
 4
     And I appreciate your calling us on that.
 5
              Commissioner Fiegen.
 6
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, if everybody
7
     remembers, he was nearly gaveled by the Commission
8
     because we agree with you, Mr. Dorr, that you have the
     right to be an Intervenor, and we are going to listen to
10
     everything that you bring to us with great confidence.
11
              So if you remember, the Chairman gaveled the
12
     situation and stopped it as quickly as he could.
13
     Unfortunately, not quick enough.
14
              Thank you.
15
                        It was just to register my offense to
              MR. DORR:
     that, my objection to it.
16
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you. I appreciate that.
18
              MR. DORR: Thank you, sir.
19
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Is there anything else for the
20
     good -- could there possibly be anything else for the
21
     good of the order at this hour?
22
              Mr. Harter.
2.3
              MR. HARTER: This will be short.
                                                 T would like
24
     for a matter of the record for the PUC Commissioners to
25
     have a copy of what I filled out for the Interrogatories
```

sent by TransCanada.

2.3

And I think that you have done a great injustice to somebody who has been drug into this by TransCanada. And, quite frankly, you're probably -- maybe you're sick of the fact that I've got to work 15, 18 hours a day and hearing that. I know you're in farming and ranching, and you understand that. But that's just the way it is.

Basically I'm forced to make a choice of whether I can take care of my business and -- so I can make my payments and stuff on my property.

Some of the things -- there's things that you guys don't know that if we were able to interject as Intervenors on the different -- different things that you've covered today, which we're not because you tell us that we're not party to it, which I thought was questionable as vice chair of being -- Dakota Rural Action that maybe I was, but then they're covered by a lawyer so I don't know so I didn't say nothing.

TransCanada when we got our first packets from them, when Protect South Dakota Resources done the negotiating they made us sign gag orders before we got to see those packets. This whole thing has been a bag of coercion to force people into these contracts. It is very overly burdensome to -- to most of the people that have been involved in this thing.

```
1
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And if you want us
    to put those in the Docket, we will certainly do that.
2
 3
              Thank you.
 4
              MR. HARTER: I gave you three copies because of
 5
             I got more. Kristen was very nice. She had
     three.
 6
     it -- Mr. -- TransCanada's lawyers didn't have it with
7
     them, and I couldn't produce it from my phone.
8
              Ms. Edwards had requested copies from
     TransCanada on it so I got six here if you need more.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: No. We just need one, and
11
    we'll get that into the Docket.
12
              MR. HARTER: Okay. Thank you.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: You are welcome.
                                                  Thank you.
14
              Anything else for the good of the order?
15
                            This is Nancy Hilding. I have a
              MS. HILDING:
16
     question, which you can refuse to answer, if you want.
17
              I heard from one of the attorneys that if we're
18
     entering evidence, we have to be like a witness to enter
     evidence. Is that true?
19
20
              I was intending to enter evidence without being
21
     a witness, but do I have to be a witness in order to
22
    enter evidence?
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: You have to have filed
24
    prefiled testimony in order to enter evidence or present
25
     testimony at the hearing.
```

1 MS. HILDING: So the only people who can enter 2 evidence now are the people who filed prefiled 3 testimony? 4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: That is correct. 5 MS. HILDING: Well, I'm objecting to that. 6 had no idea of that. I'm a pro se Intervenor, and I 7 don't know how I'm supposed to know that in order to 8 enter evidence I had to have filed prefiled testimony. Because there was never any discussion of that 10 or anything like that. And the evidence deadline was 11 different than the prefiled testimony. I don't know how you expect us pro se people to understand this stuff. 12 13 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Because that was discussed at 14 the meeting back in December when we issued the 15 Scheduling Order and as part of the Scheduling Order. 16 Now, Ms. Edwards, do you have anything to add? 17 MS. EDWARDS: I think -- she had left me a

MS. EDWARDS: I think -- she had left me a message regarding that, and I hadn't had a chance to get back to her.

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

I think her confusion was whether she could just bring a document and hand it to the Commission and have it entered in. And another lawyer told her no. There would have to be somebody to testify to it to lay foundation to get a document in as evidence. So that's kind of where the question is coming from.

```
1
              So I said I suppose if there was a way to get in
2
     in rebuttal, as long as you had somebody to testify to
     get that document to come in, then, yes, it could come
 3
 4
          But, no, you can't just hand the Commission a
 5
     document and have it come in. It has to have a
 6
     foundation and somebody to testify to it for it to come
7
     in.
8
              MS. HILDING: I don't understand that.
     sorry. I'm not a lawyer. I cannot -- I cannot -- I
10
    mean, I've been sending all of these evidences over to
11
     TransCanada, but I cannot enter those into the record
    unless there is somebody standing there saying what?
12
13
     Saying that this is a document, this is a document from
14
     the SEIS and I downloaded it off the internet and I'm
15
     entering it as evidence?
16
              I mean, we're going to be allowed to brief
17
    afterwards and --
18
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Ms. Hilding, I'm going
19
     to -- John Smith is going to be the --
20
              MR. SMITH: I mean, you could be that person,
21
    Ms. Hilding, you know, conceivably. I mean, that could
22
    be you, assuming you've met the -- you know, it's
2.3
     something that could be testified to that isn't precluded
24
    now because of the --
```

CHAIRMAN NELSON:

But she will have had to have

```
1
     filed prefiled testimony, or if this is an item for
     rebuttal, she will have to file rebuttal testimony.
2
 3
              MR. SMITH: Rebuttal testimony. And then you
 4
     could be a person who could lay that foundation.
     other conceivable basis if it's --
 5
 6
              Are these documents from the Supplemental EIS?
              MS. HILDING: Well, there's a bunch of them.
7
8
     TransCanada has been getting them. So most of them are
     federal documents, but some of them are downloaded from
10
               You know, some of it's website stuff.
    Wikipedia.
11
     of it's documents that are fully self-explanatory.
              MR. SMITH: Yeah. I'm not saying how the
12
13
     Commission or me or whoever might rule on the admission.
14
    But, you know, if they are actually from the
15
    Environmental Impact Statement, those may be able to be
16
     received based on their status as a -- as a -- a document
17
     that we can take judicial notice of, as long as we can
18
     demonstrate that they are, in fact, from that document,
19
     that they are copies of portions of the SEIS or the
20
     FSEIS. Whatever.
              MS. HILDING: Well, this is a suggestion that I
21
22
           Permit Condition No. 3 is to say that you're going
2.3
     to follow the recommendations of the FEIS.
              So, you know, I've been involved in federal
24
```

stuff before. There's something called the

administrative record. So if you are making one of your Permit Conditions the recommendations in the SEIS, then why isn't the SEIS part of your administrative record that then -- because you've tiered a Permit Condition to it, why aren't you guys putting that up somehow in this body of evidence that people can get to without having to bring it because you've made it part of your Permit Condition?

2.3

I mean, why would we have to enter as evidence anything that's part of your Permit Condition?

MR. SMITH: Well, and, you know, for all I know, somebody may offer that entire document into evidence.

We have no idea at the Commission. And it wouldn't be something that --

I mean, we don't introduce evidence at the Commission. But it is possible for the Commission under the Judicial Notice Doctrine to sometimes take -- we can just take notice of it, and we can view it that way.

But.

I have no knowledge of what -- and neither do any of the Commissioners of what the various parties to this will offer into evidence. We don't know.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Smith, if I could just ask a question.

So the document she's referring to, that's not

```
1
    part of the 2009 Docket? Is that correct?
2
              MR. SMITH: Not in its current form, unless
 3
    Mr. Taylor or Mr. Moore can correct me. But quite a
 4
     lot's happened, I think, at the federal level since
     then.
 6
              MS. HILDING: I'm going to answer it.
7
              The FEIS was not completed when you did your
8
    Order.
              MR. SMITH:
                          That's correct.
              MS. HILDING: So it couldn't have been back
10
11
     then.
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I appreciate that. And I
13
     asked that question with some naïvety because I wasn't on
14
    the Commission at that point in time so I did not know
15
     the answer to that.
16
              MS. HILDING: Well, I'm just going to object to
17
     all of this. I have come to every single one of these
18
    hearings and I have listened and I have never heard the
19
     thing about how if we were going to enter evidence, we
20
    have to testify in order to enter the evidence.
21
              And I'll have to go back and listen to that
22
     December 17 thing, and I never heard that. And maybe you
2.3
     said it and maybe you said it in some obscure way only
24
     lawyers can understand and average people can't
25
     understand it. But I never understood that.
```

```
1
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.
 2
              With that, is there a motion from either of my
     fellow Commissioners?
 3
 4
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Off the record.
 5
                     (Discussion off the record)
 6
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, I move that
 7
     we adjourn.
 8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: All those in favor of the
     Motion to Adjourn will say aye. Those opposed, nay.
10
              Commissioner Hanson.
11
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
13
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes age with 22
14
     lines.
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye. We are
16
     adjourned.
17
             (The proceeding is concluded at 9:12 p.m.)
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
LO	had in the above-entitled matter on the 14th day of
L1	April, 2015, and that the attached is a true and correct
L2	transcription of the proceedings so taken.
L3	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 13th day of
L 4	May, 2015.
L 5	
L 6	
L7	
L 8	Cheri McComsey Wittler,
L 9	Notary Public and Registered Professional Reporter
20	Certified Realtime Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	T			
\$	91:16, 186:8,	151:5, 151:10,	330:16	2nd [1] - 85:11 1
Ą	188:16, 201:5,	153:4, 188:23,	2009 [6] - 57:20,	
	202:25, 203:10,	201:5, 203:1,	140:6, 167:23,	3
\$50,000 [1] - 114:3	221:2, 248:17,	203:11, 248:19,	241:25, 335:24,	
	248:19, 269:4,	249:2, 374:22	374:1	
•	328:25, 329:1,	18 [11] - 39:13, 90:10,	2009-'10 [1] - 258:6	3 [19] - 3:6, 3:10,
	331:5, 333:8, 333:17	120:12, 130:13,	2010 [4] - 122:13,	37:20, 38:17, 76:24,
100 040-0	12:00 [2] - 82:23, 83:6	188:23, 227:11,	156:11, 166:7,	154:23, 161:23,
'09 [1] - 213:6	12:30 [2] - 83:9	315:22, 330:10,	335:21	168:3, 169:9, 186:7,
'80s [1] - 293:12	13 [17] - 186:8, 188:16,	333:10, 362:20,	2013 [1] - 103:25	188:15, 202:14,
4	201:5, 202:25,	368:5	2014 [3] - 89:24,	218:7, 248:23,
1	203:10, 222:6,	18th [1] - 58:23	90:10, 125:21	353:15, 358:17,
	248:17, 248:19,	19 [3] - 188:23,	2015 [7] - 1:9, 2:4,	359:14, 362:15,
1 [31] - 38:17, 61:1,	249:1, 265:18,	315:22, 330:11	84:6, 88:1, 265:18,	372:22
61:7, 61:8, 76:15,	267:20, 270:19,	195 [1] - 249:5	376:11, 376:14	3,000 [1] - 336:4
76:24, 92:15, 93:12,	282:11, 284:17,	1990 [1] - 154:24	20:10:01:15.05 [1] -	3,500-page [1] -
93:21, 97:15,	352:13, 352:14,	1999 [3] - 289:25,	103:5	336:25
122:25, 123:10,	356:15	292:19, 295:1	20:10:01:34 [1] - 107:6	30 [12] - 120:15,
172:9, 177:24,	13th [1] - 376:13	1A [3] - 319:19,	20:10:01:41 [1] -	120:18, 170:2,
217:22, 226:3,	14 [6] - 1:9, 35:6,	319:20, 327:22	275:22	186:9, 188:17,
270:19, 282:11,	172:6, 174:14,	1B [3] - 319:22,	21 [21] - 78:4, 78:7,	201:6, 203:1,
283:6, 284:24,	186:9, 188:17	327:22, 336:22	78:17, 81:16, 81:20,	203:11, 226:1,
317:22, 319:18,	14-inch [2] - 294:20,	1C [1] - 335:15	82:3, 82:4, 85:12,	248:19, 270:20,
327:25, 333:6,	295:8	1D [1] - 337:7	85:16, 86:4, 94:23,	282:11
333:14, 334:25,	14th [3] - 2:4, 266:6,	1E [1] - 337:7	114:10, 114:21,	300,000 [1] - 341:18
335:14, 352:3,	376:10	1G [1] - 325:14	194:5, 200:21,	31 [4] - 226:7, 249:3,
352:16, 352:23,	15 [18] - 41:20, 130:13,		201:5, 205:17,	270:20, 282:12
353:4	186:9, 188:17,	2	248:22, 353:2,	32 [3] - 353:4, 353:7,
1,000 [2] - 217:12,	188:23, 189:5,	_	357:3, 358:16	353:10
338:24	201:5, 202:25,		21st [4] - 81:21, 86:2,	33 [7] - 203:1, 203:11,
1-20-21.2 [1] - 327:14	203:10, 248:19,	2 [41] - 35:5, 38:17,	101:3, 153:11	226:25, 248:19,
1-26-15 [1] - 107:5	335:24, 339:5,	61:8, 61:9, 76:16,	22 [5] - 323:8, 323:18,	270:20, 282:12,
1-26-18 [1] - 109:6	340:17, 352:15,	76:24, 85:21, 85:22,	323:25, 324:21,	283:8
10 [28] - 82:23, 153:16,	352:17, 356:17,	85:23, 86:3, 109:6,	375:13	34 [9] - 203:1, 203:11,
159:23, 171:20,	358:16, 368:5	114:25, 123:1,	22.06 [1] - 99:9	228:2, 248:19, 249:25, 270:20,
186:8, 188:16,	15-6-33A [1] - 120:15	137:11, 153:15,	22.07 [1] - 99:9	282:12, 284:20
220:10, 240:6,	15-6-34A [1] - 171:3	185:17, 188:19,	23 [9] - 92:11, 201:5,	34A [1] - 171:16
240:8, 247:10,	15-6-34B [1] - 120:15	188:25, 203:24, 248:18, 304:9,	207:12, 208:14,	35 [2] - 107:6, 236:11
247:13, 248:2,	15-6-37A [1] - 95:24	309:1, 311:6, 333:9,	270:18, 280:22,	36 [3] - 228:25, 250:2,
269:4, 269:21,	15-6-43A [2] - 110:1,	333:14, 333:17,	281:6, 281:14	353:5
311:21, 314:20,	110:9	333:18, 334:25,	24 [2] - 46:1, 200:22	37 [2] - 229:22, 269:4
314:21, 314:24,	15-6-53 [1] - 42:6	335:14, 337:8,	24-inch [2] - 293:17,	38 [2] - 229:22, 269:4
328:24, 328:25,	15-6-53A [1] - 51:21	337:21, 338:21,	294:3	[2] - ZZU.ZZ, ZUU.4
330:7, 330:11,	15-6-53B [1] - 51:13	339:2, 341:23,	24-page [1] - 240:15	4
340:12, 344:1,	150 [1] - 301:15	342:1, 352:16,	25 [6] - 201:5, 208:22,	7
352:8, 356:13,	16 [9] - 188:23, 201:5,	352:24, 353:4,	253:15, 270:18,	
357:18, 357:20	202:25, 203:11,	353:11, 358:17,	273:24, 282:10	4 [11] - 38:17, 76:24,
100 [5] - 60:24, 331:8,	248:19, 295:17,	359:14	26 [7] - 160:10, 201:6,	154:25, 169:8,
331:20, 332:5,	352:23, 356:22	20 [11] - 82:21, 159:23,	203:1, 203:11,	186:7, 188:16,
332:16	17 [38] - 59:9, 59:15,	188:24, 189:5,	223:6, 248:19, 269:4	335:19, 336:22,
100-516 [4] - 290:8,	61:11, 65:5, 65:14,	296:5, 311:20,	26A [2] - 163:1, 182:19	353:19, 358:17,
301:21, 301:25, 302:7	66:18, 67:1, 67:8,	311:22, 335:18,	27 [3] - 137:14,	359:14
	67:19, 68:20, 68:22,	335:24, 339:6,	153:14, 194:5	40 [7] - 59:2, 201:6,
11 [11] - 79:5, 186:7, 188:16, 314:20,	85:7, 85:10, 85:22,	340:17	27th [3] - 192:25,	203:1, 203:11,
314:21, 314:24,	89:24, 91:7, 98:8, 100:18, 101:1,	20-minute [1] - 82:22	197:6, 197:7	210:5, 248:20, 251:6
328:24, 328:25,	120:2, 120:25,	200 [4] - 331:25,	28 [2] - 223:18, 269:4	41 [2] - 313:22, 353:13
329:3, 330:7, 340:13	128:12, 129:25,	332:5, 332:8, 332:10	29 [7] - 122:13,	413 [1] - 2:3
110 [2] - 123:8, 123:9	135:11, 141:23,	2007 [1] - 317:6	224:18, 270:19,	42 [4] - 231:3, 270:20,
12 [17] - 13:20, 13:23,	148:16, 150:23,	2008 [2] - 172:9,	282:11, 283:7,	282:12, 283:8
1-[11] 10.20, 10.20,	. 10.10, 100.20,		284:24, 335:21	44 [5] - 231:21, 250:3,

44 [5] - 231:21, 250:3,

270:20, 282:12,	57 [8] - 201:6, 212:25,	248:17, 248:19,	310:25, 313:17,	acknowledgements 2
353:3	249:10, 254:12,	269:8, 354:10,	314:16, 317:10,	[1] - 172:17
46 [2] - 232:21, 253:11	255:4, 255:6, 269:3,	355:23, 358:17,	344:15, 344:17,	acknowledgment [1] -
48 [9] - 201:6, 210:21,	273:18	363:25, 364:12	348:7, 349:22,	356:12
233:21, 249:8,	58 [5] - 201:6, 213:4,	800 [2] - 34:24, 311:12	368:12, 372:15	acquisition [1] -
251:24, 257:17,	270:18, 282:10,	83 [8] - 201:6, 215:20,	above-entitled [2] -	201:14
270:18, 274:1,	283:5	249:13, 257:17,	2:2, 376:10	Acre [2] - 298:10,
282:10	59 [6] - 324:8, 328:9,	267:15, 270:18,	abrogate [1] - 46:22	298:13
49 [4] - 249:5, 320:23,	328:10, 328:12,	274:2, 282:10	abrogated [1] - 304:12	act [5] - 90:20, 159:5,
322:2, 323:9	328:16, 328:17	84 [2] - 268:2, 268:5	absence [1] - 276:13	159:9, 229:11, 322:9
49-41B-24 [1] - 13:16	5:00 [1] - 248:6	86 [15] - 32:20, 45:23,		
I	3.00 [1] - 240.0	198:6, 200:11,	absent [1] - 345:24	Act [11] - 12:8, 154:24,
49-41B-27 [1] - 57:13	C	200:21, 201:6,	absolutely [15] - 27:6,	155:1, 155:2,
4D [2] - 323:17, 328:8	6	215:24, 267:5,	30:5, 47:1, 53:1,	155:21, 156:1,
4E [1] - 323:7		267:6, 267:12,	146:20, 186:22,	156:5, 157:10,
	6 [22] - 79:25, 91:2,	1	187:18, 200:18,	168:7, 169:12,
5	120:18, 120:19,	267:15, 267:17, 267:24, 268:5, 268:7	245:24, 245:25,	199:23
	122:10, 123:5,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	246:6, 257:11,	acted [1] - 96:4
5 [21] - 3:10, 38:17,	156:6, 159:20,	8th [4] - 37:12, 38:11,	289:5, 308:15,	acting [3] - 17:16,
79:24, 79:25,	163:13, 170:21,	296:15	358:25	50:5, 171:5
116:19, 122:9,	172:1, 186:7,		abusing [1] - 73:12	action [10] - 6:20,
122:11, 154:7,	188:16, 225:24,	9	abusive [2] - 244:13,	7:17, 31:16, 46:20,
155:1, 156:18,	267:14, 304:9,		244:14	71:2, 154:19, 174:1,
169:14, 169:17,	324:23, 330:8,	9 [16] - 84:6, 84:18,	accept [5] - 73:22,	260:5, 311:9, 365:7
170:21, 186:7,	352:9, 352:12,	88:1, 88:14, 144:15,	74:3, 149:25,	Action [29] - 4:22,
188:16, 203:8,	353:22, 357:25	169:15, 170:22,	262:21, 314:23	31:9, 32:19, 45:23,
240:6, 240:8,	60 [8] - 201:6, 213:21,	186:7, 188:16,	ACCEPTING [1] - 1:5	46:9, 48:23, 62:12,
247:10, 269:8,	249:10, 270:18,	218:3, 269:4,	accepting [1] - 3:19	64:16, 66:5, 101:18,
269:21	274:2, 282:10,	328:24, 329:8,	access [6] - 186:18,	101:19, 102:3,
5-minute [1] - 154:6	323:8, 323:25	359:3, 359:4	232:21, 233:6,	102:17, 103:14,
	60109 [1] - 322:2	90 [2] - 323:8, 324:1	243:16, 252:15,	110:21, 113:3,
50 [11] - 61:6, 234:15,		30 [2] - 323.0, 324.1	253:7	119:3, 134:17,
1 240.2 270.20	CO100/A M 221-12	Q:42 (4) 275:17	200.1	
249:3, 270:20,	60109(A [1] - 321:12	9:12 [1] - 375:17	accessible [2] - 239:2,	191:25, 199:6,
274:4, 280:22,	60132 [1] - 320:23	9:30 [1] - 1:9		
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8,			accessible [2] - 239:2,	191:25, 199:6,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15	60132 [1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3	60132 [1] - 320:23	9:30 [1] - 1:9	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] -	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12,	60132 [1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4,	60132 [1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1]	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9,	60132 [1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10 7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23	60132[1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10 7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3,	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17,	60132[1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10 7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] -	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3,	60132[1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10 7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19,	60132[1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10 7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20	60132[1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10 7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16,	7 7 7[19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12,	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21,	7 7 7[19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15,	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12	7 7 7 7 7 19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16,	7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76 [4] - 201:6, 214:3,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] -	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16, 241:11, 249:15,	7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76 [4] - 201:6, 214:3, 249:11, 269:3	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14, 317:11, 337:11	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] - 129:2, 161:14,	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18, 349:3, 354:4, 354:8
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16, 241:11, 249:15, 249:24, 257:18,	7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76 [4] - 201:6, 214:3, 249:11, 269:3 78 [4] - 315:2, 315:10,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14, 317:11, 337:11 able [37] - 49:14,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] - 129:2, 161:14, 240:21	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18, 349:3, 354:4, 354:8 actual [3] - 194:12,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16, 241:11, 249:15, 249:24, 257:18, 270:20, 270:21,	7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76 [4] - 201:6, 214:3, 249:11, 269:3 78 [4] - 315:2, 315:10, 327:10, 330:11	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14, 317:11, 337:11 able [37] - 49:14, 49:15, 49:23, 78:20,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] - 129:2, 161:14, 240:21 account [4] - 30:1,	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18, 349:3, 354:4, 354:8 actual [3] - 194:12, 204:23, 258:5
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16, 241:11, 249:15, 249:24, 257:18, 270:20, 270:21, 274:3, 282:13,	7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76 [4] - 201:6, 214:3, 249:11, 269:3 78 [4] - 315:2, 315:10, 327:10, 330:11 7th [3] - 37:11, 38:12,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14, 317:11, 337:11 able [37] - 49:14, 49:15, 49:23, 78:20, 103:16, 103:20,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] - 129:2, 161:14, 240:21 account [4] - 30:1, 61:1, 86:9, 214:17	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18, 349:3, 354:4, 354:8 actual [3] - 194:12, 204:23, 258:5 actuation [1] - 319:25
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16, 241:11, 249:15, 249:24, 257:18, 270:20, 270:21, 274:3, 282:13, 283:8, 284:22	7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76 [4] - 201:6, 214:3, 249:11, 269:3 78 [4] - 315:2, 315:10, 327:10, 330:11	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14, 317:11, 337:11 able [37] - 49:14, 49:15, 49:23, 78:20, 103:16, 103:20, 106:5, 109:1, 113:8,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] - 129:2, 161:14, 240:21 account [4] - 30:1, 61:1, 86:9, 214:17 accounts [1] - 235:6	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18, 349:3, 354:4, 354:8 actual [3] - 194:12, 204:23, 258:5 actuation [1] - 319:25 acutely [1] - 6:16 ad [1] - 259:9
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16, 241:11, 249:15, 249:24, 257:18, 270:20, 270:21, 274:3, 282:13, 283:8, 284:22 56 [14] - 32:21, 45:24,	7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76 [4] - 201:6, 214:3, 249:11, 269:3 78 [4] - 315:2, 315:10, 327:10, 330:11 7th [3] - 37:11, 38:12, 296:13	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14, 317:11, 337:11 able [37] - 49:14, 49:15, 49:23, 78:20, 103:16, 103:20, 106:5, 109:1, 113:8, 113:22, 128:21,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] - 129:2, 161:14, 240:21 account [4] - 30:1, 61:1, 86:9, 214:17 accounts [1] - 235:6 Accufax [1] - 317:5	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18, 349:3, 354:4, 354:8 actual [3] - 194:12, 204:23, 258:5 actuation [1] - 319:25 acutely [1] - 6:16 ad [1] - 259:9 Adam [1] - 202:14
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16, 241:11, 249:15, 249:24, 257:18, 270:20, 270:21, 274:3, 282:13, 283:8, 284:22 56 [14] - 32:21, 45:24, 46:1, 198:7, 200:22,	7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76 [4] - 201:6, 214:3, 249:11, 269:3 78 [4] - 315:2, 315:10, 327:10, 330:11 7th [3] - 37:11, 38:12,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14, 317:11, 337:11 able [37] - 49:14, 49:15, 49:23, 78:20, 103:16, 103:20, 106:5, 109:1, 113:8, 113:22, 128:21, 128:23, 129:18,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] - 129:2, 161:14, 240:21 account [4] - 30:1, 61:1, 86:9, 214:17 accounts [1] - 235:6 Accufax [1] - 317:5 accurate [3] - 123:6,	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18, 349:3, 354:4, 354:8 actual [3] - 194:12, 204:23, 258:5 actuation [1] - 319:25 acutely [1] - 6:16 ad [1] - 259:9 Adam [1] - 202:14 add [15] - 18:20,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16, 241:11, 249:15, 249:24, 257:18, 270:20, 270:21, 274:3, 282:13, 283:8, 284:22 56 [14] - 32:21, 45:24, 46:1, 198:7, 200:22, 201:6, 212:4, 238:6,	7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76 [4] - 201:6, 214:3, 249:11, 269:3 78 [4] - 315:2, 315:10, 327:10, 330:11 7th [3] - 37:11, 38:12, 296:13	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14, 317:11, 337:11 able [37] - 49:14, 49:15, 49:23, 78:20, 103:16, 103:20, 106:5, 109:1, 113:8, 113:22, 128:21, 128:23, 129:18, 134:18, 145:21,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] - 129:2, 161:14, 240:21 account [4] - 30:1, 61:1, 86:9, 214:17 accounts [1] - 235:6 Accufax [1] - 317:5 accurate [3] - 123:6, 308:1, 317:23	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18, 349:3, 354:4, 354:8 actual [3] - 194:12, 204:23, 258:5 actuation [1] - 319:25 acutely [1] - 6:16 ad [1] - 259:9 Adam [1] - 202:14 add [15] - 18:20, 35:25, 36:11, 51:11,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16, 241:11, 249:15, 249:24, 257:18, 270:20, 270:21, 274:3, 282:13, 283:8, 284:22 56 [14] - 32:21, 45:24, 46:1, 198:7, 200:22,	60132[1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10 7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76[4] - 201:6, 214:3, 249:11, 269:3 78[4] - 315:2, 315:10, 327:10, 330:11 7th [3] - 37:11, 38:12, 296:13	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14, 317:11, 337:11 able [37] - 49:14, 49:15, 49:23, 78:20, 103:16, 103:20, 106:5, 109:1, 113:8, 113:22, 128:21, 128:23, 129:18, 134:18, 145:21, 165:17, 173:21,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] - 129:2, 161:14, 240:21 account [4] - 30:1, 61:1, 86:9, 214:17 accounts [1] - 235:6 Accufax [1] - 317:5 accurate [3] - 123:6, 308:1, 317:23 achieve [1] - 42:13	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18, 349:3, 354:4, 354:8 actual [3] - 194:12, 204:23, 258:5 actuation [1] - 319:25 acutely [1] - 6:16 ad [1] - 259:9 Adam [1] - 202:14 add [15] - 18:20, 35:25, 36:11, 51:11, 54:25, 86:11,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16, 241:11, 249:15, 249:24, 257:18, 270:20, 270:21, 274:3, 282:13, 283:8, 284:22 56 [14] - 32:21, 45:24, 46:1, 198:7, 200:22, 201:6, 212:4, 238:6,	60132[1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10 7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76[4] - 201:6, 214:3, 249:11, 269:3 78[4] - 315:2, 315:10, 327:10, 330:11 7th [3] - 37:11, 38:12, 296:13 8	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14, 317:11, 337:11 able [37] - 49:14, 49:15, 49:23, 78:20, 103:16, 103:20, 106:5, 109:1, 113:8, 113:22, 128:21, 128:23, 129:18, 134:18, 145:21, 165:17, 173:21, 178:24, 180:6,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] - 129:2, 161:14, 240:21 account [4] - 30:1, 61:1, 86:9, 214:17 accounts [1] - 235:6 Accufax [1] - 317:5 accurate [3] - 123:6, 308:1, 317:23 achieve [1] - 42:13 acknowledge [2] -	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18, 349:3, 354:4, 354:8 actual [3] - 194:12, 204:23, 258:5 actuation [1] - 319:25 acutely [1] - 6:16 ad [1] - 259:9 Adam [1] - 202:14 add [15] - 18:20, 35:25, 36:11, 51:11, 54:25, 86:11, 100:12, 121:18,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [4] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16, 241:11, 249:15, 249:24, 257:18, 270:20, 270:21, 274:3, 282:13, 283:8, 284:22 56 [14] - 32:21, 45:24, 46:1, 198:7, 200:22, 201:6, 212:4, 238:6, 257:17, 269:5,	60132[1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10 7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76[4] - 201:6, 214:3, 249:11, 269:3 78[4] - 315:2, 315:10, 327:10, 330:11 7th [3] - 37:11, 38:12, 296:13 8 8 [16] - 141:18, 148:14, 170:21, 186:7,	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14, 317:11, 337:11 able [37] - 49:14, 49:15, 49:23, 78:20, 103:16, 103:20, 106:5, 109:1, 113:8, 113:22, 128:21, 128:23, 129:18, 134:18, 145:21, 165:17, 173:21, 178:24, 180:6, 192:21, 193:10,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] - 129:2, 161:14, 240:21 account [4] - 30:1, 61:1, 86:9, 214:17 accounts [1] - 235:6 Accufax [1] - 317:5 accurate [3] - 123:6, 308:1, 317:23 achieve [1] - 42:13 acknowledge [2] - 185:8, 315:8	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18, 349:3, 354:4, 354:8 actual [3] - 194:12, 204:23, 258:5 actuation [1] - 319:25 acutely [1] - 6:16 ad [1] - 259:9 Adam [1] - 202:14 add [15] - 18:20, 35:25, 36:11, 51:11, 54:25, 86:11, 100:12, 121:18, 132:17, 138:18,
274:4, 280:22, 280:23, 281:8, 281:9, 281:15 500 [1] - 2:3 51 [7] - 162:12, 162:22, 163:4, 167:19, 186:9, 188:17, 235:23 52 [7] - 122:17, 122:25, 123:3, 170:2, 189:19, 189:20 53 [4] - 236:16, 270:20, 270:21, 282:12 55 [11] - 237:16, 241:11, 249:15, 249:24, 257:18, 270:20, 270:21, 274:3, 282:13, 283:8, 284:22 56 [14] - 32:21, 45:24, 46:1, 198:7, 200:22, 201:6, 212:4, 238:6, 257:17, 269:5, 270:18, 274:1,	60132[1] - 320:23 6th [1] - 296:10 7 7 [19] - 79:25, 170:21, 186:7, 188:16, 201:5, 201:8, 228:11, 249:9, 269:3, 353:6, 354:10, 355:23, 358:17, 359:14, 363:25, 364:6, 364:7, 364:12 76[4] - 201:6, 214:3, 249:11, 269:3 78[4] - 315:2, 315:10, 327:10, 330:11 7th [3] - 37:11, 38:12, 296:13 8	9:30 [1] - 1:9 9th [1] - 296:16 A a.m [1] - 1:9 abide [4] - 90:18, 127:16, 262:7, 278:4 ability [17] - 9:25, 23:2, 26:10, 28:2, 28:4, 33:7, 33:18, 48:15, 186:17, 202:3, 207:24, 216:22, 259:25, 278:9, 308:14, 317:11, 337:11 able [37] - 49:14, 49:15, 49:23, 78:20, 103:16, 103:20, 106:5, 109:1, 113:8, 113:22, 128:21, 128:23, 129:18, 134:18, 145:21, 165:17, 173:21, 178:24, 180:6,	accessible [2] - 239:2, 239:10 accommodate [1] - 253:4 accommodations [1] - 227:19 accomplish [2] - 45:3, 125:21 accordance [2] - 194:4, 205:20 accorded [2] - 108:12, 352:1 according [5] - 57:15, 80:11, 245:25, 290:7, 335:18 accordingly [3] - 129:2, 161:14, 240:21 account [4] - 30:1, 61:1, 86:9, 214:17 accounts [1] - 235:6 Accufax [1] - 317:5 accurate [3] - 123:6, 308:1, 317:23 achieve [1] - 42:13 acknowledge [2] -	191:25, 199:6, 206:15, 207:4, 223:25, 224:22, 275:20, 344:6, 344:8, 348:11, 368:17 Action's [6] - 154:2, 174:15, 197:17, 267:5, 344:4, 348:1 actions [4] - 16:20, 17:17, 97:8, 98:17 activities [2] - 230:8, 234:17 acts [6] - 172:14, 229:6, 238:18, 349:3, 354:4, 354:8 actual [3] - 194:12, 204:23, 258:5 actuation [1] - 319:25 acutely [1] - 6:16 ad [1] - 259:9 Adam [1] - 202:14 add [15] - 18:20, 35:25, 36:11, 51:11, 54:25, 86:11, 100:12, 121:18,

	T		1	1
370:16	adjective [1] - 134:23	affords [1] - 62:21	291:14, 297:18,	314:13 , 314:14 , 3
added [4] - 26:17,	adjourn [2] - 375:7,	afoul [1] - 43:5	297:22, 297:25,	371:16
38:14, 123:9, 142:12	375:9	afraid [6] - 72:18,	306:15	allowing [3] - 19:12,
adding [3] - 126:4,	adjourned [1] - 375:16	74:5, 74:6, 74:9,	agreements [6] -	72:13, 73:19
282:9, 284:4	Administrative [2] -	146:24	233:21, 250:9,	allows [4] - 81:22,
addition [4] - 59:25,		afternoon [9] -	250:13, 251:18,	99:5, 186:16, 191:5
292:5, 357:7, 364:7	99:3, 276:10		261:2, 261:12	alluded [4] - 11:15,
	administrative [2] -	100:15, 137:22,	agribusiness [1] -	
additional [64] - 4:12,	373:1, 373:3	159:3, 165:21,	212:21	302:5, 305:17, 348:22
29:14, 30:2, 30:22,	admissible [7] -	174:17, 184:9,		
38:14, 50:18, 52:3,	223:16, 226:17,	184:13, 197:23,	agricultural [1] - 106:3	alluding [1] - 301:19
53:18, 54:24, 93:22,	328:19, 329:14,	340:5		almost [4] - 123:18,
104:11, 104:12,	352:12, 354:19,	afterwards [2] -	ahead [51] - 5:20, 8:2, 8:3, 8:7, 9:13, 12:17,	236:7, 264:21, 316:7
137:10, 142:11, 150:12, 153:3,	356:24	120:20, 371:17	17:1, 20:5, 24:6,	alone [1] - 45:23
153:6, 153:18,	admission [1] -	agencies [1] - 103:22		alternate [1] - 204:5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	372:13	agency [8] - 293:25,	31:17, 31:18, 33:2,	alternatively [2] -
181:4, 182:2, 190:22, 191:11,	admissions [1] -	315:19, 345:16,	39:19, 48:9, 53:7,	185:25, 362:18
199:23, 201:23,	172:16	346:3, 346:4,	67:10, 68:10, 81:8, 83:20, 84:19, 87:20,	alternatives [1] -
204:7, 213:9, 226:1,	admit [1] - 295:14	347:18, 350:4,		111:6
246:7, 254:8, 257:6,	admitted [4] - 109:7,	356:18	89:7, 89:14, 89:17, 101:22, 102:1,	amazed [1] - 179:3
258:23, 284:3,	185:9, 201:13,	Agency [2] - 63:21,	106:16, 117:7,	amazes [1] - 178:24
284:5, 284:16,	237:10	63:22	119:25, 122:23,	amenable [1] - 143:16
286:9, 302:19,	admonishing [1] -	agenda [6] - 3:6,	129:23, 133:10,	amend [9] - 270:9,
303:19, 304:24,	178:11	38:22, 40:25, 41:1,	140:4, 147:11,	278:23, 280:6,
307:17, 308:21,	adopt [1] - 113:1	154:19	148:20, 149:23,	281:6, 281:11,
308:22, 309:9,	adopts [1] - 63:4	agents [3] - 172:8,	152:16, 197:21,	281:14, 282:9,
310:3, 324:3, 324:7,	adults [1] - 159:9	250:9, 295:24	200:10, 204:15,	286:22, 314:22
324:8, 331:25,	advance [6] - 60:14,	aggravated [1] - 28:17	224:5, 226:11,	Amend [1] - 285:2
332:23, 334:18,	160:16, 161:14,	aggravating [1] -	229:19, 256:21,	Amended [5] - 156:11,
335:1, 337:15,	165:17, 240:20,	28:11	259:4, 260:15,	226:9, 228:11,
342:23, 343:17,	360:16	aggregated [2] -	264:14, 265:7,	231:11, 233:24
348:14, 350:10,	advances [1] - 171:14	332:13, 332:17	273:15, 333:1,	amended [13] - 83:17,
350:23, 350:24,	advantage [3] - 76:25,	aggressive [2] - 92:5,	333:21	98:21, 122:12,
353:6, 358:13,	106:5, 125:14	95:20	ahold [2] - 104:15,	133:7, 141:15,
358:23, 361:2,	adverse [1] - 165:24	aggressively [1] -	106:13	263:24, 275:16,
361:9, 361:21	advice [5] - 132:8,	94:8	aid [1] - 47:15	281:22, 282:2,
address [12] - 42:9,	132:15, 132:21,	ago [22] - 17:5, 21:20,	aimed [1] - 123:14	283:23, 286:23,
46:15, 57:2, 59:6,	133:14, 133:18	22:5, 24:16, 34:21,	air [1] - 248:5	366:14
102:20, 180:12,	advise [2] - 316:24,	35:5, 97:13, 109:12,	allegations [3] -	amendment [17] -
242:15, 259:10,	322:25	130:17, 183:13,	22:14, 23:1, 126:15	150:1, 279:7,
324:22, 324:25,	advised [2] - 317:1,	185:10, 187:6,	allow [21] - 10:12,	279:16, 279:17,
344:22, 347:2	317:6	187:9, 187:13,	28:6, 28:19, 59:17,	279:24, 281:4,
addressed [7] - 90:2,	advocated [1] -	222:4, 233:25,	60:13, 69:23, 80:10,	282:1, 282:7,
189:5, 255:1,	135:20	288:3, 316:6, 319:13, 322:12	106:15, 117:6,	282:14, 282:25,
264:23, 292:15,	affairs [1] - 6:11	•	136:8, 136:11,	283:11, 283:16,
330:17, 352:19	affect [7] - 6:6, 22:1,	agree [23] - 18:21, 27:20, 28:10, 40:7,	138:22, 139:18,	284:4, 284:10,
addresses [3] - 93:25,	24:18, 69:12, 118:1,	, , , , ,	140:20, 195:13,	286:10, 286:15, 294:4
214:25, 320:24	233:1, 326:18	50:17, 51:4, 53:21, 55:2, 137:8, 138:6,	270:8, 282:20,	
addressing [2] - 35:3,	affected [8] - 5:11,	139:7, 149:4,	283:20, 360:13	Amendment [1] - 283:24
323:12	101:7, 101:17,	152:21, 247:12,	allowed [27] - 25:8,	
adequacy [1] - 316:17	129:20, 209:6,	254:21, 279:13,	35:7, 41:25, 65:16,	amount [12] - 7:14,
adequate [6] - 25:16,	228:5, 234:21,	286:4, 319:3,	70:22, 71:9, 75:9,	25:5, 31:22, 40:19, 52:17, 53:15, 67:21,
162:18, 170:25,	293:22	348:17, 360:9,	81:20, 99:8, 105:4,	176:6, 214:6, 237:7,
187:13, 253:20,	affecting [1] - 189:14	363:13, 363:15,	121:18, 135:16,	359:23, 360:19
317:11	affects [1] - 323:25	367:8	135:21, 136:18,	ample [2] - 10:22,
adequately [4] -	Affidavit [5] - 265:15,	agreed [5] - 143:25,	137:15, 139:5,	47:22
24:10, 312:4,	266:11, 328:2,	180:19, 252:15,	139:6, 140:9,	analogous [1] -
317:16, 320:3	345:4, 356:10	291:16, 314:22	148:10, 219:25,	146:15
adjacent [3] - 212:16,	afford [4] - 33:17,	agreement [8] - 51:3,	257:12, 270:9,	
213:2, 255:9	113:16, 178:15,	252:23, 257:11,	276:6, 311:12,	analysis [1] - 323:21
	247:24		, ·	analysts [1] - 350:15

				_
analyze [1] - 337:10	338:5, 356:11	346:3, 347:17,	280:16, 310:10,	arbitrator [2] - 53:22,
anger [1] - 130:21	answering [5] -	350:4, 352:4, 353:5,	342:14, 343:4,	54:8
angles [1] - 203:3	106:12, 120:18,	353:17, 354:12,	351:18, 360:18,	arbitrators [1] - 53:23
answer [93] - 3:3, 3:4,	131:3, 205:2, 308:12	354:16, 354:21,	360:23, 360:24,	arc [1] - 325:1
46:24, 48:19, 51:11,	answers [12] - 46:24,	355:2, 355:6,	360:25, 367:4,	Arden [1] - 211:4
58:7, 58:11, 60:3,	73:9, 73:10, 91:3,	355:12, 355:18,	367:17, 374:12	area [9] - 74:14,
60:23, 61:4, 65:13,	95:3, 183:9, 213:25,	359:20	appreciated [1] -	172:18, 175:15,
73:23, 75:24, 83:25,	214:2, 217:9,	Applicant's [10] -	140:9	294:6, 294:10,
84:5, 95:2, 95:25,	243:12, 300:15,	91:4, 352:17,	apprised [1] - 54:18	300:20, 325:25,
96:7, 109:8, 109:14,	356:8	352:19, 352:25,	approach [5] - 34:4,	326:1
109:20, 109:21,	Answers [3] - 127:12,	353:9, 353:13,	35:15, 128:2,	areas [44] - 74:19,
120:16, 120:23,	268:6, 296:9	353:19, 354:6,	213:18, 352:23	74:22, 156:8,
121:15, 123:4,	anticipate [1] - 271:19	355:21, 361:5	approaching [1] -	156:16, 156:17,
124:3, 124:5, 124:7,	anticipating [1] -	Applicants [1] - 97:17	101:24	156:18, 156:24,
124:10, 124:18,	244:3	Application [8] -	appropriate [24] -	156:25, 172:15,
126:11, 127:3,	anticipation [1] -	13:21, 125:6,	6:13, 8:13, 10:14,	191:1, 191:2, 210:8,
131:11, 131:15,	272:1	126:16, 126:18,	22:10, 22:16, 23:7,	214:6, 214:14,
131:17, 136:9,	anyplace [1] - 138:2	127:8, 128:19,	63:14, 72:6, 90:25,	230:24, 232:2,
136:20, 137:24,	anyway [3] - 130:14,	176:24, 345:3	109:3, 112:16,	232:4, 234:17,
138:3, 138:5,	250:10, 276:12	applications [2] -	115:8, 136:8,	235:24, 236:10,
146:17, 149:11,	apart [1] - 140:21	64:2, 124:25	136:10, 136:17,	249:4, 249:20,
149:13, 149:16,	apologize [8] - 12:17,	applied [2] - 25:12,	168:17, 174:3,	253:6, 262:14,
165:11, 167:15,	88:22, 112:24,	200:9	189:15, 213:18,	263:2, 265:25,
172:24, 173:2,	122:18, 188:21,	applies [4] - 95:9,	227:15, 261:7,	266:3, 270:15,
173:3, 173:11, 184:2, 186:24,	189:6, 366:23,	99:4, 153:14, 198:13	261:22, 289:13, 321:5	271:15, 294:24,
187:2, 195:12,	366:25	apply [10] - 5:2, 14:1,		320:11, 320:13, 321:9, 321:11,
196:14, 206:21,	appalled [1] - 94:19	38:11, 153:12, 165:4, 165:14,	appropriately [1] - 113:22	321:17, 321:19,
206:24, 215:11,	apparent [1] - 342:12	165:19, 166:15,	approval [1] - 280:9	322:1, 322:2, 322:3,
216:13, 232:18,	appeal [4] - 12:22, 12:23, 108:13	184:8, 332:11	approve [3] - 15:14,	322:6, 325:15,
236:6, 243:13,	appealable [1] - 96:15	applying [2] - 116:18,	15:15, 100:19	325:19, 354:24
246:6, 247:23,	appealed [2] - 12:20,	346:4	approved [3] - 178:8,	argue [14] - 42:1,
250:14 254:15			- P P	0
250:14, 254:15,	96:17	appoint [9] - 5:1,	178:10	121:9, 121:10,
250:14, 254:15, 255:25, 280:21,	96:17	appoint [9] - 5:1, 31:19, 34:12, 35:13,	178:10 approximate [2] -	121:9, 121:10, 136:14, 145:24,
	appealing [1] - 56:2		178:10 approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24	
255:25, 280:21,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13,	approximate [2] -	136:14, 145:24,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] -	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] -	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] -	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] -	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21, 51:23, 127:2, 131:6,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25, 179:8, 179:22,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20, 51:25, 52:7, 56:5,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14, 153:15, 153:16,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2 argument [39] - 5:11,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21, 51:23, 127:2, 131:6, 132:20, 137:25,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25, 179:8, 179:22, 181:20, 205:21,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20, 51:25, 52:7, 56:5, 228:3, 228:9, 243:5	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14, 153:15, 153:16, 194:5, 265:18,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2 argument [39] - 5:11, 5:17, 7:13, 8:23,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21, 51:23, 127:2, 131:6,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25, 179:8, 179:22, 181:20, 205:21, 323:7, 324:4, 324:8,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20, 51:25, 52:7, 56:5, 228:3, 228:9, 243:5 appoints [1] - 33:12	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14, 153:15, 153:16, 194:5, 265:18, 352:3, 353:6, 376:11	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2 argument [39] - 5:11, 5:17, 7:13, 8:23, 22:2, 24:21, 27:2,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21, 51:23, 127:2, 131:6, 132:20, 137:25, 148:22, 149:11,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25, 179:8, 179:22, 181:20, 205:21, 323:7, 324:4, 324:8, 354:15, 358:10	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20, 51:25, 52:7, 56:5, 228:3, 228:9, 243:5 appoints [1] - 33:12 appreciate [36] - 4:9,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14, 153:15, 153:16, 194:5, 265:18, 352:3, 353:6, 376:11 Aquifer [3] - 14:16,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2 argument [39] - 5:11, 5:17, 7:13, 8:23, 22:2, 24:21, 27:2, 27:3, 27:4, 27:11,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21, 51:23, 127:2, 131:6, 132:20, 137:25, 148:22, 149:11, 170:8, 186:13,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25, 179:8, 179:22, 181:20, 205:21, 323:7, 324:4, 324:8, 354:15, 358:10 apples [1] - 266:12	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20, 51:25, 52:7, 56:5, 228:3, 228:9, 243:5 appoints [1] - 33:12 appreciate [36] - 4:9, 4:10, 16:12, 27:9,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14, 153:15, 153:16, 194:5, 265:18, 352:3, 353:6, 376:11 Aquifer [3] - 14:16, 236:1, 317:4	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2 argument [39] - 5:11, 5:17, 7:13, 8:23, 22:2, 24:21, 27:2, 27:3, 27:4, 27:11, 61:3, 62:17, 69:10,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21, 51:23, 127:2, 131:6, 132:20, 137:25, 148:22, 149:11, 170:8, 186:13, 208:15, 236:7,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25, 179:8, 179:22, 181:20, 205:21, 323:7, 324:4, 324:8, 354:15, 358:10 apples [1] - 266:12 applicable [4] -	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20, 51:25, 52:7, 56:5, 228:3, 228:9, 243:5 appoints [1] - 33:12 appreciate [36] - 4:9, 4:10, 16:12, 27:9, 75:7, 85:2, 85:19,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14, 153:15, 153:16, 194:5, 265:18, 352:3, 353:6, 376:11 Aquifer [3] - 14:16,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2 argument [39] - 5:11, 5:17, 7:13, 8:23, 22:2, 24:21, 27:2, 27:3, 27:4, 27:11,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21, 51:23, 127:2, 131:6, 132:20, 137:25, 148:22, 149:11, 170:8, 186:13, 208:15, 236:7, 280:21, 281:1, 283:7, 284:24, 295:7, 303:25,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25, 179:8, 179:22, 181:20, 205:21, 323:7, 324:4, 324:8, 354:15, 358:10 apples [1] - 266:12 applicable [4] - 185:23, 186:23,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20, 51:25, 52:7, 56:5, 228:3, 228:9, 243:5 appoints [1] - 33:12 appreciate [36] - 4:9, 4:10, 16:12, 27:9,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14, 153:15, 153:16, 194:5, 265:18, 352:3, 353:6, 376:11 Aquifer [3] - 14:16, 236:1, 317:4 aquifer [4] - 236:3,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2 argument [39] - 5:11, 5:17, 7:13, 8:23, 22:2, 24:21, 27:2, 27:3, 27:4, 27:11, 61:3, 62:17, 69:10, 69:11, 70:10, 70:11,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21, 51:23, 127:2, 131:6, 132:20, 137:25, 148:22, 149:11, 170:8, 186:13, 208:15, 236:7, 280:21, 281:1, 283:7, 284:24, 295:7, 303:25, 304:14, 312:2,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25, 179:8, 179:22, 181:20, 205:21, 323:7, 324:4, 324:8, 354:15, 358:10 apples [1] - 266:12 applicable [4] - 185:23, 186:23, 198:9, 199:21	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20, 51:25, 52:7, 56:5, 228:3, 228:9, 243:5 appoints [1] - 33:12 appreciate [36] - 4:9, 4:10, 16:12, 27:9, 75:7, 85:2, 85:19, 86:21, 86:22, 88:16,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14, 153:15, 153:16, 194:5, 265:18, 352:3, 353:6, 376:11 Aquifer [3] - 14:16, 236:1, 317:4 aquifer [4] - 236:3, 322:6, 322:20,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2 argument [39] - 5:11, 5:17, 7:13, 8:23, 22:2, 24:21, 27:2, 27:3, 27:4, 27:11, 61:3, 62:17, 69:10, 69:11, 70:10, 70:11, 70:12, 76:10, 86:1,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21, 51:23, 127:2, 131:6, 132:20, 137:25, 148:22, 149:11, 170:8, 186:13, 208:15, 236:7, 280:21, 281:1, 283:7, 284:24, 295:7, 303:25, 304:14, 312:2, 314:22, 327:24,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25, 179:8, 179:22, 181:20, 205:21, 323:7, 324:4, 324:8, 354:15, 358:10 apples [1] - 266:12 applicable [4] - 185:23, 186:23, 198:9, 199:21 Applicant [25] - 5:10,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20, 51:25, 52:7, 56:5, 228:3, 228:9, 243:5 appoints [1] - 33:12 appreciate [36] - 4:9, 4:10, 16:12, 27:9, 75:7, 85:2, 85:19, 86:21, 86:22, 88:16, 99:23, 119:15,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14, 153:15, 153:16, 194:5, 265:18, 352:3, 353:6, 376:11 Aquifer [3] - 14:16, 236:1, 317:4 aquifer [4] - 236:3, 322:6, 322:20, 325:23	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2 argument [39] - 5:11, 5:17, 7:13, 8:23, 22:2, 24:21, 27:2, 27:3, 27:4, 27:11, 61:3, 62:17, 69:10, 69:11, 70:10, 70:11, 70:12, 76:10, 86:1, 119:25, 123:23, 124:19, 125:25, 126:1, 126:23,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21, 51:23, 127:2, 131:6, 132:20, 137:25, 148:22, 149:11, 170:8, 186:13, 208:15, 236:7, 280:21, 281:1, 283:7, 284:24, 295:7, 303:25, 304:14, 312:2, 314:22, 327:24, 328:14, 328:25,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25, 179:8, 179:22, 181:20, 205:21, 323:7, 324:4, 324:8, 354:15, 358:10 apples [1] - 266:12 applicable [4] - 185:23, 186:23, 198:9, 199:21 Applicant [25] - 5:10, 48:15, 90:8, 90:16,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20, 51:25, 52:7, 56:5, 228:3, 228:9, 243:5 appoints [1] - 33:12 appreciate [36] - 4:9, 4:10, 16:12, 27:9, 75:7, 85:2, 85:19, 86:21, 86:22, 88:16, 99:23, 119:15, 133:16, 138:15,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14, 153:15, 153:16, 194:5, 265:18, 352:3, 353:6, 376:11 Aquifer [3] - 14:16, 236:1, 317:4 aquifer [4] - 236:3, 322:6, 322:20, 325:23 aquifers [2] - 213:23,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2 argument [39] - 5:11, 5:17, 7:13, 8:23, 22:2, 24:21, 27:2, 27:3, 27:4, 27:11, 61:3, 62:17, 69:10, 69:11, 70:10, 70:11, 70:12, 76:10, 86:1, 119:25, 123:23, 124:19, 125:25, 126:1, 126:23, 129:4, 129:9,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21, 51:23, 127:2, 131:6, 132:20, 137:25, 148:22, 149:11, 170:8, 186:13, 208:15, 236:7, 280:21, 281:1, 283:7, 284:24, 295:7, 303:25, 304:14, 312:2, 314:22, 327:24, 328:14, 328:25, 329:2, 329:18,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25, 179:8, 179:22, 181:20, 205:21, 323:7, 324:4, 324:8, 354:15, 358:10 apples [1] - 266:12 applicable [4] - 185:23, 186:23, 198:9, 199:21 Applicant [25] - 5:10, 48:15, 90:8, 90:16, 91:6, 91:16, 93:9,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20, 51:25, 52:7, 56:5, 228:3, 228:9, 243:5 appoints [1] - 33:12 appreciate [36] - 4:9, 4:10, 16:12, 27:9, 75:7, 85:2, 85:19, 86:21, 86:22, 88:16, 99:23, 119:15, 133:16, 138:15, 154:14, 154:15, 174:20, 180:13, 191:6, 197:10,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14, 153:15, 153:16, 194:5, 265:18, 352:3, 353:6, 376:11 Aquifer [3] - 14:16, 236:1, 317:4 aquifer [4] - 236:3, 322:6, 322:20, 325:23 aquifers [2] - 213:23, 235:25	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2 argument [39] - 5:11, 5:17, 7:13, 8:23, 22:2, 24:21, 27:2, 27:3, 27:4, 27:11, 61:3, 62:17, 69:10, 69:11, 70:10, 70:11, 70:12, 76:10, 86:1, 119:25, 123:23, 124:19, 125:25, 126:1, 126:23, 129:4, 129:9, 157:19, 184:9,
255:25, 280:21, 281:2, 308:13, 311:5, 314:24, 322:23, 324:2, 324:4, 324:5, 324:17, 324:21, 324:25, 325:3, 329:6, 329:19, 334:22, 336:17, 356:16, 356:21, 358:11, 362:20, 369:16, 374:6, 374:15 answered [31] - 43:21, 51:23, 127:2, 131:6, 132:20, 137:25, 148:22, 149:11, 170:8, 186:13, 208:15, 236:7, 280:21, 281:1, 283:7, 284:24, 295:7, 303:25, 304:14, 312:2, 314:22, 327:24, 328:14, 328:25,	appealing [1] - 56:2 appear [8] - 14:22, 16:13, 59:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 65:16, 103:10 appearance [1] - 63:25 appeared [1] - 63:17 appearing [1] - 105:7 appendices [2] - 163:15, 249:19 appendix [1] - 364:11 Appendix [12] - 163:17, 168:25, 179:8, 179:22, 181:20, 205:21, 323:7, 324:4, 324:8, 354:15, 358:10 apples [1] - 266:12 applicable [4] - 185:23, 186:23, 198:9, 199:21 Applicant [25] - 5:10, 48:15, 90:8, 90:16,	31:19, 34:12, 35:13, 36:2, 42:5, 43:2, 108:8, 362:19 appointed [9] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:6, 50:22, 243:9, 243:10, 243:11, 284:20, 376:8 appointing [2] - 33:9, 42:12 appointment [15] - 31:7, 32:8, 41:21, 41:24, 42:8, 42:25, 47:22, 50:21, 51:20, 51:25, 52:7, 56:5, 228:3, 228:9, 243:5 appoints [1] - 33:12 appreciate [36] - 4:9, 4:10, 16:12, 27:9, 75:7, 85:2, 85:19, 86:21, 86:22, 88:16, 99:23, 119:15, 133:16, 138:15, 154:14, 154:15, 174:20, 180:13,	approximate [2] - 317:24, 338:24 April [39] - 1:9, 2:4, 35:5, 35:6, 55:12, 78:4, 78:7, 78:17, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 85:11, 85:12, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 86:4, 92:15, 93:12, 93:21, 94:23, 97:15, 114:10, 114:21, 114:25, 137:11, 137:14, 153:4, 153:14, 153:15, 153:16, 194:5, 265:18, 352:3, 353:6, 376:11 Aquifer [3] - 14:16, 236:1, 317:4 aquifer [4] - 236:3, 322:6, 322:20, 325:23 aquifers [2] - 213:23, 235:25 arbiter [2] - 348:19,	136:14, 145:24, 146:1, 242:10, 272:20, 272:21, 273:6, 273:7, 285:4, 299:20, 346:11 argued [9] - 70:5, 71:9, 79:8, 80:8, 169:23, 207:22, 226:6, 263:5, 356:10 argues [3] - 69:9, 98:23, 241:14 arguing [5] - 46:7, 86:4, 128:8, 134:22, 323:2 argument [39] - 5:11, 5:17, 7:13, 8:23, 22:2, 24:21, 27:2, 27:3, 27:4, 27:11, 61:3, 62:17, 69:10, 69:11, 70:10, 70:11, 70:12, 76:10, 86:1, 119:25, 123:23, 124:19, 125:25, 126:1, 126:23, 129:4, 129:9,

235:9, 242:16,	assistance [1] -	285:21, 298:12,	342:24, 343:18,	169:6, 169:11, 5
262:3, 265:6,	285:12	298:18, 327:14,	343:23, 343:24,	239:25, 314:13,
266:24, 289:12,	assistant [1] - 295:24	354:3, 354:8	351:1, 351:3, 351:5,	372:5
309:22, 346:8	associates [1] - 264:6	authorization [1] -	351:6, 361:23,	basket [1] - 144:1
argumentative [5] -	association [2] -	232:8	361:25, 362:2,	Battelle [2] - 323:11,
147:1, 216:15,	207:20, 322:16	authorize [1] - 51:24	362:3, 365:18,	323:24
268:11, 268:13,	assume [2] - 267:13,	authorized [2] -	365:21, 365:23,	bear [1] - 25:4
268:16	274:20	107:17, 300:23	365:24, 375:9,	became [2] - 178:14,
arguments [17] -	assuming [5] - 83:22,	authorizes [1] - 41:20	375:11, 375:13,	251:12
27:20, 49:13, 54:15,	111:12, 139:7,	avail [1] - 349:23	375:15	become [2] - 79:20,
66:13, 66:16,	302:24, 371:22	availability [2] -		103:20
110:23, 113:2,	assurances [1] -	248:13, 349:11	В	becomes [3] - 115:22,
125:11, 125:15,	316:17	available [14] -	_	302:23, 345:6
143:8, 143:9,	assure [2] - 316:2,	103:20, 161:2,		becoming [2] - 79:21,
157:23, 157:24,	367:2	161:17, 176:23,	babbling [1] - 17:13	177:18
170:15, 221:10,	astray [1] - 144:15	178:5, 256:12,	backed [1] - 127:20	BEFORE [1] - 1:11
242:17, 272:3	attached [5] - 218:7,	256:16, 265:22,	backfill [1] - 65:2	beforehand [1] -
arisen [1] - 156:9	225:24, 352:3,	286:8, 303:16,	background [3] -	89:10
army [2] - 79:5, 79:6	356:7, 376:11	311:9, 320:17,	12:12, 89:3, 89:12	began [3] - 174:14,
arose [1] - 102:23	attempt [3] - 92:3,	347:8, 350:21	backwards [2] -	294:3, 330:15
arrangements [1] -	145:16, 325:8	availed [1] - 349:7	160:12, 258:10	begin [5] - 44:13,
251:12	attempted [3] -	Avenue [1] - 2:3	bad [8] - 17:13, 17:17,	102:4, 177:3,
ARSD [1] - 275:22	166:10, 353:20,	average [12] - 75:17,	111:14, 111:18,	186:12, 344:9
article [1] - 304:9	355:18	77:4, 77:12, 77:19,	118:19, 149:15,	beginning [7] - 44:10,
articulate [1] - 194:19	attempting [6] - 49:19,	77:23, 78:22, 79:3,	230:25, 231:18	102:10, 129:19,
articulated [9] - 14:25,	144:4, 219:24,	80:6, 85:16, 88:9,	bag [1] - 368:22	130:1, 187:23,
19:11, 19:19, 20:8,	270:1, 311:11,	139:9, 374:24	balancing [1] - 105:24	264:24, 292:20
20:24, 35:15, 42:16,	349:15	avian [2] - 277:14,	ball [7] - 167:3,	begins [2] - 63:1,
119:4, 352:3	attempts [1] - 92:25	278:17	242:11, 297:5,	284:23
as-built [1] - 291:8	attendance [1] -	avoid [4] - 16:5, 49:9,	298:2, 308:11,	behalf [27] - 19:17,
ascertain [2] - 28:4,	103:12	325:1, 325:4	313:1, 313:3 banging [1] - 44:19	21:16, 25:25, 43:14,
176:19	attention [1] - 41:19	avoided [1] - 7:23	banging [1] - 44. 19 banned [1] - 257:12	67:19, 68:19,
ascertaining [1] -	attitude [2] - 173:1,	avoiding [1] - 47:18	barring [1] - 347:13	100:18, 102:3,
188:7	173:12	awarded [1] - 165:8	barring [1] - 239:12	102:17, 103:14,
aside [1] - 259:18	attorney [17] - 90:15,	aware [8] - 6:16,	base [3] - 57:19,	113:11, 131:24,
aspect [1] - 12:1	107:22, 127:5,	10:11, 12:2, 38:13,	190:5, 259:12	165:21, 166:20,
aspects [4] - 68:13,	127:6, 127:8,	103:18, 105:11,	based [26] - 22:24,	171:5, 198:5,
203:16, 344:10,	127:10, 134:16,	134:3, 134:13	35:2, 39:20, 78:10,	204:17, 211:3,
344:11	274:19, 274:23,	awful [1] - 230:15	99:16, 105:15,	262:21, 275:19,
assemble [1] - 288:14	275:4, 279:1, 345:7,	aye [65] - 4:14, 4:16,	110:5, 137:7,	277:1, 301:22,
assert [4] - 60:10,	346:6, 350:14,	4:18, 4:19, 30:23,	137:10, 137:13,	310:8, 327:9, 344:7,
276:7, 329:18, 347:4	350:18, 361:12	31:1, 31:3, 31:4,	155:15, 156:25,	356:4, 364:24
asserted [1] - 261:1	attorney's [1] - 363:12	56:6, 56:8, 56:10,	167:10, 168:22,	behavior [2] - 231:1, 244:14
asserting [2] - 168:8,	attorneys [11] - 53:4,	56:11, 150:14, 150:17, 150:10	187:5, 202:12,	behind [3] - 79:2,
277:11	53:5, 172:8, 261:11,	150:17, 150:19,	240:20, 258:24,	
assertion [4] - 43:1,	261:14, 262:8,	150:20, 153:19,	291:19, 312:8,	258:14, 304:8 behooves [1] - 54:21
235:8, 262:15,	274:21, 344:16,	153:22, 153:24, 153:25, 191:12,	313:11, 329:4,	belabor [1] - 351:24
262:22	345:15, 369:17	191:15, 191:17,	334:23, 352:8,	belaboring [1] - 92:22
assertions [1] - 278:12	attorneys' [1] - 275:5 attuned [1] - 299:18	191:18, 279:18,	357:10, 372:16	beleaguered [1] -
assess [1] - 320:4	audience [2] - 27:19,	279:20, 279:22,	baseless [1] - 110:7	122:8
assessment [3] -	349:7	279:23, 281:15,	bases [1] - 93:6	belief [1] - 278:13
236:23, 255:17,	audio [1] - 101:12	281:17, 281:19,	basic [1] - 204:4	believes [1] - 170:24
323:12	authoring [1] - 251:20	281:20, 286:15,	basin [2] - 207:15,	belittling [1] - 366:11
Assessment [1] -	authority [21] - 33:7,	286:20, 286:21,	210:8	belong [2] - 297:3,
323:25	33:8, 33:16, 35:16,	286:25, 287:3,	Basins [1] - 210:9	297:17
assessments [2] -	35:18, 46:21, 48:12,	287:5, 287:6,	basis [14] - 10:21,	belongs [2] - 295:9,
213:6, 234:16	52:20, 53:3, 53:12,	309:10, 309:13,	22:23, 49:2, 50:7,	295:11
assist [4] - 34:13,	64:17, 65:9, 114:17,	309:15, 309:16,	65:2, 68:11, 95:23,	below [1] - 293:15
35:10, 35:13, 49:8	125:24, 211:14,	342:1, 342:3,	125:16, 168:17,	beneficial [2] - 181:9,
00.10, 00.10, 40.0	120.27, 211.17,			20110110101 [2] - 10 1.3,

226.2
236:3 benefit [4] - 189:23,
225:1, 240:7, 351:16 benefits [2] - 316:16,
317:10
bentonite [2] - 214:7,
214:8
Bernardino [1] -
209:21
best [12] - 13:11,
13:14, 34:3, 105:22,
106:7, 108:23,
117:10, 118:22,
152:15, 214:9,
308:14, 342:13
bet [2] - 24:19, 126:4
better [12] - 7:17, 10:1,
10:6, 18:15, 18:16,
20:16, 49:11, 63:15,
174:23, 178:15,
220:18, 244:2
between [28] - 5:10,
27:2, 68:2, 85:15,
103:18, 104:18,
134:8, 135:3,
140:12, 148:3,
172:12, 187:3,
188:2, 220:17,
225:11, 228:4,
228:14, 232:11,
237:3, 251:15,
292:22, 307:9,
327:16, 345:2,
345:5, 346:3,
347:17, 350:3
beyond [10] - 107:17,
135:23, 156:22,
206:3, 214:24,
245:20, 276:5,
298:1, 347:7, 361:5
bibliography [1] -
182:23
big [7] - 9:5, 145:20,
152:18, 178:18,
260:20, 263:2,
294:14
biggie [1] - 149:24
billions [2] - 105:6,
204:19
binding [3] - 206:5,
229:5, 229:14
biological [3] - 156:3,
236:23
Bird [15] - 19:14,
19:16, 41:13, 41:15,
51:10, 83:11, 87:1,
88:20, 102:5,
126:23, 351:11,
359:22, 360:23,
360:25, 361:4

BIRD [12] - 19:15,	bog
20:6, 41:14, 51:9,	35
83:1, 88:21, 89:16,	bog
89:18, 351:12,	21
351:16, 351:23,	boi
359:25	16
bit [36] - 32:17, 38:7,	31
43:25, 45:19, 58:16,	31
58:19, 64:22, 83:7,	boi
83:25, 102:4, 119:7,	bol
119:11, 128:17,	64
176:11, 190:19,	ВО
198:2, 202:25,	40
204:11, 205:4,	60
209:24, 210:12,	69
213:7, 218:21,	70
220:14, 227:7,	72
231:12, 254:18,	Bol
260:19, 291:1,	bol
312:2, 312:7,	bon
313:18, 322:7, 334:2, 343:13,	bor
354.2, 343.13, 351:17	22
bitumen [1] - 25:20	. 22
Black [2] - 298:10,	bon
298:13	11
Blackburn [6] - 19:8,	boo
40:12, 69:3, 138:21,	12
140:3	12
BLACKBURN [5] -	boc 31
19:9, 40:13, 69:4,	boo
140:2, 140:5	bor
blaming [1] - 112:7	17
blanket [5] - 97:1,	31
164:18, 164:23,	bor
235:8, 309:7	24
blew [1] - 204:10	bot
blithed [1] - 134:23	29
blow [2] - 25:19,	bou
216:19	24
blowing [1] - 239:21	boy
blue [2] - 294:6, 294:7	bra
blunt [1] - 233:15	29
blurred [1] - 57:21	29
Board [14] - 9:1, 9:16,	30
10:2, 10:18, 22:13,	30
22:20, 26:2, 26:4,	30
26:9, 26:19, 63:11,	bra
104:5, 106:22	29
board [5] - 65:1,	bre
104:4, 115:6, 116:1	26
boards [1] - 104:25	21
Bob [3] - 20:14, 36:20,	21
189:12	23
bodies [2] - 184:16,	bre
232:2	20
body [8] - 23:4, 47:1,	21
47:3, 50:6, 57:15,	bre

307:12, 315:20,

373:6

```
ggling [2] - 211:12,
55:12
gus [3] - 125:25,
4:2, 233:17
ilerplate [8] -
64:19, 313:19,
3:20, 313:22,
8:18, 326:9, 339:4
ils [1] - 34:4
ld [4] - 64:5, 64:6,
4:7, 128:1
LD [15] - 19:10,
0:12, 40:14, 40:20,
0:1, 65:23, 65:24,
9:1, 70:5, 70:9,
0:11, 71:17, 71:20,
2:7, 140:3
ld's [1] - 71:23
d-faced [1] - 128:1
na [1] - 114:16
nded [5] - 220:11,
20:18, 221:12,
21:20, 223:8
no [2] - 105:7,
3:17
ogeyman [3] -
23:23, 124:19,
29:9
oks [2] - 219:19,
1.8
om [1] - 224:25
rder [7] - 8:3. 28:20.
78:21. 318:1.
8:2, 336:7, 336:8
rderline [2] -
14:13, 244:14
tom [2] - 272:10,
94:23
und [2] - 244:18,
14:19
ys [1] - 145:20
nch [12] - 290:7,
90:17, 290:20,
96:23, 305:16,
05:25, 306:4,
06:6, 306:8,
06:10, 307:21
nches [3] - 296:21,
96:22
each [10] - 26:10,
6:14, 216:2, 216:5,
6:10, 216:19,
17:15, 232:5,
38:19, 238:22
aches [5] - 207:25,
09:4, 209:7, 209:8,
17:15
eadth [1] - 135:17
```

break [4] - 89:5,

114:18, 154:6, 302:1

breathing [1] - 122:20 brian [1] - 1:16 bridge [5] - 87:3, 87:4, 87:10, 87:11, 88:19 brief [26] - 11:7, 15:17, 21:8, 21:11, 23:13, 38:18, 42:24, 44:21, 70:10, 71:9, 112:25, 116:9, 116:13, 154:18, 162:22, 175:12, 194:3, 240:14, 247:15, 258:24, 259:6, 269:24, 289:17, 345:8, 347:8, 371:16 Brief [2] - 161:23, 172:24 briefed [1] - 154:20 briefly [9] - 46:15, 100:14, 100:15, 129:13, 134:15, 183:22, 265:13, 347:2, 352:7 briefs [2] - 134:6, 134:7 bring [14] - 30:19, 43:7, 61:22, 62:7, 121:14, 121:21, 149:13, 279:11, 293:9, 297:24, 312:1, 367:10, 370:21, 373:7 bringing [5] - 41:2, 41:9, 117:2, 152:7, 192:2 brings [12] - 4:21, 19:20, 56:13, 147:6, 154:2, 154:3, 194:7, 197:16, 197:17, 309:19, 351:9, 362:5 broad [34] - 51:14, 70:20, 134:5, 165:2, 167:14, 168:5, 168:8, 168:10, 169:13, 169:16, 173:15, 177:3, 186:21, 199:16, 206:3, 215:5, 218:18, 222:11, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2, 231:16, 241:6, 251:8, 259:23, 282:19, 283:15, 283:19, 283:20, 317:21,

352:1. 354:17.

355:14, 363:15

217:22, 227:7

brought [9] - 23:4,

broader [3] - 162:24,

31:8, 61:24, 88:12, 174:10, 311:7, 311:13, 361:12, 362:7 Bruce [3] - 102:3, 275:19. 344:7 Brule [5] - 290:8, 294:5, 294:6, 294:8, 297:10 **brush** [2] - 241:6, 266:22 budgeted [1] - 113:9 build [16] - 6:8, 8:3, 9:6, 11:21, 25:19, 26:10, 74:25, 75:3, 75:5, 202:3, 216:23, 291:16, 295:2, 296:11, 298:23, 302:20 build-out [1] - 295:2 Building [1] - 2:3 building [1] - 246:10 built [4] - 6:17, 17:10, 202:16, 291:8 bunch [2] - 161:3, 372:7 bunches [1] - 364:11 burden [7] - 69:24, 73:1, 73:6, 96:11, 211:23, 239:3, 353:25 burdensome [18] -73:21, 134:5, 184:19, 206:3, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2, 231:17, 234:3, 288:9, 314:2, 354:17, 355:14, 363:16, 368:24 Bureau [9] - 292:18, 293:24, 293:25, 298:11, 299:7, 300:12, 300:16, 300:19, 303:3 **bureau** [1] - 300:13 burnt [1] - 294:22 business [4] - 184:24, 239:7, 292:25, 368:9 busy [2] - 108:7, 267:21

C

cafe [1] - 251:12 calculated [4] -328:18, 329:13, 352:11, 354:18

	T	T	T	I
calves [1] - 104:19	253:1	283:22, 284:2,	262:7, 264:17,	197:22, 246:23,
Canada [11] - 9:2,	Carolyn [2] - 60:1,	285:22, 288:18,	267:20, 271:9,	257:4, 265:1, 269:7,
9:17, 22:13, 28:5,	82:13	316:3, 316:12,	271:13, 271:22,	270:7, 270:8,
29:1, 29:4, 171:23,	carried [1] - 343:25	316:13, 316:19,	274:12, 274:14,	270:24, 280:5,
173:6, 175:15,	carries [11] - 4:20,	317:14, 317:18,	278:4, 278:7,	281:25, 282:2,
356:23, 357:1	56:12, 150:21,	325:12, 348:12	283:13, 310:2,	283:1, 283:3,
Canada's [2] - 8:25,	154:1, 281:21,	cases [11] - 13:7, 50:4,	310:5, 313:2, 334:4,	283:12, 284:17,
9:16	286:22, 287:7,	53:24, 55:8, 63:13,	337:1, 338:3,	286:5, 303:21,
Canadian [7] - 10:2,	309:17, 351:7,	144:22, 171:8,	344:14, 345:21,	329:25, 333:13,
10:18, 26:1, 26:17,	362:4, 365:25	198:17, 258:21,	346:6, 351:18,	337:16, 338:1,
65:1, 207:15, 210:8	cart [2] - 34:21, 34:22	260:25, 314:11	355:4, 366:6, 369:2	342:18, 344:9,
candid [1] - 308:15	case [122] - 5:23, 7:15,	cast [1] - 58:2	certainty [1] - 331:20	347:25, 348:16,
candidly [1] - 244:6	9:22, 10:14, 12:1,	castigate [1] - 159:4	CERTIFICATE [1] -	351:13, 359:11,
candor [1] - 174:20	12:8, 12:19, 13:6,	casting [1] - 242:21	376:2	367:6, 367:11, 375:6
cannot [19] - 6:17,	16:3, 23:6, 26:22,	cat [1] - 214:10	certificate [1] - 121:1	CHAIRMAN [397] -
11:21, 29:6, 90:15,	32:22, 42:9, 43:21,	catch [3] - 18:8, 68:16,	Certificate [1] - 121:2	1:12, 1:13, 3:1, 3:13,
122:14, 132:15,	46:4, 48:7, 55:5,	219:15	Certification [1] -	4:5, 4:12, 4:17, 4:19,
146:4, 147:12,	60:25, 64:7, 64:19,	Catch-22 [2] - 29:2,	174:7	5:20, 9:8, 9:12,
177:16, 184:10,	64:24, 64:25, 74:8,	29:6	certification [12] -	10:25, 12:10, 14:19,
262:15, 262:21,	81:21, 81:22, 89:15,	categories [3] -	3:19, 12:22, 13:25,	15:4, 16:9, 16:22,
288:17, 300:21,	91:14, 96:13, 99:15,	200:25, 246:13,	15:20, 15:23, 15:24,	17:21, 18:5, 18:11,
304:11, 330:19,	102:24, 103:4,	246:17	16:2, 19:23, 19:25,	18:16, 18:24, 19:7,
371:9, 371:11	105:12, 105:16,	categorize [4] -	30:17, 125:5, 167:5	19:13, 20:4, 20:11,
capable [3] - 128:22,	105:17, 107:13,	245:11, 245:19,	CERTIFICATION [1] -	20:17, 21:1, 21:13, 23:11, 23:17, 23:23,
128:23, 264:18	115:22, 118:22,	288:11, 288:14	1:5	24:4, 27:8, 27:18,
capacity [4] - 51:2,	123:16, 124:22, 125:20, 126:13,	category [2] - 150:2,	Certified [2] - 376:6,	29:14, 30:22, 31:2,
203:17, 204:8, 257:15	127:18, 128:9,	202:22	376:19 certify [1] - 319:11	31:4, 32:3, 34:9,
Capitol [2] - 2:2, 2:3	129:3, 134:3,	caused [2] - 211:10, 238:13	CERTIFY [1] - 376:8	35:21, 36:5, 36:15,
Capossela [14] -	134:13, 136:1,	causes [2] - 214:11,	cetera [13] - 96:11,	36:23, 37:3, 37:7,
14:20, 40:23, 55:1,	136:5, 136:6,	312:21	103:12, 123:7,	38:21, 39:14, 39:19,
116:11, 154:7,	136:24, 136:25,	cell [1] - 39:17	123:10, 228:7,	40:11, 40:22, 41:12,
154:12, 166:25,	138:3, 141:14,	cellphone [2] - 18:12,	228:8, 242:25,	42:18, 43:12, 44:17,
167:13, 167:19,	142:5, 143:20,	106:10	246:5, 254:14,	47:25, 48:6, 52:2,
169:21, 170:19,	146:6, 154:20,	certain [24] - 13:22,	266:14, 268:10	52:8, 53:18, 54:23,
175:5, 189:1, 221:10	158:13, 160:4,	37:12, 37:15, 56:23,	CFR [1] - 249:5	56:9, 56:11, 66:10,
CAPOSSELA [18] -	161:13, 161:14,	68:13, 77:1, 83:18,	chains [2] - 207:1,	66:21, 66:24, 67:7,
14:21, 40:24,	162:3, 162:16,	89:23, 98:17, 132:8,	225:13	67:10, 68:8, 68:10,
116:10, 116:13,	165:7, 167:3, 167:6,	141:16, 191:4,	chair [8] - 112:21,	68:21, 72:14, 75:11,
116:17, 117:8,	167:23, 168:12,	227:19, 277:12,	133:1, 140:2,	80:14, 80:22, 81:1,
154:13, 171:2,	169:25, 173:20,	285:5, 285:6,	143:12, 177:17,	81:5, 81:8, 82:1,
172:2, 172:12,	174:11, 190:14,	304:17, 312:6,	332:22, 348:22,	82:5, 82:7, 82:9,
174:18, 175:11,	193:25, 196:9,	312:18, 312:19,	368:16	83:2, 83:4, 83:11, 84:9, 84:15, 84:17,
175:20, 182:15,	197:3, 198:21,	330:1, 331:6,	chairman [1] - 304:22	85:2, 85:19, 86:8,
183:11, 189:4,	206:16, 207:4,	349:10, 361:6	Chairman [70] - 4:2,	86:20, 86:24, 87:5,
189:9, 191:20	207:11, 210:14, 210:22, 210:23,	certainly [60] - 4:10,	5:18, 14:21, 15:7,	87:17, 87:23, 88:2,
Capossela's [1] -	211:9, 212:4, 213:1,	5:2, 28:6, 30:8,	16:11, 19:9, 19:15,	88:16, 88:24, 89:17,
168:4	213:9, 213:23,	30:13, 45:4, 46:16,	23:15, 27:16, 27:19,	99:11, 99:14, 99:23,
capturing [1] - 317:25	215:18, 215:25,	51:4, 51:6, 52:11,	29:13, 30:3, 31:15,	100:4, 100:10,
care [6] - 104:19,	216:9, 229:13,	56:2, 83:14, 86:8,	40:13, 40:24, 41:14,	100:14, 101:5,
197:11, 267:10,	233:10, 238:1,	94:25, 130:8, 151:1,	44:22, 48:10, 51:7,	101:11, 101:24,
327:22, 368:9 career [2] - 63:10,	238:9, 249:11,	165:9, 165:23, 176:16, 178:3,	51:9, 52:6, 53:20, 69:4, 88:21, 89:16,	112:17, 116:8,
123:21	254:13, 255:7,	178:22, 182:7,	102:2, 102:10,	116:12, 116:15,
careful [4] - 11:16,	255:13, 255:19,	182:9, 185:1, 190:9,	116:10, 129:13,	117:4, 119:14,
138:19, 242:14,	255:23, 256:5,	190:10, 194:5,	133:25, 135:1,	119:18, 119:25,
242:21	259:25, 260:2,	210:17, 227:22,	139:11, 154:13,	121:5, 122:3,
carefully [3] - 113:15,	260:5, 260:6,	227:23, 228:15,	171:2, 175:3, 181:3,	122:18, 129:15,
241:3, 242:20	261:23, 262:20,	233:1, 245:14,	183:21, 187:16,	131:13, 131:23,
cares [2] - 173:3,	267:7, 283:14,	246:22, 259:20,	189:12, 191:23,	132:25, 133:10,
				133:23, 137:3,

	T	T	T	T
137:6, 137:20,	278:23, 279:5,	364:22, 365:9,	340:18, 340:20,	cites [2] - 107:5, 8
138:15, 139:14,	279:21, 279:23,	365:12, 365:22,	341:13	184:15
139:24, 140:4,	281:5, 281:9,	365:24, 366:6,	checking [1] - 130:9	cities [1] - 212:13
141:8, 143:13,	281:11, 281:18,	366:20, 367:2,	CHERI [1] - 376:5	citing [1] - 115:12
144:10, 146:8,	281:20, 282:4,	367:17, 367:19,	Cheri [3] - 1:24, 240:5,	citizen [10] - 75:17,
146:20, 147:15,	283:2, 283:10,	369:1, 369:10,	376:18	77:4, 77:12, 77:20,
147:20, 147:22,	284:3, 284:8,	369:13, 369:23,	chest [1] - 130:20	77:24, 78:22, 79:3,
148:14, 148:20,	284:16, 285:16,	370:4, 370:13,	Cheyenne [8] - 4:23,	80:6, 85:16, 88:10
148:24, 149:3,	286:9, 286:14,	371:18, 371:25,	15:5, 15:8, 19:20,	citizens [4] - 69:19,
149:8, 149:15,	286:19, 286:21,	373:23, 374:12,	31:9, 35:22, 212:5,	69:23, 126:12, 349:2
149:22, 150:6,	287:4, 287:6,	375:1, 375:8,	290:9	City [2] - 255:22
150:9, 150:12,	287:21, 288:2,	375:12, 375:15	chicken [1] - 206:12	Civil [11] - 91:18,
150:18, 150:20,	288:22, 289:9,	challenge [9] - 64:5,	chief [2] - 196:9, 197:3	92:12, 102:19,
150:25, 151:7,	291:24, 292:9,	64:6, 64:7, 97:4,	children [1] - 159:5	110:13, 163:1,
151:15, 153:13,	292:12, 293:3,	106:17, 256:2,	China [1] - 160:20	164:7, 166:4,
153:23, 153:25,	299:22, 300:1,	260:2, 278:10, 354:6	choice [2] - 56:18,	171:17, 311:14,
154:9, 166:18,	300:4, 300:7,	challenged [5] - 12:2,	368:8	312:3, 326:7
170:17, 171:18,	302:12, 302:22,	64:16, 65:8, 65:9,	choose [8] - 28:6,	civil [14] - 41:21, 58:3,
172:4, 174:13,	303:5, 303:19,	280:11	49:3, 56:17, 71:12,	58:4, 58:20, 94:25,
174:20, 181:4,	304:2, 304:25,	challenges [4] -	104:17, 162:15,	102:14, 107:18,
182:2, 182:7,	305:6, 306:2,	47:19, 139:9, 144:4,	183:7, 298:17	110:24, 111:23,
185:11, 187:18,	306:11, 306:24,	254:24	chooses [5] - 28:18,	112:14, 136:3,
187:25, 188:11,	307:6, 307:15,	challenging [3] - 8:15,	136:19, 355:2,	184:4, 356:14,
189:6, 189:10,	307:23, 308:20,	54:19, 145:22	355:6, 362:19	357:21
189:16, 189:20,	309:6, 309:9,	chance [8] - 61:22,	chose [4] - 52:23,	claim [3] - 109:2,
190:7, 190:22,	309:14, 309:16,	66:7, 143:5, 143:7,	98:12, 125:22,	110:18, 199:3
191:8, 191:16, 191:18, 191:21,	310:2, 310:5, 318:16, 319:14,	174:8, 296:13,	200:24	claims [3] - 110:5,
192:6, 192:18,	319:17, 319:20,	360:1, 370:18	chosen [1] - 127:15	144:15, 217:3
193:3, 193:7,	323:15, 323:19,	change [3] - 3:7,	CHRIS [1] - 1:12	clarification [5] -
193:16, 194:8,	326:14, 326:20,	123:7, 143:17	Cindy [20] - 65:20,	37:18, 99:24,
194:13, 194:20,	326:25, 327:4,	changed [3] - 69:12, 227:8, 284:15	83:15, 83:16, 83:20,	189:14, 191:24,
194:25, 195:22,	329:22, 330:3,	changes [15] - 155:24,	83:21, 99:12, 120:2,	197:10
196:6, 196:11,	330:24, 332:23,	156:25, 157:1,	120:3, 120:4,	clarifications [1] -
196:18, 196:23,	333:2, 333:15,	180:8, 227:14,	132:23, 133:10,	69:5
197:5, 197:9,	333:20, 334:4,	227:17, 244:9,	133:11, 135:2,	clarified [1] - 193:5 clarifies [1] - 196:11
197:16, 197:21,	335:11, 335:17,	316:16, 335:19,	142:23, 150:23,	clarify [4] - 50:14,
203:5, 203:8,	335:25, 336:18,	335:21, 354:13,	151:8, 151:9, 151:11, 151:12	124:14, 193:18,
203:13, 208:13,	336:21, 337:13,	354:14, 354:22,	circles [2] - 327:12,	291:25
208:20, 240:3,	337:17, 337:22,	355:16, 360:4	330:16	clarifying [3] - 85:20,
240:11, 242:23,	338:3, 338:13,	Changes [5] - 167:4,	Circuit [5] - 12:20,	139:12, 319:21
244:25, 245:4,	338:15, 339:7,	167:11, 170:4,	107:21, 107:24,	Clark [2] - 15:5, 15:6
246:24, 247:5,	339:13, 340:16,	183:23, 184:1	108:3, 108:4	CLARK [2] - 15:7,
247:7, 247:12,	341:1, 341:20,	channels [3] - 213:2,	circuit [1] - 108:7	35:23
247:20, 248:2,	342:4, 342:6,	254:13, 255:9	circumstance [1] -	class [1] - 65:14
248:4, 254:8, 257:6,	342:22, 343:16,	chapter [3] - 110:3,	137:17	clause [2] - 120:12,
258:23, 259:4,	343:22, 343:24,	110:12, 115:12	circumstances [8] -	304:9
264:25, 265:13,	344:3, 346:10,	characteristics [1] -	69:12, 105:15,	clay [1] - 214:8
266:25, 267:2,	346:15, 347:10,	242:8	105:17, 123:7,	Clean [4] - 12:7,
268:21, 269:6,	347:21, 348:3,	characterize [1] -	139:20, 170:8,	155:2, 157:10,
269:9, 269:21,	348:14, 350:10,	121:12	184:6, 184:8	199:23
270:2, 270:6,	351:4, 351:6,	characterized [1] -	citations [3] - 99:9,	clean [3] - 156:8,
270:13, 270:22, 270:25, 271:9,	351:14, 351:22, 356:2, 357:13,	307:25	169:22, 262:18	208:1, 212:9
270.25, 271.9, 271:18, 272:1,	357:24, 358:12,	chase [2] - 33:8, 33:18	cite [7] - 116:4, 171:8,	clear [14] - 119:21,
271:10, 272:1, 272:7, 272:14,	358:25, 359:8,	Chase [1] - 209:7	173:8, 184:24,	133:13, 141:3,
273:2, 273:10,	359:12, 360:21,	chastise [1] - 72:6	353:2, 353:4	141:5, 168:20,
273:15, 274:5,	361:10, 361:14,	chatting [1] - 349:8	cited [7] - 107:7,	193:19, 202:16,
274:9, 274:17,	361:17, 361:20,	cheap [1] - 209:20	109:6, 110:14,	204:17, 259:14,
275:3, 275:13,	362:1, 362:3,	check [6] - 120:3,	164:12, 172:24,	277:21, 278:2,
275:18, 276:17,	362:14, 362:17,	246:16, 319:24,	198:18, 198:21	278:5, 298:10,
, , ,	1		1	

	1	1		
322:23	129:20, 132:24,	119:10, 124:6,	48:10, 49:12, 51:7,	286:4, 286:11, 9
clearly [15] - 12:15,	138:21, 139:17,	125:2, 125:20,	52:6, 52:9, 53:20,	286:18, 286:20,
39:15, 91:24,	139:21, 140:7,	128:24, 129:11,	56:8, 56:10, 81:7,	287:3, 287:5,
125:20, 156:12,	140:11, 140:14,	129:21, 132:2,	81:9, 81:25, 100:2,	303:21, 304:4,
206:1, 210:20,	140:17, 140:19,	132:16, 140:8,	129:24, 130:24,	306:13, 306:18,
212:19, 231:9,	140:24, 141:5,	140:15, 140:18,	131:6, 131:10,	306:22, 308:25,
231:10, 263:9,	144:7, 187:5, 243:7,	140:19, 140:25,	131:16, 132:18,	309:8, 309:13,
319:8, 322:16,	256:21, 279:4,	145:4, 145:5,	133:9, 133:16,	309:15, 329:25,
329:11, 356:11	366:25	150:13, 153:18,	133:25, 134:14,	330:22, 331:1,
client [3] - 259:24,	commentary [1] -	155:7, 158:24,	134:24, 135:9,	331:14, 331:21,
262:21, 275:5	289:12	166:8, 170:18,	135:15, 135:20,	331:24, 332:13,
clients [1] - 261:17	comments [24] -	172:8, 174:2, 175:1,	137:1, 137:4,	332:16, 332:20,
close [4] - 115:24,	15:17, 21:10, 21:11,	179:15, 185:12,	138:19, 143:12,	332:25, 333:13,
142:6, 143:21, 293:4	21:18, 27:9, 27:10,	186:17, 187:10,	143:14, 145:12,	333:16, 333:18,
closely [1] - 154:16	34:12, 54:5, 72:12,	191:5, 191:20,	149:20, 150:8,	333:22, 334:6,
closing [5] - 61:16,	75:16, 102:5,	195:4, 215:15,	150:11, 150:17,	334:13, 335:5,
70:18, 70:24,	115:10, 129:18,	215:19, 224:15,	150:19, 153:22,	337:16, 337:18,
136:10, 184:14	132:19, 141:3,	226:23, 229:19,	153:24, 175:3,	337:24, 338:4,
CMR [1] - 250:2	147:13, 147:25,	233:24, 245:1,	175:17, 176:1,	338:14, 338:17,
coating [2] - 220:11,	150:7, 152:13,	245:10, 248:25,	177:13, 179:9,	341:3, 342:3, 342:5,
223:8	240:14, 241:9,	249:14, 268:21,	179:23, 180:4,	342:18, 343:2,
coats [1] - 248:7	242:9, 285:17, 286:9	269:2, 274:11,	180:13, 181:1,	343:21, 343:23,
Code [1] - 320:23	commercially [1] -	276:22, 277:6,	181:6, 181:23,	347:25, 348:4,
code [1] - 52:24	265:24	277:17, 283:13, 303:5, 310:11,	182:5, 182:8, 183:10, 187:16,	348:16, 350:6, 351:3, 351:5,
Codified [3] - 13:15,	COMMISSION [3] -	312:13, 314:23,	187:19, 187:22,	357:16, 357:23,
120:14, 171:3	1:1, 1:11, 1:14 Commission [180] -	315:11, 315:14,	189:18, 189:22,	358:24, 359:11,
codified [11] - 41:20,	3:22, 7:6, 7:18, 9:22,	316:15, 319:5,	190:9, 190:24,	359:13, 360:18,
42:5, 51:12, 51:24,	10:11, 12:14, 13:5,	319:10, 329:23,	191:15, 191:17,	361:9, 361:11,
90:6, 91:1, 95:24, 96:2, 97:6, 98:1,	14:17, 16:12, 19:16,	335:8, 337:19,	203:6, 245:5, 245:9,	361:16, 361:18,
301:21	21:10, 21:19, 22:16,	338:10, 342:15,	245:22, 246:3,	361:25, 362:2,
Cody [2] - 120:4,	24:9, 24:15, 27:17,	344:10, 347:22,	246:12, 246:18,	365:21, 365:23,
151:4	29:18, 31:21, 32:13,	352:7, 354:15,	246:22, 247:14,	367:6, 375:4, 375:6,
coercion [1] - 368:23	33:6, 35:4, 35:13,	354:25, 357:12,	247:21, 248:17,	375:11, 375:13
collapsed [1] - 244:12	36:2, 38:23, 41:15,	357:14, 360:17,	249:22, 250:5,	Commissioner [125] -
collapses [1] - 214:12	42:8, 43:1, 44:4,	362:6, 362:18,	251:14, 251:23,	4:1, 4:15, 4:17, 18:3,
colleague [1] - 247:3	44:25, 46:16, 46:20,	365:14, 367:3,	253:10, 253:15,	18:19, 20:15, 21:15,
colleagues [1] - 16:14	48:24, 49:5, 49:21,	367:7, 370:21,	253:19, 254:6,	23:14, 27:12, 27:15,
collect [1] - 247:2	51:15, 51:17, 51:22,	371:4, 372:13,	254:10, 255:5,	30:4, 30:5, 30:10,
collocation [2] -	52:3, 54:5, 57:17,	373:13, 373:16, 374:14	256:1, 256:22, 257:1, 257:7, 267:1,	30:15, 30:25, 31:2, 36:10, 36:21, 36:25,
170:7, 221:13	58:2, 58:11, 58:13,	Commission's [33] -	267:3, 267:7,	48:8, 48:9, 48:17,
color [2] - 294:22,	60:18, 61:23, 61:25, 62:5, 62:14, 62:23,	41:19, 47:1, 59:23,	267:13, 267:19,	50:1, 52:5, 53:19,
366:16	62:24, 62:25, 63:16,	60:4, 61:21, 69:22,	268:19, 268:23,	53:21, 55:19, 56:7,
column [1] - 121:23	63:17, 63:18, 63:20,	71:2, 76:2, 90:9,	269:7, 269:17,	56:9, 100:8, 102:22,
combined [1] - 294:17	64:1, 64:4, 64:13,	90:13, 90:16, 90:23,	269:23, 270:4,	102:25, 109:19,
comfortable [6] -	69:15, 70:1, 70:15,	91:7, 91:9, 95:12,	270:7, 270:21,	132:3, 138:11,
247:8, 279:9,	70:20, 70:25, 71:15,	97:17, 99:1, 107:16,	270:23, 271:12,	138:17, 139:15,
329:17, 330:5,	72:6, 72:20, 74:5,	114:24, 115:14,	271:21, 273:14,	141:9, 149:17,
346:12, 346:13	75:18, 77:16, 79:17,	116:3, 116:23,	273:16, 273:24,	150:16, 150:18,
coming [13] - 9:9,	80:12, 82:19, 84:7,	127:16, 154:15,	274:7, 274:10,	152:6, 152:10,
13:17, 13:19, 50:1,	88:1, 88:8, 89:24,	160:17, 168:10,	274:19, 275:6,	153:21, 153:23,
78:25, 142:22, 190:1, 190:2, 211:6,	93:10, 93:14, 96:8,	179:13, 203:22,	275:15, 276:19,	175:2, 177:23,
252:20, 290:3,	97:23, 98:3, 98:16,	214:25, 235:5,	279:20, 279:22,	179:4, 180:10,
290:4, 370:25	98:20, 99:7, 103:3,	235:16, 310:14,	280:5, 281:8,	181:5, 182:2,
commence [1] - 6:17	103:6, 103:24,	319:7	281:10, 281:17,	182:15, 190:8,
commencement [1] -	104:4, 105:14,	COMMISSIONER [210]	281:19, 281:24,	190:23, 191:8,
179:15	107:2, 108:16,	- 1:13, 4:2, 4:8, 4:16, 4:18, 27:16,	283:1, 283:3, 283:12, 284:6,	191:14, 191:16, 194:18, 199:9,
comment [21] - 29:17,	109:6, 114:17,	27:19, 30:3, 31:1,	284:9, 284:11,	206:11, 241:24,
75:8, 109:11,	115:6, 116:1, 119:4,	31:3, 37:24, 38:6,	284:12, 284:17,	245:4, 254:8,
	1	31.5, 57.27, 50.0,		

	1			
268:22, 269:13,	79:10, 79:19, 81:13,	137:9, 154:3, 159:2,	complex [7] - 31:21,	266:17, 266:24 , 10
270:17, 273:13,	207:1, 311:22,	159:7, 174:16,	32:14, 32:16, 42:2,	284:18, 288:7,
274:6, 276:17,	345:2, 345:7,	186:3, 186:7, 186:8,	104:3, 106:19, 299:5	360:15, 366:13
279:19, 279:21,	350:17, 350:20	187:7, 187:11,	compliance [36] -	complying [3] - 91:8,
280:1, 281:16,	communications [24]	192:23, 197:18,	76:2, 76:19, 78:2,	163:10, 226:22
281:18, 282:16,	- 132:5, 171:21,	197:25, 239:25,	99:21, 155:1,	component [1] -
283:2, 283:16,	172:12, 219:7,	242:15, 243:20,	164:13, 166:6,	265:20
283:24, 284:7,	220:16, 222:9,	245:20, 246:15,	168:6, 168:13,	comport [1] - 61:21
284:10, 285:3,	223:1, 225:11,	265:8, 265:17,	169:12, 172:14,	compromise [5] -
286:3, 286:17,	228:4, 228:14,	269:2, 282:19,	185:22, 199:20,	55:7, 162:18,
286:19, 287:2,	231:15, 232:11,	283:18, 287:9,	200:1, 203:25,	162:23, 164:22,
287:4, 288:16,	234:10, 235:7,	288:4, 309:4,	204:1, 222:8,	173:19
303:19, 308:24,	263:18, 263:20,	309:19, 310:21,	222:10, 222:24,	computer [1] - 101:14
309:12, 309:14,	287:24, 345:5,	313:6, 313:21,	228:16, 231:4,	• • • •
329:23, 330:24,	345:18, 346:2,	319:10, 328:22,	234:11, 234:25,	conceivable [1] -
331:17, 333:21,	346:23, 347:16,	330:9, 333:4,	237:2, 238:21,	372:5
337:17, 338:7,	350:3, 350:14	334:10, 334:15,		conceivably [1] -
341:2, 341:21,	communities [3] -	334:17, 337:19,	239:5, 239:6,	371:21
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, ,	248:23, 249:1,	conceive [1] - 137:17
342:2, 342:4, 342:10, 343:1,	212:10, 251:12,	341:25, 342:16,	261:2, 263:3,	conceived [2] -
1	322:21	344:23, 348:1,	263:16, 263:22,	293:16, 293:20
343:20, 343:22,	community [2] -	351:7, 351:10,	264:3, 328:10	concept [3] - 288:21,
347:24, 348:15,	147:13, 322:5	351:23, 353:8,	compliant [3] - 78:3,	292:24, 317:21
348:17, 348:22,	compaction [1] -	355:22, 355:24,	167:2, 171:15	concern [15] - 23:2,
349:5, 349:9,	301:17	358:22, 360:15	complicate [1] -	97:12, 117:3,
350:23, 350:24,	companies [2] -	compelled [14] -	349:15	118:13, 215:17,
351:2, 351:4,	157:14, 209:4	33:23, 137:13,	complicated [6] - 6:1,	253:3, 258:19,
360:24, 361:24,	Company [1] - 209:20	137:24, 188:5,	16:6, 27:22, 27:25,	258:20, 277:4,
362:1, 365:20,	company [11] - 106:1,	206:23, 210:19,	34:25, 139:8	278:16, 279:12,
365:22, 367:5,	178:18, 204:18,	215:12, 223:4,	complied [8] - 76:21,	279:14, 352:20,
375:10, 375:12	204:21, 238:18,	223:12, 225:21,	115:15, 126:20,	352:25, 359:13
Commissioner's [1] -	239:4, 252:25,	226:24, 234:13,	165:22, 172:1,	concerned [2] - 40:15,
51:12	253:3, 266:5,	343:3, 355:5	226:13, 236:12,	119:7
Commissioners [36] -	266:19, 278:13	compelling [7] -	358:1	concerning [23] -
3:16, 10:24, 11:11,	comparable [1] -	95:25, 114:13,	compliment [1] -	44:2, 92:16, 201:10,
24:25, 26:8, 34:6,	163:6	137:9, 153:2, 238:3,	113:13	201:12, 203:15,
34:11, 35:23, 43:20,	compared [1] - 55:5	264:15, 350:22	comply [61] - 9:25,	207:23, 210:22,
46:23, 47:15, 47:18,	compel [33] - 38:12,	compensate [1] -	39:8, 39:23, 60:4,	211:9, 213:9,
52:11, 54:12, 54:17,	48:12, 48:15, 48:20,	113:20	76:3, 78:24, 81:16,	218:11, 220:10,
56:20, 72:17,	49:4, 49:14, 49:15,	compensation [2] -	86:17, 90:12, 90:22,	222:7, 226:25,
109:19, 122:6,	49:23, 50:11, 83:19,	250:25, 251:11	91:6, 91:17, 93:3,	231:7, 232:1,
133:24, 144:22,	98:2, 102:9, 103:12,	competent [2] -	94:22, 96:8, 97:17,	234:18, 237:18,
144:24, 147:25,	109:18, 112:1,	128:22, 128:23	97:19, 98:2, 115:20,	241:6, 241:12,
176:18, 189:11,	116:2, 116:3,	competitive [2] -	116:3, 118:15,	307:1, 320:6, 320:7,
189:25, 202:7,	125:24, 129:4,	161:11, 278:14	124:1, 124:2,	320:8
206:10, 212:19,	138:3, 141:17,	compile [2] - 184:19,	126:21, 128:24,	concerns [16] - 39:6,
234:6, 250:8,	154:5, 154:11,	184:20	133:6, 142:20,	93:23, 93:25, 94:2,
326:16, 343:15,	185:15, 229:19,	compiled [1] - 91:13	143:10, 152:20,	95:8, 117:11,
367:24, 373:21,	277:2, 278:25,	complain [1] - 162:1	159:19, 161:8,	117:17, 117:24,
375:3	283:18, 315:15,	Complaint [3] - 58:5,	161:20, 162:18,	130:6, 135:3,
committed [2] -	323:4, 344:5,	58:8, 123:1	165:20, 166:10,	159:24, 159:25,
323:10, 323:22	350:21, 358:15	complete [7] - 10:2,	172:11, 177:11,	231:22, 242:1,
committee [2] -	Compel [69] - 5:8,	10:19, 180:3, 290:1,	187:8, 187:9,	
316:23	32:19, 37:10, 55:10,	324:25, 325:6,	205:24, 223:3,	352:18, 353:14
common [5] - 41:4,	97:7, 102:8, 102:12,	366:11	226:12, 229:1,	concise [1] - 63:15
123:16, 159:1, 276:9	109:9, 109:12,	completed [2] -	229:19, 230:17,	concisely [1] - 94:9
communicated [1] -	109:9, 109:12,	115:19, 374:7	230:18, 232:1,	conclude [3] - 80:24,
339:18			234:18, 235:18,	93:21, 162:17
communicating [1] -	111:25, 112:15,	completely [7] -	235:22, 236:17,	concluded [5] - 22:6,
79:13	123:22, 123:24,	38:18, 54:2, 105:3,	249:4, 263:21,	81:3, 287:8, 342:9,
communication [9] -	124:4, 124:14,	113:2, 115:13,	264:1, 266:15,	375:17
- Communication [8] -	129:8, 134:22,	149:6, 202:9	207.1, 200.13,	concludes [1] - 29:13

concluding [1] - 94:12	167:18	89:9, 370:20	94:12, 94:20,	330:18 11
conclusion [5] - 61:1,	conferences [1] -	Congress [3] -	104:13, 344:10	consulted [4] -
127:14, 151:14,	152:4	290:11, 294:4,	consisted [1] - 217:12	173:10, 301:7,
243:8, 303:22	conferred [1] - 128:5	316:24	consistent [9] - 35:14,	301:8, 304:21
conclusions [1] -	confidence [1] -	Congressional [1] -	120:21, 167:10,	consulting [2] - 205:8,
141:19	367:10	322:9	237:14, 239:18,	231:13
concur[2] - 139:25,	confident [2] - 161:19,	conjoin [2] - 298:8,	283:17, 283:18,	contact [2] - 89:20,
269:12	272:24	298:9	283:25, 358:19	250:18
condition [24] - 60:6,	confidential [75] -	conjoined [2] -	consistently [1] - 99:7	contacted [2] -
61:8, 61:9, 76:5,	169:5, 175:7,	298:20, 299:4	consists [1] - 308:4	133:12, 162:6
90:2, 92:21, 98:19,	176:12, 179:2,	connected [5] - 89:21,	constant [1] - 156:24	contacts [1] - 243:17
112:24, 122:12,	201:25, 213:11,	291:22, 302:10,	constantly [2] - 209:8,	contain [2] - 92:24,
133:8, 139:13,	215:2, 233:7,	310:18, 322:20	363:5	358:21
206:14, 226:11,	233:13, 235:4,	connection [6] -	consternation [1] -	contained [4] - 92:19,
226:12, 226:14,	235:8, 235:10,	88:22, 89:19,	256:8	123:13, 256:20,
228:11, 236:11,	237:22, 237:23,	176:24, 177:8,	constituents [2] -	336:24
324:23, 363:10,	241:15, 241:16,	201:24, 234:16	212:7, 230:3	containing [4] -
364:17, 372:22,	241:17, 241:18,	consensus [1] - 50:25	constituting [1] -	237:17, 239:5,
373:4, 373:8, 373:10	245:24, 245:25,	consent [2] - 50:17,	358:4	241:5, 241:12
Condition [6] -	249:15, 250:10,	50:22	Constitution [2] -	contemplates [1] -
122:25, 123:1,	250:15, 251:15,	consequence [23] -	304:9, 304:10	63:8
203:24, 352:12,	251:22, 251:24,	58:13, 64:9, 64:10,	constitutional [1] -	contend [6] - 122:13,
352:14, 353:2	252:1, 252:6,	74:13, 74:19, 74:22,	62:13	122:25, 123:1,
Conditions [6] -	253:12, 255:12,	128:25, 152:22,		123:6, 123:9, 127:7
156:11, 323:9,	255:13, 257:8,	156:16, 156:25,	constraint [2] -	contends [1] - 157:16
328:9, 328:10,	257:11, 260:23,	234:17, 242:9,	257:19, 278:1	I
328:13, 328:16	261:1, 261:7,	249:4, 262:14,	CONSTRUCT [1] - 1:6	content [2] - 115:9,
conditions [52] - 9:21,	261:13, 262:14,	320:11, 320:13,	construct [6] - 3:20,	115:17
10:1, 21:23, 23:2,	269:19, 271:7,	321:9, 321:11,	57:15, 205:19,	contention [16] -
30:18, 57:16, 60:25,	271:22, 271:23,	321:17, 325:15,	238:23, 298:12,	61:25, 76:8, 76:11,
61:1, 61:6, 69:13,	271:25, 272:12,	325:24, 326:1	298:14	90:4, 90:5, 90:7,
70:7, 115:13, 116:5,	272:25, 273:17,		constructed [2] -	93:1, 110:6, 123:12,
123:4, 166:7,	273:19, 273:20,	consequences [4] - 129:11, 152:21,	7:10, 286:6	123:19, 123:25,
199:17, 199:18,	273:25, 274:14,	238:15, 238:22	construction [29] -	127:10, 201:5,
200:9, 202:1,	274:16, 274:18,	consequently [3] -	6:17, 6:22, 15:22,	266:13, 316:8,
203:23, 203:24,	275:1, 275:8,	10:13, 202:19,	16:1, 19:24, 21:24,	320:10
205:20, 205:23,	275:23, 276:24,	235:13	179:16, 207:9,	contentions [5] -
222:3, 222:8,	277:3, 277:12,		224:8, 229:2,	123:15, 125:17,
222:23, 224:6,	278:15, 278:25,	consider [12] - 13:4, 14:11, 35:12, 42:8,	229:24, 230:8,	136:13, 136:14,
226:8, 226:23,	280:13, 280:14,	58:6, 71:16, 108:2,	230:21, 232:1,	136:15
228:17, 231:10,	318:22, 319:4,	271:22, 313:7,	232:4, 232:9,	contest [2] - 125:5, 125:16
233:23, 234:7,	320:12, 320:15,		232:22, 233:2,	
234:24, 235:1,	321:21, 325:15,	315:11, 330:12, 344:12	246:5, 246:8, 250:1,	contested [4] - 23:6,
235:17, 252:9,	325:16, 326:5,	considerable [1] -	252:9, 252:10,	40:25, 105:12, 171:8
252:11, 263:11,	327:13, 330:19,	176:6	253:6, 284:23,	context [3] - 144:18,
263:23, 263:24,	347:13	considerably [4] -	294:4, 331:10,	145:7, 312:11
264:19, 316:5,	confidentiality [17] -	113:24, 134:6,	331:13, 331:15	continent [1] - 161:3
319:11, 324:10,	181:14, 214:1,	180:5, 282:15	consult [2] - 89:6,	continually [1] -
324:11, 352:16,	235:9, 235:14,	considerate [1] - 22:9	146:19	111:23
352:23, 353:4,	242:1, 249:7,	consideration [6] -	consultant [1] -	continue [7] - 29:3,
364:5, 364:14, 373:2	251:18, 253:17,	I	217:13	54:21, 93:22,
conduct [1] - 165:7	258:14, 259:18,	14:18, 23:5, 80:1, 111:6, 117:13,	consultants [1] -	102:21, 105:23,
conducting [1] - 63:8	260:20, 271:14,	315:10	209:17	130:5, 208:20
conducts [1] - 116:14	274:12, 276:11,		consultation [10] -	continued [1] - 186:2
confer [10] - 128:1,	277:18, 277:23,	considered [11] - 11:19, 19:18, 34:19,	159:15, 172:23,	continues [1] - 94:19
128:4, 167:12,	280:19	69:15, 179:18,	172:25, 173:5,	continuing [1] - 166:6
189:24, 211:17,	confine [2] - 141:15,	198:25, 203:18,	301:20, 303:22,	contractor [1] -
227:15, 243:24,	341:20	271:24, 290:11,	304:5, 304:15,	356:25
244:3, 244:7, 296:11	confused [1] - 284:7	326:1, 360:7	307:1, 330:20 consultations [3] -	contractors [4] -
conference [1] -	confusion [3] - 89:4,	considering [5] - 20:2,	I	175:22, 175:23,
		55/13/def frig [5] - 20.2,	231:7, 234:19,	356:17, 356:20

contracts [1] - 368:23 332:15, 339:11, 66:5, 101:18, covered [12] - 188:19, 295:10, 302:15, 125:22, 128:21, contrary [2] - 119:1, 340:17, 340:18, 189:2, 200:6, 226:3, 370:4, 374:1, 374:3, 305:24 167:4 189:14, 191:25, 251:6, 278:25, 374:9, 376:11 195:5, 196:4 279:8. 298:24. control [2] - 35:19, 201:14 correctly [4] - 112:14, COUP's [1] - 112:19 303:17. 350:20. controls [2] - 209:1, 319:17. 331:7. couple [28] - 6:5, 8:25, 368:14. 368:17 362:15 253:21 9:15, 21:18, 54:25, covers [6] - 174:21, 341:16 correspondence [6] -56:13, 57:22, 64:19, 188:24, 261:24, convenient [1] - 253:9 158:19. 172:6. 69:5, 97:13, 109:12, 279:12, 279:14, conversation [3] -207:3. 251:2. 121:18, 126:5, 306:20 227:23, 244:1, 296:6 266:19, 347:4 176:21, 205:8, cracking [2] - 325:1, conversations [10] corridor [1] - 170:10 217:13, 222:15, 325:4 39:21, 121:13, corroborates [1] -227:16, 227:24, craft [1] - 35:16 122:1, 128:16, 244:9, 250:6, 264:9, 266:13, 41:1 Craven [2] - 16:10, 258:22, 263:2, corrosion [2] - 170:6, 36:9 311:2, 334:23, 274:2, 285:16, 183:24 CRAVEN [2] - 16:11, 345:19, 357:11 305:15, 341:15, convinced [2] cost [1] - 204:20 36:10 344.11 costs [2] - 316:16, create [2] - 254:24, 350:19, 354:20 317:10 course [21] - 7:17, 348:12 cooperate [2] - 165:6, couched [1] - 241:4 28:8, 40:15, 57:21, 177:17 created [3] - 293:10, 133:18, 169:2, 336:13, 336:16 cooperation [1] - 41:5 coughing [1] - 242:25 184:24, 199:7, credibility [3] - 8:19, coordinates [2] council [5] - 304:19, 200:8, 203:20, 204:21, 239:18 353:7, 355:20 304:20, 304:21, 214:20, 216:14, 307:2 Creek [1] - 241:23 copied [1] - 183:3 242:17, 260:23, copies [8] - 217:16, Council [4] - 112:22, criteria [1] - 150:2 263:3, 276:8, 304:17, 307:1, critical [10] - 26:11, 219:7, 359:19, 311:18, 312:12, 365:1, 369:4, 369:8, 307:10 200:18, 207:10, 325:22, 330:18, 372.19 counsel [14] - 80:6, 208:22, 212:6, 349:22 copy [2] - 171:6, 88:13, 132:7, 212:11, 222:2, Court [20] - 12:20, 160:13, 162:6, 232:19, 322:4, 322:6 367:25 12:21, 105:12, 183:22, 184:12, crop [2] - 250:25, cordial [1] - 128:6 107:21, 107:23, 330:14 344:19, 345:1, 251:1 core [6] - 202:2, 107:25, 108:3, 345:4, 345:6, crops [1] - 212:8 210:3, 223:24, 108:4, 111:2, 111:4, 345:10, 345:12, cross [27] - 8:3, 22:18, 232:19, 354:23, 111:11, 163:3, 354:24 347:19 28:20, 53:6, 53:11, 182:19, 198:11, Counsel [2] - 84:7, 62:5, 62:6, 63:7, corner [2] - 295:20, 198:17, 222:20, 275:7 65:17, 70:23, 71:1, 295:21 241:21, 258:21, counsel's [1] - 184:9 71:6, 71:10, 71:14, corporate [4] - 8:21, 259:14, 260:18 71:24, 72:8, 100:24, count [1] - 217:11 9:18, 254:1, 331:25 court [26] - 17:6, 18:8, 103:10, 139:3, counted [2] - 46:3, corporation [5] -29:8, 30:7, 30:8, 148:13, 178:21, 313:22 45:11, 239:14, 35:12, 38:8, 58:20, 192:4, 196:16, 260:4, 332:4, 332:5 counter[1] - 157:23 64:14, 91:9, 96:18, 290:15, 290:21, Corps [19] - 25:11, counties [2] - 224:24, 103:1, 103:15, 299:8, 299:10 290:15, 294:13, 225.1 107:20, 110:3, 294:19, 295:3, counting [1] - 112:22 cross-examination 110:10, 111:8, [12] - 22:18, 63:7, 295:6, 296:20, country [1] - 112:7 112:9, 114:22, 296:21, 296:22, countryside [1] -70:23, 71:1, 71:6, 115:5, 125:5, 125:8, 71:10, 71:14, 71:24, 296:24, 297:7, 255:24 134:3, 136:3 72:8, 100:24, 192:4, 305:16, 306:5, county [1] - 302:18 Court's [1] - 108:8 196:16 306:8, 307:21, County [14] - 74:13, courts [7] - 12:3, 58:3, cross-examine [5] -308:4, 308:5 213:24, 236:2, 58:4, 108:7, 164:17, 62:5, 62:6, 65:17, correct [27] - 47:6, 241:23, 241:25, 164:24, 326:9 103:10, 139:3 134:15, 179:5, 291:4, 294:20, cover [8] - 64:17, 65:2, cross-examining [1] -179:23, 194:10, 295:8, 295:10, 71:20, 113:21, 296:2 245:22, 246:12, 148:13 296:23, 306:15, 120:11, 120:13, 274:6, 274:7, crossed [5] - 290:16, 322:15, 322:21 199:18, 279:8 297:17, 303:14, 276:21, 287:21, COUNTY [1] - 376:3

coverage [2] - 106:10,

coverages [1] - 332:8

317:12

305:10, 306:21

295:7, 295:8,

crosses [8] - 295:6,

288:2, 299:23,

309:2, 332:6,

302:13, 302:16,

coup [2] - 112:22

COUP [13] - 20:22,

36:22, 62:12, 64:5,

305:7, 305:22, crossing [8] - 53:6, 223:20. 295:15. 297:19. 300:11. 307:13. 341:14. crossings [9] - 212:5, 224:2. 290:20. 320:1, 336:20, 339:1, 340:19, 341:10, 341:11 CRR [1] - 1:24 crucial [3] - 222:22, 224:2, 231:21 crude [5] - 201:10, 203:15, 205:10, 207:13, 215:25 cultural [19] - 156:9, 158:14, 158:20, 163:18, 237:18, 241:12, 257:22, 258:1, 258:2, 258:4, 258:15, 261:25, 272:25. 277:14. 301:2. 301:6. 315:4. 330:15, 357:4 culture [1] - 8:21 curious [4] - 53:25, 175:19, 254:25, current [5] - 6:11, 170:6, 179:6, 249:14, 374:2 **customers** [1] - 209:6 cut [6] - 32:4, 33:8, 33:18, 113:7, 113:23, 211:7 cute [1] - 183:18 cuts [1] - 214:6 cutting [1] - 18:6 cycle [1] - 127:21

12

D

D.C [1] - 17:9 Dahlke [5] - 295:12, 295:15, 295:20, 295:21, 296:2 Dahlke-Mann [5] -295:12, 295:15, 295:20, 295:21, Dakota [130] - 2:2, 2:4, 4:22, 6:9, 10:6, 11:15, 11:19, 12:9, 12:20, 13:15, 14:10, 14:12, 14:15, 17:2,

			1	
26:11, 29:4, 29:22,	damage [5] - 106:3,	212:14, 239:8,	72:7, 101:4, 118:1,	38:16, 39:2, 44:16, 13
29:23, 30:14, 31:8,	210:24, 211:10,	240:8, 243:22,	118:11, 122:12,	62:1, 81:23, 112:12,
32:19, 45:1, 45:23,	212:17, 251:1	249:10, 250:24,	135:7, 206:7,	343:25, 351:8
46:9, 47:3, 48:23,	dangerous [1] -	256:11, 261:21,	218:12, 218:23,	denigrate [1] - 180:16
55:16, 62:12, 63:19,	127:17	263:15, 302:23,	223:23, 227:13,	denominated [1] -
64:16, 66:5, 91:18,	darn [3] - 202:15,	303:15, 315:20,	245:10, 266:18,	340:20
101:17, 101:18,	215:10, 220:4	341:17, 344:13,	304:22, 326:19,	DENR [4] - 103:25,
102:3, 102:17,	Darren [1] - 1:17	348:8	335:21	104:3, 104:25,
103:14, 106:4,	data [18] - 201:14,	dealing [12] - 3:21,	decisions [11] - 35:19,	106:19
110:4, 110:17,	208:11, 209:4,	5:9, 32:15, 35:1,	43:6, 43:9, 47:7,	density [1] - 322:2
110:21, 111:1,	209:7, 209:8,	62:25, 85:16,	55:18, 55:21, 60:18,	deny [29] - 27:17,
111:4, 113:2,	222:16, 253:15,	101:11, 130:18,	118:4, 125:1,	30:4, 31:5, 52:7,
113:11, 113:12,	254:1, 265:17,	142:23, 262:10,	223:19, 241:21	56:5, 77:13, 77:21,
114:2, 114:13,	265:21, 265:22,	265:5, 282:23	declaratory [3] -	78:5, 80:9, 103:15,
114:15, 119:3,	266:2, 278:18,	deals [8] - 9:22, 24:11,	107:2, 107:8, 107:21	112:9, 115:24,
120:14, 126:12,	279:2, 318:8, 318:9,	56:25, 156:13,	dedicated [2] - 332:9,	116:6, 137:21,
134:17, 154:2,	320:17, 321:5	239:15, 249:12,	332:18	142:18, 185:23,
157:20, 158:10,	date [10] - 86:1, 86:4,	265:17, 265:20	deemed [2] - 50:8,	186:2, 186:8, 187:1,
163:6, 163:9, 164:7,	120:15, 120:17,	dealt [1] - 282:18	304:6	187:4, 188:23,
166:4, 170:10,	124:3, 207:20,	dear [3] - 60:25,	defeat [1] - 111:8	269:5, 283:22,
170:12, 171:2,	311:20, 311:25,	124:5, 124:6	defend [1] - 346:20	303:9, 309:7,
171:17, 172:7,	321:2, 341:18	debate [5] - 10:5,	defendants [1] - 58:6	342:15, 343:17,
172:14, 173:6,	dated [3] - 98:8,	249:18, 272:10,	defense [1] - 199:3	348:1, 365:16
174:15, 180:6,	115:18, 265:18	325:17, 326:1	defenses [1] - 126:18	denying [7] - 30:11,
180:21, 180:25,	Dated [1] - 376:13	debating [3] - 190:24,	deficiencies [1] -	31:17, 78:10, 309:2,
183:20, 191:25,	dates [3] - 85:6,	280:6, 280:16	91:20	361:13, 361:14,
197:17, 198:9,	207:13, 207:20	Debbie [1] - 151:11	deficiency [2] - 92:19,	361:17
198:10, 199:6,	Davis [2] - 211:4,	December [21] -	356:19	depart [1] - 112:18
206:15, 207:4,	214:5	39:13, 45:9, 58:17,	deficient [1] - 324:4	department [2] -
209:25, 211:6,	days [12] - 58:23,	58:23, 85:7, 85:10,	defies [2] - 204:21,	163:25, 236:20
212:11, 212:13,	104:5, 104:6,	85:22, 89:24, 90:10,	239:17	Department [23] -
215:16, 216:7,	120:15, 120:18,	91:7, 98:8, 120:12,	define [5] - 58:12,	25:15, 163:16,
222:19, 223:25, 224:3, 224:10,	130:17, 143:6,	120:25, 125:20,	274:18, 287:12,	169:3, 175:13,
224.3, 224.10, 224:22, 224:24,	143:9, 187:12,	130:1, 152:1,	288:16, 288:19	179:19, 180:19,
225:15, 231:6,	209:2, 287:23,	186:12, 199:14,	defined [4] - 53:23,	181:21, 184:18,
231:8, 231:24,	297:14	362:20, 370:14,	58:14, 252:9, 299:4	184:20, 198:19,
233:19, 234:5,	dead [1] - 278:20	374:22	definitely [2] - 67:17,	200:4, 204:2, 206:6,
234:20, 241:18,	deadline [36] - 13:5,	decide [15] - 27:24,	67:18	217:19, 231:8,
241:19, 251:10,	37:10, 37:11, 38:11,	28:19, 62:6, 202:7,	definition [4] - 116:22,	234:20, 237:20,
252:17, 257:19,	38:12, 77:10, 78:1,	209:19, 220:2,	227:9, 288:20, 306:3	248:15, 256:20,
259:13, 267:5,	78:13, 78:16, 79:18,	230:9, 240:25,	definitive [1] - 322:23	293:24, 300:22,
275:19, 298:9,	81:16, 81:24, 82:3,	248:24, 257:15, 263:15, 270:1,	degree [1] - 6:23	327:17, 354:1
299:16, 300:20,	85:13, 85:14, 85:16,	285:23, 319:3, 319:6	degrees [1] - 301:15	Department's [4] -
301:14, 304:8,	85:23, 86:19, 93:17,		delaying [1] - 30:12	205:21, 217:17,
316:18, 322:12,	94:16, 94:23, 97:18,	decided [10] - 44:7, 98:3, 108:17, 140:8,	delegate [3] - 33:6,	236:4, 236:24 departure [2] - 92:12,
332:9, 332:10,	97:20, 114:10,	178:14, 200:17,	33:7, 46:21	94:6
332:12, 332:19,	114:21, 142:22,	248:14, 314:18,	delegating [2] - 35:17,	dependent [1] -
336:6, 336:8, 339:6,	153:10, 153:13, 153:15, 195:7,	352:21, 363:8	53:12	322:21
344:4, 344:6, 344:7,	195:11, 195:24,	deciders [1] - 244:24	delegation [2] - 33:16,	depicted [2] - 295:1,
348:1, 348:11,	196:1, 296:12,	decides [2] - 315:14,	35:16	295:2
368:16, 368:20,	370:10	315:15	deliberate [1] - 22:9	deposed [1] - 196:17
376:7, 376:13	deadlines [5] - 79:20,	deciding [1] - 227:14	delightful [1] - 244:1	deposit [1] - 214:7
DAKOTA [2] - 1:2,	79:23, 80:2, 80:11,	decipher [1] - 343:13	demand [7] - 203:16,	depriving [1] - 109:24
376:1	85:20	decision [32] - 6:6,	204:14, 205:10,	depth [1] - 263:5
Dakota's [4] - 25:21,	deal [26] - 4:25, 22:4,	7:9, 7:20, 12:22,	248:13, 248:21,	derived [1] - 225:5
28:8, 224:11, 236:19	38:24, 44:7, 106:23,	13:11, 13:14, 14:3,	263:4, 263:8	describe [5] - 92:5,
Dallas [3] - 67:5, 67:7,	108:10, 113:25,	25:6, 28:12, 28:18,	demonstrate [1] -	210:23, 214:10,
100:9	152:18, 186:19,	33:19, 34:19, 40:17,	372:18	255:7, 255:18
dam [1] - 293:15	186:23, 191:3,	47:16, 47:19, 49:11,	denial [1] - 280:7	described [5] - 43:3,
			denied [9] - 23:9,	

	1			
161:25, 214:9,	devise [1] - 161:14	disagree [7] - 43:16,	35:14, 39:22, 39:23,	162:10, 162:24, 14
321:12, 348:20	dial [2] - 359:5, 359:10	46:17, 53:4, 55:4,	40:2, 40:8, 40:15,	163:14, 163:23,
describes [2] - 54:3,	difference [5] - 7:9,	145:12, 218:20,	41:2, 41:7, 42:1,	164:2, 164:8,
325:3	139:21, 140:12,	272:14	42:10, 43:17, 43:20,	164:20, 165:6,
describing [2] -	252:13, 292:21	disagreeing [1] - 53:5	43:21, 43:23, 44:23,	165:10, 165:16,
231:25, 256:4	differences [4] - 89:8,	disagreement [2] -	45:12, 45:14, 45:16,	165:23, 167:2,
description [3] -	105:19, 124:16,	273:2, 306:3	46:5, 47:13, 48:13,	169:3, 169:25,
53:22, 90:5, 295:25	128:18	disallowing [1] -	48:22, 48:24, 49:2,	171:7, 172:11,
design [4] - 155:19,	different [34] - 32:20,	361:5	49:4, 49:10, 49:14,	173:1, 173:13,
155:20, 216:18,	32:22, 33:14, 40:16,	disappointed [3] -	49:15, 51:5, 52:13,	173:24, 181:7,
318:10	40:18, 45:23, 45:24,	28:17, 28:21, 28:22	52:18, 55:1, 55:14,	181:17, 182:9,
designated [2] -	53:2, 58:3, 71:1,	disapprove [1] - 15:14	56:14, 57:7, 57:8,	184:5, 184:11,
293:25, 321:11	71:2, 103:22,	discharge [4] -	57:21, 57:23, 57:24,	185:15, 186:1,
designed [5] - 102:15,	107:12, 145:9,	215:25, 216:9,	57:25, 58:14, 59:13,	198:13, 199:7,
160:22, 268:12,	145:14, 151:4,	267:8, 316:13	60:13, 62:21, 65:5,	199:11, 200:7,
352:11, 354:21	182:14, 183:24,	discharges [3] -	65:8, 68:1, 69:19,	202:10, 203:1,
desire [1] - 148:13	184:7, 187:20,	210:23, 212:4,	70:6, 70:8, 71:18,	211:24, 219:12,
desk [2] - 297:23,	189:2, 200:21,	317:18	71:21, 71:25, 72:3,	219:16, 219:24,
306:14	200:25, 203:3,		79:13, 79:22, 83:19,	222:18, 222:21,
	203:14, 246:13,	disclosable [1] -	86:12, 86:13, 86:14,	223:17, 225:17,
despite [4] - 21:21,	280:12, 297:6,	262:10	88:4, 88:7, 88:8,	226:17, 232:16,
108:7, 109:21, 193:19	302:6, 334:18,	disclose [14] - 62:9,	89:25, 90:13, 90:18,	235:11, 237:14,
	368:13, 370:11	165:10, 175:22,	91:7, 91:12, 92:16,	240:18, 240:20,
destroys [1] - 349:21	differentiate [1] -	184:4, 261:10,	92:17, 92:24, 93:23,	240:21, 243:4,
detail [3] - 93:24, 95:7,	192:19	261:17, 262:4,	94:2, 94:7, 95:12,	243:6, 244:11,
211:5	difficult [4] - 55:21,	262:9, 262:16,	96:8, 96:13, 97:5,	244:13, 244:15,
detailed [2] - 94:2,	69:21, 133:20,	264:15, 315:17,	97:22, 98:11, 98:12,	251:13, 259:14,
190:16	167:15	315:19, 327:15, 328:6	98:14, 98:19,	259:23, 260:7,
details [2] - 213:9,	difficulties [2] - 48:3,		106:24, 111:13,	260:16, 260:24,
253:22	87:2	disclosed [10] - 81:14,	111:24, 112:14,	264:11, 268:14,
detect [2] - 208:5,	difficulty [1] - 345:14	81:16, 157:17,	115:8, 115:20,	282:20, 283:15,
209:13	dil [2] - 209:24, 210:12	170:3, 176:8, 207:2,	115:21, 117:12,	287:19, 310:23,
detection [1] - 317:2	dilatory [1] - 28:12	260:23, 320:12,	118:5, 118:8,	311:12, 311:15,
deter [1] - 174:9	-	320:15, 330:20	118:16, 119:12,	314:7, 316:1,
determination [5] -	diligent [2] - 219:19, 311:7	disclosing [3] - 77:2, 262:11, 363:14	120:16, 120:18,	325:11, 327:20,
225:4, 263:5, 263:9,		· ·	121:12, 121:13,	328:18, 329:13,
278:3, 316:15	diligently [1] - 365:1	disclosure [6] - 117:21, 134:4,	121:24, 124:15,	334:9, 334:14,
determinations [2] -	diluted [1] - 25:20	157:20, 207:3,	124:16, 125:13,	334:20, 335:8,
198:15, 259:16	direct [10] - 62:15,		127:21, 128:4,	335:9, 338:6, 340:7,
determine [9] - 12:5,	85:8, 85:9, 113:5,	265:11, 277:8 disclosures [4] -	128:5, 129:5, 129:6,	341:6, 342:20,
25:18, 49:1, 125:14,	114:25, 124:7,		135:18, 135:25,	344:5, 351:25,
184:10, 223:2,	192:2, 193:22,	142:6, 143:20, 182:19, 183:3	136:16, 136:20,	352:11, 353:1,
234:9, 259:25,	195:19, 198:16	discouraging [1] -	137:10, 137:13,	353:4, 353:6,
266:15	directed [1] - 228:12 directing [1] - 59:23	68:18	141:17, 141:23,	353:10, 354:18,
determined [1] - 71:19	directing [1] - 59.25 direction [4] - 29:19,	discover [3] - 183:18,	142:7, 142:21,	355:5, 355:21,
detriment [1] - 165:5	276:5, 309:23, 328:5	202:6, 291:5	142:22, 143:6,	356:24, 358:16,
devastating [1] -	directional [1] -	discoverability [1] -	143:21, 144:21,	362:20, 363:25,
14:10	223:20	51:19	145:1, 145:4, 145:6,	364:21
develop [7] - 13:9,		discoverable [13] -	146:11, 146:12,	Discovery [3] -
34:17, 136:1,	directions [1] - 301:10 directly [12] - 9:20,	198:23, 199:4,	148:16, 154:4,	309:19, 310:22,
136:14, 190:14,	26:21, 129:20,	234:14, 239:23,	154:11, 155:14,	337:20
209:18, 325:11 developed [5] - 10:13,	131:21, 132:1,	241:1, 241:2,	156:15, 157:3,	discretion [1] - 135:19
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	155:23, 186:23,	259:17, 266:20,	157:24, 157:25,	discuss [3] - 51:18,
10:18, 241:23, 242:2, 242:9	204:4, 230:25,	268:12, 345:20,	158:6, 159:4,	268:25, 348:25
	236:10, 280:21,	346:6, 347:5, 347:18	159:12, 159:20,	discussed [10] - 43:9,
development [2] -	315:17	discovery [265] - 3:8,	159:22, 160:23,	114:12, 179:18,
229:24, 323:21	director [1] - 300:20	5:9, 31:23, 32:11,	160:24, 161:8,	197:2, 263:21,
developments [1] -	directs [1] - 266:1	32:16, 32:23, 33:14,	161:10, 161:15,	317:20, 348:10,
156:7	disadvantage [1] -	33:22, 34:13, 34:16,	161:17, 161:22,	354:5, 358:6, 370:13
deviations [1] - 227:1	161:11	34:25, 35:2, 35:10,	161:24, 162:1,	discusses [3] - 24:12,
devined [1] - 187:2	101.11	325, 332, 3310,	162:7, 162:9,	

		1	1	1
51:13, 155:23	241:10, 242:12,	45:19, 52:21, 52:23,	159:16, 163:11,	287:25, 288:8, 15
discussing [6] - 45:9,	355:2	52:25, 84:7, 84:11,	163:12, 227:20,	288:17, 288:19,
156:3, 199:12,	disk [1] - 222:16	84:18, 138:25,	227:25, 228:1,	288:20, 290:23,
219:8, 311:17,	dismiss [6] - 102:18,	140:13, 144:21,	237:8, 283:15	291:8, 300:3,
348:18	115:25, 116:6,	145:25, 169:3,	documents [149] -	306:25, 307:12,
discussion [85] - 4:7,	136:5, 136:6, 216:22	172:22, 176:23,	45:25, 59:7, 60:11,	319:1, 354:12,
4:12, 27:18, 29:14,	dismissal [1] - 91:24	186:5, 213:6, 258:6,	88:7, 103:19,	354:21, 355:8,
30:2, 30:22, 52:8,	dismissed [2] - 94:5,	286:12, 354:15,	104:12, 124:16,	355:13, 355:15,
53:18, 54:24, 56:3,	112:13	369:2, 369:11, 374:1	145:5, 154:23,	355:25, 356:7,
83:3, 96:25, 140:6,	dismissing [1] -	DOCKET [1] - 1:5	154:25, 156:6,	358:3, 358:4, 358:8,
143:1, 143:11,	257:24	docket [2] - 140:6,	158:8, 158:17,	359:14, 359:17,
144:11, 150:12,	disparaged [2] -	141:1	159:21, 159:23,	360:2, 360:6,
151:23, 153:6,	162:21, 162:23	dockets [3] - 27:25,	160:2, 160:10,	360:12, 362:23,
153:18, 174:22,	displeased [1] - 251:9	348:24, 349:1	162:13, 162:14,	365:1, 365:6,
174:24, 176:6,	disproportionate [1] -	docs [4] - 164:15,	163:17, 163:18,	366:15, 372:6,
177:7, 183:17,	111:4	182:17, 184:19,	163:20, 164:3,	372:9, 372:11
186:10, 187:15,	dispute [8] - 35:14,	184:20	164:4, 164:6,	Documents [4] -
190:7, 190:22,	45:12, 46:2, 46:3,	doctrine [4] - 60:10,	164:10, 164:11,	186:5, 188:14,
191:11, 254:16,	48:24, 131:22,	350:21, 363:13,	165:10, 166:3,	227:10, 330:10
255:16, 269:6,	132:1, 298:1	373:17	167:24, 168:6,	dollar[1] - 331:8
270:8, 270:14,	disputes [11] - 32:11,	document [54] -	169:11, 171:6,	dollars [4] - 105:6,
271:15, 274:8,	32:25, 33:22, 35:10,	32:21, 58:25, 59:1,	171:7, 171:9,	204:20, 332:1,
275:15, 276:3,	44:5, 44:7, 47:13,	87:23, 154:22,	171:13, 171:20,	332:17
276:4, 276:15,	48:22, 52:13, 52:18,	156:5, 158:7,	171:25, 172:2,	domain [3] - 17:7,
279:7, 279:16,	310:24	161:21, 163:24,	172:3, 177:2,	138:1, 273:3
281:12, 281:23,	disregard [2] - 111:19,	167:16, 167:20,	182:16, 182:22,	dominated [1] -
281:25, 282:5,	366:12	170:20, 182:11,	182:23, 183:6,	117:21
282:14, 282:25,	disrespect [2] - 248:8,	182:12, 182:20,	183:8, 184:23,	done [40] - 13:22,
283:10, 284:3,	289:5	182:21, 183:1,	184:25, 186:6,	13:23, 17:12, 25:4,
284:16, 286:14,	disrupted [1] - 207:14	183:5, 188:3,	187:25, 188:15,	39:9, 40:3, 54:6,
286:24, 290:22,	disruption [1] -	188:12, 217:5,	190:17, 190:20,	74:7, 74:15, 94:10,
303:11, 303:20,	207:21	217:11, 224:13,	198:8, 200:23,	105:3, 105:21,
308:22, 309:9,	dissecting [1] - 176:5	227:6, 229:20,	205:1, 213:24,	124:9, 126:10,
313:4, 314:15,	dissertation [1] -	229:23, 234:15,	214:17, 216:12,	127:9, 128:12,
314:21, 333:12,	240:15	243:23, 249:15,	216:13, 217:2,	130:14, 158:2,
333:20, 337:22,	distinct [1] - 185:18	250:23, 250:24,	217:8, 217:25,	158:15, 178:18,
337:23, 341:21,	distinction [1] - 148:3	270:19, 276:14,	218:11, 218:22,	213:20, 216:17,
341:22, 341:24,	distinctly [1] - 152:6	281:7, 281:14,	219:5, 219:6,	235:13, 238:1,
342:17, 342:23,	distribute [1] - 266:1	282:11, 301:12,	219:20, 221:25,	239:6, 260:14,
343:17, 348:3,	distributed [1] - 266:4	302:1, 305:12,	222:7, 223:10,	272:5, 277:8,
348:14, 350:10,	distribution [20] -	307:7, 336:25,	224:18, 225:3,	277:18, 285:7,
350:23, 350:24,	290:5, 290:16,	339:10, 341:10,	225:13, 225:22,	285:11, 286:12,
353:24, 358:18,	290:18, 290:20,	357:9, 370:21,	226:3, 226:13,	288:17, 301:2,
358:23, 361:2,	292:22, 292:23,	370:24, 371:3,	226:20, 226:25,	330:13, 358:20,
361:21, 364:9,	292:24, 296:25,	371:5, 371:13,	228:23, 231:15,	359:4, 365:5, 368:2,
365:17, 370:9	297:3, 297:16,	372:16, 372:18,	231:18, 231:25,	368:20
Discussion [4] -	297:20, 303:15,	373:12, 373:25	232:15, 234:17,	door [1] - 136:24
39:11, 72:22, 363:3,	305:2, 305:8,	Document [18] -	236:6, 236:9, 237:2,	doors [1] - 359:18
375:5	305:25, 306:10,	168:3, 169:8,	237:17, 237:20,	DORR [40] - 66:20,
discussions [14] -	306:19, 306:20,	169:14, 171:20,	239:20, 240:23,	66:23, 75:13, 80:20,
91:25, 132:5,	329:10	172:5, 174:14,	240:24, 241:5,	80:25, 81:4, 81:13,
147:23, 162:8,	divest [1] - 158:4	217:22, 218:3,	241:11, 244:20,	82:4, 82:6, 82:8,
225:9, 243:18,	divorce [1] - 53:24	221:2, 238:6,	250:14, 250:16,	84:3, 84:13, 84:16,
299:7, 299:9,	DO [1] - 376:8	241:11, 249:1,	260:9, 261:3, 262:1,	84:21, 85:5, 86:6,
300:16, 300:17,	doc [1] - 185:9	249:2, 249:15,	263:18, 267:9,	86:10, 86:23, 87:16,
307:9, 312:11,	Docket [38] - 3:17,	249:24, 250:1,	269:1, 274:1, 274:3,	87:21, 87:25, 88:3,
324:16, 340:4	3:20, 3:23, 4:2, 4:4,	250:3, 251:24	285:19, 285:25,	88:17, 133:3, 289:8,
disfavored [1] -	5:3, 5:23, 22:5,	documentation [12] -	286:5, 286:7,	289:17, 292:5,
164:18	27:22, 27:23, 28:3,	68:4, 155:13,	287:13, 287:15,	292:11, 300:8,
disingenuous [3] -	29:9, 43:19, 43:24,	156:14, 159:14,	287:17, 287:18,	302:17, 302:25,
	, , ,		287:20, 287:23,	
i e	Í.	i .	•	,

	Г		T	1
303:18, 304:7,	219:4, 221:24,	duties [2] - 43:4,	East [1] - 2:3	236:17, 236:18, 16
305:1, 305:11,	223:21, 227:13,	348:20	eastern [1] - 176:5	249:4
306:5, 366:3, 366:7,	230:4, 232:20,	duty [1] - 212:19	easy [1] - 261:20	egg [1] - 206:13
367:15, 367:18	234:5, 241:11,		echo [7] - 11:12,	egregious [1] - 135:25
Dorr [38] - 60:1, 66:4,	241:25, 253:25,	Е	16:14, 23:21, 45:8,	eight [1] - 130:17
66:20, 75:12, 75:13,	254:12, 259:24,	<u> </u>	102:4, 342:9	EIS [5] - 177:8, 206:6,
75:14, 80:14, 81:11,	349:13		echoing [1] - 102:4	236:24, 236:25,
83:24, 84:4, 84:19,	DRA's [15] - 64:18,	e-mail [21] - 65:21,	ecological [1] - 266:4	372:6
87:14, 87:19,	129:3, 200:12,	132:5, 132:11,	ecology [1] - 175:13	either [20] - 28:9,
128:15, 128:19,	202:5, 212:7, 222:4,	133:5, 206:25,	economic [3] -	30:14, 49:4, 55:7,
133:3, 143:25,	227:25, 230:3,	219:7, 225:11,	224:25, 316:16,	78:16, 107:16,
144:14, 146:11,	231:22, 237:8,	225:13, 265:18,	317:10	115:8, 141:11,
146:19, 149:10,	242:6, 255:5, 269:2,	266:7, 296:8,	economical [1] -	152:9, 153:15,
150:8, 289:5,	277:20, 351:7	296:10, 320:16,	29:24	170:18, 213:15,
289:18, 292:16,	drafted [1] - 46:19	320:20, 325:17,	economics [1] - 317:9	289:12, 298:5,
295:5, 296:7,	drafts [3] - 104:16,	326:2, 337:5,	economy [10] - 7:13,	303:6, 326:16,
297:21, 299:20,	232:14, 237:5	339:22, 340:5	8:9, 10:15, 11:16,	329:18, 357:14,
299:22, 300:7,	dramatic [1] - 9:11	e-mailed [2] - 296:5,	15:12, 16:17, 24:13,	375:2
300:8, 303:10,	drastic [2] - 110:22,	340:21	27:3, 31:25, 34:5	elaborate [2] - 143:3,
304:5, 307:20,	111:6	e-mailing [1] - 296:16	educate [1] - 298:7	254:18
328:3, 366:7, 367:8	draw [1] - 41:19	e-mails [8] - 49:18,	educated [1] - 73:14	elect [1] - 55:16
Dorr's [5] - 150:3,	drew [1] - 148:3	49:24, 158:18,	education [1] - 73:11	elected [5] - 11:19,
289:3, 289:4, 296:3,	drill [1] - 335:12	172:6, 237:3,	EDWARDS [6] - 21:6,	52:25, 53:14, 55:17,
303:22	drilling [1] - 223:20	345:18, 346:19,	42:22, 193:14,	55:24
DOS [1] - 330:19	drinking [3] - 266:3,	347:16	346:13, 346:17,	electricity [3] -
double [1] - 325:1	301:23, 322:4	eagle [1] - 278:20	370:17	292:21, 292:23,
down [37] - 3:16, 12:3,	drought [2] - 160:1,	early [3] - 55:12, 60:8,	Edwards [22] - 1:16,	292:24
16:6, 17:25, 34:4,	224:5	162:8	21:5, 21:6, 42:21,	electronically [1] -
36:18, 38:7, 47:19,	drug [2] - 73:22, 368:3	earnestly [2] - 161:8,	42:22, 46:14, 46:25,	121:3
48:21, 48:25, 66:15,	DSAW [1] - 325:4	166:10	76:4, 85:17, 130:4,	elements [1] - 243:3
75:12, 104:15,	due [26] - 13:7, 47:19,	ease [3] - 188:6,	131:1, 131:18,	elevate [1] - 90:8
113:7, 113:23,	62:13, 63:7, 104:8,	189:10, 273:11	131:20, 132:7,	elevation [15] -
160:14, 162:10,	105:13, 106:15,	easement [29] -	131.20, 132.7,	317:24, 318:4,
182:25, 200:13,	109:25, 112:2,	250:14, 250:22,	193:12, 133:3,	319:22, 320:14,
200:15, 206:19,	113:7, 126:16,	250:25, 251:16,	346:11, 346:17,	327:21, 327:22,
217:19, 236:2,	127:3, 151:4, 170:6,	290:21, 290:24,	369:8, 370:16	327:24, 335:22,
271:14, 294:8,	197:6, 219:19,	291:11, 291:12,	Edwards' [1] - 54:4	335:23, 336:2,
294:13, 294:23,	230:3, 233:9, 243:2,	291:13, 291:14,	effect [11] - 78:14,	336:5, 337:4, 337:9,
295:10, 297:15,	311:8, 311:24,	291:17, 292:3,	85:21, 136:18,	338:24, 341:19
326:23, 335:12,	328:11, 349:25,	295:25, 296:2,	160:15, 216:5,	elicit [1] - 268:12
340:24, 342:13,	351:24, 365:3	298:13, 298:14,	216:6, 216:12,	elicited [1] - 269:13
344:25, 352:6,	duly [1] - 376:8	298:17, 298:18,	232:6, 268:8,	Elk [1] - 241:23
359:23, 362:17	duly-appointed [1] -	298:19, 298:21,	296:11, 301:16	Ellison [8] - 101:22,
downgraded [1] - 75:1	376:8	298:22, 298:24,	effective [1] - 40:17	102:3, 114:12,
download [2] -	dump [2] - 55:17,	299:2, 300:2, 302:2,	efficiency [1] - 34:5	134:1, 275:19,
265:23, 320:17	288:13	302:14, 302:19,	• • • •	279:10, 288:24,
downloaded [3] -	duplication [1] -	305:3	efficient [9] - 29:24,	344:7
164:4, 371:14, 372:9	359:16	easements [17] -	32:2, 32:5, 32:10,	ELLISON [14] - 102:2,
downside [1] - 341:14	duration [1] - 331:11	289:21, 290:14,	33:25, 40:17, 47:12,	129:13, 134:10,
downstream [1] -		291:5, 291:6,	243:21, 247:9	
340:19	during [23] - 17:7,	291:10, 291:20,	effort [15] - 6:25, 7:7,	134:15, 273:22, 275:10, 275:17,
Dr [3] - 211:4, 214:5,	88:8, 128:17,	292:1, 292:6, 298:8,	8:10, 10:16, 24:24,	' '
299:16	131:18, 145:5,	298:9, 298:20,	39:8, 39:23, 40:19,	275:19, 277:19, 278:22, 344:7,
DRA [26] - 64:5,	147:17, 169:2,	299:4, 299:24,	65:13, 91:23,	344:22, 347:11,
104:10, 105:5,	176:6, 193:24,	303:13, 303:14,	103:15, 159:19,	
105:22, 106:17,	199:7, 200:8,	305:4, 305:7	200:12, 244:22,	349:25
112:11, 125:22,	221:10, 232:22,	easier [2] - 141:12,	366:12	Ellison's [1] - 123:18
128:21, 195:5,	250:21, 260:23,	337:2	efforts [12] - 108:8,	elsewhere [1] - 358:20
198:5, 204:17,	277:6, 325:2, 325:5,	easily [2] - 239:11,	161:20, 162:23,	Emergency [22] -
207:11, 207:23,	330:17, 331:9,	343:13	180:2, 229:1, 232:1,	168:18, 168:20,
201.11, 201.20,	331:10, 331:12	east [1] - 176:3	233:3, 234:18,	168:22, 169:5,

	T	1	1	Г
175:6, 175:14,	217:13	219:12, 221:24,	essence [3] - 49:20,	148:17 , 165:18 , 1 7
176:7, 177:2, 177:5,	enforces [1] - 257:16	222:5, 222:16,	50:5, 293:13	166:5, 166:6,
179:7, 180:3,	engage [7] - 91:25,	222:18, 222:25,	essentially [11] - 49:9,	166:16, 170:5,
180:15, 181:8,	92:4, 204:12,	223:4, 225:20,	50:16, 70:16, 71:25,	174:6, 185:21,
181:20, 183:11,	204:18, 230:9,	226:21, 227:25,	107:11, 167:6,	202:8, 226:17,
183:13, 323:13,	238:15, 312:23	231:1, 231:2,	169:9, 205:7,	237:11, 304:16,
353:23, 358:3,	engaged [11] - 46:5,	231:19, 232:17,	224:17, 339:17,	309:2, 309:5,
358:5, 358:7	94:7, 95:1, 96:21,	237:8, 237:9,	346:8	328:19, 329:14,
emergency [5] -	223:1, 223:22,	237:11, 237:24,	established [7] -	352:12, 354:19,
175:22, 175:24,	239:19, 264:12,	238:20, 239:23,	57:12, 125:20,	356:25, 366:13,
179:17, 183:19,	310:11, 311:1,	260:17, 264:13,	152:1, 160:15,	369:18, 369:19,
185:7	311:21	264:17, 264:18,	193:9, 233:24,	369:20, 369:22,
eminent [1] - 17:6	engagement [1] -	266:13, 278:14,	316:24	369:24, 370:2,
emotional [2] -	96:22	347:14, 376:10	estimate [1] - 12:25	370:8, 370:10,
139:23, 366:10	engaging [3] - 32:25,	entity [1] - 22:25	estimating [1] - 12:4	370:24, 371:15,
emphasize [1] - 16:7	97:5, 204:22	enumerable [1] -	et [13] - 96:11, 103:12,	373:6, 373:9,
employed [1] - 111:7	engineering [2] -	250:21	123:7, 123:10,	373:12, 373:15,
employee [1] - 347:4	155:19, 155:20	enunciate [1] - 185:16	228:7, 228:8,	373:22, 374:19,
employees [1] - 172:8	Engineers [1] - 25:11	environment [1] -	242:25, 246:5,	374:20
empower [1] - 48:25	engineers [1] - 347:20	157:13	254:14, 266:14,	evidences [1] - 371:10
empowered [1] -	enhanced [1] - 64:22	Environment [1] -	268:10	evident [3] - 43:19,
48:20	enjoyed [2] - 52:12,	63:11	evaluate [3] - 316:12,	97:10, 125:11
enable [1] - 162:15	264:8	Environmental [11] -	316:16, 317:10	evidentiary [11] - 23:5,
enabling [1] - 182:24	enjoying [1] - 197:23	4:24, 16:10, 36:8,	evaluation [1] -	30:16, 61:16, 67:24,
enactment [1] - 321:2	ensuring [1] - 277:17	63:21, 63:22,	317:16	67:25, 68:2, 72:9,
Enbridge [2] - 340:1,	enter [16] - 61:10,	163:16, 163:25,	evasive [1] - 173:2	100:24, 140:22,
340:14	65:7, 66:7, 195:4,	169:1, 204:2,	evening [3] - 296:15,	355:9, 355:25
encompassing [1] -	195:14, 264:14,	217:17, 372:15	340:4	ex [1] - 53:11
287:16	369:18, 369:20,	environmental [5] -	event [10] - 26:14,	exact [3] - 311:25, 337:8, 338:25
encountered [1] -	369:22, 369:24,	31:10, 159:25,	97:23, 212:18,	exactly [22] - 8:6,
166:11	370:1, 370:8,	199:20, 200:2, 356:15	215:24, 230:9,	28:3, 32:7, 42:4,
encourage [3] -	371:11, 373:9,		232:5, 238:18,	44:14, 63:7, 64:3,
100:19, 147:23,	374:19, 374:20	environmentally [1] - 322:3	238:23, 316:17,	126:2, 147:8, 150:9,
288:23	entered [8] - 89:24,	EPA [1] - 157:12	317:12	184:3, 196:12,
end [22] - 7:8, 11:7,	93:10, 199:14,	EPA's [2] - 159:24,	everyday [12] - 69:19, 69:25, 70:2, 75:17,	197:14, 204:19,
18:14, 20:7, 38:22,	251:19, 292:2,	159:25	77:4, 77:12, 77:20,	220:3, 237:13,
44:1, 44:10, 47:17,	292:3, 322:12, 370:22	epoxy [5] - 220:11,	77:23, 78:22, 79:3,	238:14, 245:14,
61:6, 64:18, 66:7, 66:11, 126:5,	entering [2] - 369:18,	220:18, 221:12,	80:6, 88:10	310:17, 310:20,
128:11, 137:9,	371:15	221:20, 223:8	everywhere [1] -	312:10, 338:8
153:2, 153:3,	entire [19] - 7:13, 7:24,	equally [1] - 106:19	209:4	examination [12] -
174:25, 202:6,	14:13, 24:12, 198:6,	equipment [2] -	Evidence [2] - 110:4,	22:18, 63:7, 70:23,
265:6, 302:11,	231:24, 289:21,	175:23, 232:24	110:17	71:1, 71:6, 71:10,
341:18	289:24, 290:8,	equitable [3] - 117:10,	evidence [76] - 13:13,	71:14, 71:24, 72:8,
Endangered [1] -	302:5, 302:10,	119:10, 348:13	22:15, 23:4, 30:17,	100:24, 192:4,
156:4	304:20, 304:21,	equitably [1] - 111:20	30:19, 34:18, 37:16,	196:16
endangered [4] -	305:12, 305:13,	equities [1] - 111:6	45:2, 60:19, 61:13,	examine [10] - 62:5,
100:18, 156:8,	305:17, 331:11,	equity [1] - 105:24	62:2, 77:14, 77:22,	62:6, 65:17, 103:10,
158:8, 236:20	364:21, 373:12	ERP [6] - 179:9,	83:18, 88:6, 90:21,	136:13, 136:18,
ended [2] - 293:18,	entirely [4] - 167:10,	180:18, 182:1,	93:8, 99:5, 99:6,	136:21, 139:3, 241:3
336:7	279:9, 337:7, 337:8	201:15, 312:16,	103:11, 109:7,	examined [1] - 354:24
ends [1] - 258:17	entirety [1] - 146:4	358:10	110:18, 111:16,	examiner [1] - 124:17
Energy [11] - 9:1,	entitle [1] - 225:17	error [1] - 252:2	111:17, 115:4,	examining [2] -
9:16, 10:2, 10:18,	entitled [41] - 2:2,	escape [1] - 224:15	119:5, 119:8,	135:14, 148:13
22:13, 22:20, 26:2,	45:15, 103:9,	escapes [1] - 115:3	119:22, 119:23,	example [24] - 51:19,
26:4, 26:9, 26:19,	105:13, 126:24,	especially [8] - 118:9,	137:15, 139:3,	52:21, 98:18, 98:20,
64:1	126:25, 129:4,	119:2, 129:25,	139:17, 141:16,	155:17, 158:9,
energy [9] - 6:25, 7:7,	199:6, 202:10,	130:3, 131:18,	141:24, 142:8,	164:15, 181:12,
7:23, 8:10, 10:16,	205:13, 211:22,	165:19, 175:25,	143:23, 145:3,	210:21, 241:10,
24:23, 25:15, 65:1,	218:16, 219:5,	345:16	148:11, 148:12,	243:6, 252:12,

	T	T	T	T
272:24, 277:13,	320:16	137:21, 216:8,	F	failed [25] - 59:9, 18
277:14, 287:24,	Exhibits [1] - 202:14	237:25, 268:7,	F	59:10, 61:11, 62:9,
296:23, 314:21,	exhibits [17] - 8:16,	304:7, 323:22,		64:11, 65:5, 65:15,
324:21, 335:13,	26:16, 81:17, 88:5,	328:12, 328:15	fabulous [1] - 225:1	66:5, 70:7, 90:12,
340:1, 341:8, 341:9,	92:7, 95:6, 95:23,	explained [3] -	face [4] - 99:1, 124:17,	90:18, 91:6, 92:18,
344:16	96:3, 96:16, 97:14,	227:24, 244:9, 350:8	136:15, 193:13	95:11, 115:20,
examples [1] - 324:17	145:2, 147:17,	explaining [3] - 350:7,	faced [2] - 34:20,	123:23, 124:1,
excellent [3] - 19:20,	163:20, 202:13,	354:2	128:1	124:2, 124:5,
20:1, 20:8	202:14, 364:12,	explains [1] - 227:20	facilitate [4] - 54:6,	141:23, 148:16,
except [6] - 43:23,	365:2	explains [1] - 227.20	54:19, 72:4, 349:19	164:11, 221:12,
69:23, 70:16, 89:2,	exist [22] - 97:9,	126:8	facilitator [1] - 349:4	350:7
274:24, 327:25	118:23, 150:23,		facilities [1] - 300:11	failing [1] - 152:20
exception [4] -	177:6, 177:22,	explanatory [2] - 196:2, 372:11	Facility [1] - 157:9	fails [1] - 342:7
126:24, 127:1,	182:23, 183:14,	•	facility [2] - 317:23,	failure [5] - 110:23,
153:17, 355:19	184:23, 185:8,	explosion [1] - 268:10	321:3	115:7, 205:19,
exceptions [2] - 90:3,	185:10, 186:6,	exponent [1] - 323:11	facing [1] - 231:23	221:19, 223:8
90:4	187:25, 211:20,	Exponent [1] - 323:24	fact [59] - 6:5, 13:10,	
	211:21, 222:19,	exposed [1] - 251:13		failures [1] - 201:22
excerpt [1] - 161:24	246:10, 252:8,	exposure [1] - 138:9	21:21, 24:20, 35:4, 43:6, 64:15, 68:17,	fair [13] - 47:16, 60:13,
excerpted [1] - 227:10		express [1] - 67:22		67:21, 70:1, 70:3,
exchange [5] - 60:14,	269:1, 307:3, 307:7, 358:8	expressed [4] - 18:23,	70:9, 70:12, 70:19,	112:10, 149:3,
128:16, 171:7,	existed [1] - 188:3	117:11, 241:25,	72:24, 73:17, 74:10,	149:22, 214:6,
296:7, 325:11		254:3	74:12, 79:10, 79:15,	270:13, 346:22,
exclude [17] - 35:1,	existence [1] - 24:16	expression [2] -	80:1, 90:2, 92:21,	348:12
85:1, 86:16, 92:5,	existing [2] - 176:4,	253:25, 287:16	95:24, 100:20,	fairly [4] - 5:21,
97:2, 100:23,	204:8	expressly [1] - 99:5	106:16, 112:1,	199:16, 237:14,
116:18, 118:9,	exists [6] - 176:13,	extend [1] - 83:5	118:18, 123:6,	259:23
119:1, 119:8,	188:4, 225:17,	extended [3] - 186:1,	134:18, 139:1, 144:23, 147:7,	faith [10] - 39:8, 39:23,
124:11, 124:12,	235:14, 345:25,	294:5, 294:9		91:5, 91:25, 96:21,
169:4, 174:5, 280:7,	349:22	extensive [5] - 135:12,	149:5, 167:7, 169:21, 171:14,	111:14, 111:18,
355:24, 360:13	expand [3] - 124:14,	177:7, 299:7, 299:9,	180:22, 202:13,	112:3, 200:12,
excluded [10] - 62:2,	255:24, 357:25	299:17	202:18, 203:23,	353:16
68:6, 68:18, 71:5,	expanded [1] - 344:16	extent [27] - 23:1,	205:24, 209:9,	fall [1] - 65:14
94:9, 120:7, 165:16,	expect [1] - 370:12	31:20, 54:2, 54:3,	219:14, 238:25,	Falls [2] - 138:13,
166:16, 321:22,	expedient [3] -	69:10, 135:10,	244:12, 244:17,	233:18
355:8	125:19, 160:16,	177:1, 186:6,	291:1, 296:14,	false [1] - 129:14
excluding [3] - 95:22,	160:21	187:24, 187:25,	299:6, 302:6, 303:2,	familiar [5] - 174:15,
185:21, 321:14	expedite [1] - 72:4	188:3, 195:3, 198:1,	304:4, 318:22,	212:14, 292:21,
exclusion [4] - 72:8,	expedition [5] - 57:25,	219:23, 232:14,	326:3, 345:15,	304:18, 357:10
96:16, 110:22, 166:5	241:8, 242:22,	248:20, 249:12,	353:12, 362:7,	family [5] - 212:7,
exclusionary [1] -	259:11, 316:1	269:1, 271:6,	363:10, 364:17,	223:25, 295:12,
86:17	expeditions [2] -	272:17, 311:11,	368:5, 372:18	295:20, 295:21
exclusive [2] - 215:1,	240:18, 240:19	311:15, 329:3,	Fact [6] - 60:24,	famous [1] - 264:6
328:21	expeditious [1] - 44:6	333:6, 348:20,	354:13, 354:23,	fancy [1] - 112:8
excuse [13] - 32:3,	expense [2] - 7:1, 7:23	356:6, 360:5	360:4, 364:5, 364:14	far [10] - 19:21, 43:4,
48:13, 116:10,	expenses [1] - 96:1	external [1] - 170:6	fact-finding [1] -	55:13, 139:24,
179:2, 245:3,	experience [5] -	extra [5] - 247:16,	171:14	146:3, 188:7, 191:1,
249:22, 254:10,	32:13, 50:3, 158:2,	285:11, 285:15,	factor [2] - 123:7,	272:19, 285:2
255:3, 275:10,	159:1, 230:15	324:19	222:3	farmer/rancher [1] -
331:1, 359:14,	expert [9] - 7:3, 41:3,	extraordinary [6] -	factors [3] - 25:22,	230:14
359:21	93:12, 94:15,	90:19, 90:20, 95:22,	203:17, 214:17	farmers [6] - 26:12,
excuses [1] - 30:11	113:19, 134:18,	98:5, 113:10, 285:6	facts [8] - 17:13,	104:13, 212:7,
exempt [1] - 211:15	211:2, 213:16, 214:5	extreme [5] - 96:20,	69:13, 70:7, 74:7,	223:25, 224:21,
exempted [1] - 74:2	expertise [1] - 42:3	102:16, 105:19,	74:9, 111:10,	230:4
exhibit [10] - 8:24,	experts [9] - 71:18,	111:12, 111:22	121:23, 364:7	farming [1] - 368:6
93:13, 93:16, 94:16,	97:16, 316:11,	extremely [6] - 5:25,	factual [2] - 125:15,	farmland [2] - 216:1,
94:23, 97:19, 98:21,	316:20, 317:8,	28:10, 167:14,	366:13	233:19
202:15, 227:4, 365:2	317:9, 322:24,	168:10, 209:23,	Fahrenheit [1] -	fashion [3] - 68:7,
Exhibit [6] - 122:12,	344:15, 344:17	220:23	301:15	255:19, 268:16
154:22, 156:17,	explain [11] - 73:6,	eyes [3] - 225:15,	fail [1] - 75:24	fast [3] - 13:6, 144:12,
218:7, 225:24,	123:15, 126:1,	261:12, 262:8		271:2

fatigue [1] - 324:23	326:16, 375:3	338:4, 338:14,	193:9, 194:3, 194:9,	251:21, 316:17 , 19
fault [2] - 111:15,	felt [8] - 152:10,	338:17, 341:3,	195:2, 197:6,	317:12, 329:1
260:12	152:13, 204:14,	342:5, 342:18,	211:23, 213:15,	financing [1] - 113:8
favor [22] - 4:13, 8:22,	245:2, 280:14,	343:23, 347:25,	219:1, 239:6, 239:9,	finder [1] - 13:10
30:23, 56:4, 150:14,	280:18, 280:19,	348:4, 351:5,	249:14, 261:8,	Findings [6] - 60:24,
153:19, 165:19,	281:1	357:16, 357:23,	292:17, 305:13,	354:13, 354:23,
191:12, 218:9,	fence [2] - 291:17,	361:9, 361:11,	372:2	360:4, 364:5, 364:13
279:17, 281:13,	302:20	362:2, 365:23,	filed [61] - 3:2, 4:22,	findings [7] - 72:24,
286:15, 286:25,	FERC [2] - 64:1, 64:2	367:6, 375:13	5:17, 6:3, 8:16,	115:13, 116:5,
309:10, 341:24,	few [10] - 44:13,	Fiegen [55] - 4:1, 4:17,	25:14, 32:8, 32:23,	200:9, 323:8,
342:24, 343:18,	121:18, 128:12,	4:18, 31:2, 31:3,	35:5, 38:18, 39:7,	323:25, 324:1
350:25, 361:3,	133:12, 144:20,	52:5, 55:19, 56:9,	55:10, 63:2, 64:15,	fine [5] - 147:21,
361:22, 365:18,	245:7, 280:14,	56:10, 102:22,	65:25, 80:3, 84:17,	150:5, 167:20,
375:8	311:17, 318:16,	102:25, 132:4,	85:24, 86:1, 86:14,	196:20, 232:9
favorite [1] - 264:5	355:17	150:18, 150:19,	88:7, 92:10, 97:7,	finger [2] - 173:14,
favors [1] - 105:20	fide [1] - 114:16	153:23, 153:24,	102:13, 109:4,	173:23
February [9] - 91:2,	FIEGEN [110] - 1:13,	181:5, 190:8,	121:2, 121:3, 145:5,	finish [2] - 93:19,
91:16, 120:18,	4:2, 4:8, 4:18, 30:3,	191:16, 191:17,	154:18, 154:23,	240:7
159:20, 162:6,	31:3, 37:24, 38:6,	245:4, 273:13,	155:11, 161:23,	Fire [1] - 198:22
163:13, 172:1,	52:6, 52:9, 56:10,	276:17, 279:21,	167:5, 167:23,	fire [1] - 216:10
311:20, 311:22	100:2, 129:24,	279:22, 281:18,	179:14, 181:11,	first [70] - 3:21, 6:15,
federal [40] - 6:18,	130:24, 131:6,	281:19, 284:15,	182:17, 192:20,	8:11, 52:9, 54:16,
15:13, 16:20, 21:19,	131:10, 131:16,	285:3, 286:3,	192:24, 193:7,	
24:17, 25:2, 155:7,	132:18, 133:9,	286:19, 287:4,	194:11, 196:23,	56:17, 56:19, 56:23, 57:2, 57:5, 57:11,
156:21, 157:6,	133:16, 150:19,	287:5, 308:24,	204:17, 211:3,	
157:18, 159:24,	153:24, 181:6,	309:14, 309:15,	227:11, 233:11,	58:21, 62:17, 66:15, 66:17, 90:17, 102:8,
163:6, 168:12,	181:23, 182:5,	333:21, 341:2,	250:15, 260:12,	107:24, 108:1,
172:15, 180:22,	182:8, 183:10,	342:4, 342:10,	265:15, 266:11,	122:7, 124:5,
182:18, 183:3,	187:22, 189:18,	343:22, 343:23,	291:8, 296:12,	127:13, 136:16,
183:4, 183:16,	189:22, 190:9,	347:24, 348:17,	299:24, 305:13,	138:4, 141:15,
185:23, 199:18,	191:17, 203:6,	349:5, 349:9, 351:4,	311:20, 351:24,	141:22, 142:6,
199:21, 205:22,	245:5, 245:9,	351:5, 362:1, 362:2,	369:23, 370:2,	143:21, 148:22,
205:25, 222:9,	245:22, 246:3,	365:22, 365:23,	370:8, 372:1	148:23, 151:25,
222:24, 223:2,	246:12, 246:18,	367:5, 375:12,	files [5] - 206:25,	158:1, 159:20,
229:12, 234:19,	246:22, 247:14,	375:13	218:24, 221:25,	162:7, 163:13,
235:19, 293:25,	248:17, 250:5,	Fiegen's [2] - 53:21,	243:14, 260:1	166:25, 168:4,
297:5, 297:13,	251:14, 251:23,	284:7	filing [19] - 5:10, 40:9,	168:14, 177:22,
316:22, 328:6,	253:10, 253:15,	field [1] - 73:14	65:1, 98:9, 98:21,	185:20, 186:11,
354:3, 372:9,	253:19, 254:6,	fight [1] - 46:11	98:25, 99:16, 102:8,	188:13, 189:17,
372:24, 374:4	257:7, 267:1, 267:3,	fights [2] - 16:6,	109:11, 111:25,	197:12, 201:2,
Federal [24] - 6:19,	267:7, 267:13,	241:22	147:2, 153:4, 178:2,	201:9, 203:7, 235:3,
6:21, 7:10, 7:20,	267:19, 268:19,	figure [3] - 146:15,	178:4, 252:5, 260:5,	241:16, 245:12,
7:22, 8:1, 28:11,	269:7, 269:17,	326:6, 344:12	260:6, 354:14,	252:7, 255:16,
28:18, 28:25, 29:3,	270:7, 270:21,	figured [1] - 35:6	362:15	259:13, 283:17,
29:19, 29:20, 29:25,	270:23, 273:14,	figuring [1] - 310:16	filings [2] - 5:23,	288:11, 296:8,
30:11, 64:1, 163:1,	273:16, 273:24,	file [49] - 21:8, 35:7,	273:17	301:9, 311:24,
163:3, 178:20,	274:7, 274:10,	58:7, 72:1, 78:13,	filled [1] - 367:25	314:20, 314:25,
178:22, 179:1,	274:19, 275:6,	78:17, 78:18, 86:6,	Final [5] - 177:8,	327:11, 330:7,
182:19, 229:5,	275:15, 276:19,	86:13, 88:4, 94:20,	206:6, 217:17,	330:21, 334:9,
265:20, 266:9	279:22, 281:19,	101:8, 102:12,	236:24, 236:25	336:6, 336:7,
Feds [1] - 271:25	281:24, 283:12,	104:10, 109:9,	final [9] - 51:1, 94:12,	338:10, 354:14,
feed [2] - 48:1, 48:3	284:9, 284:12,	110:24, 112:15,	122:12, 168:25,	368:19
feedback [4] - 20:3,	286:4, 286:20,	121:7, 125:23,	180:16, 200:3,	First [1] - 283:24
24:1, 89:13, 177:16	287:5, 303:21,	126:8, 127:9,	261:2, 263:1, 339:5	Fish [5] - 156:4,
fees [1] - 361:12	304:4, 306:13,	134:17, 137:13,	finally [4] - 35:19,	158:10, 231:9,
feet [1] - 341:15	306:18, 306:22,	144:20, 144:21,	201:13, 201:15,	236:18, 236:19
FEIS [4] - 205:21,	308:25, 309:8,	145:1, 149:14,	238:5	fish [3] - 231:6,
236:4, 372:23, 374:7	309:15, 333:22,	151:18, 151:22,	financial [9] - 126:9,	234:21, 236:19
	334:6, 334:13,	152:23, 175:8,	250:23, 250:24,	fishing [9] - 57:25,
fellow [5] - 3:16,	335:5, 337:24,	180:23, 192:21,	251:11, 251:14,	240:17, 240:19,
189:11, 189:24,	, ',	.55.25, 152.21,	20 1, 20 1.17,	270.11, 240.13,
	1	ĺ		1

	241:7, 242:22,	306:24	134:8, 155:2,	Friday [4] - 142:21,	303:2 20
	259:11, 316:1,	followed [4] - 111:13,	183:16, 200:2,	187:8, 339:22,	game [4] - 183:15,
	316:2, 317:14	162:11, 275:22,	225:11, 226:4,	339:24	234:21, 236:19,
	fit [4] - 115:16, 246:16,	277:24	234:7, 270:1, 312:8	friend [1] - 295:19	312:24
	247:18, 280:17	following [5] - 77:20,	forthcoming [2] -	friendly [1] - 150:1	Game [3] - 158:10,
	fits [3] - 116:21,	139:9, 289:10,	161:15, 262:25	frivolous [2] - 60:7,	231:8, 236:19
	219:11, 312:10	321:4, 337:5	forum [4] - 43:7, 43:9,	61:25	games [1] - 312:24
	five [10] - 15:16, 16:2,	food [1] - 20:1	47:8, 107:12	front [21] - 13:8,	gander [1] - 165:14
	58:23, 113:5,	foot [3] - 336:5,	forward [22] - 6:9,	13:10, 53:9, 56:22,	garage [2] - 209:20,
	129:25, 163:23,	340:24, 340:25	6:22, 7:21, 8:7,	57:17, 60:19, 64:13,	254:4
	222:4, 233:25,	FOR [1] - 1:5	19:24, 25:8, 35:10,	74:10, 103:23,	GARY [1] - 1:13
	247:1, 316:6	force [1] - 368:23	50:24, 53:8, 58:5,	103:24, 103:25,	Gary [12] - 60:1,
	flanged [1] - 180:24	forced [1] - 368:8	80:16, 81:22,	104:3, 104:25,	66:20, 66:21, 75:13,
	flat [3] - 204:12,	forcing [1] - 312:23	103:18, 117:2,	106:18, 107:25,	75:14, 84:4, 133:3,
	205:14, 240:1	Fordville [1] - 317:3	119:5, 190:14,	132:2, 132:16,	150:8, 289:18,
	flats [1] - 293:15	forecasting [3] -	194:7, 230:11,	238:10, 256:6,	300:8, 303:10, 366:7
	flawed [1] - 62:17	204:13, 204:23,	260:3, 274:11,	258:8, 364:2	gated [1] - 252:21
	flesh [2] - 178:7,	205:13	279:11, 280:4	frustrating [2] - 68:12,	gather [2] - 218:21,
	180:15	forecasts [2] - 224:19,	fossils [1] - 242:5	177:18	349:16
	fleshed [2] - 176:11,	225:4	foundation [3] -	FSEIS [7] - 169:19,	gathers [1] - 349:3
	180:5	forefront [1] - 17:14	370:24, 371:6, 372:4	179:8, 179:19,	gavel [1] - 246:23
	flood [2] - 213:2,	foreign [1] - 106:1	founded [1] - 329:20	181:20, 236:15,	gaveled [3] - 327:5,
	255:9	foreseen [1] - 324:23	four [38] - 15:16,	358:11, 372:20	367:7, 367:11
	floodplains [3] -	foreshadowing [1] -	15:22, 19:25, 21:20,	fulfill [1] - 173:20	geared [2] - 364:5,
	213:2, 254:14, 255:9	32:18	22:5, 29:10, 29:12,	full [5] - 34:19, 45:1,	364:16
	floor [3] - 246:24,	forever [1] - 19:3	50:24, 57:14, 58:23,	60:13, 193:22, 260:6	Gena [1] - 120:7
	276:18, 341:2	forfeit [1] - 135:11	59:25, 62:8, 65:23, 66:22, 67:4, 68:25,	fully [24] - 10:12, 10:17, 13:9, 28:10,	general [2] - 121:16,
	flow [1] - 117:20 flowing [1] - 117:22	forfeited [6] - 61:17,	72:16, 75:12, 104:6,	45:14, 54:16, 54:17,	166:22
	fly [4] - 89:7, 247:1,	64:12, 65:6, 65:18,	113:5, 129:25,	54:18, 73:2, 93:25,	General [1] - 275:7
	247:5, 297:14	66:6, 128:13 forget [3] - 196:1,	141:18, 141:19,	105:4, 114:8,	generalizations [1] - 165:1
	flying [1] - 78:24	204:19, 339:15	141:20, 142:4,	114:20, 115:4,	generally [1] - 255:1
	focus [5] - 200:17,	forgive [3] - 146:9,	142:23, 143:18,	154:17, 154:19,	generic [1] - 255:19
	200:24, 255:20,	193:14, 331:1	143:19, 178:3,	165:9, 167:1,	generous [1] - 70:1
	282:22, 289:14	forgiven [1] - 193:16	189:8, 240:16,	176:10, 180:24,	genesis [1] - 294:18
	focused [2] - 236:1,	forgot [2] - 275:20,	297:9, 302:6, 302:7,	324:17, 324:18,	gentlemen [2] - 248:6,
	248:20	296:15	308:7, 316:6, 319:12	335:16, 372:11	333:11
	folks [30] - 5:3, 11:2,	fork [1] - 260:9	fourth [2] - 70:13,	function [1] - 20:22	Geological [1] -
	12:3, 12:11, 12:13,	form [11] - 50:16,	82:13	fundamental [5] -	234:20
	12:15, 13:6, 18:23,	115:8, 179:8, 214:8,	frame [2] - 14:2,	44:24, 57:7, 57:19,	geological [3] -
	28:22, 36:7, 44:17,	216:15, 272:12,	134:19 framed [1] - 199:8	296:18, 307:4	210:24, 211:11,
	66:15, 68:19, 69:20,	282:7, 336:3,	frames [1] - 118:23	fundamentally [1] - 62:17	214:15
	87:8, 100:1, 100:18,	336:12, 336:13,	frankly [24] - 6:23,	funded [1] - 308:9	geology [1] - 211:5
	101:2, 107:25,	374:2	7:24, 10:23, 24:23,	funding [6] - 113:19,	geospatial [1] - 321:5
	122:19, 134:8, 137:14, 188:6,	formal [3] - 69:23,	27:4, 33:1, 35:24,	113:20, 297:6,	germane [1] - 357:2
	189:23, 256:3,	140:15, 141:5	43:25, 71:17, 72:18,	297:7, 297:8, 322:15	giant [1] - 280:25
	261:5, 310:19,	format [2] - 339:17,	73:20, 94:19, 128:7,	furthermore [1] - 43:3	gigs [1] - 222:15
	313:23, 317:13,	339:19 Formation [1] -	139:19, 180:21,	fusion [5] - 220:11,	GIS [1] - 339:16
	351:18	241:23	200:13, 200:23,	220:18, 221:12,	given [28] - 5:7, 6:4,
	follow [17] - 73:4,	formations [2] -	202:24, 211:1,	221:20, 223:8	7:14, 9:24, 43:3, 110:23, 177:9,
Į	73:8, 79:1, 82:1,	210:25, 211:11	217:18, 219:9,	future [3] - 122:14,	179:25, 180:1,
	110:24, 125:19,	forms [1] - 336:3	261:21, 329:16,	329:5, 348:7	180:21, 180:22,
	137:20, 149:6,	formulas [1] - 50:8	368:4		190:17, 190:18,
	181:7, 250:5, 250:6,	formulate [3] - 49:20,	free [3] - 52:12,	G	191:25, 202:1,
	257:7, 306:24,	268:15, 287:16	295:13, 298:16		204:8, 224:5,
	346:22, 357:24,	formulated [1] - 95:11	frequently [2] - 63:12, 159:8	G-E-N-A [1] - 120:8	257:10, 283:13,
Į	372:23	formulating [2] -	fricking [2] - 79:7,	gag [1] - 368:21	289:1, 296:4,
	follow-up [5] - 82:1,	91:14, 125:17	80:7	gain [2] - 300:12,	303:12, 333:24,
Į	181:7, 250:5, 250:6,	forth [10] - 91:11,	30.1	,	335:4, 335:6, 338:7,
			Ī	1	Ī

147:19, 147:21,

148:21, 149:1,

149:4, 367:23,

369:4, 369:12

hasty [1] - 22:8

21

348:11, 350:19 glad [1] - 89:21 Glenn [2] - 295:19, 295:22 goal [1] - 289:20 gold [1] - 351:15 Goldtooth [4] - 18:18, 36:24, 67:6, 100:9 GOLDTOOTH [10] -18:19, 36:25, 67:5, 67:9, 67:11, 68:9, 68:11, 100:8, 100:11, 100:16 good-faith [4] - 39:8, 39:23, 91:25, 200:12 Goodman [1] - 317:8 goodness [3] - 238:5, 259:7, 351:14 goose [1] - 165:14 gosh [1] - 29:10 Gough [10] - 18:2, 20:14, 36:20, 112:20, 126:7, 189:12, 191:21, 191:22, 193:18, 196:14 GOUGH [16] - 18:3, 18:10, 18:15, 20:15, 20:18, 36:21, 112:21, 189:12, 191:23, 193:19, 194:11, 196:15, 196:20, 197:4, 197:8, 197:14 Gough's [1] - 194:24 governance [1] -265:19 governed [1] - 156:20 government [4] -234:22, 298:11, 330:18 Government [20] -6:19, 6:21, 7:11, 7:20, 7:22, 8:1, 28:11, 28:18, 29:1, 29:3, 29:19, 29:21, 29:25, 30:11, 178:21, 178:22, 179:1, 229:6, 265:20, 266:9 Government's [1] -322:10 government-togovernment [1] -330:18 Governor's [1] - 108:8 **grab** [1] - 84:3 grant [37] - 3:22, 4:3, 8:2, 14:18, 16:18, 34:7, 47:23, 77:21,

78:5, 78:9, 96:13, 141:25, 142:9, 142:16, 142:24, 151:20, 174:5, 186:6, 186:25, 187:3, 187:10, 188:22, 216:23, 230:9. 230:10. 265:7, 288:4, 295:1, 298:10, 315:15, 333:5, 333:6, 333:9, 335:8, 337:20, 341:22, 358:20 granted [19] - 6:18, 15:1, 21:20, 21:24, 21:25, 22:5, 23:3, 24:15, 25:7, 25:13, 102:7, 103:6, 103:7, 174:12, 192:23, 194:20, 240:1, 263:12, 358:22 granting [8] - 154:20, 190:3, 298:17, 300:18, 334:14, 335:9, 341:25, 350:16 grants [1] - 36:2 graphic [3] - 318:9, 322:24, 336:13 graphical [1] - 340:23 grasp [1] - 63:16 grass [2] - 105:5,

Group [1] - 317:9

grouped [1] - 202:23

groups [4] - 64:11,

101:6, 101:17,

Grudnik [1] - 198:19

68:13, 85:20, 131:4,

195:9, 201:1, 214:9,

274:4, 275:3, 277:4,

guess [32] - 17:9,

135:1, 145:13,

190:16, 194:22,

246:19, 251:8,

251:9, 264:20,

269:14, 272:11,

279:25, 280:21,

283:20, 302:12,

303:21, 338:1,

338:8, 339:24,

341:13, 354:19

guessing [1] - 240:5

guidance [1] - 69:17

guide [3] - 185:16,

188:14, 302:25

GUSTAFSON [4] -

359:7

guiding [1] - 301:25

48:5, 87:2, 293:6,

Gustafson [1] - 1:17

guy [5] - 64:20, 64:21,

34:18, 37:13, 37:21,

40:2, 130:5, 159:8,

230:15, 310:18,

310:19, 311:10,

313:15, 324:9,

368:12. 373:5

338:19, 363:11,

Н

guts [1] - 122:9

264:8, 297:21

guys [17] - 33:17,

176:3, 187:5,

338:17

106.7 great [20] - 7:24, 18:22, 22:4, 54:1, 54:2, 89:20, 94:6, 124:21, 181:12, 224:25, 227:22, 242:1, 263:13, 264:8, 294:14, 338:22, 348:5, 348:20, 367:10, 368:2

greatly [1] - 360:23 grinds [2] - 60:22, 75:24 ground [7] - 76:2, 91:8, 220:22, 229:9, 261:24, 276:9, 302:2

grounded [1] - 94:24 grounds [9] - 91:5, 91:10, 91:11, 96:17, 97:9, 155:5, 314:15, 318:24, 319:1 groundwork [2] -199:5, 200:5

group [10] - 65:20, 67:4, 67:8, 68:20, 68:22, 68:25, 72:16, 203:2, 205:15, 317:8

Haakon [4] - 291:4, 294:20, 295:8, 296:23 habitat [1] - 163:19 half [7] - 37:23, 176:5, 183:13, 242:19, 244:16, 285:9. 339:18 half-hour [1] - 242:19 halfway [2] - 286:12, 362:17 halt [4] - 7:18, 60:22, 75:24, 76:3 hand [7] - 63:3, 160:4, 167:1, 218:1,

175:2, 179:4, 180:10, 190:23, 191:9, 191:14, 206:12, 241:24, 268:22, 269:13, 270:17, 274:6, 275:25, 279:19, 280:1, 281:16, 282:2, 282:16, 283:2, 284:12, 286:17, 287:2, 309:12, 329:24, 331:17, 337:17, 342:2, 343:1, 343:20, 348:15, 349:25, 351:2, 360:24, 361:24, 365:20, 375:10 HANSON [101] - 1:13, 51:7, 53:20, 56:8, 81:7, 81:9, 81:25, 133:25, 134:14, 134:24, 135:9, 135:15, 135:20, 137:1, 137:4, 138:19, 143:12, 143:14, 145:12, 149:20, 150:8, 150:11, 150:17, 153:22, 175:3, 175:17, 176:1, 177:13, 179:9, 179:23, 180:4, 180:13, 181:1, 187:16, 187:19,

	1	1	1	
hat [2] - 118:22,	83:12, 83:19, 83:21,	held [6] - 2:1, 73:17,	371:18, 371:21	29:10, 29:17, 67:23, 22
196:10	83:22, 87:19, 88:7,	95:15, 174:23,	HILDING [33] - 19:1,	68:19, 113:6, 183:9,
hate [3] - 222:12,	92:7, 93:8, 97:11,	277:22, 293:21	37:5, 37:8, 38:4,	186:11, 197:22,
237:12, 279:3	97:12, 101:8, 103:9,	hello [2] - 9:7, 66:20	38:10, 39:1, 39:12,	279:8, 289:6, 313:2,
hazardous [1] -	103:10, 103:19,	help [26] - 10:4, 10:5,	39:17, 39:20,	352:19
316:22	112:4, 116:19,	10:6, 33:2, 33:18,	101:10, 119:16,	hoped [1] - 72:4
HCA [7] - 257:14,	116:25, 120:25,	36:6, 38:8, 49:10,	119:21, 120:1,	hopefully [1] - 20:6
265:14, 265:17,	121:7, 122:21,	77:16, 80:15,	121:11, 150:22,	hoping [1] - 34:6
265:20, 265:22,	123:2, 124:13,	105:10, 112:18,	151:3, 151:10,	horizontal [1] - 223:20
266:2, 320:17	128:14, 129:1,	130:6, 175:4,	153:7, 362:12,	horse [2] - 34:21,
HCAs [2] - 257:16,	129:6, 133:1,	202:24, 207:4,	362:16, 363:2,	34:22
328:1	137:15, 138:12,	209:18, 238:9,	363:4, 364:10,	hosts [1] - 295:21
head [3] - 130:18,	140:14, 140:16,	270:16, 289:6,	365:10, 369:15,	Hostutler [1] - 295:9
146:10, 149:16	140:19, 140:21,	289:14, 289:15,	370:1, 370:5, 371:8,	Hotsuplers [1] -
headed [1] - 309:23	140:22, 141:17,	326:10, 338:21,	372:7, 372:21,	298:23
headquarters [1] -	141:24, 142:8,	360:19, 361:1	374:6, 374:10,	hour [7] - 60:8,
359:18	142:15, 142:18,	helped [2] - 54:6,	374:16	128:17, 242:19,
health [4] - 117:17,	143:23, 143:24,	133:19	Hilding's [1] - 365:16	243:25, 244:7,
157:21, 216:6, 268:8	144:6, 145:3,	helpful [11] - 27:11,	hinder [1] - 111:8	244:16, 367:21
hear [28] - 12:15, 20:3,	145:15, 146:24,	32:15, 69:11, 69:17,	hire [1] - 209:20	hours [5] - 33:24,
23:16, 30:17, 30:19,	146:25, 147:17, 148:17, 151:17,	133:13, 133:14,	hired [4] - 254:4,	130:13, 318:16,
37:6, 39:16, 39:18,	151:21, 152:17,	158:13, 159:16,	316:11, 356:16,	337:4, 368:5
57:24, 67:5, 67:7,	153:19, 154:9,	161:4, 175:20, 175:25	356:20	house [2] - 290:13,
67:11, 74:6, 75:14,	160:3, 160:5, 160:7,		Historic [2] - 315:18,	327:23
84:4, 90:14, 100:9,	161:12, 163:20,	helping [2] - 89:19, 197:12	327:17 Historical [1] - 315:16	HP09-001 [2] - 1:5,
112:5, 122:20, 131:4, 131:8,	164:4, 176:23,	helps [2] - 18:7, 47:18	history [2] - 138:4,	3:20
139:22, 147:4,	177:14, 182:4,	hence [2] - 89:9,	293:9	HP14-001 [6] - 1:4,
174:16, 231:1,	186:1, 186:14,	282:23	hit [1] - 197:25	3:17, 4:3, 52:6, 84:8, 347:25
259:3, 304:1, 308:18	186:20, 186:24,	HEREBY [1] - 376:8	hold [10] - 72:24,	huge [6] - 6:24, 209:7,
heard [36] - 16:13,	189:25, 195:2,	hi [1] - 289:18	84:16, 84:21, 87:9,	215:17, 220:6,
19:12, 23:20, 24:8,	195:6, 197:12,	hide [6] - 184:11,	88:24, 93:9, 104:5,	252:12, 294:14
40:21, 59:11, 72:13,	200:16, 202:9,	242:11, 298:2,	231:20, 265:10,	human [1] - 212:21
79:21, 95:16, 105:4,	206:16, 209:3,	308:11, 313:1, 313:2	279:6	hundred [1] - 180:17
110:17, 111:16,	238:10, 242:10,	hiding [1] - 167:2	holding [2] - 79:24,	hundreds [4] - 267:9,
113:2, 125:11,	242:24, 259:1,	High [1] - 236:1	79:25	290:19, 305:2,
126:7, 143:8, 148:6,	282:23, 298:7,	high [20] - 74:13,	holds [1] - 165:3	305:22
157:23, 209:5,	308:18, 344:3,	74:19, 74:21,	home [2] - 106:11,	hunt [1] - 231:6
224:20, 224:22,	355:9, 356:1, 360:8,	156:16, 156:18,	136:7	hunters [1] - 252:20
262:15, 276:20,	360:14, 363:17,	156:23, 156:25,	Homeland [12] -	hyperlogically [1] -
296:8, 310:18,	368:6, 369:25	234:17, 249:3,	211:16, 212:1,	235:23
312:9, 313:1,	hearings [9] - 7:5, 7:8, 67:24, 67:25,	262:14, 320:10,	213:13, 214:1,	hypothetical [1] -
313:13, 315:25,	100:24, 104:1,	320:13, 321:9,	221:6, 233:7,	329:4
353:24, 354:5,	104:5, 256:16,	321:11, 321:16,	233:13, 233:16,	
356:11, 363:11,	374:18	322:2, 325:14,	254:15, 254:17,	I
369:17, 374:18,	heart [5] - 26:21, 46:7,	325:24, 326:1	255:14, 262:16	
374:22 Hearing [2] - 63:12,	226:22, 263:19,	higher [1] - 109:22	homes [1] - 216:2	125 [1] - 273:18
63:15	284:25	highly [10] - 17:11,	homework [1] -	157 [1] - 273:18
hearing [121] - 8:7,	heaven [1] - 77:16	96:14, 209:12, 210:4, 224:13,	247:16	176 [1] - 273:18
20:13, 22:16, 23:5,	heavily [1] - 286:23	226:20, 229:20,	hominem [1] - 259:9	IBM [1] - 209:18
23:25, 24:5, 30:16,	heavy [5] - 118:11,	230:5, 234:23,	honest [4] - 130:16, 145:18, 145:19,	idea [11] - 31:25, 41:2,
30:23, 33:21, 37:17,	122:20, 123:2,	265:24	145:18, 145:19,	125:7, 167:3, 190:1,
38:3, 38:7, 39:14,	232:24, 232:25	Highway [2] - 295:16,	honestly [6] - 27:4,	223:7, 229:10,
40:16, 42:20, 44:5,	heavy-handed [1] -	295:17	203:22, 204:16,	240:4, 370:6, 373:13
44:12, 45:1, 56:24,	123:2	Hilding [12] - 18:25,	211:19, 239:17,	identical [2] - 59:2,
62:3, 63:1, 63:5,	heck [1] - 239:12	37:4, 119:16, 121:5,	258:15	354:11
65:7, 65:19, 68:2,	hedge [1] - 126:4	150:22, 153:7,	honor[1] - 346:20	identification [1] -
68:24, 70:16, 72:5,	Heidi [1] - 156:24	362:7, 362:10,	hook [1] - 174:5	276:14
72:9, 72:19, 74:7,	heightens [1] - 31:18	364:25, 369:15,	hope [14] - 16:18,	identified [21] - 72:21,
I	1			1

117:24, 150:4, 155:4, 166:2, 168:4, 189:3, 193:23, 195:17, 196:21, 200:8, 217:8, 217:23, 236:4, 236:10, 354:14, 357:4. 357:21. 360:5, 364:7, 365:6 identify [14] - 90:1, 98:18, 122:11, 123:5, 127:6, 131:23, 156:18, 205:1, 213:24, 216:13, 217:2, 217:25, 249:19, 340:24 identifying [1] -255:20 identity [2] - 356:14, 357:4 **IEP** [1] - 312:15 ignore [3] - 90:15, 129:8, 259:8 ignored [6] - 59:22, 64:8. 115:13. 129:10. 136:5. 242:16 ignoring [2] - 135:24, 207:9 II [1] - 288:1 illegally [2] - 39:9, 39:24 illustrative [3] -164:15, 173:1, 265:5 imagine [3] - 108:13, 138:8, 167:22 immediately [2] -296:16, 349:21 IMP [6] - 179:10, 201:12, 214:21, 215:21, 249:14, 257:17 impact [3] - 149:18, 200:2, 218:17 Impact [6] - 163:16, 163:25, 169:1, 204:2, 217:17, 372:15 impacts [5] - 114:1, 158:20, 159:25, 213:22 impasse [1] - 353:17 implement [9] -107:18, 323:10, 324:3, 324:7, 324:10, 324:22, 325:4, 328:12, 328.16 implementation [2] -

323:9, 323:23 implemented [1] -102:15 importance [4] -11:14, 15:11, 16:17, 223:24 important [20] - 26:24, 40:16, 53:10, 105:2, 105:8, 112:5, 144:8, 152:14, 152:15, 152:18, 159:19, 185:3, 212:6, 214:16, 223:21, 256:10, 293:22, 298:5, 301:14, 302:9 **importantly** [1] - 62:23 impose [2] - 278:8, 309:21 imposed [8] - 9:22, 111:7, 156:11, 222:3, 226:23, 236:11, 257:19, 277:7 imposition [1] -110:22 impossibility [1] -115:23 impossible [2] -110:20, 167:15 impression [3] -78:15, 144:2, 334:23 impressions [2] -50:12, 346:1 improper [4] - 102:18, 134:23, 216:15, 324:4 improve [1] - 172:18 impugn [1] - 256:2 **IN** [2] - 1:4, 1:5 inappropriate [3] -92:13, 111:3, 241:14 Inaudible [1] - 72:21 Inaudible) [6] - 18:4, 18:10, 39:10, 39:13, 363:2, 364:8 inch [2] - 74:20, 74:22 incident [7] - 170:3, 238:7, 238:8, 238:11, 239:2, 239:9, 239:13 inclined [2] - 129:19, 264:21 include [16] - 64:2, 93:6, 96:2, 149:21, 168:11, 183:25, 237:3, 237:4, 271:5, 271:7, 271:13, 278:24, 280:18,

282:24, 315:2, 356:8

included [14] - 42:4,

150:23, 152:19, 165:23, 175:11, 175:25, 193:21, 196:16, 270:3, 284:21, 304:13, 306:9, 324:3, 355:10 includes 161 - 150:24. 199:19. 219:5. 231:15, 305:25, 308:25 including [17] - 89:23, 91:24, 105:18, 128:12, 192:5, 198:15, 199:22, 212:20, 233:22, 234:17, 259:15, 298:17, 319:24, 322:5, 323:12, 331:13, 331:14 incomplete [2] -173:2, 289:25 inconvenient [1] -259:20 Incorporated [1] -317:5 incorporating [1] -150:7 incorrect [4] - 96:24, 116:16, 179:5, 235:17 incorrectly [1] - 70:4 incredible [2] - 52:17, 53:15 incredibly [2] -104:24, 202:20 incumbent [5] - 108:1, 108:16, 162:3, 211:22, 272:18 indeed [7] - 45:17, 47:6, 222:13, 223:12, 226:20, 233:12, 256:11 independently [2] -316:14, 317:18 Indian [2] - 171:22, 322:21 Indiana [1] - 63:23 indicate [5] - 77:7, 99:16, 270:15, 319:23, 335:22 indicated [16] - 41:6, 55:19, 78:19, 85:22, 121:8, 169:17, 171:24, 206:9, 207:8, 214:5, 214:21, 214:24, 218:13, 274:6, 289:11, 330:14 indication [1] - 309:23 Indigenous [4] - 4:24,

16:10, 31:10, 36:8 individual [7] - 106:6, 133:11, 192:20, 272:2. 304:19. 307:2. 344:18 individually [2] -186:4, 281:3 individuals [1] - 173:9 indulgence [1] -154:15 industries [1] - 239:7 industry [1] - 205:8 inevitability [1] -202:19 inevitable [1] - 238:19 inexorably [1] - 56:25 inform [4] - 10:4, 10:5, 314:23, 318:25 information [245] -13:10, 26:25, 48:16, 49:15, 49:19, 49:24, 60:14, 91:13, 98:4, 117:20, 117:21, 118:16, 152:15, 153:3, 155:3, 155:6, 155:22, 156:10, 156:14, 156:20, 157:2, 157:3, 157:21, 159:11, 161:1, 161:10, 163:10, 164:20, 165:12, 169:5, 169:19, 169:23, 170:23, 173:11, 173:16, 174:22, 175:21, 176:15, 176:17, 176:19, 176:22, 177:10, 178:5, 183:23, 183:25, 184:2, 184:11, 185:2, 186:18, 186:22, 190:11, 190:13, 190:19, 191:5, 192:22, 193:24, 199:2, 201:10, 201:12, 201:24, 202:12, 202:19, 203:15, 204:9, 205:18, 206:4, 206:17, 206:24, 207:2, 207:23, 208:4, 208:7, 208:11, 208:15, 209:16, 209:22, 210:1, 210:18, 210:22, 211:1. 211:8. 212:22. 212:23, 213:1, 215:12, 215:22,

218:11, 219:17, 219:20, 220:9, 220:25, 221:16, 221:25, 222:14, 223:3, 223:19, 224:7, 224:12, 225:4, 228:2, 229:12. 231:7. 231:25, 233:13, 237:16, 237:17, 237:22, 238:4, 238:12, 238:17, 239:22, 241:1, 241:2, 241:5, 241:12, 242:2, 245:11, 245:16, 246:7. 246:9. 247:15, 248:12, 249:11, 250:11, 251:11, 251:15, 251:16, 251:21, 252:6, 252:14, 252:24, 253:1, 253:2, 254:5, 254:22, 254:23, 255:11, 256:12, 256:15, 256:19, 256:20, 256:23, 256:24, 257:2, 257:13, 257:15, 257:25, 258:2, 259:22, 260:10, 261:6, 261:10, 261:25, 262:11, 262:13, 263:18, 264:15, 264:16, 266:1, 267:4, 267:14, 267:20, 268:13, 271:24, 275:16, 275:23, 276:20, 277:3, 278:19, 280:13, 282:21, 283:9, 283:21, 285:7, 285:21, 296:17, 297:1, 301:6, 304:6, 311:9, 312:6, 313:25, 314:1, 315:12, 315:17, 315:19, 317:15, 317:19, 318:25, 319:5, 320:2, 320:3, 320:8, 320:25, 321:4, 321:7, 321:15, 321:16, 321:17, 321:23, 321:24, 321:25, 322:18, 323:5, 325:11, 325:16, 327:13, 327:15, 327:19, 329:2,

	1	1		
329:6, 329:12,	228:20, 252:22	46:14, 102:5,	204:25, 210:5,	interrupt [8] - 80:15, 24
333:7, 333:23,	instances [4] -	199:13, 219:14,	217:4, 217:9,	276:16, 279:3,
334:24, 335:1,	201:20, 227:16,	221:4, 226:8, 227:1,	219:18, 239:20,	319:14, 323:16,
335:6, 336:1,	258:17, 261:15	232:23, 233:4, 286:7	248:12, 248:19,	339:7, 340:16, 341:9
336:14, 336:15,	instead [11] - 33:20,	interestingly [2] -	249:10, 301:5,	interstate [1] - 295:11
336:24, 337:3,	91:22, 109:11,	106:25, 238:25	308:12, 311:6,	Intertribal [5] - 20:21,
339:4, 339:11,	124:10, 162:21,	interests [1] - 105:25	311:24, 314:12,	36:22, 112:22,
340:23, 341:6,	164:12, 183:15,	interfere [2] - 276:4,	314:25, 328:17,	189:14, 191:25
341:18, 343:7,	236:21, 276:21,	299:3	328:24, 330:8,	intertwined [1] - 57:1
343:8, 343:9,	296:2, 355:13	Interior [1] - 293:24	334:25, 357:8,	intervene [3] - 103:7,
343:10, 348:6,	instructed [2] -	interject [1] - 368:12	358:16, 362:23,	125:6, 125:13
348:11, 349:3,	109:20, 112:13	intermission [1] -	363:9, 363:18,	intervened [1] - 5:24
349:16, 349:21,	instruction [2] -	269:24	363:20, 367:25	Intervenor [22] - 5:6,
349:23, 354:1,	227:5, 261:11	internal [5] - 205:12,	Interrogatory [100] -	39:5, 60:25, 73:17,
354:17, 357:7,	instrument [1] - 50:6	232:11, 237:3,	59:12, 61:7, 61:8,	99:22, 103:8, 103:9,
359:24, 360:20,	insufficient [3] -	254:1, 261:3	115:12, 120:21,	111:17, 124:5,
361:6	169:24, 173:18,	internally [1] - 225:10	121:15, 122:9,	133:12, 136:19,
information's [1] -	329:5	international [1] - 8:3	122:11, 122:16,	182:14, 257:10,
342:19	insurance [4] - 329:1,	internet [9] - 48:1,	123:5, 123:10,	274:22, 283:25,
informed [5] - 11:19,	331:4, 331:6, 332:2	48:3, 87:8, 89:12,	123:14, 127:10,	284:1, 333:25,
13:14, 14:3, 49:11,	intake [4] - 290:13,	89:13, 157:14,	148:23, 156:17,	338:8, 363:6,
313:5	293:14, 308:4, 322:5	157:15, 321:20,	156:19, 162:11,	364:18, 367:9, 370:6
informs [1] - 62:24	integrity [9] - 9:23,	371:14	162:12, 162:21,	Intervenors [78] - 7:2,
inhabitants [1] - 268:9	179:17, 207:7,	interpose [1] - 275:10	162:25, 163:4,	11:4, 16:24, 17:22,
initial [7] - 5:17, 13:21,	218:5, 218:18,	interposing [2] -	167:19, 170:2,	17:24, 24:25, 32:22,
57:14, 113:6, 116:4,	220:12, 220:24,	276:2, 276:12	172:24, 172:25,	34:2, 36:16, 37:12,
182:16, 195:15	320:4, 321:13	interpretation [4] -	173:5, 173:12,	37:16, 39:25, 46:4,
initiated [1] - 15:23	Integrity [6] - 169:10,	124:15, 198:25,	182:17, 186:9,	46:8, 48:14, 52:10,
injustice [1] - 368:2	176:7, 179:10,	326:2	188:23, 189:19,	58:7, 58:12, 59:2,
inkling [1] - 230:23	214:20, 249:12,	interpreted [4] -	204:24, 205:16,	59:10, 59:20, 59:25,
innocent [1] - 144:3	312:14	116:18, 117:1,	207:12, 210:21,	61:19, 62:15, 66:18,
input [1] - 19:22	intend [9] - 59:24,	198:14, 259:15	212:3, 213:4, 213:21, 214:3,	67:15, 67:19, 68:5,
inquiring [1] - 127:4	60:17, 61:2, 65:22,	interrogatories [1] -	215:20, 217:25,	69:24, 72:24, 75:8,
inquiry [4] - 247:18,	66:1, 81:12, 114:20,	315:25	236:7, 236:8,	76:6, 83:18, 103:4,
249:16, 251:8,	121:14, 167:6	Interrogatories [84] -	248:22, 249:7,	106:6, 106:23,
287:19	intended [3] - 52:20,	32:21, 39:7, 39:13,	249:8, 249:11,	108:21, 109:5,
inside [1] - 359:19	79:22, 287:13	45:24, 58:24, 59:1,	249:13, 254:12,	109:7, 109:24,
inspect [2] - 171:5,	intending [2] - 75:3,	59:4, 59:12, 60:2,	255:3, 255:5,	117:11, 117:18, 117:19, 117:23,
171:12	369:20	60:4, 60:21, 60:23,	267:24, 268:6,	117:24, 119:6,
inspectors [1] -	intends [8] - 60:16,	61:4, 61:5, 61:7,	269:3, 270:18,	119:11, 124:1,
356:15	94:22, 97:19, 160:2,	61:21, 65:13, 90:4,	280:23, 281:6,	124:7, 124:21,
instabilities [1] -	354:22, 360:3, 365:6	90:6, 90:7, 90:11,	281:14, 282:10,	125:12, 126:13,
214:15	intention [3] - 253:24,	93:1, 115:15,	283:5, 296:9, 311:6,	128:12, 132:6,
instability [2] - 214:4,	287:22, 331:7 intentional [1] -	115:21, 116:4, 120:12, 120:13,	311:19, 314:22,	132:12, 136:9,
214:11	111:19	120.12, 120.13,	315:1, 315:10,	137:12, 141:16,
installation [2] - 325:2, 325:5	intentions [2] - 79:2,	123:9, 123:12,	317:22, 319:18,	141:23, 142:4,
instance [29] - 25:9,	79:25	123:20, 124:3,	323:16, 325:14,	143:19, 148:16,
45:10, 45:13, 46:1,	interaction [1] -	124:6, 124:8,	327:10, 327:25,	149:7, 165:5,
48:23, 49:17, 49:24,	285:20	124:11, 127:2,	328:8, 329:8, 330:7,	165:15, 165:25,
136:16, 168:14,	interest [10] - 28:5,	127:13, 136:4,	331:5, 333:6, 333:9,	181:9, 215:18,
170:10, 172:7,	103:12, 235:25,	144:20, 149:12,	337:20, 339:2,	259:25, 283:13,
199:24, 200:1,	248:14, 263:4,	165:11, 166:3,	341:23, 341:25,	283:21, 338:6,
205:18, 208:3,	263:8, 269:10,	167:17, 170:1,	342:1, 352:8,	344:19, 362:21,
209:16, 209:18,	269:14, 269:15,	183:2, 188:17,	352:13, 352:15,	366:9, 366:13,
210:6, 215:20,	286:11	188:21, 189:5,	352:17, 352:18,	368:13
217:7, 219:8,	interested [3] -	193:25, 198:6,	352:23, 353:2,	Intervenors' [1] -
220:10, 220:16,	232:20, 238:24,	198:7, 200:11,	353:4, 353:7,	186:17
221:11, 221:17,	360:2	200:21, 201:1,	353:10, 356:13,	intervention [5] -
223:6, 223:13,	interesting [10] -	201:4, 201:7,	356:22, 357:3,	102:8, 103:6,
			364:12	

104:23, 125:1, 125:2 169:16, 170:2, 173:22, 183:24, introduce [4] - 275:18, 206:23, 212:3, 360:3, 365:2, 373:15 212:6, 212:11, introduced [2] -325:2, 325:5 introducing [1] -222:2, 223:15, 166.6 232:19. 235:1. introduction [1] -242:3, 246:8, 185:21 introductory [1] -263:1, 266:8, 309:20 invent [1] - 340:7 investigating [3] -278:18, 288:7, 121:17, 142:5, 143:20 investigation [6] - 9:3, 345:24, 353:7, 9:18, 10:3, 10:19, 26:20, 170:5 invitation [1] - 127:25 issued [19] - 3:19, involve [1] - 345:23 involved [17] - 5:2, 86:11, 109:20, 50:23, 54:12, 54:13, 67:21, 67:23, 73:21, 73:25, 100:1, 131:21, 132:1, 206:8, 206:19, 140:6, 184:17, 256:4, 366:15, 368:25, 372:24 **ISSUED** [1] - 1:5 involves [1] - 154:25 involving [3] - 148:8, 156:7, 175:22 lowa [1] - 63:23 **IR** [1] - 335:15 ironic [1] - 229:7 irregardless [1] -29:25 irrelevant [3] - 115:18, 155:15, 165:2 ISIS [1] - 233:17 99:21, 101:12, issuance [2] - 98:1, 104:1, 105:8, 98:10 105:16, 128:4, issue [92] - 4:6, 8:15, 128:6, 129:7, 10:3, 24:11, 24:12, 146:11, 155:8, 25:24, 26:24, 33:15, 34:5, 34:19, 44:24, 156:9, 156:14, 46:15, 49:10, 50:19, 169:9, 169:20, 52:21, 53:11, 54:17, 57:8, 57:13, 57:17, 197:24, 198:3, 58:9, 58:15, 58:18, 61:23, 62:5, 70:14, 208:10, 210:3, 71:1. 71:16. 77:17. 210:15, 214:4, 80:17, 94:20, 96:15, 98:12, 98:14, 99:17, 102:23, 107:7, 107:16, 107:20, 108:20, 121:8, 231:23, 233:1, 123:22, 135:8, 238:21, 241:1, 138:2, 138:5, 241:15, 244:4, 138:10, 144:23, 248:20, 250:1, 146:13, 152:7, 255:16, 263:8,

219:8, 220:6, 221:4, 230:6, 231:4, 231:5, 259:18, 260:20, 271:10, 271:19, 272:9, 277:2, 278:5, 302:23, 318:20, 319:10, 344:25, 353:12, 359:2, 361:5 issue's [1] - 107:9 15:21, 57:14, 85:22, 114:14, 140:25, 156:10, 157:11, 166:7, 200:4, 206:7, 206:20, 291:13, 315:14, 370:14 issues [89] - 5:9, 5:25, 6:2, 6:5, 8:18, 9:20, 11:14, 24:2, 25:17, 32:16, 33:3, 34:25, 35:3, 35:14, 42:1, 42:10, 46:3, 54:14, 57:3, 57:19, 57:22, 58:12, 59:5, 59:6, 62:22, 62:25, 63:16, 69:1, 69:15, 71:19, 155:13, 155:15, 172:14, 181:15, 204:4. 207:6. 208:2. 220:12, 220:20, 220:23, 222:10, 222:23, 223:24, 228:18, 228:20,

263:10, 263:13, 265:17, 274:12, 274:14, 310:13, 313:6, 313:10, 323:13, 349:17, 356:25, 357:10, 364:25 issuing [2] - 98:19, 243:15 item [7] - 143:17, 183:25, 231:3, 257:4, 271:6, 309:1, 372:1 items [23] - 166:23, 177:21, 185:18, 190:21, 229:22, 230:13, 241:22, 245:19, 246:16, 269:25, 270:9, 270:11, 271:5, 271:21, 271:22, 274:16, 278:24, 280:2, 280:17, 284:5, 330:1, 334:14, 334:20 itself [10] - 5:22, 10:4, 32:19, 41:1, 57:7, 117:12, 145:10, 166:13, 253:7, 277:17 Iversen [3] - 295:19, 295:22, 296:2

J

James [8] - 21:15, 43:14, 166:20, 257:20, 299:12, 327:9, 356:4, 364:24 January [9] - 43:23, 84:6, 84:18, 88:1, 88:14, 120:19, 144:15, 154:23, 172:9 Jeff [2] - 3:22, 4:3 Jensen's [2] - 3:22, 4:3 jeopardize [1] -346:23 jerk [1] - 79:17 Jerry [1] - 151:11 Jim [2] - 179:4, 180:11 **job** [8] - 28:1, 55:17, 55:23, 56:1, 145:23, 216:18, 350:1, 354:2 Joe [1] - 209:20 John [11] - 1:15, 17:2, 72:15, 84:6, 87:25, 130:11, 148:8, 156:3, 156:23,

297:8 join [9] - 16:15, 18:21, 19:2, 19:4, 20:21, 36:22, 37:1, 37:13, 40.8 joined [9] - 14:24, 20:22, 34:3, 39:4, 40:10, 83:12, 88:20, 99:12, 101:20 joint [2] - 4:22, 345:11 Joint [2] - 37:17, 56:5 joke [1] - 327:6 joking [1] - 265:2 Jones [23] - 120:2, 120:3, 120:4, 150:23, 151:4, 151:9, 151:11, 151:12, 290:10, 291:4, 291:10, 291:13, 291:14, 294:15, 294:17, 294:21, 295:10, 297:11, 297:19, 302:18, 302:19, 306:16 JPMorgan [1] - 209:6 judge [3] - 136:5, 136:6, 314:13 judges [4] - 108:9, 159:4, 159:7 judgment [1] - 107:21 Judicial [1] - 373:17 judicial [9] - 7:13, 8:9, 10:15, 11:16, 15:12, 16:17, 24:13, 27:3, 372:17 jump [3] - 95:21, 98:4, 291:24 jumping [2] - 102:14, 325:13 juncture [2] - 177:21, 180:8 June [2] - 122:13, 335:21 jungle [1] - 366:14 junior [4] - 298:18, 298:19, 298:22, 302:19 iurisdiction [24] -43:2, 46:16, 46:22, 155:8, 156:22, 168:11, 203:22, 214:25, 215:1, 235:5, 235:16, 245:13, 245:15, 245:21, 248:11,

248:23, 263:1,

266:21, 266:22,

366:8, 371:19

Johnson [2] - 293:10,

300:21, 328:21, 25 353:24, 354:7 jurisdictional [2] -249:5. 285:21 justification [2] - 96:5, 96.6 justifies [1] - 166:12

Κ

Kaarup [1] - 198:22 Kansas [1] - 212:14 Katlyn [6] - 1:17, 88:25, 89:18, 293:5, 303:8, 359:5 Kearney [1] - 1:17 keep [23] - 15:17, 36:1, 100:15, 112:7, 112:25, 129:15, 160:17, 198:2, 220:12, 220:13, 237:12, 239:13, 240:9, 259:6, 293:4, 325:16, 339:16, 344:24, 351:19, 362:24, 363:4, 364:20, 364:21 keeping [1] - 363:19 keeps [2] - 239:9, 339:15 kept [4] - 53:2, 118:5, 243:17, 255:13 key [15] - 6:3, 9:20, 198:21, 203:23, 208:2, 208:23, 211:2, 218:4, 220:12, 222:2, 222:23, 226:8, 226:10, 235:1, 262:4 **KEYSTONE** [2] - 1:4, 1:6 Keystone [133] - 3:18, 3:20, 5:4, 21:16, 28:21, 29:2, 30:7, 39:7, 39:12, 43:13, 43:15, 56:13, 57:15, 57:19, 58:22, 99:15, 116:9, 116:14, 117:15, 117:19, 118:2, 122:14, 134:25, 137:9, 141:18, 142:2, 142:21, 143:6, 145:19, 146:24, 147:11, 148:15, 152:21, 153:2, 154:24, 155:19, 157:13, 157:17, 159:12, 166:19. 166:21, 167:2,

167:4, 167:21,	kind [53] - 33:8, 33:18,	L	238:6, 243:23,	lawyer [12] - 39:6, 26
168:14, 168:21,	41:1, 45:8, 55:13,	_	246:3, 282:18,	67:12, 67:13, 77:24,
168:23, 170:13,	55:25, 62:9, 65:23,		291:3, 296:8,	128:23, 132:14,
171:24, 176:2,	66:14, 68:13, 68:14,	lack [1] - 29:20	297:14, 311:18,	300:24, 327:6,
176:3, 176:4,	89:9, 104:18, 109:2,	lacks [2] - 43:1, 46:16	313:4, 318:6, 318:7,	363:22, 368:18,
176:14, 177:21,	127:20, 128:15,	ladies [2] - 37:14,	327:5, 339:14	370:22, 371:9
177:22, 179:7,	139:12, 144:14,	333:11	lasted [1] - 243:25	lawyers [11] - 67:15,
179:14, 179:21,	160:16, 161:24,	laid [6] - 8:23, 242:20,	lastly [2] - 44:9, 170:1	73:7, 112:7, 120:23,
179:25, 180:18,	164:14, 174:9,	244:4, 263:24,	late [9] - 55:13,	123:14, 128:22,
181:20, 182:24,	184:3, 187:23,	264:19, 275:11	108:20, 143:20,	159:5, 362:21,
183:14, 183:19,	188:14, 201:22,	land [11] - 52:22,	238:1, 293:11,	369:6, 374:24
185:22, 187:7,	203:2, 204:13,	106:3, 117:16,	296:10, 311:2,	lay [11] - 110:20,
193:24, 195:4,	205:3, 206:13,	228:19, 230:8,	344:23, 364:1	130:1, 130:3,
197:18, 208:14,	208:22, 209:22,	250:9, 295:9,	latest [2] - 99:16,	131:18, 134:18,
230:16, 251:15,	211:13, 216:1,	295:11, 295:24,	301:9	139:19, 142:5,
255:8, 272:2,	216:5, 218:1, 221:4,	298:16, 304:11	latitude [1] - 355:19	144:5, 244:5,
272:18, 277:11,	223:7, 226:7, 227:1,	landline [2] - 20:6,	launch [2] - 204:15,	370:23, 372:4
285:15, 287:25,	229:7, 230:8,	39:18	204:22	layman [1] - 68:13
288:1, 289:10,	232:22, 237:21,	landowner [13] -	launched [2] - 9:3,	layperson [1] - 133:20
295:24, 296:1,	239:6, 247:2,	250:13, 250:19,	9:17	lays [1] - 199:5
298:21, 298:23,	255:20, 264:20,	250:23, 251:16,	launches [1] - 260:4	lead [13] - 25:5,
302:13, 302:15,	287:12, 294:6,	253:3, 292:2, 292:4,	launching [1] - 26:19	186:22, 223:15,
306:21, 307:9,	325:13, 363:20,	298:10, 298:16,	Law [4] - 13:16,	226:17, 241:1,
307:10, 309:1,	370:25	300:2, 302:14,	114:15, 120:15,	241:2, 288:9,
317:2, 317:3, 317:4,	Kinder [1] - 175:12	302:20	171:3	328:18, 329:13,
317:7, 323:8,	kinds [3] - 115:11,	landowners [19] -	law [62] - 16:3, 41:20,	335:7, 352:11,
323:10, 323:22,	208:6, 232:25	227:18, 228:4,	41:25, 43:10, 47:14,	354:18, 356:24
324:2, 324:22,	knee [1] - 79:17	228:14, 228:18,	51:12, 53:23, 91:1,	leads [2] - 62:10,
324:25, 325:15,	knee-jerk [1] - 79:17	233:1, 233:22,	92:8, 95:24, 97:2,	215:13
327:1, 327:9,	knock [3] - 359:3,	234:10, 234:21,	98:1, 105:13,	leak [8] - 10:9, 25:20,
327:14, 327:18,	359:4, 359:9	243:17, 250:8,	105:14, 155:7,	26:10, 208:3,
328:5, 328:7, 328:14, 329:12,	knowing [1] - 135:22	250:9, 250:17,	156:21, 157:6,	209:24, 216:5,
	knowledge [5] -	251:3, 251:10,	157:18, 166:13,	216:19, 317:2
330:15, 332:11, 333:24, 333:25,	73:11, 111:10,	251:19, 252:15, 252:22, 291:15,	185:23, 186:16,	leaked [2] - 201:21
334:5, 337:2, 338:4,	133:4, 251:12,	291:16	198:9, 199:6,	leaking [1] - 220:13
338:11, 339:4,	373:20	landowners' [1] -	205:22, 234:25,	leaks [8] - 113:25,
339:15, 340:2,	knowledgeable [1] -	253:4	239:23, 245:25,	201:10, 201:18,
340:6, 341:4,	54:18	lands [1] - 251:4	262:20, 265:11,	202:17, 208:6,
342:11, 356:3,	knows [6] - 60:15,	Lands [2] - 251:5,	276:9, 277:15,	209:13, 213:7, 238:7
356:5, 356:9,	60:17, 120:9, 160:5,	251:6	278:19, 278:25,	lean [1] - 146:3
356:19, 357:17,	225:12, 260:6	language [8] - 78:12,	288:18, 290:8,	leap [1] - 280:25
358:7, 358:10,	Kolbeck [1] - 177:23	86:18, 142:1, 142:3,	290:12, 297:13, 298:8, 298:20,	learn [1] - 68:15
359:17, 364:23,	Kothari [1] - 155:18 Kothari's [1] - 184:1	162:25, 182:18,	299:3, 301:25,	learned [5] - 54:13,
364:24	Kristen [9] - 1:16,	183:2	302:7, 304:10,	312:10, 312:13,
Keystone's [27] - 3:8,	21:6, 42:22, 85:17,	lap [1] - 288:13	304:11, 305:21,	313:8, 323:14
28:2, 37:11, 55:11,	130:12, 133:5,	large [8] - 5:24, 5:25,	307:4, 312:25,	learning [2] - 119:12,
56:14, 56:15, 83:17,	346:17, 348:25,	45:11, 242:8,	320:20, 320:22,	297:13
101:7, 121:6,	369:5	259:22, 260:6,	320:23, 320:24,	least [15] - 3:13, 64:5,
151:16, 151:20,	KRISTIE [1] - 1:13	307:12, 339:10	320:25, 321:16,	140:25, 141:2, 144:2, 195:16,
178:18, 181:11,	Kristine [6] - 130:4,	last [33] - 31:12,	321:18, 325:17,	217:1, 241:21,
181:16, 188:4,	131:1, 131:17,	52:15, 53:16, 55:20,	326:4, 328:4,	256:23, 262:10,
188:12, 194:25,	131:20, 133:12,	126:22, 127:23,	346:22, 347:1, 348:9	269:24, 280:17,
253:12, 316:17,	274:24	130:14, 132:11,	laws [14] - 41:23, 42:5,	311:22, 326:22,
325:3, 329:10,	Kuprewicz [4] -	140:22, 152:25,	47:4, 51:24, 71:3,	359:15
331:7, 332:10,	316:20, 317:1,	154:18, 172:5,	73:12, 90:6, 94:25,	leave [4] - 36:6, 72:12,
335:19, 353:25,	317:5, 336:12	185:17, 185:18,	96:2, 97:6, 172:15,	103:7, 280:8
358:3	011.0, 000.12	187:5, 188:18,	199:19, 235:19,	leaving [1] - 311:3
kick [2] - 303:9,		188:25, 195:10,	326:6	led [2] - 144:15,
365:10		199:11, 203:7,	lawsuit [1] - 260:6	190:20
kickoff [1] - 82:24				100.20
1				

left [5] - 8:6, 55:3,	lifetime [2] - 138:8,	liners [1] - 64:19	125:4	105:24, 105:25, 2
149:19, 313:4,	332:2	lines [40] - 221:3,	litigate [1] - 127:18	127:12, 205:8,
370:17	lift [3] - 173:14,	221:18, 221:21,	litigation [6] - 31:22,	282:16, 296:4,
legal [21] - 12:5, 16:6,	173:23, 274:14	223:14, 290:3,	32:14, 108:24,	305:21
40:3, 53:11, 57:23,	light [13] - 7:16, 31:16,	290:4, 290:5, 290:7,	111:9, 113:9, 184:4	looking [27] - 3:3,
112:8, 125:24,	117:10, 119:2,	290:15, 290:16,	litter [1] - 214:10	14:14, 32:18, 32:19,
126:1, 126:13,	172:13, 209:9,	290:17, 290:18,	live [2] - 107:4, 262:12	45:18, 78:22, 80:18,
126:14, 219:11,	213:5, 218:10,	290:20, 291:12,	lived [1] - 216:3	80:19, 84:9, 85:4,
260:4, 295:25,	222:1, 223:12,	295:3, 296:25,	livestock [1] - 212:9	104:6, 114:2,
298:4, 298:12,	234:23, 294:6, 294:7	297:3, 297:16,	LLC [1] - 329:11	151:13, 154:15,
346:21, 346:25,	lighter [2] - 290:3,	297:20, 299:13,	lobbyist [1] - 17:8	156:6, 172:10,
347:11, 362:23,	290:4	302:16, 305:2,	local [5] - 199:21,	187:19, 188:7,
366:17	lightly [1] - 164:18	305:16, 305:17,	205:25, 222:25,	192:25, 209:23,
legally [1] - 53:7	likely [8] - 10:7, 10:8,	305:22, 305:25,	235:19, 251:12	235:21, 247:21,
legislative [1] - 293:9	42:3, 108:6, 114:8,	306:6, 306:8, 306:9,	located [4] - 156:19,	292:1, 292:3, 331:2,
Legislature [5] - 42:4,	226:17, 256:7,	306:10, 306:19,	325:25, 330:8, 336:9	334:14, 359:5
52:19, 52:24, 53:2,	356:24	306:20, 308:4,	location [13] - 170:7,	looks [8] - 155:12,
102:15	likewise [1] - 8:1	308:5, 375:14	175:23, 221:7,	190:16, 190:18,
legitimate [2] -	limbo [1] - 180:2	linguistics [1] -	254:21, 255:1,	214:14, 303:12,
258:20, 279:13	limit [8] - 97:10, 97:25,	128:18	255:12, 319:23,	303:16, 334:16,
length [3] - 5:22,	112:4, 147:17,	link [3] - 169:1,	319:25, 325:14,	340:13
252:18, 285:13	186:17, 290:22,	170:23, 307:11	327:12, 327:23,	loss [1] - 250:25
lengthy [5] - 5:25,	360:9, 360:19	liquid [1] - 316:22	328:1	lost [2] - 32:4, 87:3
27:23, 28:1, 42:2	limitations [1] - 51:16	liquor [1] - 239:13	locations [15] - 221:3,	lot's [1] - 374:4
less [8] - 73:13,	limited [8] - 75:20,	list [33] - 17:25, 36:18,	254:22, 254:23,	lotions [1] - 262:4
106:20, 111:6,	105:9, 129:2, 142:1,	73:25, 75:12, 81:17,	262:12, 302:14,	love [2] - 81:1, 346:19
157:13, 157:20,	142:3, 195:14,	82:13, 85:10, 85:17,	317:23, 322:3,	lower [2] - 299:13,
161:4, 239:14	275:4, 344:15	93:13, 93:16, 94:16,	322:5, 330:19,	299:14
letter [26] - 65:25,	limiting [2] - 142:15,	97:14, 101:3,	335:20, 336:19,	Lower [1] - 290:8
84:6, 84:10, 87:25,	142:18	108:25, 113:7,	337:8, 338:24,	LP [3] - 1:5, 3:19,
90:1, 91:17, 91:20,	limits [3] - 57:23,	113:23, 120:2,	338:25, 339:1	317:4
92:15, 93:12, 93:20,	257:23, 331:25	120:3, 120:4,	lock [1] - 359:18	lumped [1] - 229:22
93:21, 94:11, 97:15,	line [64] - 3:16, 9:9,	124:23, 151:5,	logical [1] - 126:1	lunch [3] - 83:8,
109:13, 120:11,	12:12, 14:16, 23:22,	151:10, 162:14,	longitude [1] - 355:20	83:10, 128:17
120:14, 124:10,	24:1, 26:5, 38:22,	167:20, 183:6,	look [51] - 7:12, 11:16,	luxury [1] - 279:10
127:24, 127:25,	53:6, 53:7, 53:11,	183:7, 183:8,	11:20, 13:3, 25:22,	Lyman [13] - 290:10,
128:1, 144:15,	53:22, 57:21, 82:2,	251:23, 253:19,	26:23, 40:2, 47:21,	291:10, 291:13,
296:3, 296:14,	125:19, 126:19,	280:3, 313:16,	74:11, 113:15,	291:14, 294:15,
296:17, 352:3, 352:6	126:20, 126:21,	335:21	114:11, 114:21,	294:16, 294:21,
letters [1] - 172:6	144:13, 144:14,	listed [12] - 3:9, 13:24,	161:5, 164:12,	297:11, 297:19,
letting [4] - 27:1,	174:14, 178:11,	71:1, 85:14, 91:5,	164:19, 164:24,	302:17, 302:19,
40:21, 119:13, 337:4	194:19, 221:7,	164:16, 188:25,	180:18, 193:13,	306:15, 306:16
level [6] - 15:13,	240:22, 242:21,	189:21, 242:6,	193:15, 193:17,	
25:13, 55:6, 113:10,	242:23, 247:18,	252:1, 253:16, 352:5	198:10, 198:11,	M
166:11, 374:4	264:7, 272:11,	listen [5] - 49:9, 68:16,	199:9, 199:13,	
levies [2] - 213:3,	290:22, 290:25,	243:7, 367:9, 374:21	199:17, 200:25,	M_A_V (4) 70.12
255:10	291:17, 291:19,	listened [1] - 374:18	204:11, 213:17,	M-A-Y [1] - 78:13
liaison [12] - 228:3,	291:22, 292:7,	listening [7] - 75:17,	219:10, 223:15,	ma'am [1] - 341:9
228:10, 228:15,	294:3, 294:13,	99:15, 177:14,	224:6, 225:17,	machine [1] - 106:12
243:6, 243:8, 243:9,	294:19, 294:20,	189:23, 242:13,	233:18, 236:23,	mail [22] - 65:21,
243:12, 243:13,	295:6, 296:20,	310:7, 363:21	237:9, 252:2,	121:4, 132:5,
243:15, 284:20,	296:21, 296:22,	listing [3] - 163:24,	260:17, 265:15,	132:11, 133:5,
285:24, 286:1	296:24, 297:7,	182:21, 311:9	267:23, 269:8,	206:25, 219:7,
liberal [2] - 198:25,	298:15, 301:21,	lists [7] - 85:12, 97:18,	269:18, 273:17,	225:11, 225:13,
352:1	302:4, 302:11,	97:19, 98:22,	281:3, 293:2, 294:5,	265:18, 266:7,
liberally [2] - 198:14,	302:15, 303:14,	141:18, 269:19,	319:2, 321:21,	296:8, 296:10,
259:15	303:15, 305:8,	365:3	326:6, 335:18,	320:16, 320:20,
library [1] - 171:10	305:10, 306:4,	literally [2] - 305:2,	350:15, 357:10	325:17, 326:2,
licenses [1] - 239:14	307:21, 319:24,	305:22	looked [11] - 24:20,	337:5, 339:22, 340:5
lieu [1] - 62:19	319:25, 332:11	litigant [2] - 58:25,	25:18, 71:17, 84:23,	mailed [2] - 296:5,
	İ	ĺ	1	1

	T		T	1
340:21	303:23, 303:24,	362:19	371:21, 373:9,	memorialized [1] - 28
mailing [1] - 296:16	305:1, 321:8, 321:9,	master's [1] - 50:8	373:15	350:3
mails [8] - 49:18,	321:11, 321:20,	masters [3] - 31:21,	meaning [2] - 140:21,	memos [1] - 127:8
49:24, 158:18,	341:19	32:15, 50:4	145:9	mental [1] - 345:25
172:6, 237:3,	mapping [1] - 321:1	material [6] - 115:17,	meaningful [3] -	mention [4] - 85:9,
345:18, 346:19,	Mapping [5] - 265:19,	219:9, 260:23,	19:22, 128:7, 296:6	313:1, 315:25, 347:1
347:16	265:23, 320:25,	261:13, 272:12	means [6] - 54:2,	mentioned [15] -
main [11] - 34:1,	321:6, 321:11	materials [5] - 205:12,	105:8, 237:24,	41:23, 66:18, 82:17,
54:15, 118:13,	maps [2] - 227:3,	207:9, 218:8,	244:21, 331:12,	90:15, 100:13,
148:6, 221:7,	227:12	255:17, 344:17	331:18	102:10, 104:11,
279:25, 281:22,	March [4] - 92:11,	Matt [6] - 131:24,	meant [1] - 307:23	105:18, 106:18,
286:23, 286:24,	159:23, 308:16,	310:8, 330:2, 330:3,	meantime [1] - 116:6	153:17, 170:22,
319:24, 319:25	311:21	339:22, 340:21	measure [2] - 47:20,	183:22, 245:19,
maintain [2] - 321:10,	Marine [1] - 198:22	MATTER [1] - 1:4	242:8	285:17, 306:25
337:11	marked [3] - 247:11,	matter [25] - 2:2, 3:18,	measures [6] -	mere [1] - 345:15
major [9] - 6:5, 9:1,	271:23, 280:12	21:20, 44:13, 47:4,	207:25, 229:2,	merely [3] - 49:8,
9:2, 9:16, 17:8,	MARTINEZ [24] - 5:18,	59:20, 60:15, 69:14,	250:2, 285:6, 324:3,	69:16, 325:8
26:20, 209:4,	5:21, 9:10, 9:14,	70:10, 82:20, 108:2,	325:4	merit [4] - 41:2, 41:9,
340:19, 341:14	23:15, 23:18, 23:25,	155:11, 167:8,	mechanically [1] -	191:4, 215:6
man [1] - 244:1	24:7, 31:14, 32:6,	168:15, 170:3,	299:8	meritorious [2] -
manage [2] - 209:13,	44:22, 48:17, 49:25,	176:25, 179:25,	mechanism [3] -	174:12, 287:19
254:4	101:21, 197:20,	180:1, 212:10,	32:10, 33:17, 44:4	merits [1] - 44:12
managed [1] - 64:3	197:22, 203:10,	251:13, 262:9,	media [1] - 261:18	message [1] - 370:18
Management [7] -	203:14, 208:17,	325:9, 328:20,	medical [1] - 117:17	messages [1] - 172:7
104:5, 169:10,	208:21, 247:24,	367:24, 376:10	Meera [2] - 155:18,	met [3] - 30:18, 128:5,
176:8, 179:10,	259:3, 259:6, 265:4	matters [11] - 40:18,	184:1	371:22
214:21, 249:12,	Martinez [29] - 5:19,	58:14, 58:18, 69:7,	meet [20] - 23:2,	Metcalf [1] - 243:16
312:15	10:25, 11:6, 11:15,	104:3, 168:11,	81:24, 95:11,	methods [2] - 224:8,
management [4] -	14:4, 23:12, 31:13,	198:23, 248:11,	122:15, 126:17,	317:2
179:18, 308:9,	35:15, 35:25, 36:13,	251:9, 259:16,	127:25, 128:4,	mic [7] - 24:5, 36:6,
320:5, 321:13	42:12, 44:21, 48:11,	277:22	167:12, 171:11,	88:25, 112:18,
manager [1] - 237:4	51:8, 54:1, 101:20,	maximum [1] - 317:7	173:9, 202:2,	293:4, 330:4, 339:13
managers [2] -	105:7, 197:21,	mayor [1] - 138:12	211:17, 222:5,	Midwest [1] - 231:24
225:12, 232:12	240:15, 242:14,	Mayor [1] - 138:17	227:15, 243:24,	might [32] - 3:7, 43:5,
manages [3] - 64:4,	243:3, 243:18,	MCCOMSEY[1] -	244:3, 244:7,	51:11, 51:23, 68:18,
294:1, 300:20	243:25, 254:3,	376:5	282:17, 296:11,	81:6, 101:2, 141:12,
mandated [3] - 26:22,	258:24, 259:1,	McComsey [2] - 1:24,	321:13	151:7, 158:10,
89:25, 228:11	264:25, 288:24,	376:18	meeting [6] - 33:13,	160:19, 174:4,
mandates [1] - 265:11	348:18	mean [51] - 9:20, 19:3,	264:18, 291:3,	184:21, 186:22,
mandatory [5] - 98:9,	Martinez' [1] - 245:20	32:17, 48:18, 51:4,	348:24, 349:1,	190:17, 204:6,
98:10, 98:17, 98:21,	Martinez's [5] - 11:12,	52:11, 68:11, 98:14,	370:14	206:10, 208:15,
99:1	15:9, 34:12, 241:3,	136:18, 147:12,	meetings [10] - 43:5,	222:1, 225:10,
manifestly [1] - 59:19	288:12	153:9, 193:6, 193:8,	46:25, 47:2, 47:4,	245:6, 260:10,
Mann [5] - 295:12,	marvelous [1] - 343:6	193:14, 195:17,	47:8, 53:12, 74:17,	273:21, 282:6,
295:15, 295:20,	master [46] - 5:2, 31:7,	195:23, 209:17,	171:21, 173:8, 348:9	298:7, 326:22,
295:21, 296:2	31:19, 32:9, 33:1,	212:12, 214:2,	meets [6] - 70:15,	346:5, 347:20,
manner [5] - 29:24,	33:10, 33:12, 33:25,	217:18, 233:17,	195:7, 195:11,	360:21, 364:4,
47:13, 64:3, 92:5,	34:13, 35:9, 35:13,	246:24, 250:12,	195:24, 196:1, 202:3	372:13
299:2	36:3, 37:14, 37:17,	256:2, 269:18,	member [5] - 63:10,	mile [2] - 319:24,
manual [4] - 79:7,	38:15, 38:16, 39:2,	272:10, 272:23,	113:11, 304:23,	338:25
80:7, 161:22, 161:24	39:5, 40:7, 41:10,	274:18, 274:25,	316:21, 347:19	million [5] - 331:8,
manufacturer [1] -	41:24, 42:9, 42:12,	277:15, 278:9,	members [9] - 7:6,	331:25, 332:8,
220:17	43:2, 46:19, 47:11,	278:18, 286:1,	16:12, 19:15, 31:20,	332:10, 332:16
manufacturers [1] -	47:23, 48:11, 48:19,	287:13, 288:11,	32:13, 41:15,	millions [1] - 209:6
220:15	48:20, 48:25, 49:8,	289:5, 300:2, 306:7,	241:25, 304:17,	mind [23] - 88:11,
	49:13, 49:16, 49:17,	331:10, 338:10,	304:20	88:12, 88:15, 118:6,
map [21] - 227:8,	49:23, 50:5, 50:11,	338:17, 338:18,	memoranda [1] -	118:12, 125:7,
289:23, 289:24, 290:2, 292:16,	50:20, 52:7, 56:5,	348:4, 358:8,	345:18	135:24, 136:10,
292:19, 293:1,	240:4, 261:22,	366:24, 371:10,	memorandum [2] -	136:20, 174:21,
293:2, 295:1, 295:2,	264:23, 348:19,	371:16, 371:20,	244:16, 344:5	187:2, 199:8,
233.2, 233.1, 233.2,	, ,	2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,	277.10, 0 17 .0	101.2, 193.0,

	1			1
204:10, 211:12,	mitigation [8] - 229:1,	245:3, 247:3, 250:6,	56:15, 56:23, 56:24,	229:9, 229:22, 2 9
221:15, 232:3,	229:2, 236:18,	265:15, 310:23,	57:2, 57:4, 70:14,	239:25, 242:15,
244:12, 244:13,	250:2, 323:10,	313:5, 327:9,	70:19, 75:16, 78:6,	243:20, 245:20,
244:23, 252:16,	323:23, 324:3, 328:9	335:25, 356:4,	80:9, 83:17, 89:22,	246:15, 246:25,
326:22, 355:12	mix [3] - 65:23, 66:3,	358:1, 364:24, 374:3	92:10, 97:7, 100:1,	263:5, 264:22,
mindful [1] - 67:20	285:9	Moore's [1] - 266:11	100:13, 100:20,	264:23, 265:7,
mine [2] - 194:16,	Mni [12] - 293:8,	moot [4] - 108:6,	101:7, 101:17,	265:16, 267:4,
295:19	293:11, 293:17,	129:3, 129:7, 142:24	102:8, 108:15,	267:20, 268:24,
Minerals [1] - 63:11	295:22, 297:7,	moreover [1] - 99:3	109:9, 109:10,	269:2, 269:6, 271:3,
minimal [4] - 216:5,	297:24, 298:21,	Morgan [1] - 175:12	109:12, 109:16,	271:5, 271:14,
216:6, 216:11, 268:8	298:24, 299:3,	morning [10] - 11:12,	109:17, 111:25,	273:18, 278:24,
mining [1] - 104:4	307:14, 308:10,	14:23, 15:1, 16:13,	112:11, 112:12,	279:25, 280:7,
Mining [1] - 106:22	322:8	19:12, 52:15, 79:11,	112:15, 114:24,	280:8, 280:16,
Minnesota [1] - 63:18	modifying [1] - 233:23	299:12, 340:10,	116:17, 117:1,	281:12, 281:13,
minute [6] - 146:18,	moment [17] - 19:19,	340:12	117:4, 119:8,	281:21, 281:22,
187:17, 208:13,	32:4, 103:21,	most [37] - 13:14,	119:13, 121:6,	282:1, 282:24,
293:1, 337:25, 364:2	106:11, 116:1,	26:18, 27:6, 33:25,	123:22, 123:23,	283:18, 285:2,
minutes [16] - 82:21,	116:2, 147:10,	44:6, 47:4, 62:23,	124:4, 124:14,	285:14, 286:22,
82:23, 146:19,	180:3, 188:6, 203:5,	96:17, 103:25,	126:5, 129:8,	286:23, 286:24,
240:6, 240:8, 245:7,	259:19, 273:11,	112:5, 132:10,	134:22, 135:10,	287:7, 287:9,
247:1, 247:10,	309:25, 310:4,	138:7, 139:20,	141:15, 141:21,	288:23, 289:3,
247:13, 248:2,	319:15, 338:23,	142:10, 152:1,	142:16, 143:1,	289:4, 303:7,
269:8, 269:22,	340:17	155:4, 158:9,	143:18, 146:4,	303:10, 303:11,
296:5, 344:1,	momentarily [1] - 57:5	158:14, 158:15,	147:7, 147:15,	308:23, 308:25,
358:24, 365:11	Monday [9] - 296:9,	167:17, 185:3,	147:18, 150:7,	309:4, 309:17,
mischaracterized [1] -	308:18, 318:3,	243:21, 247:7,	150:21, 151:8,	309:18, 310:21,
121:22	318:4, 318:5,	247:9, 287:15,	151:16, 151:20,	313:6, 313:21,
misconstruing [1] -	318:11, 340:10,	289:11, 303:24,	151:23, 152:24,	315:2, 315:6, 319:9,
268:1	340:12, 340:21	317:22, 328:25,	153:6, 153:12, 153:14, 154:1,	325:7, 328:22, 330:8, 333:4,
misheard [1] - 181:24	money [6] - 7:2, 7:3,	329:2, 329:16,	154:2, 154:4,	333:12, 333:20,
misinformation [1] -	11:17, 14:12, 28:14,	338:5, 338:7,	154:10, 154:16,	333:23, 334:10,
120:22	124:24	357:10, 368:24, 372:8	154:17, 154:19,	334:14, 334:16,
mislead [1] - 145:17	monitoring [2] -	mostly [3] - 130:12,	154:21, 154:22,	335:9, 337:14,
misnumbered [1] -	237:21, 324:23	217:12, 327:7	155:4, 156:17,	337:19, 337:22,
251:25	Montana [2] - 184:17, 332:12	Motion [317] - 3:8, 3:9,	162:22, 166:24,	337:23, 341:22,
misplaced [1] - 234:4	month [4] - 7:4, 43:23,	3:25, 4:7, 4:10, 4:20,	168:5, 169:18,	341:25, 342:7,
miss [1] - 188:21	154:18, 178:14	4:21, 5:1, 5:4, 5:16,	169:23, 174:2,	342:17, 342:21,
missed [6] - 252:1,	months [8] - 13:20,	5:17, 5:21, 6:4, 6:12,	174:5, 174:11,	343:17, 343:25,
253:18, 257:20,	13:23, 109:12,	8:17, 8:23, 11:3,	174:16, 175:11,	344:4, 344:23,
267:8, 267:21,	183:13, 185:10,	11:12, 14:18, 14:24,	177:24, 182:4,	347:23, 348:1,
357:19	311:18, 321:1	15:2, 15:10, 16:16,	184:15, 185:15,	348:3, 350:9,
missing [5] - 161:19,	MOORE [26] - 21:15,	16:19, 18:22, 19:2,	185:16, 185:17,	350:11, 350:12,
189:7, 260:22, 338:9, 338:20	43:14, 166:20,	19:4, 19:10, 19:18,	185:24, 186:7,	351:7, 351:9,
Missouri [1] - 212:14	176:21, 179:4,	20:2, 20:9, 21:9,	186:10, 187:6,	351:23, 353:8,
misspeak [1] - 139:7	179:12, 179:24,	23:8, 24:12, 27:14,	187:15, 187:21,	355:11, 355:22,
misspoke [1] - 138:24	181:18, 181:25,	27:17, 27:18, 29:15,	187:22, 188:4,	355:24, 356:8,
mistake [6] - 144:3,	258:7, 318:6, 327:9,	29:16, 30:4, 31:5,	188:19, 188:22,	357:15, 358:18,
145:18, 145:20,	331:12, 331:16,	31:6, 31:8, 31:12,	189:21, 190:7,	358:21, 360:15,
295:13, 295:14,	331:23, 332:7,	31:17, 32:8, 32:19,	190:9, 190:15,	360:22, 361:2,
367:1	332:15, 332:18,	33:5, 34:3, 34:7,	191:2, 191:7,	361:11, 361:22,
mistaken [4] - 13:18,	334:11, 334:21,	34:12, 36:2, 36:22,	191:19, 191:24,	362:4, 362:15,
84:23, 84:25, 275:24	335:10, 336:2,	37:2, 37:13, 37:15,	194:20, 195:1,	363:1, 365:16,
misunderstanding [3]	356:4, 357:21,	37:17, 37:18, 37:21,	195:3, 197:17, 197:25, 198:18	365:17, 365:25,
- 188:2, 193:3,	358:2, 364:24	38:15, 38:24, 39:4,	197:25, 198:18, 199:12, 201:3,	375:9 motion (43) - 15:10
296:18	Moore [24] - 21:16,	40:9, 40:10, 40:14,	202:15, 202:18,	motion [43] - 15:10, 37:11, 38:14, 54:16,
misunderstood [1] -	23:20, 24:9, 24:14,	40:20, 41:17, 42:14,	202:13, 202:16, 202:23, 203:9,	56:1, 58:17, 62:10,
128:19	25:24, 43:14, 45:4,	42:15, 44:15, 47:24,	204:16, 210:3,	66:15, 77:21, 78:5,
mitigate [1] - 214:18	45:17, 55:3, 166:20,	52:4, 52:8, 56:4,	218:7, 221:11,	78:9, 88:5, 145:2,
mitigated [1] - 256:14	170:21, 244:2,	56:5, 56:12, 56:14,	225:24, 227:11,	158:24, 211:23,
	1		- ,,	1,

213:16, 233:11,	282:9, 289:2, 303:9,	149:4, 149:10,	292:5, 292:11,	351:23, 359:7, 30
235:12, 244:16,	326:22, 342:15,	150:3, 151:8,	292:15, 293:7,	359:25, 362:12,
261:8, 276:1,	348:1, 358:15,	151:13, 154:13,	299:25, 300:2,	362:16, 363:2,
276:13, 276:21,	361:1, 362:18,	166:20, 171:2,	300:5, 300:8,	363:4, 365:10,
	' '			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
283:17, 296:12,	365:16, 375:6	172:2, 172:12,	302:17, 302:25,	369:15, 370:1,
296:13, 309:3,	moved [5] - 186:25,	174:18, 175:11,	303:18, 304:7,	370:5, 370:17,
309:5, 309:6, 309:7,	187:1, 187:3, 187:4,	175:20, 176:21,	305:1, 305:11,	371:8, 372:7,
332:24, 344:4,	284:4	179:4, 179:12,	306:5, 306:16,	372:21, 374:6,
348:18, 349:13,	movement [2] -	179:24, 180:10,	306:20, 307:4,	374:10, 374:16
350:25, 358:14,	211:10, 248:5	180:14, 181:18,	307:8, 307:22,	muffled [1] - 18:17
362:6, 362:8, 365:7,	moves [1] - 185:20	181:25, 182:15,	307:25, 309:25,	muffling [1] - 20:18
365:15, 366:3, 375:2	moving [12] - 29:18,	183:11, 188:9,	310:3, 310:6, 318:6,	multi [1] - 201:19
Motions [17] - 5:1, 5:8,	75:12, 100:15,	189:4, 189:9,	318:7, 318:9,	multi-page [1] -
37:10, 55:9, 102:12,	109:22, 129:15,	189:12, 191:20,	318:11, 318:13,	201:19
137:9, 159:2, 159:7,	160:17, 180:20,	191:23, 192:9,	318:14, 318:15,	multinational [2] -
186:3, 186:8, 187:7,	188:22, 232:24,	193:2, 193:4,	318:18, 319:16,	45:11, 239:14
187:10, 192:23,	232:25, 289:3, 323:7	193:19, 194:11,	319:19, 319:21,	multiple [1] - 217:16
282:18, 288:4,	MR [320] - 3:12, 5:18,	194:17, 194:22,	323:17, 323:20,	multiply [1] - 32:21
342:16, 358:22	5:21, 9:10, 9:14,	195:16, 196:1,	326:18, 326:24,	multisection [1] -
motions [41] - 3:1,	11:11, 12:18, 14:21,	196:8, 196:15,	327:2, 327:7, 327:9,	191:19
5:6, 17:4, 35:1,	15:7, 17:2, 18:3,	196:20, 197:4,	330:6, 331:12,	
38:13, 41:1, 41:7,	18:10, 18:15, 18:19,	197:8, 197:14,	331:16, 331:23,	music [2] - 9:9, 9:12
44:1, 44:12, 45:18,	19:9, 20:15, 20:18,	197:20, 197:22,	332:7, 332:15,	must [12] - 21:25,
56:14, 56:22, 57:9,	21:15, 23:15, 23:18,	203:10, 203:14,	332:18, 333:17,	28:9, 64:9, 72:24,
66:14, 102:18,		208:17, 208:21,	333:19, 334:11,	84:23, 98:4, 99:7,
, ,	23:25, 24:7, 31:14,	240:13, 243:2,	334:21, 335:10,	99:8, 105:14, 111:5,
109:3, 115:25,	32:6, 34:11, 35:23,	245:2, 245:8,	335:15, 335:23,	177:14, 339:24
116:6, 116:16,	36:21, 36:25, 40:13,			mute [14] - 12:15,
118:9, 118:12,	40:24, 43:14, 44:22,	245:17, 246:2,	336:2, 336:19,	19:1, 23:19, 37:6,
134:7, 137:22,	48:17, 49:25, 56:20,	246:9, 246:14,	336:22, 338:23,	44:18, 89:3, 100:3,
141:10, 141:11,	66:20, 66:23, 67:5,	246:21, 247:3,	339:12, 339:14,	100:6, 101:13,
141:15, 143:15,	67:9, 67:11, 68:9,	247:6, 247:9,	340:18, 341:8,	122:20, 177:15,
151:19, 159:2,	68:11, 69:4, 72:17,	247:17, 247:24,	341:13, 341:17,	177:19, 242:24,
165:5, 165:24,	72:23, 75:13, 80:20,	248:10, 248:18,	344:7, 344:21,	362:13
166:14, 185:12,	80:25, 81:4, 81:13,	249:24, 250:12,	344:22, 347:11,	MYERS [5] - 99:13,
190:2, 198:13,	82:4, 82:6, 82:8,	251:17, 252:7,	349:25, 356:4,	99:19, 132:23,
199:25, 268:21,	84:3, 84:12, 84:13,	253:14, 253:18,	357:21, 358:2,	133:11, 133:22
270:9, 337:15,	84:16, 84:21, 85:5,	253:20, 255:2,	364:24, 366:3,	Myers [9] - 65:20,
342:8, 366:1	86:6, 86:10, 86:23,	255:7, 256:18,	366:7, 366:23,	83:15, 83:21, 99:12,
motives [1] - 118:19	87:16, 87:21, 87:25,	256:25, 257:14,	367:15, 367:18,	120:5, 132:23,
mountain [1] - 256:18	88:3, 88:17, 89:17,	257:21, 257:24,	367:23, 369:4,	135:2, 142:23, 151:8
mouth [1] - 126:7	100:8, 100:11,	258:1, 258:2, 258:3,	369:12, 371:20,	
mouthful [1] - 315:5	100:16, 101:21,	258:7, 258:8,	372:3, 372:12,	mystery [2] - 299:19,
move [49] - 3:14, 4:3,	102:2, 112:21,	258:10, 258:11,	373:11, 374:2, 374:9	339:17
27:16, 31:6, 52:7,	116:10, 116:13,	258:12, 258:13,	MS [61] - 16:11, 19:1,	NI NI
53:7, 57:3, 80:16,	116:17, 117:8,	258:19, 259:3,	19:15, 20:6, 21:6,	N
83:14, 83:15,	122:5, 122:24,	259:6, 265:4,	36:10, 37:5, 37:8,	
102:17, 109:14,	129:13, 130:11,	265:12, 265:14,	38:4, 38:10, 39:1,	nail [1] - 46:11
· ·	131:4, 131:9,	267:6, 267:12,	39:12, 39:17, 39:20,	name [17] - 51:1,
128:3, 141:25,	131:21, 131:24,	267:16, 267:21,	41:14, 42:22, 48:5,	66:20, 75:13, 77:8,
142:9, 142:16,		267:24, 267:25,	51:9, 83:1, 87:2,	
142:18, 142:19,	133:3, 134:10,	268:1, 268:4,	88:21, 89:16, 89:18,	108:25, 120:7,
142:24, 151:15,	134:15, 135:5,	270:24, 271:1,	99:13, 99:19,	120:8, 130:4, 130:8,
151:16, 151:20,	135:14, 135:17,			151:4, 193:20,
185:23, 186:2,	135:24, 137:2,	271:11, 272:4,	101:10, 119:16,	284:13, 289:18,
186:6, 186:8,	137:17, 137:23,	272:8, 272:23,	119:21, 120:1,	299:17, 308:8, 333:4
190:14, 208:14,	139:12, 139:15,	273:22, 275:10,	121:11, 132:23,	named [2] - 114:7,
230:10, 260:2,	140:2, 140:5,	275:17, 275:19,	133:11, 133:22,	295:9
265:3, 269:1,	146:17, 147:12,	276:23, 277:19,	150:22, 151:3,	namely [1] - 111:9
269:10, 278:23,	147:19, 147:21,	278:7, 278:22,	151:10, 153:7,	names [3] - 50:24,
280:3, 281:5,	148:1, 148:19,	279:3, 287:11,	193:14, 293:6,	356:14, 357:22
281:11, 282:8,	148:21, 149:1,	287:22, 288:6,	346:13, 346:17,	Nancy [6] - 119:16,
		289:8, 289:17,	351:12, 351:16,	
I		I	1	I

121:16, 121:17, 332:12 264:5, 368:21 150:22, 153:7, necessarily [7] negotiation [3] - 55:6, 369.15 141:4, 253:11, 158:24, 250:22 narrative [2] - 64:23, 260:10. 260:11. negotiations [1] -231:13 262:11, 271:17, 250:17 NELSON [395] - 1:12, narrow [10] - 58:18, 360:8 necessary [9] - 26:22, 62:22, 186:15, 3:1, 3:13, 4:5, 4:12, 115:3. 203:19. 186:19, 199:10, 4:17, 4:19, 5:20, 9:8, 204:6, 298:19, 200:13, 282:22, 9:12, 10:25, 12:10, 304:6, 320:3, 288:25, 342:13, 14:19, 15:4, 16:9, 359:22 337:10, 365:8 16:22, 17:21, 18:5, need [61] - 12:11, narrowed [2] - 58:16, 18:11, 18:16, 18:24, 200:15 14:2, 22:2, 25:10, 19:7, 19:13, 20:4, narrower [1] - 288:17 25:18, 31:18, 38:21, 20:11, 20:17, 21:1, narrowly [5] - 33:11, 39:25, 40:1, 45:1, 21:13, 23:11, 23:17, 53:14, 54:16, 54:17, 42:13, 215:4, 23:23, 24:4, 27:8, 224:12, 244:20 70:5, 108:5, 122:20, 27:18, 29:14, 30:22, 157:17, 161:5, 31:2, 31:4, 32:3, National [15] - 9:1, 9:16, 10:2, 10:18, 161:20, 172:17, 34:9, 35:21, 36:5, 172:19, 191:6, 36:15, 36:23, 37:3, 22:13, 22:20, 26:1, 192:18, 198:4, 37:7, 38:21, 39:14, 26:4, 26:9, 26:19, 265:18, 265:23, 204:5, 208:7, 39:19, 40:11, 40:22, 320:24, 321:5, 209:14, 209:16, 41:12, 42:18, 43:12, 210:19, 211:8, 44:17, 47:25, 48:6, 321:10 national [3] - 248:14, 212:17, 212:22, 52:2, 52:8, 53:18, 223:15, 224:6, 54:23, 56:9, 56:11, 263:4. 263:8 229:11, 232:3, 66:10, 66:21, 66:24, natural [2] - 25:15, 232:12, 234:6, 67:7, 67:10, 68:8, 212:20 238:3, 242:24, 68:10, 68:21, 72:14, nature [11] - 13:8, 265:3, 266:23, 75:11, 80:14, 80:22, 122:7, 178:1, 269:17, 269:20, 81:1, 81:5, 81:8, 195:19, 195:20, 82:1, 82:5, 82:7, 273:5, 273:19, 197:2, 204:19, 274:15, 281:3, 82:9, 83:2, 83:4, 219:2, 233:14, 283:22, 285:11, 83:11, 84:9, 84:15, 254:24, 347:13 305:23, 309:25, 84:17, 85:2, 85:19, navigable [1] - 265:24 317:14, 325:20, 86:8, 86:20, 86:24, nay [19] - 4:14, 30:24, 328:15, 334:2, 87:5, 87:17, 87:23, 56:6, 150:15, 339:3, 365:12, 88:2, 88:16, 88:24, 153:20, 191:13, 369:9, 369:10 99:11, 99:14, 99:23, 279:18, 281:15, 100:4, 100:10, needed [7] - 147:4, 286:16, 287:1, 162:16, 204:8, 100:14, 101:5, 309:11, 342:1, 101:11, 101:24, 208:1, 291:20, 342:6, 342:25, 306:22, 358:22 112:17, 116:8, 343:19, 351:1, needs [20] - 18:8, 116:12, 116:15, 361:23, 365:19, 52:24, 71:23, 82:20, 117:4, 119:14, 375:9 119:18, 119:25, 87:12, 95:9, 104:8, naïvety [1] - 374:13 169:24, 196:12, 121:5, 122:3, near [3] - 216:2, 122:18, 129:15, 207:2, 207:11, 232:2, 322:3 238:2, 271:10, 131:13, 131:23, nearest [2] - 318:1 132:25, 133:10, 273:4, 273:19, nearing [1] - 44:10 133:23. 137:3. 273:25, 276:1, nearly [4] - 74:20, 137:6. 137:20. 276:21, 279:8, 74:22, 354:11, 367:7 138:15, 139:14, 343:12 Nebraska [22] - 12:1, 139:24, 140:4, negative [1] - 232:5 12:3, 19:10, 28:5, 141:8, 143:13, negatively [1] - 366:16 29:1, 29:4, 30:12, 144:10, 146:8, negligent [1] - 216:21 40:12, 40:14, 40:20, 146:20, 147:15, negotiate [4] - 158:22, 60:1, 65:24, 69:1, 147:20, 147:22, 161:4, 164:21, 70:5, 70:9, 70:11, 148:14, 148:20, 173:19 72:7, 113:12, 140:3, 148:24, 149:3, negotiating [2] -184:17, 184:22, 149:8, 149:15,

149:22, 150:6, 150:9, 150:12, 150:18, 150:20, 150:25, 151:7, 151:15, 153:13, 153:23, 153:25, 154:9, 166:18, 170:17. 171:18. 172:4, 174:13, 174:20, 181:4, 182:2, 182:7, 185:11, 187:18, 187:25, 188:11, 189:6, 189:10, 189:16, 189:20, 190:7. 190:22. 191:8, 191:16, 191:18, 191:21, 192:6, 192:18, 193:3, 193:7, 193:16, 194:8, 194:13, 194:20, 194:25, 195:22, 196:6, 196:11, 196:18, 196:23, 197:5, 197:9, 197:16, 197:21, 203:5, 203:8, 203:13, 208:13, 208:20, 240:3, 240:11, 242:23, 244:25, 245:4, 246:24, 247:5, 247:7, 247:12, 247:20, 248:2, 248:4, 254:8, 257:6, 258:23, 259:4, 264:25, 265:13, 266:25, 267:2, 268:21, 269:6, 269:9, 269:21, 270:2, 270:6, 270:13, 270:22, 270:25, 271:9, 271:18, 272:1, 272:7, 272:14, 273:2, 273:10, 273:15, 274:5, 274:9, 274:17, 275:3, 275:13, 275:18, 276:17, 278:23, 279:5, 279:21, 279:23, 281:5, 281:9, 281:11, 281:18, 281:20, 282:4, 283:2, 283:10, 284:3, 284:8, 284:16, 285:16, 286:9, 286:14, 286:19, 286:21,

287:4, 287:6, 287:21, 288:2, 288:22, 289:9, 291:24, 292:9, 292:12, 293:3, 299:22, 300:1, 300:4, 300:7, 302:12. 302:22. 303:5, 303:19, 304:2, 304:25, 305:6, 306:2, 306:11, 306:24, 307:6, 307:15, 307:23, 308:20, 309:6, 309:9, 309:14. 309:16. 310:2. 310:5. 318:16, 319:14, 319:17, 319:20, 323:15, 323:19, 326:14, 326:20, 326:25, 327:4, 329:22, 330:3, 330:24, 332:23, 333:2, 333:15, 333:20, 334:4, 335:11, 335:17, 335:25, 336:18, 336:21, 337:13, 337:17, 337:22, 338:3, 338:13, 338:15, 339:7, 339:13, 340:16, 341:1, 341:20, 342:4, 342:6, 342:22, 343:16, 343:22, 343:24, 344:3, 346:10, 346:15, 347:10, 347:21, 348:3, 348:14, 350:10, 351:4, 351:6, 351:14, 351:22, 356:2, 357:13, 357:24, 358:12, 358:25, 359:8, 359:12, 360:21, 361:10, 361:14, 361:17, 361:20, 362:1, 362:3, 362:14, 362:17, 364:22, 365:9, 365:12, 365:22, 365:24, 366:6, 366:20, 367:2, 367:17, 367:19, 369:1, 369:10, 369:13, 369:23, 370:4, 370:13, 371:18, 371:25, 373:23, 374:12,

375:1, 375:8,	nobody's [3] - 81:21,	352:16, 353:8,	349:9, 350:13,	313:20, 313:22 , 32
375:12, 375:15	125:25, 298:2	353:25, 359:1	352:5, 359:6,	313:23, 314:8,
Nelson [27] - 4:19,	noise [1] - 12:12	noted [6] - 80:4,	359:10, 365:13	318:18, 326:9,
30:5, 30:15, 31:4,	nominal [2] - 65:12,	81:19, 97:12, 99:20,	numbered [2] -	329:17, 339:4,
56:11, 102:10,	65:13	110:1, 288:23	246:16, 255:4	362:22, 363:16,
150:20, 153:25,	nonanswer [1] - 173:4	notes [8] - 50:8,	numbers [6] - 3:9,	363:18
191:18, 194:18,	nonanswers [1] -	50:12, 51:19,	77:1, 95:13, 188:10,	objective [4] - 47:12,
199:10, 206:11,	163:22	243:16, 247:22,	189:2, 269:20	47:16, 111:9, 366:17
265:1, 275:24,	noncompliance [3] -	331:3, 345:18,		objects [1] - 110:21
279:23, 281:20,	135:18, 166:11,	357:19	0	obligated [2] - 57:20,
284:13, 286:21,	174:10	nothing [25] - 15:1,		124:4
287:6, 309:16,	noncompliant [1] -	42:20, 43:22, 70:23,		obligation [6] - 162:5,
342:6, 343:24,	230:24	74:6, 76:8, 76:9,	o'clock [4] - 340:12,	173:19, 173:20,
348:22, 351:6,	none [29] - 4:7, 4:13,	78:8, 78:19, 86:12,	340:13, 359:3, 359:4	308:13, 322:10,
362:3, 365:24,	17:13, 27:14, 30:23,	114:12, 128:4,	oath [1] - 63:3	365:5
375:15	36:18, 56:4, 68:24,	128:12, 138:1,	object [11] - 111:22,	obscure [1] - 374:23
Nelson's [2] - 283:16,	150:14, 153:19,	149:19, 160:12,	164:19, 253:22,	observations [1] -
284:10	165:3, 182:4,	162:19, 164:24,	313:24, 314:1,	166:22
Network [3] - 4:24,	191:12, 206:4,	165:13, 241:7,	314:8, 329:2, 352:9,	observing [1] - 310:8
16:10, 36:9	229:14, 279:17,	298:15, 300:18,	354:16, 364:14,	obtain [3] - 49:19,
networks [1] - 31:10	281:13, 286:25,	346:23, 355:24,	374:16	103:20, 114:3
never [15] - 24:21,	303:7, 308:22,	368:18	objected [7] - 91:4,	obtained [2] - 21:25,
29:18, 45:10, 59:10,	309:10, 342:24,	notice [13] - 64:14,	114:24, 155:5,	112:1
63:25, 92:3, 94:1,	343:18, 350:17,	103:9, 109:17,	169:7, 216:14,	obvious [2] - 79:21,
121:20, 185:5,	350:25, 361:3,	140:23, 140:25,	226:2, 318:22	173:4
296:6, 370:9,	361:22, 365:15,	142:3, 151:4, 151:5,	objecting [6] - 150:3,	obviously [13] - 5:22,
374:18, 374:22,	365:18	187:13, 356:18,	277:22, 278:3,	12:19, 15:8, 89:8,
374:25	nonexistent [2] -	372:17, 373:17,	314:9, 364:15, 370:5	144:6, 145:14,
new [16] - 37:17,	120:7, 150:24	373:18	objection [40] - 3:15,	173:20, 187:1,
67:13, 128:4,	nonlawyers [1] -	noticed [3] - 140:15,	46:25, 76:1, 93:6,	248:24, 256:3,
167:19, 192:24,	112:6	140:18, 141:6	95:2, 96:7, 96:23,	285:3, 310:25,
193:5, 195:13,	nonmoving [1] - 98:2	notices [2] - 140:14,	97:5, 156:20,	343:13
324:10, 328:17,	nonprevailing [1] -	140:15	164:23, 168:9, 168:16, 169:16,	occasions [2] - 272:5,
328:22, 333:25,	108:14	notified [2] - 97:15,	· · · · · ·	349:10
334:3, 334:8,	nonprivileged [1] -	97:16	193:20, 222:13, 226:19, 229:8,	occur [3] - 16:20,
334:19, 334:20,	171:25	notify [1] - 93:11	231:16, 231:20,	221:20, 255:8
354:15	nonresponsive [4] -	notion [2] - 8:9, 157:4	268:5, 268:17,	occurred [3] - 24:21,
New [2] - 255:22	229:18, 232:19,	Nuclear [1] - 103:24	275:11, 276:2,	221:19, 227:21
news [3] - 26:18,	236:9, 237:1	number [60] - 3:1, 3:2,	276:12, 288:23,	occurring [1] - 209:8
209:2, 209:3	normally [4] - 41:9,	5:24, 5:25, 24:16,	314:13, 314:15,	October [1] - 125:1
newsletters [1] -	113:9, 160:24,	46:3, 55:11, 61:24,	315:9, 318:24,	odd [1] - 59:2
205:9	250:10	69:6, 74:10, 75:21,	326:3, 326:8,	OF [8] - 1:2, 1:4, 1:4,
next [24] - 7:4, 17:10,	North [4] - 63:19,	76:4, 76:15, 76:19,	329:20, 352:22,	1:5, 2:1, 376:1,
62:10, 82:17, 95:5,	113:11, 173:6,	76:24, 90:1, 113:3,	353:20, 355:3,	376:3
95:7, 95:25, 143:5,	300:20	113:4, 122:11,	356:23, 366:4,	offense [5] - 62:13,
171:19, 174:8,	north [6] - 293:14,	123:5, 125:12,	366:8, 366:20,	135:25, 291:1,
199:9, 202:22, 205:17, 207:12,	294:20, 295:11,	130:4, 133:7, 139:8,	367:16	366:18, 367:15
	295:20, 318:1, 336:6	142:14, 142:23,	objectionable [2] -	offensive [1] - 79:16
208:21, 218:2, 222:6, 242:13,	nos [2] - 270:4, 270:5	171:10, 183:8,	91:8, 164:21	offer [23] - 61:13,
287:23, 296:12,	Nos [6] - 169:14,	197:24, 200:14,	objections [38] - 49:1,	64:12, 66:19, 71:12,
314:2, 344:4,	170:21, 186:7,	202:23, 217:5, 235:23, 238:9,	55:12, 91:3, 91:11,	71:13, 71:22, 78:20,
360:14, 365:3	186:8, 202:25,	239:7, 245:23,	92:1, 92:2, 94:4,	88:6, 100:22, 115:1,
nice [2] - 297:21,	203:10	249:22, 252:3,	95:4, 95:8, 96:10,	119:19, 127:18,
369:5	Notary [2] - 376:7,	252:20, 252:21,	97:1, 97:23, 121:16,	127:22, 129:18,
night [3] - 5:13,	376:18	260:24, 261:9,	127:4, 142:14,	137:15, 141:11,
130:15, 356:7	note [15] - 44:9, 69:8,	261:12, 271:14,	142:17, 157:7,	145:2, 178:6, 196:4,
nights [1] - 52:16	70:7, 80:5, 96:15,	273:23, 297:16,	164:18, 164:19,	196:25, 197:1,
nobody [2] - 14:4,	98:16, 120:14,	301:16, 309:1,	185:8, 188:13,	373:12, 373:22
105:10	140:12, 163:14,	335:19, 336:21,	215:6, 234:3,	offered [6] - 62:19,
100.10	249:17, 329:9,	3333, 33321,	265:10, 313:19,	71:10, 71:19, 98:24,
İ	1	1	1	1

196:4, 196:6	226:1, 227:24,	249:23, 252:21,	114:22, 129:16,
offering [29] - 37:16,	228:6, 231:3,	252:22, 253:17,	140:24, 141:10,
59:16, 62:2, 66:15,	231:12, 233:4,	254:10, 257:7,	144:12, 196:18,
70:21, 70:25, 71:5,	234:1, 234:4,	258:21, 259:12,	342:8, 347:1, 347:2,
71:6, 72:10, 83:18,	235:22, 235:23,	260:21, 260:25,	347:5, 348:9,
95:5, 95:23, 96:3,	235:24, 236:5,	261:21, 263:1,	348:24, 349:1
97:10, 119:22,	236:15, 236:17,	263:2, 264:4, 271:6,	opening [5] - 61:14,
119:23, 141:16,	236:21, 237:19,	272:16, 272:17,	65:16, 70:17, 70:23,
141:24, 142:8,	237:23, 238:23,	272:19, 274:3,	136:9
143:22, 147:16,	260:14	276:8, 279:5,	operate [3] - 201:11,
148:11, 148:17,	one [195] - 6:3, 7:13,	282:17, 285:17,	239:5, 325:21
195:6, 195:18,	9:2, 9:20, 11:14,	290:14, 291:6,	operated [2] - 213:8,
309:1, 309:4, 366:9	13:16, 14:2, 17:8,	294:14, 294:15,	322:8
offhand [1] - 134:13	21:18, 21:23, 25:9,	294:16, 294:17,	operating [2] - 104:24,
office [4] - 105:10,	33:4, 33:21, 33:22,	296:4, 296:5, 298:3,	317:7
182:12, 288:12,	45:11, 50:17, 54:15,	301:16, 302:6,	operation [6] - 21:24,
302:18	56:14, 56:17, 56:18,	302:8, 304:21,	180:24, 331:9,
Office [2] - 315:19,	60:20, 64:19, 64:24,	304:23, 304:24,	331:11, 331:12,
327:17	72:16, 74:9, 74:18,	306:5, 306:6,	331:18
officer [10] - 228:3,	76:6, 77:19, 81:7,	307:13, 307:19,	operational [1] - 210:3
228:4, 228:10,	81:9, 91:5, 96:16,	308:7, 309:3, 309:5,	operations [2] -
228:15, 243:6,	96:17, 100:13,	309:6, 310:16,	332:10, 332:19
243:9, 243:10,	101:23, 102:11,	316:20, 324:9,	operator [2] - 321:3,
243:12, 243:14,	102:13, 113:3, 116:20, 118:10,	325:19, 326:12, 327:25, 331:2,	321:18
243:15	120:2, 120:6,	332:25, 334:3,	opinion [6] - 17:12,
Officer [2] - 63:12, 63:15	126:14, 126:17,	336:10, 340:6,	49:20, 72:19, 73:8,
official [1] - 52:25	127:22, 129:25,	340:7, 345:16,	156:3, 236:24 opinions [1] - 198:11
officials [1] - 234:22	134:1, 139:12,	349:12, 350:13,	opportunity [41] - 5:5,
often [1] - 57:24	141:11, 141:12,	353:5, 354:23,	5:7, 11:3, 11:7,
Ogallala [1] - 14:16	143:5, 143:7, 146:6,	354:24, 355:6,	13:13, 15:3, 16:12,
Oglala [9] - 290:9,	147:6, 148:1,	355:19, 361:9,	18:1, 21:3, 23:13,
291:9, 294:23,	154:17, 155:12,	364:3, 366:19,	28:13, 62:22, 65:6,
297:11, 300:13,	163:9, 164:17,	369:10, 369:17,	65:18, 66:16, 76:23,
302:2, 303:3,	171:13, 174:4,	373:1, 374:17	76:25, 77:8, 105:20,
305:19, 308:3	175:25, 176:13,	one's [1] - 81:18	121:10, 126:17,
Ohio [1] - 63:23	176:15, 176:22,	one-liners [1] - 64:19	128:6, 128:13,
Oil [2] - 154:24, 168:6	177:13, 182:5, 182:13, 183:21,	one-year [2] - 13:16, 14:2	131:11, 131:19,
oil [13] - 157:14,	184:4, 184:14,		135:22, 147:3,
177:24, 201:10,	189:17, 197:19,	ones [25] - 35:18, 175:18, 175:19,	147:5, 147:8, 185:5,
203:16, 205:10,	198:17, 199:25,	186:24, 187:1,	194:3, 247:25,
207:13, 215:25,	201:2, 201:9, 202:2,	187:20, 212:13,	268:24, 272:20,
248:13, 263:4,	202:13, 203:2,	220:9, 245:14,	282:20, 283:14, 284:1, 288:25,
263:8, 316:13, 317:17, 323:20	203:23, 204:24,	245:24, 246:6,	310:10, 318:19
old [1] - 258:22	205:17, 206:10,	257:10, 257:11,	oppose [2] - 42:25,
Olson [2] - 284:14	208:2, 208:21,	270:2, 271:16,	103:14
once [46] - 10:1,	208:22, 208:23,	271:17, 274:6,	opposed [20] - 4:14,
31:24, 34:4, 98:3,	209:5, 209:17,	277:13, 278:16,	30:24, 56:6, 150:15,
100:4, 103:6,	210:11, 211:2,	314:16, 314:18,	153:20, 191:13,
138:12, 178:10,	214:4, 218:2, 218:3,	333:4, 352:2, 356:10	200:18, 209:19,
198:24, 199:8,	218:4, 219:14,	ongoing [6] - 22:12,	279:18, 281:15,
206:2, 207:16,	220:11, 221:9,	79:14, 91:12, 96:13,	286:16, 287:1,
207:17, 210:14,	221:14, 222:22, 223:24, 224:19,	128:4, 180:2	309:11, 342:1,
210:15, 212:25,	226:8, 230:12,	Onida [1] - 376:13	342:25, 343:19,
213:11, 213:25,	231:10, 231:21,	oops [1] - 274:1 open [24] - 24:5, 43:5,	351:1, 361:23,
214:9, 215:20,	238:6, 239:20,	46:25, 47:2, 47:4,	365:19, 375:9
217:5, 217:10,	241:4, 242:1, 242:6,	47:8, 48:7, 53:12,	opposes [1] - 89:22 opposition [1] -
221:5, 222:1, 223:7,	242:16, 243:23,	75:8, 110:3, 110:10,	272:20
223:23, 225:6,	244:19, 246:16,		212.20
1	1	1	1

oppressive [1] - 288:933 option [1] - 114:18 oral [1] - 355:11 orange [1] - 294:22 oranges [1] - 266:12 order [139] - 3:5, 3:19, 33:12, 33:16, 35:16, 37:8, 42:12, 46:18, 50:16, 51:15, 51:20, 58:22, 59:23, 60:4, 61:10, 61:22, 61:25, 62:14, 64:8, 65:7, 66:7, 76:2, 76:3, 76:8, 76:12, 77:6, 77:10, 78:3, 78:5, 78:10, 80:18, 84:1, 85:7, 85:10, 85:11, 85:18, 86:11, 86:17, 89:25, 90:9, 90:13, 90:16, 90:18, 90:23, 91:7, 91:9, 92:24, 93:10, 94:21, 95:12, 95:25, 97:18, 98:1, 98:3, 98:8, 98:21, 99:2, 102:9, 104:7, 109:18, 109:19, 109:21, 111:25, 115:15, 116:2, 116:4, 116:24, 120:21, 120:24, 120:25, 121:3, 122:13, 124:1, 124:2, 126:2, 127:16, 128:20, 133:6, 137:11, 138:25, 151:2, 152:19, 154:10, 166:4, 172:11, 172:18, 176:19, 178:21, 179:13, 185:21, 187:6, 193:9, 195:5, 199:14, 207:11, 211:24, 212:22, 213:16, 233:11, 233:24, 235:12, 237:25, 238:3, 249:8, 252:3, 261:8, 261:16, 262:8, 263:25, 264:14, 271:7, 271:8, 271:20, 272:16, 275:1, 278:4, 278:24, 279:1, 287:11, 315:13, 319:6, 320:3, 323:4, 325:13, 330:12, 337:10, 344:4, 344:11, 358:21, 360:13, 361:5,

	1	1		
367:21, 369:14,	266:4, 330:20,	page [25] - 3:6, 37:20,	152:4, 156:13,	207:5, 218:6, 238:133 4
369:21, 369:24,	348:24, 349:1	141:18, 148:14,	159:18, 163:19,	particulars [1] -
370:7, 374:8, 374:20	outstanding [8] - 6:6,	151:13, 161:23,	166:23, 167:17,	115:18
Order [19] - 44:11,	38:24, 44:5, 47:14,	169:17, 185:17,	168:9, 168:10,	parties [95] - 5:11,
45:10, 76:20, 78:12,	149:23, 362:6,	188:19, 188:25,	168:13, 168:18,	5:17, 5:24, 12:23,
85:21, 90:17, 90:23,	366:1, 366:3	201:19, 203:8,	168:25, 169:3,	32:10, 33:2, 33:13,
93:4, 93:14, 95:18,	overall [1] - 51:3	227:11, 256:6,	169:6, 174:1,	41:6, 45:13, 47:11,
96:9, 114:14, 152:1,	overbroad [6] - 155:9,	267:14, 267:20,	176:23, 179:8,	48:21, 49:14, 49:15,
226:9, 228:11,	160:25, 161:1,	268:2, 268:5,	179:18, 179:22,	49:18, 49:22, 50:11,
231:11, 233:25,	162:2, 183:15, 234:3	323:18, 330:8,	181:19, 196:2,	50:16, 50:22, 54:4,
370:15	overcome [1] - 29:7	330:11, 333:8,	196:20, 200:12,	60:20, 62:7, 62:15,
ORDER [1] - 1:5	overlaid [1] - 290:2	333:9, 362:15	244:2, 253:12,	62:21, 70:12, 70:15,
Ordered [1] - 35:4	overlap [1] - 198:2	pages [5] - 180:17,	253:13, 264:12,	71:12, 71:19, 71:21,
ordered [2] - 85:7,	overlooked [2] -	217:12, 217:16,	268:14, 273:19,	71:22, 76:4, 84:7,
142:20	37:22, 38:19	267:9, 336:4	279:5, 282:5,	86:12, 86:14, 87:22,
ordering [1] - 277:16	overly [16] - 134:5,	paint [1] - 241:6	282:22, 291:23,	88:4, 89:23, 90:1,
orderly [1] - 32:1	169:13, 173:15,	pair [1] - 363:9	303:15, 303:25,	90:15, 91:15, 92:11,
orders [16] - 58:17,	184:19, 206:3,	paleo [2] - 257:18,	305:23, 316:18,	93:6, 95:18, 98:13,
77:5, 77:13, 77:20,	215:4, 218:18,	258:4	321:10, 327:11,	98:18, 100:13,
79:2, 85:6, 118:15,	222:11, 226:16,	paleontological [15] -	328:25, 358:10,	102:7, 102:12,
128:24, 129:10,	228:6, 230:2,	237:18, 237:21,	360:22, 361:14,	104:8, 105:1, 105:4,
152:20, 261:12,	231:16, 354:17,	241:13, 241:22,	361:16, 362:24,	105:12, 105:16,
272:5, 277:7,	355:14, 363:15,	242:2, 242:7,	370:15, 373:3,	106:15, 109:13,
366:14, 368:21	368:24	249:16, 249:18,	373:7, 373:10, 374:1	110:20, 112:12,
ordinances [1] -	overriding [1] - 198:12	249:20, 254:23,	parte [1] - 53:12	118:10, 118:24,
235:20	overrule [2] - 142:17,	257:24, 258:19,	partial [1] - 254:14	125:18, 133:6,
ordinary [3] - 184:24,	313:20	261:25, 272:25,	partially [1] - 169:4	135:11, 135:15,
291:17, 302:20	overruled [1] - 363:18	315:4	participate [16] - 35:7,	136:23, 137:12,
organization [3] -	overrules [1] - 97:23	paper [4] - 64:24,	61:16, 65:6, 69:23,	138:23, 138:25,
46:10, 105:5, 113:8		236:14, 297:24,	70:16, 73:2, 106:23,	139:2, 144:20,
organizations [2] -	overruling [1] - 142:14	311:8	113:10, 113:16,	145:1, 160:24,
67:16, 106:7	oversight [1] - 155:18	papers [2] - 64:14,	114:18, 128:14,	161:16, 162:4,
organized [1] - 310:4	overturn [2] - 76:20,	189:8	129:6, 136:11,	169:2, 171:8,
oriented [1] - 253:4	96:18	paragraph [8] - 75:22,	138:23, 139:1, 139:6	178:12, 178:13,
1	overuse [1] - 254:17	76:19, 133:8, 145:8,	participating [2] -	178:14, 184:4,
original [9] - 162:24, 188:19, 213:6,	overwhelmed [3] -	185:17, 185:18,	62:2, 98:15	184:6, 187:9, 191:5,
226:9, 230:16,	68:14, 343:9, 343:10	188:18, 188:25	participation [7] -	192:4, 253:13,
234:8, 235:2,	overwhelming [1] -	paragraphs [3] -	56:24, 72:9, 97:11,	272:9, 277:8, 285:7,
263:11, 263:25	67:17	38:17, 77:1, 126:5	97:25, 129:1,	288:3, 310:11,
originally [2] - 15:21,	own [25] - 20:19, 32:6,	parallels [1] - 295:17	142:16, 142:19	312:14, 330:13,
293:16	50:8, 50:9, 52:22,	paraphrase [1] -	particular [34] - 12:8,	342:12, 343:4,
others' [1] - 90:20	64:7, 73:5, 73:8,	132:14	30:1, 46:9, 54:9,	346:22, 352:7,
otherwise [7] - 93:24,	78:10, 90:9, 90:23,	paraphrasing [1] -	100:1, 104:1, 108:2,	373:21
97:10, 108:5, 110:3,	92:23, 99:1, 115:14,	13:22	109:3, 146:5, 147:7,	parties' [2] - 72:2,
, , , , ,	139:1, 155:16,	Parkhurst's [1] -	168:17, 169:15,	92:16
110:12, 170:14, 270:10	157:6, 165:7,	120:7	177:5, 177:6,	parts [1] - 111:12
ought [10] - 27:5,	201:11, 291:5,	Parks [2] - 158:10,	177:21, 188:3,	party [56] - 3:23, 4:4,
41:8, 89:9, 129:17,	295:21, 298:10,	231:9	192:19, 198:3,	4:10, 5:10, 33:22,
215:17, 216:22,	301:11, 305:18, 336:23	parks [1] - 234:21	204:24, 207:25,	50:23, 60:22, 90:8,
217:1, 218:24,		Part [1] - 249:5	215:3, 218:12,	90:17, 90:24, 92:6,
245:2, 271:5	owner [1] - 242:4 ownership [1] -	part [74] - 10:1, 11:2,	218:23, 224:13,	94:5, 94:8, 94:18,
ourselves [2] - 55:22,	1	13:5, 23:6, 30:13,	226:14, 241:7,	95:1, 95:5, 95:15,
336:25	241:22	51:3, 58:11, 62:21,	262:8, 263:6, 271:5,	96:3, 96:4, 96:6,
outcome [1] - 7:25	owns [1] - 295:19	64:25, 70:6, 77:2,	276:14, 287:8,	96:12, 98:2, 98:5,
		79:17, 81:13, 103:7,	320:21, 355:6,	98:12, 103:8,
outdated [1] - 339:5	Р	108:9, 110:13,	355:25	108:14, 109:20,
outright [1] - 216:23		110:16, 119:5,	particularly [10] -	111:22, 116:16,
outset [2] - 32:12,	p.m [1] - 375:17	128:20, 140:9,	32:16, 45:22, 69:17,	116:19, 117:5,
75:20 outside [7] - 43:6,	packets [2] - 368:19,	140:11, 144:3,	69:25, 166:23,	132:22, 145:25,
114:16, 203:21,	368:22	144:8, 147:7, 150:8,	175:20, 207:2,	158:2, 158:3, 163:9,
114.10, 203.21,				

162:11 171:2	272.6 274.04
163:11, 171:3,	373:6, 374:24
171:4, 173:17,	people's [3] - 11:17,
195:5, 199:3,	66:2, 364:11
254:17, 260:25,	People's [1] - 160:20
276:24, 279:2,	per [2] - 116:23,
279:11, 303:6,	158:21
311:14, 319:1,	percent [1] - 331:20
345:11, 357:14,	perfect [1] - 100:7
360:15, 368:15	perform [1] - 28:3
party's [1] - 111:8	performed [1] -
pass [2] - 125:18,	234:16
187:7	perhaps [10] - 19:3,
passed [7] - 59:13,	107:13, 108:14,
85:18, 133:5, 294:4,	117:25, 143:14,
297:8, 322:9, 340:2	174:22, 261:3,
passes [6] - 31:5,	270:16, 275:25,
147:15, 191:19,	287:15
213:1, 255:8, 279:24	period [7] - 59:13,
passing [1] - 356:6	140:14, 140:24,
password [2] - 359:7,	141:6, 173:10,
359:8	186:1, 219:25
past [7] - 8:25, 9:15,	permissible [1] - 97:8
52:12, 83:14,	permission [6] -
208:14, 282:8, 361:1	298:22, 300:12,
patently [1] - 235:16	300:18, 301:12,
pattern [1] - 230:25	302:3, 303:2
Paul [1] - 198:22	PERMIT [1] - 1:5
Pause [2] - 190:6,	permit [66] - 3:19,
273:12	6:18, 6:21, 7:10, 8:2,
pay [2] - 129:11,	10:12, 11:25, 12:8,
224:23	15:21, 15:25, 17:19,
payments [1] - 368:10	21:20, 21:23, 22:4,
pending [4] - 41:6,	23:3, 24:16, 24:17,
78:1, 177:8, 365:7	25:6, 25:11, 30:11,
people [68] - 7:4, 10:6,	30:12, 57:14, 57:16,
11:18, 14:10, 14:12,	92:21, 118:1, 125:5,
14:14, 17:17, 29:22,	125:16, 133:7,
29:23, 35:2, 44:25,	155:24, 156:10,
55:16, 62:4, 69:25,	164:7, 166:7, 171:4,
70:2, 70:14, 70:21,	172:19, 172:20,
70:22, 70:25, 71:4,	176:24, 178:2,
71:9, 73:6, 73:13,	203:24, 203:25,
73:24, 77:19, 103:3,	205:23, 206:18,
104:12, 104:15,	206:19, 228:17,
106:4, 120:2, 120:6,	229:12, 230:10,
130:1, 130:3,	232:8, 232:10,
131:18, 139:19,	234:8, 235:2,
144:5, 148:3, 148:6,	240:18, 263:11,
148:9, 150:24,	263:24, 311:14,
177:17, 192:13,	316:5, 316:7,
215:15, 216:2,	319:12, 346:4,
216:7, 231:6, 234:5,	363:10, 364:5,
242:6, 256:6,	364:14, 364:17,
258:16, 274:20,	372:22, 373:2,
295:9, 307:2, 313:1,	373:4, 373:7, 373:10
321:20, 340:6,	Permit [7] - 11:23,
348:21, 349:6,	11:24, 17:15, 21:21,
349:10, 368:23,	199:22, 206:8,
368:24, 370:1,	229:13
370:2, 370:12,	
3/0,2, 3/0,12.	permits [3] - 199:23,

```
315:16, 315:18
permitted [3] - 6:8,
 97:2, 97:3
permitting [16] - 9:21,
 14:22, 21:19, 24:17,
 25:2, 25:13, 33:15,
 171:12, 180:22,
 199:21, 199:24,
 205:25, 226:10,
 226:14, 263:10
person [14] - 82:13,
 103:6, 139:5, 139:9,
 145:14, 147:13,
 150:24, 151:3,
 162:17, 171:10,
 321:19, 349:15,
 371:20, 372:4
person's [2] - 103:11,
 108:25
personal [3] - 139:1,
 139:22, 345:19
personally [3] - 43:22,
 63:10, 152:9
personnel [1] - 359:19
persons [6] - 59:15,
 129:10. 138:22.
 138:25, 178:11,
 357:5
perspective [1] - 45:7
pertaining [4] -
 254:13, 254:17,
 348:19, 349:6
pertains [1] - 331:3
Peter [1] - 116:11
PETITION [1] - 1:4
Petition [14] - 3:18,
 13:25, 58:5, 91:24,
 94:5, 118:7, 167:5,
 167:23, 174:7,
 216:22, 230:10,
 260:3, 260:5, 260:13
Petitioner [3] -
 117:22, 163:11,
 174:1
phase [4] - 68:3,
 246:5, 246:8, 250:1
PHMSA [47] - 154:25,
 155:22, 155:24,
 155:25, 156:21,
 157:11, 168:7,
 168:13, 168:14,
 168:15, 180:23,
 205:20, 207:3,
 215:2, 219:2, 222:8,
 222:9, 248:23,
 248:24, 249:1,
 257:16, 262:16,
 263:3, 263:13,
 263:14, 265:25,
 266:15, 266:18,
```

```
266:19, 284:19,
 285:18, 285:19,
 285:20, 286:6,
 287:24, 316:24,
 323:1, 323:9,
 325:16, 328:3,
 328:4, 328:5, 328:6,
 328:21. 354:1
PHMSA's [4] - 266:18,
 284:19, 285:20
phone [37] - 6:15,
 14:22, 17:25, 21:2,
 36:7, 36:19, 38:1,
 38:2, 38:5, 39:16,
 39:17, 44:18, 49:18,
 87:1, 87:17, 89:1,
 100:9, 101:13,
 101:20, 101:21,
 122:1, 122:19,
 130:4, 132:23,
 145:15, 149:12,
 177:15, 180:11,
 211:18, 242:24,
 345:19, 362:8,
 362:11, 362:12,
 369:7
phone's [1] - 101:15
phones [5] - 12:14,
 44:18, 89:2, 100:6,
 177:19
phrase [4] - 48:13,
 195:10, 195:23,
 241:4
phrased [1] - 167:16
phrases [1] - 219:15
pick [4] - 8:6, 162:15,
 183:7, 265:2
picked [1] - 64:15
piece [4] - 236:13,
 257:17, 273:21,
 296:23
Pierre [2] - 2:3, 311:3
Pine [3] - 293:19,
 294:24, 294:25
pint [1] - 177:24
pipe [3] - 170:6, 294:7,
 295:8
Pipeline [26] - 3:18,
 3:20, 117:15, 118:2,
 122:14, 155:1,
 155:21, 155:25,
 159:12, 167:21,
 168:24, 169:12,
 176:4, 179:7,
 213:10, 230:16,
 255:8, 265:19,
 265:23, 295:6,
 306:21, 317:3,
 320:24, 321:5,
 321:10, 356:20
```

```
PIPELINE [2] - 1:4, 1:635
pipeline [110] - 6:8,
 6:16, 6:22, 7:10,
 7:21, 8:4, 9:23, 10:7,
 11:22, 12:1, 14:8,
 25:19, 26:10, 26:14,
 28:7, 74:20, 74:23,
 74:25, 75:1, 75:4,
 75:5, 114:1, 156:8,
 158:19, 170:9,
 183:24, 184:21.
 199:20, 201:22,
 202:3, 202:16,
 203:18, 204:5,
 204:7, 204:8,
 204:15, 204:20,
 205:19, 207:7,
 207:10, 207:17,
 207:24, 208:24,
 209:24, 210:6,
 210:14, 211:6,
 212:18, 214:6,
 214:13, 215:8,
 216:2, 216:4, 216:9,
 216:19, 216:23,
 217:14, 217:15,
 218:5, 218:14,
 218:18, 220:13,
 220:24, 222:2,
 228:5, 232:2, 232:5,
 232:22, 238:19,
 238:22, 239:16,
 246:10, 251:7,
 252:25, 260:13,
 285:18, 290:2,
 293:16, 293:17,
 293:18, 295:17,
 295:22, 297:17,
 298:13, 298:15,
 298:23, 299:8,
 299:14, 299:15,
 301:2, 307:14,
 316:22, 316:24,
 317:6, 317:9,
 317:25, 321:3,
 321:18, 322:3,
 325:3, 325:6, 331:8,
 331:11, 337:12,
 340:1, 354:4, 357:1
pipeline's [3] - 301:15,
 322:16. 332:4
pipelines [13] - 8:21,
 9:2, 9:6, 9:17,
 157:12, 175:15,
 201:11, 201:20,
 207:14, 210:11,
 213:8, 299:11,
 321:12
place [25] - 26:25,
 33:2, 44:11, 51:4,
```

	1	1	1	
58:22, 90:18, 132:5,	260:14, 260:15,	320:10, 350:24,	360:16, 373:16	148:7, 152:24, 36
177:18, 209:1,	308:11	357:1, 359:15,	possibly [6] - 26:13,	192:1, 192:16,
209:10, 209:13,	played [3] - 44:14,	361:1, 361:7, 362:5,	53:11, 112:2, 128:7,	194:6, 196:19,
220:13, 226:10,	45:5, 183:15	374:14	344:24, 367:20	246:1, 371:23
229:12, 229:13,	playing [9] - 89:12,	point's [1] - 123:10	post [4] - 157:18,	precluding [4] - 90:20,
238:3, 253:21,	112:8, 132:2,	pointed [10] - 27:3,	261:18, 319:25,	142:7, 195:5, 309:1
256:6, 290:21,	160:16, 242:11,	42:11, 46:18, 90:21,	338:25	preclusion [4] - 96:3,
291:21, 291:22,	312:24, 313:1, 313:2	91:21, 237:19,	postconstruction [1] -	98:5, 108:20, 192:3
305:24, 330:21,	pleadings [1] - 346:9	259:13, 275:25,	331:19	precursor [4] - 56:23,
336:10, 349:14	pleasant [2] - 243:25,	310:15	posted [3] - 84:11,	107:3, 152:14, 197:3
placed [5] - 144:1,	338:19	pointing [1] - 198:21	157:14, 157:15	predict [2] - 15:13,
222:23, 234:24,	pleasure [1] - 141:13	points [5] - 20:8,	potential [11] - 14:6,	19:23
235:17, 331:19	plenty [1] - 268:24	242:19, 249:6,	14:7, 47:18, 106:3,	preempt [1] - 33:9
places [3] - 29:1,	plow [1] - 53:7	341:18, 348:5	114:1, 210:6,	preempted [4] - 155:6,
106:10, 295:7	plowing [2] - 55:20,	policy [6] - 112:23,	214:15, 254:17,	157:6, 157:18, 165:2
Plains [1] - 236:1	240:9	254:1, 329:10,	256:4, 261:9, 261:20	preemption [1] -
plains [1] - 160:1		331:8, 331:25	power [5] - 49:7,	183:16
plaintiff [2] - 58:4,	plural [2] - 175:18,	polluted [1] - 26:13	221:3, 221:18,	prefer [1] - 248:7
58:8	249:20	Pollution [2] - 154:24,	221:21, 223:14	prefile [4] - 116:20,
plan [20] - 4:25, 5:15,	plus [1] - 74:20 pneumonia [1] - 20:19	168:6	powers [1] - 51:13	116:23, 116:25,
25:16, 157:17,	1 .	poor [1] - 346:25	practicable [6] -	192:15
175:12, 178:19,	point [101] - 8:4, 8:8,	populated [2] - 265:25	220:1, 220:3, 220:5,	prefiled [110] - 3:8,
178:20, 178:23,	8:11, 14:13, 19:20,	population [1] - 322:2	244:22, 311:11,	3:14, 22:15, 26:16,
178:25, 179:20,	21:22, 24:22, 26:1,	populations [1] -	311:16	35:4, 56:15, 56:25,
180:23, 180:24,	29:18, 35:9, 36:12, 37:8, 38:25, 41:7,	212:21	practical [1] - 219:23	57:4, 62:11, 62:16,
185:7, 229:3,	49:3, 69:3, 81:5,	portion [7] - 41:19,	practicalities [1] -	62:18, 62:20, 63:2,
237:21, 242:7,	82:10, 82:22, 82:25,	41:21, 61:17, 146:5,	108:18	63:4, 63:12, 63:18,
249:18, 300:10,	87:22, 88:4, 92:14,	271:8, 273:24, 280:7	practice [2] - 123:17,	63:19, 63:20, 63:22,
324:11, 358:9	92:22, 96:21, 109:3,	portions [3] - 181:15,	230:25	63:24, 64:2, 64:8,
Plan [32] - 25:15,	110:25, 115:6,	257:8, 372:19	practices [1] - 9:19	64:12, 64:17, 66:6,
157:10, 168:19,	117:14, 121:10,	pose [3] - 64:6,	practitioner [1] -	77:3, 77:6, 77:7,
168:20, 168:22,	121:21, 126:22,	157:12, 215:9	105:9	77:25, 78:12, 78:16,
168:23, 169:6,	129:19, 132:10,	posed [2] - 213:10,	precedence [2] -	78:18, 84:2, 85:8,
169:10, 175:6,	134:7, 138:14,	224:10	346:25, 348:7	85:23, 86:6, 88:6,
175:14, 176:7,	140:20, 141:1,	posing [1] - 224:9	precedent [2] -	94:20, 98:7, 98:9,
176:8, 177:2, 177:5,	142:1, 142:23,	position [19] - 29:2,	346:21, 347:12	98:24, 98:25, 99:6,
179:7, 179:11,	142:25, 144:13,	59:8, 62:13, 74:1,	precisely [6] - 63:7,	99:8, 100:22, 101:8,
180:3, 180:15,	144:16, 145:1,	76:20, 97:24, 119:9,	97:25, 138:14,	104:2, 104:18,
181:8, 181:21,	146:9, 146:21,	119:19, 134:11,	157:3, 160:14,	110:15, 110:18,
183:12, 183:14,	148:25, 151:1,	134:12, 165:8,	161:16	114:13, 114:25,
214:21, 249:13,	157:9, 159:17,	177:9, 277:20,	preclude [22] - 37:12,	115:2, 115:22,
312:15, 316:13,	161:7, 163:7,	285:1, 285:4, 307:5,	56:23, 92:6, 92:11,	118:17, 118:19,
323:13, 323:20,	171:15, 180:25,	327:18, 345:1	94:18, 95:5, 97:7,	118:25, 119:2,
353:23, 358:4,	183:21, 184:14,	position's [1] - 176:19	97:9, 102:6, 102:18,	119:20, 121:7,
358:5, 358:7	189:13, 191:24,	positions [2] - 54:5,	103:2, 104:21,	124:20, 124:24,
planned [2] - 121:17,	194:16, 201:2,	343:15	109:15, 111:18,	125:23, 126:2,
322:17	211:23, 240:5,	possess [2] - 156:10,	141:22, 142:4,	126:8, 127:22,
planning [4] - 74:12,	240:15, 242:13,	161:2	143:18, 143:19,	145:2, 146:14,
119:19, 178:17,	242:15, 248:22,	possessed [1] -	143:22, 148:12,	147:2, 150:4,
224:9	249:3, 249:25,	336:15	148:15, 195:1	151:18, 151:22,
plans [13] - 60:11,	256:23, 260:22,	possession [4] -	Preclude [14] - 37:15,	152:8, 152:23,
157:12, 180:20,	265:12, 265:14,	156:7, 167:20,	57:2, 75:16, 78:6,	153:4, 153:15,
262:10, 317:17,	266:12, 270:3,	188:4, 215:11	83:18, 89:23, 101:7,	155:10, 155:14,
320:4, 320:5, 320:9,	270:14, 271:3,	possibility [2] -	109:10, 112:11,	155:16, 155:17,
323:21, 324:22,	285:4, 287:11,	187:14, 199:2	112:12, 121:6,	155:23, 156:2,
325:1, 325:3, 337:11	288:6, 288:8,	possible [12] - 13:11,	141:16, 151:17,	156:12, 156:24,
plant [2] - 293:15,	289:13, 290:14,	13:14, 100:11,	151:21	157:4, 157:7, 167:7,
308:4	293:23, 298:3,	137:11, 137:18,	precluded [13] -	167:8, 167:9, 184:1,
play [7] - 26:6, 27:1,	298:4, 310:12,	138:13, 167:25,	59:16, 93:7, 98:15,	192:20, 192:23,
34:17, 40:18,	314:24, 317:20,	169:13, 224:7,	134:21, 147:16,	193:8, 193:10,

	1	1		
194:9, 195:2, 195:7,	presented [9] - 27:21,	347:19, 350:5	375:17	178:17 , 180:22 , 37
195:11, 195:15,	66:13, 68:17, 75:18,	pro [9] - 39:5, 39:25,	Proceedings [1] - 1:8	209:14, 223:22,
195:25, 196:7,	108:11, 111:17,	105:7, 113:17,	proceedings [43] -	225:17, 227:14,
196:24, 218:6,	115:5, 312:16	362:21, 363:5,	6:1, 6:10, 6:24, 7:19,	230:21, 230:22,
369:24, 370:2,	presenting [4] - 54:5,	364:18, 370:6,	8:5, 8:12, 10:5,	242:10, 244:12,
370:8, 370:11, 372:1	78:1, 92:6, 107:4	370:12	11:13, 16:5, 16:19,	244:13, 244:15,
prehistoric [1] -	Preservation [2] -	probable [4] - 17:11,	17:7, 17:18, 22:12,	247:23, 250:22,
330:16	315:18, 327:17	137:19, 137:21,	22:19, 24:18, 24:20,	252:10, 256:11,
prejudice [6] - 73:20,	president [3] - 248:15,	138:14	25:23, 26:2, 26:5,	256:13, 257:1,
94:25, 109:2,	304:22, 317:5	problem [12] - 12:18,	27:5, 32:10, 34:15,	260:7, 261:4,
111:20, 149:7, 174:6	Presidential [8] -	24:4, 24:6, 60:12,	35:2, 35:8, 58:1,	264:11, 268:14,
prejudiced [6] - 81:18,	11:23, 11:24, 17:15,	65:10, 146:2,	73:21, 75:9, 105:2,	277:24, 310:14,
81:21, 81:23, 97:22,	21:21, 199:22,	162:19, 217:24,	184:16, 184:25,	314:7, 316:2,
145:19, 291:2	206:7, 229:13, 263:9	271:16, 278:7,	224:14, 225:20,	326:10, 327:16,
prejudicial [2] - 95:22,	presidential [2] -	308:12, 308:17	228:21, 258:5,	330:20, 366:15,
96:14	17:19, 203:25	problems [8] - 14:14,	261:13, 263:7,	366:17
premature [6] - 97:24,	presidents [1] - 264:5	35:3, 35:11, 40:8,	310:8, 317:21,	processes [3] - 139:4,
101:4, 102:19,	pressures [2] -	88:22, 89:20,	354:9, 376:9, 376:12	139:10, 225:9
106:14, 112:2,	190:11, 317:7	102:11, 215:14	PROCEEDINGS [1] -	produce [32] - 59:7,
276:15	presumably [3] -	procedural [3] -	2:1	60:16, 60:17, 160:3,
preparation [1] -	125:4, 136:22, 200:3	57:23, 58:22, 111:13	proceeds [1] - 20:5	162:13, 163:3,
95:10	pretend [1] - 256:7	procedurally [2] -	process [135] - 7:24,	164:6, 164:11,
prepare [7] - 46:19,	pretty [22] - 3:5, 20:20,	102:14, 281:25	11:18, 12:5, 13:1,	164:20, 164:23,
105:22, 126:17,	34:14, 55:21,	Procedure [10] -	14:13, 15:20, 15:23,	164:25, 171:4,
128:9, 182:23,	135:25, 139:22,	91:18, 92:13,	15:25, 16:2, 19:21,	217:7, 222:14,
207:4, 239:8	143:2, 186:21,	102:19, 110:14,	19:24, 19:25, 21:19,	223:5, 223:11,
prepared [21] - 156:4,	190:15, 202:15,	163:1, 164:7,	21:22, 22:4, 24:17,	223:12, 224:16,
168:21, 168:23,	204:17, 223:10,	171:17, 311:14,	24:24, 25:2, 28:15,	226:24, 228:22,
179:14, 179:21,	230:19, 230:23,	312:3, 326:8	28:16, 28:23, 30:13,	231:19, 238:3,
187:9, 194:4,	237:13, 262:3,	procedure [16] -	30:14, 30:17, 31:11,	259:21, 287:23,
214:22, 219:7,	292:11, 316:3,	41:21, 94:25, 97:9,	34:13, 34:16, 34:23,	346:19, 346:21,
225:10, 232:14,	316:9, 350:15,	107:18, 110:24,	41:8, 42:4, 44:3,	354:21, 355:3,
237:5, 237:6, 244:6,	355:1, 359:15	111:24, 112:14,	44:10, 44:11, 44:23,	355:7, 355:13, 364:13, 369:7
250:23, 272:21,	prevent [2] - 112:4,	132:19, 133:15,	45:5, 45:12, 46:8,	produced [12] -
273:6, 288:4, 317:4,	209:10	133:19, 166:4,	47:19, 50:21, 51:21, 54:6, 54:9, 54:19,	159:21, 163:15,
361:7	previous [2] - 256:16,	182:19, 275:11,	54:22, 58:10, 62:13,	163:17, 163:21,
preparing [3] - 55:21,	270:8	275:21, 276:23,	62:21, 63:8, 65:9,	164:10, 238:2,
114:9, 244:3	previously [8] -	277:24	67:14, 67:16, 68:1,	239:11, 288:10,
preposterous [7] -	207:16, 216:4,	procedure-wise [1] -	68:7, 68:14, 69:20,	340:11, 355:8,
61:3, 61:9, 76:16,	217:13, 223:9,	133:15 procedures [1] -	70:3, 75:20, 77:17,	355:24, 360:12
76:18, 76:22, 95:17, 96:20	237:6, 262:24,	102:14	79:13, 79:22, 80:1,	producible [1] -
prerequisite [5] -	277:6, 349:10	proceed [12] - 5:15,	88:8, 94:7, 95:1,	222:20
107:4, 107:19,	primarily [1] - 290:23	7:15, 9:13, 11:1,	104:8, 105:13,	producing [4] -
110:7, 110:19	primary [4] - 6:12,	23:7, 38:23, 48:7,	105:14, 106:15,	163:10, 163:11,
prescribed [1] -	11:14, 289:20 principle [1] - 198:13	245:16, 274:9,	109:25, 113:16,	171:12, 237:21
155:21	principles [1] - 196.13	282:6, 351:21, 362:6	119:12, 124:12,	product [11] - 50:9,
present [22] - 57:10,	1	proceeding [33] -	124:13, 125:14,	50:12, 51:5, 60:10,
77:14, 77:21, 80:10,	printed [2] - 3:6, 37:20	13:17, 17:16, 22:1,	125:18, 126:16,	127:5, 127:6, 127:8,
80:11, 81:20, 98:24,	private [13] - 232:21, 233:6, 252:4, 252:5,	22:8, 22:9, 22:11,	127:4, 130:7,	127:11, 288:10,
102:23, 103:11,	252:8, 252:14,	22:24, 23:3, 41:5,	131:18, 132:9,	350:21, 363:13
107:20, 108:22,	252:0, 252:14, 252:15, 252:17,	43:18, 57:11, 57:12,	136:1, 143:15,	Production [27] - 59:1,
109:7, 113:5,	252:19, 252:23,	57:13, 59:17, 59:18,	144:5, 144:9, 145:6,	186:5, 188:14,
113:14, 114:21,	252.19, 252.25, 253:21	61:12, 61:13, 61:14,	145:17, 160:15,	198:8, 200:23,
114:22, 139:3,	privilege [3] - 95:9,	61:15, 61:17, 62:19,	160:16, 160:17,	205:4, 217:22,
207:11, 238:9,	259:18, 346:7	63:8, 103:8, 105:13,	160:20, 160:21,	218:3, 221:2, 223:6,
248:6, 316:14,	privileged [9] - 91:12,	114:19, 117:15,	160:22, 161:25,	223:18, 226:2,
369:24	96:11, 198:23,	119:1, 193:11,	171:15, 176:6,	226:7, 227:10,
presentation [3] -	237:22, 237:23,	266:20, 288:10,	176:17, 176:23,	228:25, 234:15,
65:12, 99:5, 289:7	259:17, 345:7,	329:14, 357:2,	178:2, 178:4, 178:9,	236:16, 238:6,
	, ,			

	1			
239:19, 269:4,	prompted [1] - 221:14	274:25, 277:4,	336:1, 336:2, 336:3,	public's [1] - 171:9 38
283:6, 330:10,	promptly [1] - 125:2	277:7, 278:4, 278:8,	336:13, 336:16,	publicly [4] - 261:18,
353:11, 353:18,	pronounced [1] -	279:1, 319:6,	337:3, 339:6,	262:4, 278:20,
353:22, 357:25,	112:22	330:12, 358:21	339:10, 341:11,	299:24
358:17	proof [2] - 301:6,	proud [2] - 43:25,	349:24, 356:9,	published [1] - 5:23
production [27] -	301:7	45:17	356:14, 357:7	PUC [15] - 37:18, 85:7,
45:24, 58:25, 90:11,	proper [6] - 103:4,	provide [66] - 32:9,	provider's [1] - 117:17	85:11, 98:8, 106:18,
156:6, 166:3,	104:7, 105:3,	48:16, 49:24, 65:18,	provides [6] - 98:1,	107:24, 109:23,
188:15, 217:5,		78:4, 78:16, 81:17,	98:2, 191:4, 227:11,	132:15, 133:7,
225:21, 227:7,	110:24, 268:15, 317:16	93:16, 94:15, 98:4,	322:14, 327:23	i i
229:20, 239:3,		110:15, 115:8,	· ·	138:22, 138:24, 335:20, 344:25,
248:13, 270:19,	properly [2] - 121:12,	115:18, 116:4,	providing [17] - 49:21,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
280:24, 281:7,	145:4		78:6, 93:8, 93:13,	345:2, 367:24
, ,	properties [1] - 242:3	137:10, 153:2,	101:3, 163:12,	PUC's [3] - 156:22,
281:15, 282:11,	property [9] - 17:6,	155:3, 158:11,	169:18, 170:8,	353:24, 354:7
287:14, 315:15,	74:15, 130:23,	158:17, 158:21,	192:5, 211:13,	Puget [2] - 175:12,
315:22, 322:19,	242:5, 252:16,	165:11, 176:15,	217:18, 235:22,	175:15
353:1, 353:3,	252:20, 258:18,	180:25, 184:2,	236:21, 238:24,	pull [4] - 118:21,
353:14, 354:10,	298:24, 368:10	201:17, 201:23,	253:22, 254:22,	219:1, 313:12, 330:4
354:20, 355:23	prophylactic [1] -	205:14, 207:1,	365:1	pulled [4] - 161:22,
professional [1] -	47:20	207:23, 208:14,	province [2] - 156:21,	194:1, 217:19,
123:21	proposed [10] - 211:7,	210:16, 210:18,	215:1	339:19
Professional [2] -	213:10, 228:5,	212:24, 213:3,	provision [2] - 272:13,	pulling [1] - 225:14
376:6, 376:19	234:10, 302:15,	213:5, 213:8, 219:4,	344:20	pump [7] - 221:7,
profile [19] - 317:24,	316:13, 317:17,	220:25, 225:8,	provisions [3] - 277:4,	318:2, 319:23,
318:5, 319:22,	317:25, 354:13,	229:15, 234:12,	277:7, 278:8	327:23, 336:6,
320:14, 327:21,	355:16	236:5, 236:13,	proximate [1] - 339:1	336:7, 336:9
327:22, 335:22,	proposes [1] - 354:22	253:25, 280:15,	proximity [1] - 221:21	pumping [4] - 221:3,
335:24, 336:2,	proposing [1] -	283:14, 283:22,	prudent [1] - 107:22	221:18, 221:21,
336:5, 337:4, 337:9,	335:19	284:2, 285:12,	PUBLIC [2] - 1:1, 1:11	223:14
338:24, 339:25,	propounded [1] -	300:21, 300:22,	public [58] - 19:22,	pumps [1] - 308:6
340:1, 340:13,	58:17	301:23, 315:3,	43:6, 43:9, 47:1,	punish [1] - 72:6
340:14, 340:15,	proprietary [4] -	317:11, 317:16,	47:2, 47:7, 74:3,	punished [1] - 90:24
341:19	215:2, 321:14,	317:24, 320:8,	74:16, 75:8, 117:17,	purely [1] - 10:15
profiles [1] - 327:24	321:23, 329:11	321:3, 321:18,	138:1, 138:9, 140:7,	purpose [7] - 60:13,
profits [2] - 8:22,	proscriptively [1] -	323:4, 343:11,	140:10, 140:14,	125:17, 136:15,
218:9	271:19	349:20, 353:5,	140:16, 140:17,	182:24, 258:13,
program [1] - 321:13	protect [3] - 60:10,	353:6, 354:12	140:18, 140:19,	325:10, 349:14
programs [1] - 179:18	212:19, 368:20	provided [64] - 92:20,	140:21, 140:24,	purposes [5] - 21:9,
progressed [1] -	protected [8] - 266:2,	94:16, 97:14, 110:3,	141:3, 141:5,	40:16, 42:14,
127:21	274:20, 278:11,	110:11, 110:12,	157:21, 158:16,	169:24, 202:10
prohibit [4] - 70:21,	318:25, 319:4,	114:7, 118:16,	164:9, 210:10,	pursue [2] - 29:9,
70:25, 135:13, 166:5	327:13, 346:6, 347:5	146:12, 146:14,	228:3, 228:9,	108:18
prohibiting [1] - 117:2	protecting [1] -	161:9, 169:2,	228:15, 243:5,	push [2] - 94:20,
project [26] - 57:15,	232:20	169:22, 170:23,	243:8, 243:9,	119:11
117:15, 118:3,	protection [8] - 91:23,	171:25, 200:15,	243:12, 243:13,	put [57] - 12:14, 37:22,
125:9, 138:9,	213:2, 236:17,	201:18, 202:13,	243:15, 250:15,	44:18, 50:15, 50:24,
156:19, 157:1,	255:9, 315:4,	208:4, 210:1, 213:6,	251:4, 252:24,	57:20, 60:19, 73:14,
159:13, 160:9,	315:13, 322:6,	214:23, 215:22,	273:3, 275:2, 275:9,	74:4, 76:21, 77:4,
160:10, 160:12,	344:11	217:14, 219:2,	284:20, 285:24,	77:13, 77:23, 79:18,
167:21, 167:22,		219:13, 225:7,	286:1, 290:8, 300:6,	
170:13, 180:1,	Protection [2] - 63:21,	228:1, 233:9, 235:9,	301:25, 302:7,	89:2, 100:5, 108:24,
204:15, 204:18,	63:22	237:12, 265:10,	303:16, 316:21,	115:14, 116:25,
204:22, 225:8,	protections [2] -	285:8, 289:25,	321:16, 321:17,	118:18, 118:22,
228:5, 253:6, 268:8,	360:13, 360:16	291:7, 300:15,	345:20, 345:21,	118:25, 121:22,
314:5, 314:6,	protective [21] -	304:16, 312:19,	347:4, 347:14	122:19, 124:24,
317:23, 324:14	211:24, 213:16,	312:20, 317:16,	Public [11] - 58:1,	130:14, 130:21,
projections [3] -	233:11, 235:12,	319:22, 321:1,	58:10, 62:14, 63:18,	130:22, 147:13,
224:19, 225:3,	237:25, 261:8,	322:18, 324:21,	63:19, 124:6, 172:7,	149:2, 150:1, 157:4,
225:16	261:16, 271:7,	327:18, 327:21,	251:5, 251:6, 376:7,	158:10, 162:16,
promised [1] - 172:21	271:20, 272:5,	333:7, 334:24,	376:18	173:23, 190:10,
promiseu[i] - 1/2.21	272:13, 272:16,	335:2, 335:14,	373.13	197:3, 203:1,
1		1		1

85:9, 85:14, 115:1,

115:2, 116:9,

116:14, 153:8,

206:16, 210:2, 238:3, 242:24, 260:1, 260:13, 260:14, 261:15, 281:4, 282:7, 285:10, 315:6, 315:12, 321:9, 321:20. 341:19. 347:7, 366:5, 369:2 puts [1] - 69:24 putting [7] - 74:2, 77:15, 119:4, 130:13, 206:15, 260:15, 373:5 puzzled [1] - 193:12 PVC [4] - 294:20, 295:8, 301:17, 301:18

Q

quarter [6] - 74:20, 74:22, 83:6, 295:15, 295:16, 296:1 questionable [1] -368:16 questioning [1] -174.14 questions [111] - 3:2, 3:4, 9:24, 21:12, 23:14, 27:13, 28:2, 48:8. 51:22. 52:3. 66:9, 66:11, 75:25, 76:9, 76:11, 76:14, 81:4, 82:10, 82:11, 109:8, 109:14, 115:19, 124:18, 129:22, 130:6, 132:12, 132:19, 133:23, 135:1, 135:13, 135:16, 136:22, 137:5, 141:9, 147:19, 149:2, 167:14, 170:16, 170:18, 170:19, 172:16, 174:25, 175:1, 181:4, 182:3, 192:10, 202:2, 218:4, 244:18, 245:1, 247:18, 247:20, 250:5, 250:6, 254:7, 254:9, 257:6, 258:23, 258:25, 268:16, 274:12, 289:19, 292:13, 295:7, 300:9, 301:1, 301:10, 303:1, 303:5, 303:23,

306:5, 306:25, 307:17, 307:20, 308:21, 312:2, 312:3, 323:7, 324:19, 326:21, 326:23, 328:14, 328:22, 329:4. 329:23, 330:25, 332:23, 333:25, 334:1, 334:3, 334:8, 334:9, 334:15, 334:18, 334:19, 334:20, 338:5, 346:16, 347:8, 347:21, 357:12, 357:14, 358:13, 362:22, 363:5, 365:9, 365:14 quick [10] - 37:24, 119:17, 129:24, 130:25, 134:1, 148:1, 181:7, 243:8, 295:13, 367:13 quicker [2] - 33:19, 202:25 quickly [5] - 83:8, 149:13, 160:18, 190:12, 367:12 quite [17] - 12:24, 73:20, 94:19, 130:16, 135:6, 190:18, 190:25, 193:19, 196:15, 259:7, 280:14, 298:9, 311:17, 323:3, 355:17, 368:4, 374:3 quote [6] - 102:22, 161:24, 198:16, 199:11, 240:23, 264:4

303:25, 305:15,

293:18

ranch [1] - 117:16

rancher [1] - 17:3

R

rabbit [1] - 118:21 rail [2] - 210:7, 210:12 raise [5] - 107:20, 113:22, 117:25, 189:13, 364:16 raised [14] - 6:12, 24:11, 24:21, 25:25, 46:14, 46:25, 57:3, 108:16, 120:10, 126:18, 197:25, 215:6, 228:18, 229:9 raises [2] - 63:3, 221:4 raising [1] - 107:7 rambling [1] - 367:1 ran [2] - 247:10,

ranchers [6] - 26:12, 104:13, 212:7, 223:25, 224:21, 230.4 ranching [1] - 368:6 ranchland [1] - 233:19 range [2] - 106:2, 207:20 Rappold [14] - 11:9, 12:10, 15:18, 35:25, 131:24, 309:19, 310:8, 328:11, 329:9, 329:16, 334:23, 335:12, 336:11, 342:11 RAPPOLD [30] -11:11, 12:18, 34:11, 131:21, 131:24, 279:3, 309:25, 310:3, 310:6, 318:9, 318:13, 318:15, 318:18, 319:16, 319:19, 319:21, 323:17, 323:20, 326:18, 326:24, 327:2, 327:7, 330:6, 335:15, 335:23, 336:19, 336:22, 338:23, 341:8, 341:17 rare [1] - 242:4 rather [7] - 53:7, 197:11, 240:16, 283:20, 315:15, 330:12, 339:10 rationale [2] - 221:6, 235:10 reach [1] - 314:14 reached [3] - 233:21, 250:25, 261:13 reaches [1] - 243:19 reaction [1] - 79:17 read [35] - 33:5, 37:22, 38:16, 38:20, 38:21, 77:23, 79:6, 88:10, 99:7, 144:16, 144:17, 145:7, 145:8, 145:9, 145:23, 148:21, 151:10, 171:12, 171:16, 174:18, 174:19, 182:9, 185:16, 188:11, 195:3, 242:14, 243:6, 296:14, 308:2, 308:11, 320:18, 321:6,

222:6, 225:6, 229:7, 229:11, 244:8, 245:15, 256:18,

258:15, 266:23,

153:10, 153:12,	recognize [1] - 72:7	51:25, 54:9, 348:19	regulate [2] - 184:7,	232:19, 233:22, 4 0
153:13, 192:5,	recognized [2] -	referee's [1] - 51:18	184:11	236:20, 250:17,
192:21, 194:2,	104:7, 106:22	referees [2] - 41:22,	regulated [1] - 239:7	358:3
195:6, 195:7,	recommendations [8]	42:5	regulations [17] -	relation [4] - 75:15,
195:10, 195:11,	- 200:1, 204:3,	reference [11] - 13:15,	154:25, 155:22,	171:19, 172:5,
195:14, 195:20,	206:6, 229:5,	51:23, 75:21, 76:13,	157:11, 168:7,	185:14
195:24, 195:25,	323:11, 323:24,	155:10, 170:20,	199:19, 205:25,	relations [1] - 155:25
196:3, 196:4, 196:8,	372:23, 373:2	320:1, 323:6, 324:5,	207:10, 234:19,	relationship [2] -
196:10, 197:1,	recommended [1] -	335:19, 336:20	235:19, 248:24,	281:4, 349:18
197:2, 197:7,	317:2	referenced [6] - 223:9,	262:19, 266:16,	relative [4] - 27:10,
258:24, 347:10,	reconsider [1] -	227:3, 236:10,	266:18, 284:19,	52:21, 57:22, 251:11
371:2, 372:2, 372:3	264:22	263:11, 265:1	316:25, 321:14	relatively [5] - 184:23,
receipt [1] - 13:21	reconvene [3] - 248:5,	references [2] - 13:20,	regulator [5] - 9:1,	186:14, 186:18,
receive [5] - 55:12,	273:10, 273:11	55:11	9:17, 168:12,	199:10, 351:19
94:11, 95:4, 353:3,	record [46] - 8:20, 9:4,	referencing [8] - 42:6,	285:18, 328:6	relatives [1] - 52:22
359:23	10:12, 10:17, 13:9,	133:7, 234:18,	regulators [12] - 9:3,	relaxed [1] - 351:17
received [19] - 59:14,	24:20, 34:17, 39:11,	236:15, 237:17,	157:15, 184:21,	release [3] - 215:25,
93:5, 93:15, 94:2,	72:22, 74:4, 80:4,	241:5, 241:12, 324:6	219:3, 219:8,	
94:3, 96:22, 159:11,	83:3, 99:20, 112:21,	referred [8] - 34:24,	222:10, 223:2,	277:3, 277:16
193:24, 208:17,	134:16, 134:23,	164:1, 199:10,	235:7, 238:16,	released [3] - 261:14,
302:13, 304:5,	134:16, 134:23, 140:9, 140:11,	199:25, 206:12,	239:8, 263:20,	272:12, 278:20
304:15, 305:21,	140.9, 140.11,	207:16, 217:8,	266:14	relevance [4] - 25:5,
352:25, 353:19,	154:20, 158:16,	217:24	Regulatory [2] - 64:1,	198:15, 259:16,
355:20, 356:18,	166:9, 166:12,	referring [5] - 84:11,	103:24	356:23
366:21, 372:16	167:9, 172:20,		regulatory [10] -	relevancy [2] - 288:20,
receiving [2] - 162:7,	176:25, 206:7,	84:20, 87:24, 175:6, 373:25	104:22, 184:16,	317:21
279:2	250:15, 256:19,		211:14, 263:3,	relevant [64] - 25:17,
recent [7] - 26:18,	279:2, 300:6,	refile [1] - 174:6	315:20, 345:16,	45:2, 155:6, 156:12,
98:20, 132:10,	303:16, 327:3,	refinery [1] - 203:16	346:3, 347:18,	157:5, 158:13,
156:7, 158:9,	347:14, 347:17,	reflect [1] - 118:19	350:4, 356:18	170:11, 198:23,
158:14, 317:23	363:3, 364:9, 366:5,	reflective [1] - 173:12	reiterate [1] - 92:18	199:1, 199:3,
recently [4] - 103:23,	366:8, 367:24,	refuse [1] - 369:16	reject [1] - 326:9	200:20, 201:25,
135:6, 142:10,	371:11, 373:1,	refused [7] - 91:25,	rejecting [1] - 143:8	202:1, 202:7,
158:15	373:3, 375:4, 375:5	109:21, 175:22,	relate [11] - 57:8,	202:20, 203:21,
recertification [1] -	recorded [2] - 138:4,	185:7, 213:3,	72:25, 74:8, 155:25,	204:10, 205:6,
25:7	203:12	217:24, 234:11	163:18, 170:2,	206:1, 207:22, 209:23, 209:25,
recertified [2] - 15:25,	recorder [1] - 48:4	regard [8] - 51:12,	186:18, 218:22,	
118:2	recorder's [1] - 302:18	69:2, 71:23, 77:3,	222:20, 257:16,	210:4, 210:20, 211:2, 219:10,
recess [14] - 82:22,	records [10] - 159:24,	193:25, 323:23,	285:19	220:23, 221:17,
83:2, 83:10, 154:7,	219:20, 311:8,	354:7, 355:23	related [17] - 57:1,	224:14, 225:19,
154:8, 240:10,	339:16, 345:21,	regarding [25] - 84:1,	70:9, 140:7, 167:21,	225:20, 226:16,
247:13, 248:3,	345:22, 347:1,	93:23, 97:18, 166:6,	168:6, 169:5,	226:20, 228:7,
269:8, 270:22,	347:3, 347:6	172:14, 172:25,	169:11, 177:2,	228:9, 228:13,
273:8, 273:9, 282:1,	recovering [1] - 20:19	183:3, 183:23, 185:22, 191:24,	199:15, 199:24,	228:21, 229:20,
344:2	recused [1] - 52:23	1	251:1, 254:1, 262:2,	230:5, 230:12,
recipients [1] - 162:1	Red [1] - 102:5	194:1, 208:5,	328:1, 329:1,	230:19, 231:9,
reciprocate [1] -	red [1] - 102.5	222:10, 228:18, 237:16, 239:13,	356:13, 356:17	234:23, 235:20,
173:25	redacted [6] - 169:4,		relates [12] - 45:2,	237:8, 238:17,
recitation [1] - 213:12		243:17, 248:12,	84:2, 118:10,	238:20, 239:23,
reclaim [1] - 230:7	178:25, 180:18, 181:21, 271:25,	303:23, 331:5,	166:14, 207:5,	240:25, 259:16,
Reclamation [10] -	358:10	345:3, 353:23, 357:1, 357:3, 370:18	229:24, 236:14,	263:6, 263:9,
292:19, 293:24,	redacting [1] - 180:19	regards [1] - 337:18	237:2, 250:4,	285:22, 287:18,
293:25, 298:11,	<u> </u>	•	285:25, 353:12,	301:1, 313:25,
299:7, 300:12,	redundant [1] - 198:4	regional [1] - 300:20	356:22	317:20, 318:21,
300:14, 300:16,	refer [5] - 148:11,	register [2] - 366:18,	relating [16] - 76:19,	319:8, 319:10,
300:19, 303:4	155:17, 171:10,	367:15	154:24, 159:23,	348:10, 352:10,
reclamation [5] -	202:18, 323:8	Registered [2] -	171:20, 182:11,	354:8
229:3, 229:25,	referee [13] - 41:25,	376:5, 376:19	182:24, 184:20,	reliant [1] - 209:12
230:22, 233:2,	42:9, 42:13, 42:25,	regret [1] - 149:10	185:3, 203:16,	relicensing [1] - 346:4
300:11	43:5, 48:12, 48:15,	regs [2] - 222:8,	220:21, 224:18,	relied [3] - 86:4,
300.11	49:17, 49:23, 51:14,	222:25	,,,	
Ī	1	1	1	1

23:8, 40:3, 44:15,	271:10, 305:9,	226:14, 228:22, 4
62:14, 70:8, 70:22,	305:23, 335:22,	230:7, 231:19,
73:5, 73:8, 92:23,	354:17, 369:8	235:18, 260:9,
94:24, 95:14, 115:9,	requester [2] - 162:2,	277:15, 321:13,
115:14, 117:10,	162:4	321:18, 325:16,
148:5, 154:22,	requesting [4] - 70:20,	326:7
154:23, 154:25,	115:24, 173:17,	requirement [7] -
155:1, 156:5, 158:6,	319:1	13:17, 106:18,
159:15, 161:10,	Requests [6] - 198:7,	107:3, 107:19,
162:8, 162:24,	200:22, 205:3,	114:25, 258:15,
163:14, 164:2,	226:2, 239:19,	277:18
164:8, 164:20,	353:11	requirements [4] -
168:5, 168:9,	requests [79] - 32:21,	92:24, 233:23,
168:17, 168:18,	32:23, 32:24, 33:14,	324:8, 324:9
169:11, 170:11,	34:24, 43:24, 44:2,	requires [9] - 34:16,
170:24, 171:4,	45:18, 45:24, 49:2,	60:5, 126:16, 164:6,
171:5, 172:3, 177:1,	55:4, 58:25, 59:1,	179:13, 268:15,
177:4, 178:2, 181:7,	70:6, 70:9, 71:18,	276:15, 316:4,
181:17, 182:9,	71:21, 88:5, 90:1,	320:25
182:16, 182:25,	90:11, 90:12, 91:5,	requiring [1] - 277:8
183:4, 183:23,	92:20, 96:8, 100:22,	research [3] - 127:9,
215:3, 217:21,	115:12, 118:8,	270:10, 270:11
222:6, 222:7,	142:21, 143:6,	Reservation [3] -
223:10, 224:13,	144:21, 145:1,	293:19, 294:11,
225:25, 227:18,	155:4, 155:8,	294:25
229:18, 229:20,	156:20, 157:5,	reservation [2] -
231:21, 232:16,	158:7, 161:21,	294:6, 322:22
234:2, 234:9,	162:1, 162:10,	reserve [3] - 67:25,
234:23, 243:7,	163:23, 163:24,	104:10, 114:23
244:21, 249:13,	166:2, 167:17,	residents [3] - 26:12,
255:11, 270:19,	170:20, 173:25,	224:10, 225:15
272:7, 272:10,	182:13, 186:2,	resolution [3] - 35:10,
273:4, 275:23,	186:21, 195:4,	289:16, 314:14
280:23, 281:7,	200:7, 203:2,	resolve [11] - 12:5,
281:14, 282:10,	205:16, 207:19,	40:19, 49:19, 55:7,
283:15, 292:10,	217:6, 218:1,	74:3, 86:21, 109:2,
315:22, 327:11,	219:16, 219:24,	314:17, 318:19,
327:19, 347:3,	220:6, 220:7, 227:7,	349:16, 353:17
352:8, 353:14,	229:23, 232:15,	resolved [18] - 13:17,
353:15, 353:16,	235:24, 237:15,	47:15, 65:20, 65:24,
353:21, 353:23,	240:21, 241:4,	69:2, 82:20, 99:17,
354:10, 354:11,	243:4, 269:19,	128:5, 135:3, 135:9,
354:20, 355:11,	273:16, 311:12,	151:1, 189:17,
355:14, 355:18,	311:15, 315:12,	192:1, 310:14,
355:23, 356:22,	326:13, 327:21,	313:7, 313:11,
358:2, 360:10,	352:2, 355:5, 357:9,	319:18, 329:16
362:20, 363:25,	362:23, 363:8	resolves [2] - 99:25,
364:21	require [15] - 40:18,	135:7
requested [34] -	42:3, 64:17, 69:22,	resolving [5] - 32:11,
42:14, 70:24, 72:20,	114:17, 129:10,	34:23, 35:14, 44:5,
72:23, 73:5, 85:17,	138:25, 152:8,	50:18
86:14, 90:17, 90:23,	157:20, 160:8,	resource [4] - 114:4,
91:19, 93:5, 115:11,	163:22, 218:21,	130:10, 158:14,
155:13, 156:14,	250:22, 276:10,	212:20
162:14, 163:4,	280:15	resources [22] -
165:11, 201:11,	required [22] - 11:23,	25:15, 105:9,
204:25, 208:4,	34:18, 43:10, 63:12,	105:19, 106:3,
210:2, 212:25,	93:14, 96:7, 98:18,	106:6, 113:22,
224:18, 228:23,	126:21, 140:20,	114:2, 114:6,
239:22, 259:21,	157:10, 222:14,	126:10, 156:9,
259:22, 262:17,		

205:1, 221:5

relief [4] - 96:14,

148:5

174:24

234:11

117:10, 119:10,

rely [6] - 66:2, 72:2,

160:2, 171:8,

212:21, 354:22

relying [3] - 27:10,

166:13, 216:13

remain [1] - 252:19

remainder [2] - 146:7,

remaining [1] - 329:17

remains [2] - 21:25,

remarks [3] - 29:13,

remedies [4] - 102:16,

94:12, 175:7

remediation [1] - 238:16

161:16, 261:9,

remedy [8] - 90:19, 91:20, 92:19, 96:2,

96:20, 98:5, 165:8,

135:6, 140:5, 152:6,

261:20

235:11

367:11

remember [14] -

152:10, 206:10,

251:19, 257:21,

293:8, 295:23,

299:12, 299:15,

299:17, 339:23,

remembers [2] -

152:13, 367:7

remind [1] - 44:17

remove [1] - 248:7

134:9, 170:14,

203:6, 273:22

repeatedly [1] -

177:17

353:20

355:17 **replace** [1] - 210:7

172:24

repeated [1] - 226:5

repermitted [1] - 75:4

rephrase [4] - 122:16,

352:18, 353:14,

352:24, 353:16,

replow [1] - 229:8

Reply [2] - 161:23,

reply [1] - 267:11

report [6] - 10:3,

49:3, 250:18

26:18, 46:19, 47:12,

rephrased [3] -

reopen [1] - 8:5 repeat [5] - 32:7, Reported [1] - 1:24

238:16, 241:21

376:19, 376:19

reporter [3] - 18:8,

reporting [1] - 33:14

Reports [1] - 323:25

reports [17] - 205:8,

217:13, 219:6,

225:10, 231:15,

232:15, 237:5,

238:8, 238:11,

239:2, 239:9, 239:13, 243:14,

261:2, 323:12

345:12, 345:13

representation [3] -

322:24, 345:11,

representatives [1] -

represented [8] - 39:6,

82:14, 128:21,

128:23, 132:7,

132:13, 216:4,

representing [3] -

140:3, 316:21,

349:2, 349:3

represents [3] - 230:4,

Republic [1] - 160:20

reputable [1] - 114:3 reputation [1] - 256:3

Request [37] - 168:3,

169:8, 169:9,

169:14, 171:20,

172:6, 174:14,

186:5, 188:13,

217:22, 218:3,

220:10, 221:2,

223:6, 223:18,

226:3, 226:7,

227:10, 228:25, 234:15, 236:16,

238:6, 241:11,

249:15, 249:24, 250:2, 250:3,

251:24, 269:3,

283:6, 330:10,

353:18, 353:22,

357:25, 358:16

request [107] - 3:22,

3:25, 4:3, 14:25,

249:1, 249:2,

345:24 representative [1] -

112:19

224:21

344:19

345:10

represent [3] - 132:14,

38:8, 376:9

reported [3] - 177:25,

Reporter [4] - 376:6,

	158:20, 163:18,	207:24, 207:25,	353:13, 353:20,	results [1] - 158:23	232:21, 233:7, 42
	173:24, 216:1,	208:6, 219:24,	353:21, 354:6,	retail [1] - 292:24	252:4, 252:8,
	224:11, 232:6,	220:6, 247:25,	355:1, 360:19,	retrial [4] - 57:18,	252:16, 252:17,
	232:20, 237:18,	267:17, 267:22,	364:8, 364:23	69:9, 69:13, 69:16	252:19, 253:11
	241:13, 262:4,	292:14, 296:13,	Response [26] -	retrieve [1] - 240:24	Robin [1] - 5:19
	277:14, 368:20	299:22, 311:11,	25:14, 157:10,	return [1] - 165:13	Rock [26] - 4:23,
	respect [69] - 8:18,	311:14, 360:21	168:19, 168:20,	Reuters [1] - 26:18	14:20, 40:23,
	8:19, 12:13, 21:18,	responded [14] -	168:22, 168:23,	Reva [1] - 74:17	116:11, 116:21,
	22:2, 22:12, 22:14,	39:22, 60:2, 62:8,	169:6, 175:6,		118:14, 119:7,
	22:19, 26:1, 94:21,	92:4, 121:24,	175:14, 176:7,	reveal [3] - 59:23, 138:4, 228:15	154:10, 159:10,
	112:3, 118:4, 118:9,	163:13, 163:23,	177:2, 177:5, 179:7,	· ·	160:6, 160:11,
	119:4, 123:4,	169:17, 176:10,	180:3, 180:15,	revenue [2] - 224:19,	162:3, 162:12,
	123:16, 148:9,	208:8, 208:9, 220:7,	181:8, 181:21,	225:16	165:9, 165:20,
	156:23, 157:9,	244:24, 352:10	183:12, 183:14,	review [8] - 37:18,	171:21, 173:7,
	157:24, 158:8,	respondents [3] -	316:13, 323:13,	42:8, 51:24, 179:19,	182:6, 182:8,
	159:12, 161:17,	58:7, 59:9, 61:19	323:20, 353:23,	189:11, 261:11,	182:10, 185:14,
	168:3, 169:8,	responding [5] - 40:4,	358:4, 358:5, 358:7	280:1, 362:19	188:13, 190:12,
	169:14, 169:18,	40:5, 162:22,	responses [27] - 33:4,	reviewed [1] - 69:15	190:13, 198:1,
	170:13, 172:23,	165:16, 173:24	59:14, 72:1, 88:5,	reviewing [1] - 96:18	338:11
	173:5, 177:4, 178:5,	responds [2] - 24:10,	92:16, 92:17, 93:23,	reviews [1] - 159:25	Rock's [5] - 154:4,
	183:11, 199:17,	328:5	94:3, 97:6, 97:22,	Richard [2] - 316:20,	159:18, 198:18,
	202:8, 207:6, 230:3,	response [89] - 21:8,	121:14, 135:25,	317:4	199:12, 221:11
	230:7, 233:9,	33:5, 80:3, 81:19,	145:1, 176:9,	rid [1] - 85:13	rodeo [1] - 158:1
	234:25, 243:2,	83:16, 85:20, 93:15,	176:22, 200:14,	Ridge [3] - 293:19,	role [3] - 140:7,
	271:4, 276:25,	93:25, 94:3, 94:12,	204:12, 219:16,	294:24, 294:25	346:21, 346:24
	277:12, 278:13,	94:14, 97:1, 100:12,	239:9, 242:21,	ridiculous [2] - 93:2,	roll [1] - 8:4
	287:13, 287:25,	107:1, 121:12,	246:4, 268:2,	93:4	rolling [1] - 260:7
	294:2, 299:20,	126:5, 132:3,	313:11, 338:7,	rightfully [2] - 91:4,	Ronald [1] - 264:5
	307:13, 327:10,	132:12, 138:16,	355:21, 357:12	117:21	Room [1] - 2:3
	327:20, 328:8,	147:2, 157:12,	responsibilities [2] -	rights [15] - 98:6,	room [14] - 6:16, 11:9,
	328:9, 328:11,	157:16, 158:6,	105:21, 155:18	102:23, 106:15,	15:6, 16:24, 17:22,
	328:24, 329:3,	159:14, 162:7,	responsibility [13] -	109:25, 114:23,	24:5, 33:21, 36:16,
	329:8, 329:11,	164:1, 166:2,	28:15, 29:8, 54:3,	119:3, 126:14,	87:19, 122:21,
	343:14, 349:17,	168:19, 169:15,	54:4, 54:12, 77:18,	138:12, 192:3,	133:1, 152:2,
	349:25, 356:13,	169:22, 171:1,	107:14, 146:1,	192:4, 196:16,	152:12, 242:10
	356:15, 356:17,	172:3, 174:2,	155:19, 158:4,	299:21, 304:12,	roots [2] - 105:5,
	356:19, 357:3, 357:9	175:12, 175:23,	168:12, 294:1,	304:13	106:7
	respectfully [7] - 22:3,	175:24, 177:5,	301:22	riparian [1] - 232:2	Rosebud [31] - 4:22,
	22:23, 23:8, 43:16,	179:17, 181:19,	responsive [9] -	risen [1] - 113:10	11:8, 31:9, 34:10,
	44:15, 195:4, 218:20	183:19, 184:12,	161:10, 169:20,	Risk [1] - 323:24	131:25, 153:16,
	respective [4] -	184:15, 185:7,	170:24, 171:24,	risk [6] - 157:13,	265:16, 290:10,
	105:16, 111:17,	205:5, 208:18,	192:13, 195:20,	213:5, 224:10,	294:9, 294:10,
	297:18	211:13, 219:22,	207:18, 225:25,	255:17, 323:11,	294:11, 294:14,
	respects [2] - 50:5,	226:15, 227:2,	358:8	323:21	297:10, 307:8,
	176:12	229:17, 232:7,	rest [11] - 73:19,	risks [4] - 215:9,	307:10, 309:18,
	respond [48] - 37:11,	235:3, 236:8,	158:23, 164:22,	224:8, 238:22	310:9, 311:5, 322:8,
	43:23, 59:9, 59:10,	237:13, 238:14,	214:24, 220:8,	River [15] - 4:23, 15:5,	322:11, 322:14,
	60:21, 61:11, 61:20,	239:17, 239:18,	243:22, 244:24,	15:8, 19:20, 31:9,	333:3, 337:19,
	65:5, 65:15, 69:19,	242:14, 244:24,	266:2, 352:22,	35:22, 114:2, 212:5,	338:13, 338:14,
	70:5, 91:19, 91:23,	249:17, 253:24,	355:3, 357:11	290:9, 290:10,	338:21, 341:4,
	92:1, 92:4, 94:8,	255:11, 261:7,	restate [2] - 187:23,	294:15, 294:16,	341:6, 342:15,
	100:21, 129:14,	265:16, 266:7,	245:17	294:21, 297:11,	343:12
	141:21, 141:23,	267:4, 285:17,	restaurants [1] -	306:16	round [6] - 159:20,
Į	144:25, 147:10,	289:11, 311:10,	239:12	rivers [2] - 212:15,	159:22, 327:20,
Į	148:4, 148:10,	315:3, 317:17,	restrict [1] - 5:11	212:16 road (14) - 16:6 .47:19	335:7, 335:9, 337:20
	148:16, 149:4,	320:4, 324:20,	result [10] - 14:15,	road [11] - 16:6, 47:19,	Rounds [1] - 1:16
	151:7, 158:5,	325:6, 326:2,	166:12, 167:18,	74:21, 206:19, 241:24, 252:6,	route [20] - 11:25,
Į	160:25, 163:5,	327:19, 334:24,	210:24, 211:10,	241.24, 252.0, 252:14, 252:23,	12:1, 12:6, 227:1,
Į	164:8, 166:23,	337:11, 352:17,	216:1, 216:11,		227:3, 227:8,
Į	169:13, 169:21,	352:24, 353:10,	258:5, 301:22	253:1, 253:2, 253:7 roads [10] - 74:21,	227:12, 227:14,
				10aus [10] - 14.21,	
		1	1	1	

	1	1	1	1
227:17, 234:10,	110:17, 163:1,	210:3, 210:15,	School [2] - 251:5,	253:23 , 254:2 , 43
237:19, 241:13,	164:7, 171:17,	216:6, 218:9,	251:6	321:15, 321:23,
244:9, 255:23,	276:10, 311:13,	220:24, 222:2,	school [2] - 251:4,	321:25
279:9, 301:3, 301:4,			,	
	312:3, 326:7	238:21, 253:23,	312:25	Security [12] - 211:16,
301:9, 317:23	ruling [5] - 107:2,	268:9, 316:22,	scope [28] - 22:21,	212:1, 213:13,
routes [2] - 204:5,	107:8, 107:16,	316:25, 317:6, 354:4	26:4, 57:11, 57:12,	214:1, 221:6, 233:8,
301:3	108:1, 108:6	sake [1] - 169:24	57:24, 58:12, 58:14,	233:14, 233:16,
routinely [1] - 159:4	rulings [1] - 222:19	salary [1] - 113:17	62:22, 75:19, 114:7,	254:15, 254:18,
routing [1] - 252:9	run [2] - 43:5, 223:14	SALT [1] - 264:6	135:17, 156:22,	255:14, 262:17
RPR [1] - 1:24	running [3] - 48:4,	San [1] - 209:21	186:12, 186:14,	sedimentary [1] -
RTFM [1] - 79:6	89:7, 221:17	sanction [7] - 109:22,	186:19, 193:21,	207:15
rug [1] - 92:25	rupture [1] - 10:8	110:22, 111:5,	193:22, 194:11,	Sedimentary [1] -
Rule [2] - 163:1,	ruptured [1] - 14:15	111:12, 135:18,	196:22, 199:11,	210:8
171:16	Rural [42] - 4:22, 31:9,	159:3, 165:18	206:3, 214:24,	see [78] - 3:7, 10:3,
			232:16, 245:21,	10:19, 14:5, 18:7,
rule [16] - 99:4, 99:5,	32:19, 45:23, 46:9,	sanctions [6] - 111:2,		
104:22, 107:6,	48:23, 62:12, 64:16,	111:7, 111:22,	259:23, 266:21,	20:5, 29:4, 34:7,
109:5, 110:17,	66:5, 101:17,	154:4, 154:11,	288:16, 315:12	37:19, 48:11, 48:14,
163:7, 164:6, 165:4,	101:19, 102:3,	185:15	SDCL [5] - 107:5,	49:25, 50:18, 53:4,
165:18, 182:18,	102:17, 103:14,	Sara [1] - 243:16	109:6, 110:1, 110:9,	80:7, 81:1, 84:8,
183:3, 183:4,	110:21, 113:2,	sat [1] - 152:2	110:13	85:11, 88:18, 115:4,
185:19, 276:14,	119:3, 134:17,	satisfied [2] - 94:14,	se [8] - 39:5, 39:25,	115:16, 144:8,
372:13	154:2, 174:15,	353:9	158:21, 362:21,	145:16, 172:19,
rules [74] - 43:5,	191:25, 197:17,	satisfies [1] - 254:2	363:5, 364:18,	174:23, 176:18,
45:16, 47:2, 57:8,	199:6, 206:15,	Saturday [3] - 340:3,	370:6, 370:12	178:23, 185:18,
69:22, 71:3, 78:24,	207:4, 223:25,	340:4, 340:5	seal [1] - 213:17	187:20, 191:3,
79:1, 97:8, 103:5,	224:22, 267:5,	1	search [2] - 219:19,	194:19, 209:8,
103:13, 106:16,	275:20, 294:14,	save [1] - 52:10	311:8	211:19, 211:22,
1	294:23, 297:10,	saved [1] - 25:1		219:12, 222:16,
106:24, 107:5,		saving [2] - 21:9,	season [1] - 104:14	223:1, 225:18,
107:18, 110:25,	297:11, 297:19,	31:25	seat [1] - 194:14	
111:13, 111:19,	305:19, 308:3,	saw [9] - 84:16, 84:22,	second [31] - 8:14,	226:21, 232:17,
111:23, 112:14,	344:4, 344:6, 344:8,	132:11, 134:6,	8:25, 9:15, 10:17,	233:17, 237:9,
114:11, 117:12,	348:1, 348:11,	145:15, 175:17,	15:9, 25:25, 34:12,	237:11, 238:20,
118:15, 149:5,	368:16	296:3, 296:4, 320:16	36:12, 37:23, 56:24,	245:17, 247:22,
157:25, 159:20,	rural [14] - 291:9,	SCADA [1] - 201:13	69:18, 87:9, 88:25,	250:9, 251:25,
160:8, 160:21,	292:21, 294:11,	scale [2] - 162:9,	98:20, 113:1,	254:2, 261:17,
161:8, 162:19,	294:16, 297:4,	182:25	123:22, 143:17,	264:13, 264:18,
163:6, 163:10,	297:9, 297:10,	scarce [3] - 224:4,	159:22, 177:13,	266:13, 267:8,
163:21, 164:13,	297:18, 299:9,	224:5, 232:6	196:20, 235:4,	267:10, 267:12,
165:20, 165:23,	299:10, 302:2,		235:15, 255:3,	271:16, 274:21,
166:4, 166:10,	303:3, 306:16, 308:7	scatter [1] - 106:9	266:12, 267:23,	279:14, 288:24,
166:11, 166:15,	rush [2] - 14:2, 26:24	scenario [6] - 216:9,	311:19, 315:24,	290:3, 290:19,
		254:13, 255:7,		291:20, 294:7,
171:16, 174:9,	rushed [1] - 14:6	255:13, 255:19,	327:20, 337:20,	294:19, 294:22,
198:9, 198:14,		255:23	348:18, 349:13	296:17, 297:22,
199:20, 211:16,	S	scenarios [8] -	secondarily [1] -	
211:18, 211:20,		210:23, 211:9,	328:21	300:17, 331:3,
211:24, 212:1,	sacrifices [2] - 8:21,	212:17, 213:1,	secondly [5] - 142:4,	334:2, 336:19,
222:18, 222:24,		213:10, 213:23,	167:12, 177:1,	337:6, 338:20,
222:25, 223:3,	218:9	256:5, 329:5	185:25, 252:14	344:24, 345:23,
233:12, 234:14,	safe [2] - 209:15,	schedule [9] - 37:22,	secret [1] - 262:22	368:22
235:11, 235:19,	301:23	79:18, 104:25,	secretary [2] - 321:4,	seeing [28] - 4:7, 4:13,
240:18, 244:19,	safer [2] - 208:25,	110:20, 120:17,	321:10	27:14, 36:18, 56:4,
257:16, 259:15,	210:11	194:4, 201:18,	section [7] - 227:9,	79:8, 80:18, 145:13,
260:8, 260:16,	safest [2] - 74:25, 75:3		295:16, 295:20,	150:14, 191:12,
262:23, 264:13,	Safety [4] - 155:1,	225:7, 225:23	296:1, 297:12, 321:2	209:3, 279:17,
275:12, 276:6,	155:21, 156:1,	scheduled [1] - 13:6		281:13, 286:14,
277:23, 278:10,	169:12	Scheduling [5] -	Section [1] - 321:12	286:25, 303:7,
340:7, 351:25	safety [24] - 8:20,	44:11, 45:10, 152:1,	sections [2] - 42:11,	308:22, 309:10,
	8:21, 9:4, 9:18, 9:23,	370:15	169:19	337:23, 341:24,
Rules [14] - 91:18,	156:8, 157:21,	Schmidt [2] - 156:3,	secure [1] - 209:15	342:24, 343:18,
92:12, 99:3, 102:19,		156:23	security [9] - 208:25,	
110:4, 110:13,	159:24, 199:20,	scholarly [1] - 64:24	253:16, 253:20,	350:25, 361:3,
	202:4, 207:10,			
	i .	i .		,

	1	T	T	1
361:8, 361:22,	120:11, 120:16,	several [13] - 17:17,	shuffling [1] - 189:7	236:13, 290:21, 44
365:15, 365:18	120:22, 120:24,	53:5, 74:24, 88:23,	shut [3] - 89:12,	290:24, 291:21,
seek [16] - 91:23, 94:4,	127:23, 144:24,	98:13, 131:13,	112:17, 248:6	305:24, 374:17
94:8, 96:20, 97:2,	163:15, 164:15,	146:23, 180:17,	sic [1] - 130:4	Sioux [70] - 4:22, 4:23,
107:1, 111:9,	198:5, 296:10,	201:19, 202:13,	sic] [2] - 102:5, 250:7	15:8, 19:17, 20:9,
113:25, 116:2,	315:8, 318:7, 318:8,	323:13, 330:16,	sick [1] - 368:4	31:9, 41:13, 41:16,
116:25, 124:11,	339:14, 339:15,	337:4	side [15] - 14:5, 45:11,	41:17, 42:1, 42:15,
124:12, 235:12,	339:20, 339:25,	severity [1] - 111:5	55:7, 60:16, 60:17,	60:2, 60:7, 60:9,
248:12, 260:13,	341:10, 352:4, 368:1	shall [14] - 3:22,	109:23, 118:20,	65:8, 65:11, 65:15,
289:21	sentence [7] - 88:3,	38:23, 78:14, 80:18,	145:24, 145:25,	82:16, 89:23, 93:7,
seeking [12] - 45:14,	144:16, 144:17,	84:1, 88:15, 90:1,	170:18, 177:8,	97:24, 118:14,
92:5, 92:11, 94:9,	144:18, 144:19,	110:2, 110:10,	295:18, 322:22,	126:22, 126:24,
102:6, 102:16,	145:9, 185:20	321:3, 321:10,	340:19	126:25, 127:15,
111:22, 113:19,	separate [10] - 3:10,	351:21, 351:22,	sidebar [1] - 83:5	131:25, 138:13,
221:16, 238:4,	143:15, 146:5,	362:5	sides [3] - 146:1,	142:15, 142:17,
329:10	198:7, 202:9,	shaping [1] - 96:12	273:5, 349:3	142:20, 143:4,
seeks [4] - 45:2,	297:12, 309:5,	share [3] - 173:16,	sign [2] - 250:10,	144:1, 146:16,
90:19, 94:18, 255:11	332:7, 361:19	308:8, 367:3	368:21	153:16, 154:10,
seem [8] - 37:21, 43:4,	September [3] - 22:6,	shared [2] - 170:9,	significant [1] -	159:11, 160:6,
107:22, 125:12,	124:25, 167:9	184:19	218:17	160:11, 162:12,
177:20, 345:17,	series [2] - 198:6,	shares [1] - 308:8	significantly [1] -	165:9, 171:21,
363:13, 363:15	227:3	shed [3] - 172:13,	211:5	185:14, 188:13,
segment [3] - 158:15,	serious [6] - 8:18, 9:3,	222:1, 223:12		233:18, 265:16,
199:12	9:24, 239:15, 327:8	shelf [1] - 161:22	signing [1] - 67:21	290:9, 290:10,
segments [1] - 317:25	seriously [3] - 210:13,	shielded [1] - 50:9	similar [7] - 181:17,	291:9, 294:23,
SEIS [6] - 180:16,	327:6, 346:24		197:24, 315:13,	297:11, 300:13,
339:5, 371:14,	serve [5] - 5:14, 51:1,	ships [1] - 356:6 shocked [1] - 363:7	352:13, 352:15,	302:2, 303:3,
372:19, 373:2, 373:3	59:11, 96:15, 171:3	1	352:22, 358:21	305:19, 307:8,
selected [1] - 114:6	served [3] - 43:21,	short [13] - 36:1,	similarities [1] -	307:10, 308:3,
self [5] - 125:11,	63:11, 79:5	154:8, 187:12,	243:21	309:18, 310:9,
184:7, 184:11,	serves [2] - 129:3,	198:2, 240:10,	similarly [2] - 285:24,	311:5, 322:9,
196:2, 372:11	316:21	243:14, 248:3,	329:5	322:11, 333:3,
self-evident [1] -	Service [3] - 121:2,	273:9, 288:5, 344:2,	simple [7] - 45:13,	337:19, 342:16,
125:11	156:4, 236:18	344:24, 351:20, 367:23	222:7, 223:10,	351:9
self-explanatory [2] -	service [5] - 120:3,		244:10, 291:21,	sit [4] - 12:25, 48:21,
196:2, 372:11	120:4, 120:16,	shortcut [2] - 334:5, 334:7	316:4, 316:9	48:25, 104:15
self-regulate [2] -	120.4, 120.10,		simpler [2] - 54:10,	site [5] - 330:19,
184:7, 184:11	services [1] - 114:3	shortened [2] -	337:2	352:12, 352:13,
· ·		104:24, 110:20	simply [38] - 25:4,	352:16, 352:23
semantics [1] - 144:7	set [38] - 44:6, 44:12, 44:13, 57:5, 58:22,	shorthand [2] - 376:9	32:23, 33:17, 49:22,	sites [2] - 330:17,
senate [1] - 26:18		shortly [4] - 98:7,	60:20, 71:6, 71:7,	336:9
Senator [2] - 293:10,	58:24, 80:11, 81:24,	125:3, 180:23, 294:3	92:4, 93:9, 108:11,	siting [1] - 178:9
297:8	82:3, 91:10, 94:23,	shot [2] - 247:6, 333:2	119:9, 127:15,	sits [2] - 52:25, 137:7
send [4] - 92:15,	120:17, 126:19, 136:4, 149:5,	show [14] - 111:18,	128:1, 135:24,	sitting [1] - 194:14
136:7, 318:4		136:21, 211:18,	136:11, 151:24,	situation [14] - 106:19,
sending [3] - 120:20,	166:12, 184:7,	213:15, 214:18,	167:25, 169:16,	107:20, 108:10,
144:22, 371:10	186:12, 192:12,	226:12, 227:8,	213:3, 213:18,	117:5, 117:10,
sends [1] - 163:22	200:2, 201:18,	241:24, 262:23,	216:14, 220:2,	146:15, 146:16,
senior[1] - 298:21	205:20, 226:4,	316:4, 316:8,	225:2, 227:7,	170:12, 206:13,
sense [8] - 6:4, 7:14,	234:7, 259:17,	344:15, 344:17	227:12, 228:23,	254:21, 343:11,
7:15, 10:23, 25:23,	275:21, 282:18,	showed [1] - 305:1	234:11, 236:6,	345:9, 349:15,
27:6, 33:1, 233:20	311:24, 314:20,	showing [6] - 26:19,	236:8, 236:12,	367:12
sensitive [11] - 144:4,	314:25, 315:24,	180:17, 207:6,	237:19, 238:24,	situations [2] -
232:4, 235:24,	330:7, 346:20,	217:14, 280:13,	239:21, 262:21,	254:24, 256:6
236:10, 262:6,	346:25, 347:12,	329:12	264:9, 265:5, 280:8,	
266:3, 321:14,	348:7, 352:3, 360:16	shown [4] - 111:14,	343:2	six [7] - 28:12, 159:21,
321:23, 321:24,	sets [4] - 189:7, 189:8,	111:21, 340:14,	sincerely [1] - 366:24	167:23, 178:3,
322:1, 322:3	334:15, 345:8	340:20	sincerity [1] - 145:16	280:17, 321:1, 369:9
sent [27] - 32:20, 40:9,	setting [1] - 79:18	shows [4] - 8:24,	single [14] - 33:21,	skeleton [1] - 176:14
58:24, 59:2, 73:10,	settle [1] - 124:15	172:20, 262:20,	49:10, 76:18, 76:24,	skip [1] - 109:10
91:16, 109:13,	settled [1] - 240:12	366:11	182:11, 182:12,	slide [1] - 275:14
Ì		i		I

spew [1] - 209:24

slight [1] - 180:7 slightly [2] - 107:12, 284:6 slope [1] - 214:4 slow [1] - 38:7 slower [1] - 18:7 slowly [1] - 39:15 smaller [1] - 183:7 smart [1] - 297:21 SMITH [36] - 84:12, 139:12. 139:15. 148:1. 148:19. 188:9, 192:9, 193:2, 193:4. 195:16. 257:21, 258:1, 258:3, 258:8, 258:11, 258:13, 267:24, 268:1, 270:24, 271:1, 271:11, 272:4, 272:8, 272:23, 276:23, 278:7, 333:17, 333:19, 344:21, 366:23, 371:20, 372:3, 372:12, 373:11, 374:2, 374:9 Smith [20] - 1:15, 60:1, 82:13, 82:14, 84:6, 84:10, 87:25, 144:25, 192:7, 192:25, 195:12, 274:24, 276:20, 277:19, 279:13, 344:10, 366:8, 366:22, 371:19, 373:23 Society [1] - 315:17 software [6] - 208:11, 208:25, 209:1, 209:12, 209:20, 253:16 soil [1] - 301:17 sole [2] - 105:9, 322:6 solidly [1] - 350:20 **solve** [1] - 162:19 solved [1] - 24:6 someone [7] - 124:17, 145:13, 171:5, 177:14, 192:19, 318:24, 320:21 something's [1] -262:22 sometime [3] -127:23, 340:12, 340:21 sometimes [3] -250:21, 342:19, 373:17 somewhat [3] - 72:5,

178:7, 233:17 somewhere [3] -80:21, 88:14, 261:19 soon [4] - 6:20, 296:3. 313:14, 340:9 sooner[1] - 311:23 sorry [28] - 20:2, 39:14, 93:19, 125:22, 128:18, 130:25, 131:6, 131:24, 154:3, 181:24, 208:20, 220:1, 245:3, 249:8, 251:25, 252:2, 268:14, 273:22, 276:16, 284:13, 315:5, 323:15, 323:17, 325:13, 328:4, 341:9, 364:1, 371.9 sort [25] - 23:25, 33:2, 33:9, 41:10, 50:12, 50:17, 65:24, 66:3, 109:17, 198:12, 199:5, 199:8, 200:13, 205:9, 206:12, 221:14, 233:2, 235:8, 240:24, 293:18, 294:22, 296:11, 355:12, 363:18, 367:1 sorts [3] - 13:7, 239:10, 251:5 sought [10] - 43:4, 46:5, 111:3, 112:1, 113:20, 199:2, 201:24, 202:20, 226:1, 263:19 Sound [2] - 175:12, 175:15 sounding [1] - 23:23 sounds [2] - 24:22, 140:10 source [1] - 322:6 sources [1] - 297:6 South [95] - 2:2, 2:4, 6:9, 10:6, 11:15, 11:19, 12:9, 12:20, 13:15, 14:10, 14:12, 14:15, 17:2, 25:21, 26:11, 28:8, 29:4, 29:22, 29:23, 30:14, 45:1, 47:3, 55:16, 91:18, 106:4, 110:4, 110:17, 111:1, 111:4, 113:12, 114:2, 114:13, 114:15, 120:14,

126:12, 157:20,

158:10, 163:6, 163:9, 164:7, 166:4, 170:10, 170:12, 171:2, 171:17, 172:7, 172:14, 173:6, 180:6, 180:21, 180:25, 183:20. 198:9. 198:10, 209:25, 211:6, 212:11, 212:13, 215:16, 216:7, 222:19, 224:3, 224:10, 224:11, 224:24, 225:15, 231:6, 231:8. 231:24. 233:19. 234:5. 234:20, 236:19, 241:18, 241:19, 251:10, 252:17, 257:19, 259:13, 298:9. 299:16. 301:14, 304:8, 316:18, 322:12, 332:9, 332:10, 332:12, 332:19, 336:6, 336:8, 339:6, 368:20, 376:7, 376.13 **SOUTH** [2] - 1:2, 376.1 south [4] - 295:17, 295:21, 318:2, 336:7 southern [2] - 74:13, 236:2 southwest [1] -293:18 speaker [2] - 38:1, 38:4 speaking [6] - 12:13, 12:16, 18:7, 81:15, 119:15, 145:14 speaks [1] - 5:21 special [54] - 5:1, 31:7, 31:19, 31:21, 32:9, 32:14, 33:1, 33:10, 33:12, 33:24, 34:13, 35:9, 35:13, 36:2, 37:14, 37:17, 38:15, 38:16, 39:2, 39:5, 40:7, 41:10, 41:24, 42:9, 42:12, 43:2, 46:19, 47:10, 47:23, 48:11, 48:19, 48:20, 48:25, 49:8, 49:13, 49:16, 49:17, 49:23, 50:4, 50:7, 50:11, 50:20, 52:7, 56:5, 61:6, 105:20, 155:24, 240:3,

261:22, 264:23, 324:22, 348:19, 362:19 Special [5] - 323:9, 328:9. 328:10. 328:13. 328:16 Species [1] - 156:5 species [5] - 156:8, 158:9. 231:5. 236:20, 277:14 specific [31] - 42:14, 51:23, 60:5, 90:2, 92:1, 92:20, 92:24, 93:23, 96:25, 115:11, 168:1, 169:19, 170:20, 200:9, 215:9, 251:18, 254:20, 254:21, 254:22, 254:23, 254:25, 255:20, 262:4, 262:12, 262:17, 291:15, 306:7, 335:13, 353:13, 363:24 specifically [29] -41:20, 41:23, 42:6, 51:13, 71:23, 92:17, 95:13, 173:8, 177:10, 205:23, 207:13, 207:19, 208:10, 213:22, 228:10, 229:23, 233:22, 234:8, 236:1, 263:10, 273:5, 323:12, 331:16, 332:9, 352:5, 356:10, 358:2. 361:4. 363:9 specifications [2] -202:4, 220:19 specifics [3] - 170:11, 180:15, 180:20 specifying [1] -276:13 specs [1] - 201:14 speculate [1] - 60:8 speculation [1] -216:16 speed [4] - 29:19, 29:20, 68:16, 289:15 spelled [1] - 120:8 spend [4] - 7:2, 7:4, 312:1, 334:2 spending [4] - 11:17, 14:11, 33:20, 103:24 spent [11] - 7:8, 52:14, 52:16, 53:8, 53:15, 55:20, 244:2, 257:2, 310:7

sphere [1] - 164:9 **spill** [15] - 212:18, 213:5, 213:9, 213:23, 216:9, 238:13, 238:19, 249:11, 255:22, 255:24, 268:9, 316:18, 317:12, 317:17, 320:4 **Spill** [3] - 25:14, 316:13, 323:20 spilled [1] - 177:24 spills [11] - 201:10, 201:19, 201:22, 202:17, 207:17, 207:21, 213:8, 238:7, 238:14, 239:16, 255:13 spin [1] - 307:17 spirit [1] - 119:1 split [1] - 295:16 spoken [3] - 67:12, 366:4, 366:19 sponsored [1] -293:10 **sponsoring** [1] - 5:4 spot [1] - 235:18 spread [2] - 161:3, 330:4 spreadsheet [3] -201:19. 207:17. 217:14 SS [1] - 376:2 St [1] - 198:22 stack [2] - 32:25, 297:23 **STAFF** [1] - 1:14 Staff [38] - 11:5, 21:4, 21:7, 21:8, 24:9, 42:20, 42:23, 42:25, 48:24, 49:5, 89:19, 129:17, 132:15, 155:11, 172:13, 172:16, 174:16, 237:4, 344:25, 345:2, 345:3, 345:10, 345:11, 345:13, 345:16, 346:18, 346:21, 347:3, 347:19, 348:2, 348:4, 348:20, 348:22, 349:20, 350:14, 350:15, 366:19 Staff's [4] - 33:5, 42:24, 54:3, 346:21 stage [2] - 57:6, 95:10 stake [2] - 6:2, 98:6 stance [1] - 127:17

stand [20] - 21:12,	184:20, 200:4,	291:11, 293:22,	218:23, 293:17,	strong [1] - 27:4 4 6
21:17, 26:12, 43:1,	204:2, 205:21,	294:2, 298:12,	294:3	strongest [1] - 366:18
55:23, 97:3, 119:22,	206:7, 217:17,	301:23, 302:3,	stems [1] - 303:1	strongly [3] - 8:12,
119:23, 196:13,	236:4, 236:24,	304:10, 320:23,	step [6] - 95:5, 95:7,	20:21, 152:10
247:12, 268:5,	237:20, 241:18,	322:10	95:22, 95:25, 314:2,	struck [1] - 250:24
268:18, 270:22,	241:19, 248:15,	states [15] - 70:4,	360:14	struggle [2] - 69:20,
272:15, 282:20,	256:20, 257:18,	70:11, 71:11, 95:24,	steps [1] - 109:10	284:18
288:22, 309:19,	299:16, 300:22,	103:5, 110:1,	stick [1] - 313:12	struggling [1] - 70:2
314:4, 326:20, 347:7	301:14, 304:8,	157:18, 157:21,	still [61] - 17:14,	stuck [1] - 108:15
standard [8] - 171:11,	315:16, 315:18,	175:5, 175:8,	23:21, 24:8, 30:18,	studied [1] - 138:7
199:1, 219:12,	327:16, 327:17,	175:10, 302:1,	34:22, 35:6, 39:18,	studies [1] - 160:1
220:4, 223:16,	354:7, 376:7	302:7, 302:20,	42:25, 78:2, 79:9,	study [3] - 152:16,
234:3, 282:17,	STATE [2] - 1:2, 376:1	332:14	79:13, 79:14, 79:19,	158:9, 299:17
351:25	state [42] - 6:11, 33:9,	stating [3] - 17:9,	79:24, 91:14, 92:2,	studying [1] - 53:8
standards [5] -	46:15, 47:3, 59:3,	69:16, 93:22	92:18, 92:20, 94:1,	stuff [25] - 85:4, 106:2,
155:21, 202:4,	63:23, 97:6, 106:2,	station [2] - 336:6,	94:17, 95:11, 96:12,	130:18, 130:22,
219:11, 316:23,	106:9, 112:6,	336:7	96:22, 97:19, 101:2,	158:2, 158:3, 161:3,
345:9	157:15, 176:5,	stations [9] - 221:4,	115:21, 135:16,	163:3, 185:6,
standing [3] - 292:6,	176:11, 179:6,	221:7, 221:18,	140:23, 142:5,	200:17, 207:10,
329:17, 371:12	180:1, 180:21,	221:22, 223:14,	142:11, 143:19,	214:11, 217:18,
Standing [31] - 4:23,	185:23, 198:18,	318:2, 319:23,	147:23, 148:10,	229:14, 249:21,
14:20, 40:23,	199:21, 205:25,	327:24, 336:9	153:1, 153:5, 153:8,	273:1, 288:13,
116:11, 116:21,	211:7, 212:20,	status [1] - 372:16	167:2, 176:24,	307:11, 310:1,
118:14, 119:7,	216:24, 222:24,	statute [29] - 13:20,	186:14, 190:24,	322:25, 364:19,
154:4, 154:10,	229:4, 232:6,	13:25, 14:1, 15:22,	192:3, 194:2,	368:10, 370:12,
159:10, 159:18,	235:19, 245:23,	51:17, 52:24, 57:12,	200:19, 211:21,	372:10, 372:25
160:6, 160:11,	257:22, 257:25,	107:6, 110:6,	227:19, 244:18,	stunning [2] - 164:9,
162:2, 162:12,	258:4, 304:11,	110:16, 123:13,	244:19, 259:2,	164:14
165:9, 165:20,	314:9, 315:19, 318:1, 318:2,	171:11, 255:12,	285:21, 335:2,	Sub [2] - 317:22,
171:21, 173:7, 182:6, 182:8,	322:13, 326:4,	257:21, 257:22, 257:25, 258:4,	338:9, 338:20, 351:11, 351:12,	336:22
182:10, 185:14,	326:8, 331:24, 366:7	262:19, 293:10,	351:11, 351:12,	subject [10] - 22:17,
188:13, 190:12,	State's [4] - 169:4,	293:20, 297:8,	353:12, 356:6,	47:2, 51:5, 137:25,
190:13, 198:1,	179:19, 217:20,	308:2, 308:10,	356:11, 360:11	138:6, 241:7,
198:18, 199:12,	354:3	315:4, 315:8,	stipulated [1] - 290:11	258:21, 260:8,
221:11, 338:11	state's [2] - 71:3,	315:16, 316:4,	stipulates [1] - 76:8	262:5, 347:5 subjected [1] - 260:16
standpoint [6] - 28:24,	354:3	327:12, 345:22	Stipulation [1] - 75:21	subjects [1] - 292:16
139:6, 239:5, 256:9,	Statement [6] -	Statute [1] - 114:13	stolen [1] - 258:18	submerged [1] - 325:1
256:13, 280:6	163:16, 163:25,	statutes [2] - 276:6,	stone [2] - 327:12,	submission [2] -
stands [1] - 11:21	169:1, 204:2,	278:17	330:16	21:17, 352:9
star[1] - 351:15	217:17, 372:15	statutory [6] - 33:7,	stood [1] - 95:18	submissions [1] -
start [12] - 34:15,	statement [27] - 26:7,	104:23, 119:3,	stop [4] - 12:10, 18:5,	24:10
58:13, 66:14, 136:6,	55:1, 61:14, 61:16,	211:13, 276:9,	136:6, 170:16	submit [22] - 22:3,
201:1, 201:8,	64:25, 65:17, 74:21,	277:13	stopped [2] - 9:12,	22:23, 37:10, 62:16,
244:15, 256:4,	75:22, 116:13,	Stay [13] - 4:21, 5:1,	367:12	64:11, 64:12, 66:5,
292:20, 311:4,	117:6, 128:1,	5:16, 6:4, 11:12,	stories [1] - 103:22	90:10, 91:2, 104:11,
313:20, 359:19	129:14, 136:10,	14:18, 16:19, 18:22,	straight [3] - 107:24,	105:14, 106:14,
started [10] - 22:5,	138:22, 144:6,	27:17, 31:17,	226:21, 297:15	108:24, 109:23,
52:15, 124:25,	146:23, 160:19,	108:15, 229:9,	strange [1] - 178:6	110:8, 111:2,
130:19, 167:22,	175:5, 179:5, 194:3,	264:22	strategically [1] -	135:11, 167:7,
240:17, 246:10,	226:19, 304:24,	stay [18] - 6:13, 7:19,	114:5	167:8, 283:4,
296:16, 310:17,	305:6, 305:18,	8:12, 10:14, 10:22,	strategy [3] - 346:2,	328:17, 347:12
336:5	309:20, 366:8, 367:3	19:3, 19:4, 22:10,	363:13, 363:14	submitted [23] -
starting [1] - 37:19	statements [10] -	22:23, 24:12, 25:23,	stray [1] - 170:6	26:16, 37:13, 39:24,
State [37] - 2:2, 28:23,	53:21, 64:23, 70:18,	26:21, 27:5, 30:4,	streaming [1] - 101:14	61:5, 63:6, 64:9,
47:3, 55:16, 63:23,	70:24, 98:8, 103:17,	31:5, 108:5, 346:15	strenuously [1] -	95:2, 114:9, 123:3,
105:11, 106:4,	200:2, 210:10, 221:9, 247:25	stayed [2] - 27:24,	111:21	136:4, 156:24,
163:16, 163:24,	States [13] - 63:21,	284:14	stress [1] - 15:11	159:23, 179:20,
175:13, 180:19,	171:22, 248:16,	staying [1] - 16:5	stressed [1] - 16:18	184:21, 200:7,
181:22, 184:18,	77 1.22, 270.10,	steel [4] - 218:12,	strike [1] - 118:10	200:22, 202:15,
1			1	1

	1	T	1	T
229:3, 230:13,	supervisor [1] -	supposed [10] - 40:4,	306:17, 307:21,	245:17, 246:2, 47
296:9, 326:15,	201:14	78:23, 161:21,	307:24, 308:2,	246:9, 246:14,
346:9, 356:9	supplement [6] -	276:7, 318:25,	321:1, 321:19,	246:21, 247:3,
submitting [2] - 64:18,	95:12, 113:13,	341:17, 364:20,	322:8, 325:22,	247:6, 247:9,
64:22	188:22, 190:20,	366:17, 370:7	337:10, 349:13,	247:17, 248:10,
	247:19, 253:24	Supreme [14] - 12:21,	359:2	248:18, 249:24,
Subpart [1] - 109:6	•			1
subparts [2] - 327:25,	Supplemental [1] -	105:11, 107:23,	system's [1] - 294:11	250:12, 251:17,
329:3	236:25	108:8, 111:1, 111:4,	systems [16] - 201:13,	252:7, 253:14,
subpoena [1] - 243:15	supplemental [5] -	111:11, 198:11,	208:12, 208:25,	253:18, 253:20,
subsection [1] - 42:7	169:1, 200:3,	198:17, 222:20,	209:10, 209:12,	255:2, 255:7,
subsequent [2] -	208:15, 353:10,	241:21, 258:21,	209:14, 253:20,	256:18, 256:25,
162:9, 172:19	372:6	259:14, 260:18	253:23, 294:16,	257:14, 257:24,
subsidiary [2] - 332:4,	supplementation [1] -	supreme [1] - 304:10	297:4, 297:9,	258:2, 258:10,
332:6	365:4	surface [2] - 55:13,	297:18, 299:10,	258:12, 258:19,
substandard [2] -	supplemented [1] -	252:11	302:6, 308:7, 322:5	265:12, 265:14,
207:8, 218:8	159:22	surmising [1] - 81:11		267:6, 267:12,
substantial [4] -	supplementing [7] -	surprise [3] - 118:24,	T	267:16, 267:21,
40:19, 96:5, 96:6,	346:9, 355:21,	299:19, 348:17	<u> </u>	267:25, 268:4,
217:5	362:25, 363:4,	surprised [1] - 180:9		287:11, 287:22,
	363:19, 364:20,	surprises [1] - 180:5	Table [5] - 167:4,	288:6, 292:15,
substantially [1] -	364:21	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	167:10, 170:4,	293:7, 299:25,
45:20		surround [1] - 294:24	183:22, 184:1	300:2, 300:5,
substantive [3] -	supplied [3] - 164:5,	Survey [1] - 234:20	table [8] - 71:7, 71:15,	306:16, 306:20,
35:25, 159:21,	255:18, 307:11	survey [5] - 158:14,	72:10, 174:11,	307:4, 307:8,
163:12	supplies [2] - 294:21,	301:2, 301:6, 301:8	310:22, 315:23,	307:22, 307:25,
subtract [1] - 280:3	322:14	surveyors [3] -	330:2, 330:11	318:7, 318:11,
succeed [1] - 65:2	Supply [2] - 305:19,	356:14, 357:4,	tables [1] - 212:16	318:14, 339:12,
sudden [2] - 278:20,	308:3	357:21	tabular [3] - 336:3,	1
347:13	supply [5] - 190:17,	surveys [1] - 330:15	339:17, 340:23	339:14, 340:18,
sued [1] - 136:3	291:9, 300:13,	survive [1] - 212:22	tactics [1] - 118:9	341:13
suffer [2] - 65:10,	302:3, 303:3	suspect [1] - 54:11		Taylor [51] - 56:21,
128:25	support [36] - 17:4,	swears [1] - 63:3	tailor [2] - 33:11, 51:17	66:13, 68:25, 69:6,
sufficient [2] - 71:23,	19:2, 19:5, 19:18,	sweep [1] - 92:25		70:17, 75:19, 75:22,
204:14	20:22, 24:11, 29:16,	swelling [1] - 211:10	tailored [7] - 42:13,	76:13, 79:9, 81:15,
sufficiently [2] -	30:3, 30:9, 36:22,	swells [1] - 214:11	51:14, 51:20, 215:4,	103:21, 122:4,
283:7, 350:8	37:1, 41:17, 55:25,	sworn [1] - 62:18	224:12, 234:8,	122:18, 122:23,
suggest [5] - 45:5,	59:7, 73:25, 98:23,	sympathy [1] - 124:21	244:20	142:10, 142:13,
105:24, 112:2,	103:11, 146:4,	System [13] - 265:19,	talks [2] - 198:22,	146:9, 148:2,
137:24, 206:22	187:20, 190:15,	265:23, 293:11,	303:22	148:21, 149:9,
suggested [7] - 34:2,	190:18, 191:2,	294:14, 294:24,	tape [1] - 48:4	151:7, 153:1,
108:19, 218:8,	213:25, 233:10,	297:10, 297:12,	target [1] - 209:5	194:14, 195:23,
229:10, 261:22,	240:21, 269:2,	297:10, 297:12, 297:19, 305:19,	targeted [2] - 94:17,	211:18, 227:16,
	284:25, 285:2,		95:19	227:23, 240:12,
336:23, 345:4	285:9, 314:15,	308:3, 320:25,	task [1] - 95:15	245:5, 247:21,
suggesting [4] - 47:8,	342:21, 350:9,	321:6, 321:11	tasked [1] - 29:22	248:9, 254:12,
47:10, 226:2, 339:25	350:12, 354:12,	system [48] - 58:20,	tasks [1] - 33:12	257:8, 261:5,
suggestion [4] -	355:15, 360:3	60:21, 75:24, 136:3,	tax [2] - 224:19,	263:14, 264:8,
43:17, 134:21,	supported [6] - 38:15,	289:22, 289:24,	225:15	267:3, 275:13,
166:25, 372:21	91:1, 92:7, 92:8,	290:1, 290:8,	taxes [1] - 224:24	287:10, 302:5,
suggests [3] - 8:12,	319:2, 328:1	290:11, 290:14,	TAYLOR [81] - 3:12,	304:16, 306:12,
107:1, 107:15	supporting [8] - 8:24,	291:9, 291:23,	56:20, 122:5,	306:14, 307:19,
suits [1] - 73:13	281:1, 283:4, 283:8,	292:2, 293:8,	122:24, 135:5,	308:16, 310:22,
SULLY [1] - 376:3		293:13, 293:20,	135:14, 135:17,	313:5, 314:3,
sum [1] - 292:10	283:23, 284:9,	293:21, 294:2,	135:24, 137:2,	318:17, 336:11,
summarize [1] - 21:11	285:14, 344:5	294:21, 297:10,	137:17, 137:23,	374:3
summer [1] - 252:12	supports [7] - 19:10,	299:10, 299:13,		Taylor's [2] - 247:25,
Sunday [1] - 340:10	20:9, 40:14, 40:20,	300:13, 302:3,	146:17, 149:10,	259:8
super [1] - 26:11	42:15, 154:20, 166:9	302:5, 302:7, 302:8,	150:3, 151:8,	technical [4] - 13:7,
superimposed [1] -	suppose [6] - 61:5,	302:10, 305:12,	151:13, 194:17,	48:2, 316:22, 316:23
320:13	124:9, 137:23,	305:14, 305:17,	194:22, 196:1,	technologists [1] -
supersede [1] -	255:15, 255:18,	305:23, 305:24,	196:8, 240:13,	•
304:11	371:1	306:7, 306:9,	243:2, 245:2, 245:8,	114:4

		T	T	T
teeter [1] - 269:25	35:4, 35:7, 56:15,	156:25, 157:4,	232:14, 234:11,	9:17, 14:12, 132:8, 48
telecommunications	56:25, 57:4, 59:16,	157:7, 165:17,	235:9, 235:11,	219:15, 224:24,
[1] - 24:2	59:18, 62:11, 62:16,	167:7, 167:8, 167:9,	236:9, 237:5, 237:6,	231:23, 237:14,
telephone [11] - 9:9,	62:18, 62:19, 62:20,	184:2, 188:1,	239:19, 260:14,	239:19, 243:20,
12:12, 39:21, 87:6,	63:2, 63:4, 63:13,	192:14, 192:20,	262:2, 266:14,	310:11
87:10, 87:11, 88:18,	63:18, 63:19, 63:20,	192:21, 192:24,	284:23, 300:17,	throw [2] - 170:22,
89:11, 100:5,	63:22, 63:24, 64:3,	193:8, 193:10,	303:12, 314:22,	240:22
351:17, 359:2	64:8, 64:12, 64:13, 64:17, 65:12, 65:18,	193:21, 193:22, 193:23, 194:1,	318:21 thick [2] - 74:20, 74:23	thumb [1] - 271:2
telephonically [1] -	66:6, 66:19, 70:21,	193:23, 194:1,	thickness [1] - 75:1	Thursday [3] - 339:15, 339:23, 339:24
16:13	70:25, 71:5, 71:6,	194:3, 194:9,	thievery [1] - 258:15	ticket [2] - 193:8,
tempered [1] - 111:5 template [8] - 168:21,	71:10, 71:12, 71:13,	195:2, 195:6, 195:7,	thinking [5] - 73:18,	193:10
177:7, 179:20,	71:22, 72:10, 74:2,	195:8, 195:10,	223:22, 272:8,	tie [1] - 57:9
180:17, 179.20,	74:3, 77:3, 77:7,	195:11, 195:14,	274:11, 282:6	tied [2] - 60:5, 255:21
181:24, 181:25,	77:14, 77:22, 77:25,	195:15, 195:19,	thinks [10] - 58:8,	tiered [1] - 373:4
182:1	78:7, 78:12, 78:16,	195:24, 195:25,	92:13, 95:9, 96:23,	ties [3] - 205:3, 222:3,
tend [1] - 164:21	78:17, 78:18, 78:21,	196:3, 196:5, 196:7,	112:15, 126:25,	230:5
tendency [1] - 207:8	80:10, 81:11, 81:20,	196:9, 196:10,	142:13, 158:3,	tight [5] - 58:19,
tension [1] - 130:19	84:2, 85:8, 85:9,	196:22, 196:24,	179:1, 335:1	66:24, 66:25, 82:18,
tenure [1] - 277:7	85:14, 85:23, 86:7,	196:25, 197:5,	third [4] - 70:4, 88:3,	87:18
term [13] - 41:22,	87:18, 88:6, 90:21,	197:7, 202:14,	298:19, 301:4	tightened [1] - 58:18
57:24, 79:5, 79:6,	97:10, 98:9, 99:4,	207:5, 211:3, 211:4,	thirdly [2] - 177:4,	tightly [1] - 58:14
85:12, 123:12,	99:7, 99:8, 100:22,	218:6, 230:13,	186:3	tightness [1] - 130:20
123:13, 148:11,	101:9, 102:7, 104:2,	247:14, 322:19,	Thomasina [12] -	Tillquist [1] - 156:24
290:16, 290:17,	104:10, 104:16,	350:16, 366:10,	19:16, 41:15, 51:9,	timely [2] - 165:12,
291:18, 305:16,	104:18, 106:17,	369:24, 369:25,	82:24, 83:11, 83:12,	351:24
306:4	106:20, 107:3,	370:3, 370:8,	87:1, 88:20, 89:2,	timeout [1] - 47:25
termed [2] - 290:5,	107:4, 107:17,	370:11, 372:1,	89:15, 351:11,	timing [3] - 19:21,
306:1	107:19, 108:24,	372:2, 372:3	359:25	19:23, 238:13
terminology [2] -	109:1, 110:2, 110:6, 110:10, 110:11,	testing [1] - 23:5 thankful [1] - 338:11	thoughtful [1] - 22:9	Tina [1] - 87:3
305:20, 307:24	110:15, 110:11,	THE [6] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4,	thoughts [9] - 30:6,	tipped [1] - 167:1
terms [18] - 31:17,	113:5, 114:8, 114:9,	1:6, 1:11	50:8, 54:25, 60:11,	tired [1] - 344:23
32:14, 47:14, 115:9,	114:13, 114:22,	theft [1] - 262:5	79:12, 145:11, 149:25, 247:2,	title [3] - 37:14, 37:15,
115:19, 192:12,	114:25, 115:2,	theirs [1] - 161:13	345:25	41:20
206:5, 208:1, 216:18, 226:19,	115:5, 115:22,	themselves [6] - 57:9,	threaten [1] - 94:8	TO [1] - 1:6
227:6, 235:18,	116:18, 116:20,	71:11, 184:25,	threatened [1] - 91:22	today [91] - 3:3, 3:22,
238:13, 238:17,	116:23, 116:24,	250:14, 260:16,	threatening [1] -	4:11, 12:14, 35:1,
259:10, 263:21,	117:1, 118:17,	349:7	109:13	38:22, 43:4, 44:6,
265:10	118:25, 119:2,	theory [6] - 240:19,	three [36] - 15:15,	44:8, 52:18, 53:9,
terrestrial [1] - 163:19	119:5, 119:19,	240:20, 316:3,	50:24, 53:15, 71:25,	53:16, 55:21, 55:23, 57:6, 65:4, 70:14,
terrible [2] - 104:14,	121:7, 124:12,	316:9, 316:12,	72:1, 74:20, 74:22,	70:15, 70:19, 71:7,
346:20	124:21, 124:24,	316:18	104:5, 104:6, 114:6,	71:16, 72:10, 78:9,
territories [1] - 28:7	125:23, 126:2,	there'd [1] - 139:20	130:17, 142:14,	80:8, 94:10, 102:22,
territory [1] - 294:12	126:9, 127:19,	thereafter [1] - 125:3	143:5, 143:9,	119:12, 122:8,
testified [2] - 8:20,	127:22, 134:11,	thereby [1] - 142:15	183:12, 185:10,	123:2, 125:12,
371:23	134:13, 134:17,	therefore [9] - 71:7,	185:18, 187:12,	128:8, 128:13,
testify [12] - 22:17,	135:12, 137:13,	71:24, 97:21, 114:5,	189:7, 193:20,	128:17, 130:14,
116:19, 119:23,	139:2, 139:21, 140:8, 141:24,	114:15, 137:14,	194:9, 194:15,	137:7, 142:22,
137:15, 138:6,	143:24, 145:2,	142:7, 143:22, 332:4	196:21, 241:20,	148:6, 149:14,
139:16, 139:17,	146:14, 147:3,	thereof [1] - 29:20	246:12, 246:17,	154:19, 159:3,
156:23, 370:23,	148:17, 150:4,	they've [35] - 28:13,	269:24, 270:4,	160:14, 165:6,
371:2, 371:6, 374:20	150:5, 151:18,	46:10, 52:20, 74:7,	277:13, 277:25,	168:2, 169:23,
testifying [6] - 100:25,	151:22, 152:8,	74:25, 178:18,	287:23, 305:3,	175:7, 188:1, 192:1,
101:8, 121:6,	152:23, 153:4,	202:2, 206:24,	306:5, 307:20,	192:23, 197:11,
151:17, 151:21,	153:14, 153:15,	209:19, 210:18,	369:4, 369:5	240:8, 240:17,
195:1	155:10, 155:12,	211:23, 217:2,	three-day [1] - 277:25	243:7, 244:9,
testimony [224] - 3:9,	155:14, 155:16,	217:15, 219:6, 224:22, 225:23,	three-quarter-inch [2]	244:11, 266:6,
3:14, 22:15, 26:15,	155:17, 155:23,	230:24, 231:16,	- 74:20, 74:22 throughout [10] -	272:16, 274:13,
26:16, 26:17, 34:18,	156:2, 156:13,	200.27, 201.10,	tinoughout [10] -	283:13, 283:23,
I		1	1	1

		T-	T	
286:12, 290:22,	Tracking [5] - 167:4,	163:15, 163:22,	290:18, 291:6,	Transportation [1] - 49
295:4, 296:7,	167:10, 170:4,	164:5, 164:11,	291:20, 292:3,	198:19
297:21, 299:21,	183:22, 184:1	164:25, 165:10,	292:14, 299:6,	transportation [7] -
308:19, 310:7,	tracts [1] - 251:6	165:19, 165:23,	300:10, 300:15,	106:1, 207:13,
310:18, 310:19,	trail [1] - 64:18	166:5, 166:13,	301:11, 303:2,	210:7, 321:4,
310:20, 312:4,		172:13, 172:17,	305:4, 307:9, 315:3,	
312:10, 312:13,	trainload [1] - 123:20	174:6, 174:10,	316:12, 317:1,	324:24, 325:2, 325:5 Transportation's [1] -
313:1, 313:8,	TransCanada [290] -			•
1	3:18, 6:7, 7:5, 7:25,	175:21, 176:4,	317:4, 319:11,	354:2
313:13, 316:6,	8:2, 8:5, 8:17, 9:4,	182:11, 182:20,	345:3, 345:6,	transporting [1] -
318:11, 318:19,	10:24, 11:6, 11:21,	183:5, 183:12,	345:10, 345:12,	210:12
319:12, 343:11,	12:23, 13:5, 14:9,	183:24, 184:15,	352:9, 360:3, 368:1,	Trapp [1] - 151:11
348:12, 355:22,	17:5, 21:14, 24:9,	184:19, 185:22,	368:3, 368:19,	travel [1] - 113:21
357:11, 358:6,	24:25, 25:7, 25:9,	198:5, 199:7, 200:6,	369:9, 371:11, 372:8	traveled [1] - 339:23
358:20, 363:7,	25:19, 25:25, 26:20,	200:14, 201:9,	TRANSCANADA [1] -	treated [5] - 150:9,
366:9, 366:19,	32:20, 32:24, 34:24,	201:17, 202:11,	1:4	169:10, 271:23,
368:14	38:11, 39:21, 45:2,	203:17, 205:1,	TransCanada's [75] -	276:24, 320:22
today's [1] - 40:25	46:5, 56:21, 58:4,	205:19, 205:24,	9:2, 9:4, 9:18, 9:25,	treaties [1] - 304:10
together [20] - 3:11,	59:19, 60:15, 60:22,	206:2, 206:12,	10:19, 15:25, 17:16,	treating [1] - 74:13
75:2, 79:12, 124:24,	67:12, 68:5, 69:8,	206:15, 207:8,	23:2, 26:9, 40:2,	treatment [7] - 228:19,
130:14, 149:2,	70:4, 70:6, 70:13,	207:14, 209:9,	45:6, 46:7, 70:8,	275:23, 278:15,
159:6, 202:24,	71:4, 71:8, 71:25,	210:1, 210:15,	70:10, 70:19, 72:18,	293:15, 308:5, 352:1
203:2, 206:16,	72:1, 72:20, 72:23,	210:22, 211:8,	76:1, 89:22, 92:23,	treaty [6] - 264:6,
229:23, 255:21,	73:4, 73:10, 73:19,	211:22, 213:3,	94:2, 94:24, 96:13,	299:21, 304:11,
260:2, 338:12,	74:6, 75:15, 76:7,	213:5, 213:24,	96:19, 97:12,	304:12, 304:14,
338:18, 338:19,	76:11, 76:23, 78:25,	214:16, 215:4,	100:22, 102:23,	322:11
339:19, 341:4,	79:19, 80:3, 81:14,	216:3, 216:8,	109:8, 111:16,	tremendous [5] -
343:4, 343:5	81:19, 90:3, 90:10,	216:12, 216:17,	115:25, 118:6,	7:14, 25:5, 31:22,
token [1] - 348:25	90:14, 90:22, 91:3,	218:8, 218:21,	155:12, 155:16,	69:24, 237:7
tomorrow [1] - 5:14	91:19, 91:25, 92:3,	219:15, 220:4,	156:2, 156:7,	trial [12] - 57:18,
tonight [2] - 272:22,	92:16, 92:18, 92:25,	220:7, 220:17,	156:13, 159:24,	60:14, 63:1, 95:10,
273:7	93:11, 93:15, 94:13,	220:20, 220:24,	165:5, 165:7, 166:2,	105:23, 106:21,
took [15] - 17:6, 31:16,	94:18, 94:19, 95:4,	221:16, 222:4,	166:15, 173:24,	128:9, 134:4, 136:2,
76:25, 102:11,	95:14, 95:21, 96:22,	222:11, 222:12,	203:20, 204:11,	346:2, 363:14, 365:2
134:11, 134:12,	97:4, 97:21, 99:21,	223:1, 223:22,	205:12, 207:24,	trials [1] - 110:2
182:18, 204:11,	102:6, 102:13,	224:7, 224:15,	208:3, 208:24,	tribal [7] - 159:15,
247:10, 282:1,	102:16, 102:25,	224:23, 225:11,	210:10, 211:12,	172:23, 172:25,
327:6, 339:18,	103:2, 103:15,	225:21, 226:9,	212:23, 213:20,	303:22, 303:25,
359:15, 376:9	105:6, 106:5,	226:15, 226:22,	221:25, 222:9,	304:5, 304:19
tooth [1] - 46:11	106:25, 107:5,	228:6, 228:12,	222:24, 223:19,	Tribal [3] - 304:17,
top [7] - 66:14,	107:15, 108:18,	228:16, 228:22,	224:20, 228:19,	
146:10, 149:16,	109:1, 109:4,	229:19, 230:1,	229:16, 230:7,	307:1, 307:10
241:9, 291:10,	109:12, 109:24,	231:8, 231:25,	230:25, 232:12,	Tribe [97] - 4:23, 4:24,
291:12, 299:14	110:1, 110:5,	232:3, 233:5, 234:1,	232:18, 233:10,	14:24, 15:8, 19:17,
topic [1] - 320:22	110:14, 110:18,	234:6, 234:9,	235:3, 253:25,	20:9, 31:9, 31:10,
total [1] - 204:20	110:24, 111:3,	234:24, 235:17,	256:8, 263:15,	41:13, 41:16, 41:17,
totally [5] - 28:24,	111:14, 111:21,	236:5, 236:22,	264:10, 277:25,	42:1, 42:15, 60:2,
37:21, 213:12,	112:13, 115:7,	237:3, 237:4,	305:18, 311:5,	60:7, 60:9, 65:8,
214:2, 343:14	116:3, 117:9,	238:18, 239:1,	317:11, 317:17,	65:11, 65:16, 82:17,
totter [1] - 269:25	117:12, 117:22,	239:3, 239:4,	362:20, 369:6	89:22, 89:24, 90:12,
totter [1] - 269.25	118:24, 119:9,	239:15, 241:17,	Transcript [1] - 1:8	91:2, 91:4, 91:10,
1	122:14, 123:2,	242:11, 243:9,	transcript [1] - 32:7	91:13, 91:14, 91:17,
158:19, 158:20	125:6, 126:13,	243:10, 243:13,	TRANSCRIPT [1] - 2:1	91:19, 91:21, 91:22,
touched [1] - 155:14	130:22, 134:16,	243:14, 259:20,	transcription [1] -	92:13, 92:15, 93:7,
touting [1] - 208:24	136:12, 155:3,	260:1, 260:12,	376:12	94:1, 94:20, 95:22,
towards [2] - 60:14,	155:11, 155:15,	261:5, 263:12,	transit [1] - 210:7	96:5, 96:6, 96:12,
280:25	157:4, 157:6,	263:19, 264:1,	transmission [7] -	96:21, 97:9, 97:13,
town [3] - 7:4, 293:14,	157:16, 158:7,	265:9, 266:1,	292:22, 292:23,	97:15, 98:23, 117:2,
296:14	160:5, 160:10,	274:22, 276:1,	302:15, 303:14,	117:3, 118:14,
towns [3] - 212:10,	160:13, 161:5,	276:13, 277:1,	305:8, 305:10	131:25, 142:15,
212:13, 216:2	161:9, 162:21,	285:25, 289:20,	transport [1] - 106:2	142:17, 142:20,
track [1] - 22:6	101.0, 102.21,	289:23, 290:5,	transport[i] - 100.2	143:4, 144:1,
		,,,		

153:16, 154:23,	160:19, 160:20,	61:24, 75:2, 101:16,	272:11, 277:16	294:2, 298:12, 50
155:13, 159:11,	196:3, 300:17,	113:4, 116:21,	under [60] - 45:15,	301:23, 302:3,
159:21, 160:2,	369:19, 376:11	130:17, 134:25,	63:3, 74:20, 74:21,	304:10, 320:23,
160:4, 160:6,	truly [4] - 195:20,	135:3, 146:18,	78:15, 92:25, 97:8,	322:10
160:11, 161:7,	233:13, 235:10,	151:25, 159:8,	103:5, 109:6,	units [1] - 229:25
161:11, 162:3,	261:6	163:15, 166:22,	114:15, 118:23,	University [1] - 299:16
162:12, 163:9,	trust [9] - 93:25,	170:1, 183:13,	120:14, 152:24,	unkindly [1] - 164:24
163:14, 164:1,	94:13, 247:24,	187:6, 187:8,	155:25, 156:4,	unless [17] - 3:15,
165:9, 165:20,	264:2, 264:7,	187:13, 187:17,	157:6, 157:10,	6:18, 96:4, 106:10,
165:21, 165:22,	293:21, 294:1,	218:1, 241:20,	157:18, 163:5,	110:3, 110:11,
165:24, 166:9,	301:22, 317:6	241:21, 241:25,	171:2, 196:10,	110:14, 137:21,
167:1, 171:22,	truth [5] - 72:19,	243:24, 245:23,	198:9, 199:6,	138:23, 170:16,
173:3, 173:23,	111:9, 160:22,	252:21, 255:20,	211:15, 213:1,	198:23, 259:17,
185:1, 185:4,	160:23, 326:11	258:20, 281:6,	213:17, 220:3,	274:13, 326:16,
185:20, 301:7,	try [25] - 12:25, 18:7,	288:3, 294:16,	222:14, 222:18,	357:12, 371:12,
301:9, 301:20,	48:19, 50:25, 85:3,	295:7, 297:6,	222:19, 226:3,	374:2
302:9, 310:9,	87:13, 89:14,	297:14, 302:14,	233:12, 234:14,	unlike [1] - 200:6
315:17, 322:9,	104:16, 106:12,	303:13, 305:4,	235:8, 235:11,	unlikely [1] - 138:10
322:11, 329:19,	104:10, 100:12,	305:22, 306:9,	239:23, 255:9,	• • •
356:12	162:4, 162:5, 165:9,	306:24, 311:18,	257:10, 261:16,	unnecessary [1] - 28:24
Tribe's [29] - 91:24,	173:19, 177:11,	334:13, 334:15,	264:13, 277:18,	unrealistic [3] - 79:21,
92:17, 94:14, 97:5,	198:2, 240:13,	336:3, 338:17,	277:25, 278:9,	79:24, 80:2
97:8, 97:22, 97:24,	246:21, 259:4,	342:12, 345:15,	297:7, 297:12,	,
97:25, 98:6, 117:16,	335:12, 342:13,	350:13, 356:6,	300:10, 301:21,	unreasonably [1] -
118:19, 154:10,	344:24, 351:19	358:24, 365:11	304:8, 308:10,	299:2
154:21, 157:5,	trying [36] - 32:1,	type [9] - 41:4, 63:9,	312:3, 315:12,	unredacted [1] -
158:6, 161:10,	52:10, 68:15, 69:20,	216:10, 218:12,	322:9, 326:7, 340:7,	175:14
162:10, 174:2,	79:16, 83:22, 93:9,	218:23, 221:19,	345:20, 350:20,	unrepresented [1] -
174:5, 174:11,	103:2, 104:18,	246:13, 319:25,	354:8, 373:16	118:10
185:14, 188:13,	112:8, 118:21,	335:20	underlies [1] - 307:4	unresolved [1] -
309:18, 311:5,	118:22, 118:23,	types [1] - 215:9	underlying [6] - 57:8,	146:11
000.10, 011.0,	1 110// 110/3	1 types - 2 10.0	underlying $ 0 - 57.0$,	unsupported [1] -
	1			
333:3, 337:19,	119:10, 136:1,	typical [1] - 71:11	57:19, 173:22,	213:12
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] -	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] -	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] -	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] -	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] -	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8,	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] -	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5,	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [5] - 74:13,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19,	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [5] - 74:13, 213:23, 236:2,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12, 192:7, 192:21,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17, 35:18, 47:6, 49:7,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16,	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21, 137:9, 137:20,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [5] - 74:13, 213:23, 236:2, 322:15, 322:20	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12, 192:7, 192:21, 195:12, 195:22,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17, 35:18, 47:6, 49:7, 50:20, 119:8,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2,	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21, 137:9, 137:20, 152:7, 153:2, 153:4,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [6] - 74:13, 213:23, 236:2, 322:15, 322:20 troll [1] - 240:23	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12, 192:7, 192:21, 195:12, 195:22, 209:2, 215:12,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17, 35:18, 47:6, 49:7, 50:20, 119:8, 186:13, 202:6,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2, 231:17, 234:3,	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21, 137:9, 137:20, 152:7, 153:2, 153:4, 180:24, 181:7,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [5] - 74:13, 213:23, 236:2, 322:15, 322:20 troll [1] - 240:23 trouble [5] - 28:22,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12, 192:7, 192:21, 195:12, 195:22, 209:2, 215:12, 240:12, 309:24	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17, 35:18, 47:6, 49:7, 50:20, 119:8, 186:13, 202:6, 206:18, 229:17,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2, 231:17, 234:3, 314:1, 354:17	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21, 137:9, 137:20, 152:7, 153:2, 153:4, 180:24, 181:7, 184:10, 192:12,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [5] - 74:13, 213:23, 236:2, 322:15, 322:20 troll [1] - 240:23 trouble [5] - 28:22, 38:3, 48:1, 283:4,	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12, 192:7, 192:21, 195:12, 195:22, 209:2, 215:12, 240:12, 309:24 turned [4] - 160:9,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17, 35:18, 47:6, 49:7, 50:20, 119:8, 186:13, 202:6, 206:18, 229:17, 237:10, 238:10, 282:21, 282:22, 282:23	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2, 231:17, 234:3, 314:1, 354:17 unfair [2] - 59:19,	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21, 137:9, 137:20, 152:7, 153:2, 153:4, 180:24, 181:7, 184:10, 192:12, 194:19, 205:7,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [5] - 74:13, 213:23, 236:2, 322:15, 322:20 troll [1] - 240:23 trouble [5] - 28:22, 38:3, 48:1, 283:4, 283:8	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12, 192:7, 192:21, 195:12, 195:22, 209:2, 215:12, 240:12, 309:24 turned [4] - 160:9, 160:11, 188:5, 361:6	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17, 35:18, 47:6, 49:7, 50:20, 119:8, 186:13, 202:6, 206:18, 229:17, 237:10, 238:10, 282:21, 282:22,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2, 231:17, 234:3, 314:1, 354:17 unfair [2] - 59:19, 59:20	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21, 137:9, 137:20, 152:7, 153:2, 153:4, 180:24, 181:7, 184:10, 192:12, 194:19, 205:7, 205:21, 208:1,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [5] - 74:13, 213:23, 236:2, 322:15, 322:20 troll [1] - 240:23 trouble [5] - 28:22, 38:3, 48:1, 283:8 troubleshoot [1] -	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12, 192:7, 192:21, 195:12, 195:22, 209:2, 215:12, 240:12, 309:24 turned [4] - 160:9, 160:11, 188:5, 361:6 turns [1] - 352:21	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17, 35:18, 47:6, 49:7, 50:20, 119:8, 186:13, 202:6, 206:18, 229:17, 237:10, 238:10, 282:21, 282:22, 282:23	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2, 231:17, 234:3, 314:1, 354:17 unfair [2] - 59:19, 59:20 unfolding [1] - 161:18	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21, 137:9, 137:20, 152:7, 153:2, 153:4, 180:24, 181:7, 184:10, 192:12, 194:19, 205:7, 205:21, 208:1, 214:11, 216:10,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [5] - 74:13, 213:23, 236:2, 322:15, 322:20 troll [1] - 240:23 trouble [5] - 28:22, 38:3, 48:1, 283:4, 283:8 troubleshoot [1] - 89:19	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12, 192:7, 192:21, 195:12, 195:22, 209:2, 215:12, 240:12, 309:24 turned [4] - 160:9, 160:11, 188:5, 361:6 turns [1] - 352:21 twisted [1] - 366:14	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17, 35:18, 47:6, 49:7, 50:20, 119:8, 186:13, 202:6, 206:18, 229:17, 237:10, 238:10, 282:21, 282:22, 282:23 unacceptable [1] -	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2, 231:17, 234:3, 314:1, 354:17 unfair [2] - 59:19, 59:20 unfolding [1] - 161:18 unfortunately [3] -	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21, 137:9, 137:20, 152:7, 153:2, 153:4, 180:24, 181:7, 184:10, 192:12, 194:19, 205:7, 205:21, 208:1, 214:11, 216:10, 216:19, 217:3,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [5] - 74:13, 213:23, 236:2, 322:15, 322:20 troll [1] - 240:23 trouble [5] - 28:22, 38:3, 48:1, 283:8 troubleshoot [1] - 89:19 truck [1] - 288:12	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12, 192:7, 192:21, 195:12, 195:22, 209:2, 215:12, 240:12, 309:24 turned [4] - 160:9, 160:11, 188:5, 361:6 turns [1] - 352:21 twisted [1] - 366:14 two [62] - 5:11, 6:12,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17, 35:18, 47:6, 49:7, 50:20, 119:8, 186:13, 202:6, 206:18, 229:17, 237:10, 238:10, 282:21, 282:22, 282:23 unacceptable [1] - 206:22	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2, 231:17, 234:3, 314:1, 354:17 unfair [2] - 59:19, 59:20 unfolding [1] - 161:18 unfortunately [3] - 57:20, 69:22, 367:13	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21, 137:9, 137:20, 152:7, 153:2, 153:4, 180:24, 181:7, 184:10, 192:12, 194:19, 205:7, 205:21, 208:1, 214:11, 216:10, 216:19, 217:3, 217:11, 224:9,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [5] - 74:13, 213:23, 236:2, 322:15, 322:20 troll [1] - 240:23 trouble [5] - 28:22, 38:3, 48:1, 283:8 troubleshoot [1] - 89:19 truck [1] - 288:12 truckload [1] - 45:21	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12, 192:7, 192:21, 195:12, 195:22, 209:2, 215:12, 240:12, 309:24 turned [4] - 160:9, 160:11, 188:5, 361:6 turns [1] - 352:21 twisted [1] - 366:14 two [62] - 5:11, 6:12, 10:13, 12:4, 17:5,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17, 35:18, 47:6, 49:7, 50:20, 119:8, 186:13, 202:6, 206:18, 229:17, 237:10, 238:10, 282:21, 282:22, 282:23 unacceptable [1] - 206:22 unaware [1] - 103:19	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2, 231:17, 234:3, 314:1, 354:17 unfair [2] - 59:19, 59:20 unfolding [1] - 161:18 unfortunately [3] - 57:20, 69:22, 367:13 United [13] - 63:21,	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21, 137:9, 137:20, 152:7, 153:2, 153:4, 180:24, 181:7, 184:10, 192:12, 194:19, 205:7, 205:21, 208:1, 214:11, 216:10, 216:19, 217:3, 217:11, 224:9, 225:5, 231:13,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [5] - 74:13, 213:23, 236:2, 322:15, 322:20 troll [1] - 240:23 trouble [5] - 28:22, 38:3, 48:1, 283:4, 283:8 troubleshoot [1] - 89:19 truck [1] - 288:12 truckload [1] - 45:21 trucks [1] - 232:25	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12, 192:7, 192:21, 195:12, 195:22, 209:2, 215:12, 240:12, 309:24 turned [4] - 160:9, 160:11, 188:5, 361:6 turns [1] - 352:21 twisted [1] - 366:14 two [62] - 5:11, 6:12, 10:13, 12:4, 17:5, 17:10, 28:19, 34:21,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17, 35:18, 47:6, 49:7, 50:20, 119:8, 186:13, 202:6, 206:18, 229:17, 237:10, 238:10, 282:21, 282:22, 282:23 unacceptable [1] - 206:22 unaware [1] - 103:19 uncertainty [3] - 6:23,	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2, 231:17, 234:3, 314:1, 354:17 unfair [2] - 59:19, 59:20 unfolding [1] - 161:18 unfortunately [3] - 57:20, 69:22, 367:13 United [13] - 63:21, 171:22, 248:16,	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21, 137:9, 137:20, 152:7, 153:2, 153:4, 180:24, 181:7, 184:10, 192:12, 194:19, 205:7, 205:21, 208:1, 214:11, 216:10, 216:19, 217:3, 217:11, 224:9, 225:5, 231:13, 23:3, 242:4, 250:5,
333:3, 337:19, 342:16, 351:9, 356:8 Tribes [6] - 113:11, 171:22, 173:6, 293:22, 301:23, 302:11 tricks [1] - 112:8 tried [13] - 64:17, 65:1, 88:22, 118:14, 126:4, 160:14, 161:7, 162:8, 167:13, 169:15, 173:20, 181:18, 327:5 trifurcated [1] - 348:21 Tripp [5] - 74:13, 213:23, 236:2, 322:15, 322:20 troll [1] - 240:23 trouble [5] - 28:22, 38:3, 48:1, 283:8 troubleshoot [1] - 89:19 truck [1] - 288:12 truckload [1] - 45:21	119:10, 136:1, 145:17, 146:15, 162:18, 162:19, 163:7, 193:5, 204:9, 206:15, 216:18, 253:4, 269:10, 276:4, 277:23, 288:7, 298:2, 308:11, 310:23, 345:14, 349:16, 353:16 Tuesday [3] - 52:15, 318:12, 365:3 turn [17] - 27:12, 31:12, 36:6, 37:5, 44:20, 49:5, 50:11, 73:14, 154:12, 192:7, 192:21, 195:12, 195:22, 209:2, 215:12, 240:12, 309:24 turned [4] - 160:9, 160:11, 188:5, 361:6 turns [1] - 352:21 twisted [1] - 366:14 two [62] - 5:11, 6:12, 10:13, 12:4, 17:5,	typical [1] - 71:11 typically [5] - 43:8, 50:21, 50:23, 69:9, 208:5 U U.S [4] - 200:4, 236:18, 295:16, 295:17 ultimate [5] - 12:22, 37:21, 118:1, 244:23, 285:22 ultimately [18] - 7:9, 9:5, 25:5, 35:17, 35:18, 47:6, 49:7, 50:20, 119:8, 186:13, 202:6, 206:18, 229:17, 237:10, 238:10, 282:21, 282:22, 282:23 unacceptable [1] - 206:22 unaware [1] - 103:19 uncertainty [3] - 6:23, 7:14, 7:16	57:19, 173:22, 207:6, 318:20 undermines [1] - 157:7 underneath [1] - 301:17 underscore [3] - 113:1, 115:7, 115:10 underscored [1] - 221:15 understood [4] - 148:5, 197:8, 290:18, 374:25 undertaken [1] - 250:3 underway [1] - 31:23 unduly [11] - 134:5, 215:5, 218:19, 222:12, 226:16, 228:7, 230:2, 231:17, 234:3, 314:1, 354:17 unfair [2] - 59:19, 59:20 unfolding [1] - 161:18 unfortunately [3] - 57:20, 69:22, 367:13 United [13] - 63:21,	213:12 unusual [1] - 192:11 unusually [2] - 266:3, 322:1 up [93] - 3:14, 8:6, 10:20, 19:20, 25:19, 26:5, 41:7, 46:3, 48:7, 58:16, 58:19, 64:15, 67:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 74:11, 75:8, 78:25, 81:21, 82:1, 83:5, 84:23, 87:12, 88:12, 92:22, 93:19, 95:18, 107:9, 107:20, 119:15, 128:11, 129:16, 131:14, 136:21, 137:9, 137:20, 152:7, 153:2, 153:4, 180:24, 181:7, 184:10, 192:12, 194:19, 205:7, 205:21, 208:1, 214:11, 216:10, 216:19, 217:3, 217:11, 224:9, 225:5, 231:13,

258:17, 261:18, 262:15, 263:14, 264:11, 264:20, 265:2, 271:15, 274:15, 285:10, 288:12, 291:4, 293:14, 293:18, 294:20, 295:8, 296:11, 298:6, 306:24, 311:7, 311:13, 312:1, 314:4, 326:6, 341:15, 342:8, 357:24, 359:17, 362:7, 373:5 upcoming [2] - 129:4, 137:8 upheld [1] - 348:8 upholding [1] - 234:7 upper [2] - 160:1, 231:24 upstream [1] - 318:1 urban [1] - 212:10 usable [1] - 288:9 USAs [2] - 266:3, 266:4 **USC** [1] - 322:2 useful [2] - 236:3, 336:12 users [1] - 322:15 uses [3] - 30:11, 218:8, 241:6 usual [1] - 195:13 usufructuary [1] -304:13 utilities [3] - 74:16, 170:7. 349:2 **UTILITIES** [2] - 1:1, 1:11 Utilities [7] - 58:2, 58:10, 62:14, 63:18, 63:20, 124:6, 172:8 utility [4] - 112:23, 184:5, 221:13, 298:9 utilize [1] - 137:12 uttered [1] - 264:6

V

vague [2] - 155:9, 363:15 valid [6] - 11:25, 12:6, 91:8, 92:2, 97:22, 258:20 valuable [2] - 238:12, 242:4 value [2] - 160:17, 351:19 valve [3] - 319:25,

336:9, 341:14 valves [12] - 221:8, 319:24, 320:1, 335:20, 336:20, 337:9. 339:5. 340:14. 340:17. 340:18. 340:20 variation [1] - 227:3 various [20] - 9:21, 18:22, 34:2, 71:11, 132:6, 184:16, 184:25, 203:3, 203:14, 203:16, 210:24, 219:8, 221:18, 224:21, 224:24, 227:18, 234:21, 255:17, 373:21 vendors [1] - 209:17 vent [1] - 54:16 verbally [3] - 18:21, 227:17, 348:5 verification [2] -264:11. 264:12 verified [2] - 316:14, 317:18 verify [1] - 264:7 verse [1] - 115:12 version [2] - 283:24, 301:4 versus [3] - 80:17, 84:1, 106:2 vice [1] - 368:16 VICE [1] - 1:13 vicinity [1] - 317:3 view [9] - 59:15, 171:9, 194:15, 194:23, 254:3, 261:14, 279:2,

311:8, 373:18

Viola [4] - 20:12,

20:13, 42:19, 42:20

violated [4] - 94:21,

111:23, 117:12,

violates [1] - 98:5

277:16, 292:7,

virtual [1] - 202:18

virtually [2] - 159:11,

visited [1] - 290:25

voice [2] - 20:20,

Vokes [4] - 22:14,

Vokes' [2] - 202:14,

22:17, 207:7, 218:7

violating [1] - 157:24

violation [4] - 205:22,

violations [1] - 161:17

166:3

345:21

241:4

112:24

W

vulnerable [1] - 236:3

207:5

259:22

volume [6] - 156:17,

156:18, 184:25,

255:12, 258:11,

volumes [1] - 256:24

52:18, 146:7,

150:14, 153:19,

191:12, 279:18,

286:15, 286:25,

309:10, 342:24,

343:18, 351:1,

voted [3] - 43:7,

240:4, 349:12

56:11, 150:19,

150:20, 153:24,

153:25, 191:17,

191:18, 279:22,

279:23, 281:19,

281:20, 286:20,

286:21, 287:5,

287:6, 309:15,

309:16, 342:6,

343:23, 343:24,

362:3, 365:23,

votes' [1] - 26:15

375:15

365:24, 375:13,

351:5, 351:6, 362:2,

votes [33] - 4:18, 4:19,

31:3, 31:4, 56:10,

361.23

vote [15] - 4:13, 30:23,

wait [9] - 16:19, 17:18, 28:25, 29:1, 66:10, 327:4. 327:8. 337:24. 337:25 waited [1] - 105:1 waiting [3] - 14:5, 17:14, 115:4 waived [2] - 147:5, 147.8 waiving [1] - 121:16 walk [2] - 169:17, 190:2 walked [1] - 79:11 wall [2] - 75:1, 349:21 Waln [2] - 20:12, 42:19 wants [9] - 3:24, 95:21, 106:1, 125:4, 138:5, 227:13, 276:24, 295:5, 359:23

wash [1] - 212:2

Washington [3] -17:9, 175:13, 175:16 waste [3] - 6:24, 10:16. 28:14 wasting [1] - 8:10 watch [1] - 338:19 Water [14] - 12:8, 104:4, 106:22, 155:2, 157:10, 199:23, 293:11, 294:14, 294:23, 297:10, 297:12, 297:19, 305:19, 308:3 water [86] - 14:16, 26:11, 26:13, 106:3, 114:1, 114:3, 138:12, 156:9, 160:1, 165:3, 212:5, 212:8, 212:9, 212:15, 212:16, 212:20, 216:1, 224:2, 224:3, 224:11, 231:20, 231:22, 232:2, 232:6. 232:20. 240:23. 242:21. 254:13, 265:10, 266:3, 289:21, 289:24, 290:22, 291:9, 291:12, 291:17, 291:22, 292:2, 292:25, 293:8, 293:14, 293:20, 293:21, 294:2, 294:11, 294:16, 297:4, 297:9, 297:10, 297:18, 298:15, 299:9, 299:10, 299:13, 300:13, 301:20, 301:21, 301:24, 302:2, 302:15, 303:3, 305:7, 305:22, 306:17, 308:5, 308:7, 320:1, 322:4, 322:5, 322:8, 322:14, 322:15, 322:22, 325:18, 325:19, 325:21, 325:22, 336:20, 339:1, 340:19, 341:10, 341:11, 341:14, 341:15 water's [1] - 224:4 waterways [6] - 25:21, 209:25, 223:20, 224:2, 235:25, 265:24

ways [8] - 54:10, 72:9,51 203:3, 203:15, 229:17, 238:9, 322:4. 324:15 weak [1] - 20:20 web [1] - 170:23 website [20] - 157:19, 163:24, 164:2, 164:4, 164:11, 164:12, 164:16, 169:4, 171:11, 175:9, 175:13, 175:14, 175:21, 177:15, 217:20, 261:18, 265:24, 354:2, 372:10 Wednesday [3] -318:8, 339:14, 339:21 week [9] - 52:12, 53:16, 55:20, 127:23, 191:10, 296:8, 318:3, 318:6 weekend [1] - 267:22 weekends [1] - 52:16 weeks [10] - 34:21, 35:5, 44:13, 97:13, 104:6, 159:8, 187:6, 187:8, 187:13, 288:3 weigh [1] - 36:16 weighted [1] - 270:5 weird [1] - 192:12 welcome [2] - 119:14, 369:13 weld [1] - 325:1 welfare [2] - 216:6, 268.9 well-defined [1] -299:4 wells [2] - 322:19, 322:20 West [7] - 114:2, 290:10, 294:15, 294:16, 294:21, 297:11, 306:16 western [3] - 175:15, 207:15, 252:17 Western [1] - 210:8 wet [2] - 214:11, 252:12 wetland [1] - 232:9 wetlands [4] - 25:11, 199:25, 232:3, 250:4 whatsoever [2] -215:7, 367:3 whichever [1] - 141:13 White [3] - 179:4, 180:11, 212:5 WHITE [2] - 180:10, 180:14

whoever's [2] -122:19, 242:23 whole [17] - 8:9, 39:9, 68:14, 103:7, 118:6, 125:17, 160:15, 173:13, 205:15, 297:5, 297:12, 302:22, 320:18, 327:16, 354:5, 364:11, 368:22 wholeheartedly [2] -18:21, 20:23 wholesale [1] - 292:23 wholly [1] - 362:24 Wiconi [11] - 293:8, 293:11, 293:17, 295:22, 297:7, 297:24, 298:21, 298:24, 307:14, 308:10, 322:8 Wiconi's [1] - 299:3 wider [1] - 142:13 Wikipedia [1] - 372:10 Wildlife [2] - 156:4, 236:18 wildlife [2] - 163:19, 231:5 willfulness [1] -111:14 William [1] - 56:20 willing [7] - 21:12, 24:19. 149:25. 185:1. 210:16. 212:23, 270:16 willingness [1] - 361:1 Williston [1] - 210:8 wind [5] - 205:21, 216:10, 224:9, 225:5, 233:3 window [4] - 142:11, 142:12, 153:2, 153:5 Winner [2] - 17:2, 74:17 wisdom [1] - 10:1 wise [1] - 133:15 wish [11] - 11:3, 11:4, 18:1, 32:6, 36:16, 97:4, 143:18, 192:14, 279:9, 309:21, 343:4 wishes [8] - 4:5, 5:6, 51:15, 51:17, 67:1, 68:22, 98:23, 253:5 wishing [1] - 66:19 withdraw [3] - 3:23, 4:4, 337:13 withdrawal [1] -155:24 withdrew [2] - 4:9, 71:24

witness [37] - 17:8, 63:2, 63:6, 65:12, 85:10, 85:12, 85:17, 93:13. 94:15. 94:22. 97:18, 98:8, 98:22, 101:3. 108:25. 110:15. 113:23. 116:19, 116:21, 116:24, 126:6, 127:22, 133:1, 138:5, 150:4, 153:14, 165:17, 193:11, 194:1, 214:5, 314:4, 365:2, 369:18, 369:21 witnesses [106] - 7:3, 8:20, 37:16, 59:5, 59:17, 59:18, 60:8, 61:12, 62:7, 62:9, 62:15, 65:17, 65:22, 66:2, 66:3, 77:2, 77:8, 77:10, 77:11, 78:1, 78:2, 78:4, 78:6, 78:9, 78:17, 80:10, 81:12, 81:15, 81:17, 81:20, 82:6, 83:19, 92:6, 93:8, 93:12, 95:6, 95:23, 96:4, 96:16, 97:14, 98:24, 101:7, 103:11, 103:12, 103:16, 103:17, 106:9, 108:22, 110:2, 110:10, 113:5, 113:20, 114:21, 115:1, 115:3, 117:3, 118:5, 121:6, 121:15, 121:17, 121:21, 124:23, 127:18, 129:5, 134:4, 135:7, 135:12, 135:13, 135:14, 136:13, 136:18, 136:21, 136:22, 136:23, 139:3, 141:17, 142:8, 142:12, 143:22, 147:16, 148:11, 151:17, 151:21, 152:22, 153:3, 153:8, 153:9, 153:10, 153:12, 192:5, 192:24, 193:5, 193:20, 193:23, 194:2, 194:7, 195:1, 195:13, 196:17, 196:21, 197:1, 211:2, 213:17, 242:7 **WITTLER** [1] - 376:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24,

376:18 wonder [2] - 210:13, 312:21 wonderful [3] -209:10. 224:20. 224.23 wondering [6] - 78:23, 95:13, 143:16, 254:18, 359:20, 359.22 wool [1] - 225:14 word [12] - 18:9, 24:1, 32:4, 78:13, 80:17, 80:19, 88:9, 96:20, 115:3, 139:16, 264:10 wording [5] - 77:6, 77:11, 78:11, 79:3, words [4] - 78:14, 145:3, 219:15, 315:5 works [4] - 50:21, 220:21, 224:1, 224:22 world [1] - 184:10 worst [19] - 210:22, 210:23, 211:9, 212:4, 213:1, 213:9, 213:23, 215:25, 216:9, 249:11, 254:13, 255:7, 255:13, 255:19, 255:23, 256:5, 267:7, 316:13, 317:18 worst-case [19] -210:22, 210:23, 211:9, 212:4, 213:1, 213:9, 213:23, 215:25, 216:9, 249:11, 254:13, 255:7, 255:13, 255:19, 255:23, 256:5, 267:7, 316:13, 317:18

worth [5] - 82:21,

167:24, 198:10,

198:11, 198:20

wound [3] - 205:7,

225:5, 231:13

wow [1] - 366:2

288:20

wrap [1] - 264:20

wrestle [1] - 3:3

write [1] - 160:14

writes [1] - 88:3

writing [3] - 93:24,

170:15. 357:19

written [28] - 15:9,

wrapped [2] - 242:8,

21:17, 24:10, 27:10, 40:9, 99:4, 104:10, 106:17, 106:20, 107:3, 107:16, 107:18, 110:6, 110:11, 114:9, 134:11, 134:12, 134:17, 144:19, 151:2, 184:12, 184:15, 192:2, 211:3, 230:13, 348:6, 357:12 wrote [5] - 59:13, 66:1, 124:10, 135:5, 271:14 Wyoming [1] - 113:12 X

XL_[30] - 1:6, 3:20, 117:15, 118:2, 154:24, 155:20, 157:13, 157:17, 159:12, 167:21, 168:21, 170:13, 177:21, 179:7, 179:14, 179:21, 180:18, 181:25, 182:24, 183:14, 183:19, 185:22, 213:10, 230:16, 255:8, 295:6, 317:7, 343:10, 356:19, 358:7

Υ

y'all's [1] - 264:4 Yankton [34] - 19:17, 20:9, 41:13, 41:16, 41:17, 42:1, 42:15, 60:2, 60:7, 60:9, 65:8, 65:11, 65:15, 82:16, 89:23, 90:21, 90:24, 92:11, 93:7, 95:1, 97:24, 126:22, 126:24, 126:25, 127:15, 142:15, 142:17, 142:20, 143:4, 144:1, 146:16, 150:2, 150:10, 351:9 Yankton's [1] - 90:20 year [9] - 12:4, 13:16, 13:23, 14:2, 15:15, 22:7, 28:18, 104:14, 192.12 years [31] - 8:25, 9:15, 15:15, 15:16, 15:22,

16:2, 17:5, 17:10, 52 19:25, 21:20, 22:5, 24:16, 28:12, 28:19, 29:10, 29:12, 57:14, 79:5, 130:17, 167:24, 178:3, 222:4, 224:5, 233:25. 258:22. 293:9, 316:6, 319:12, 322:12 yellow [1] - 294:15 yeses [2] - 269:12, 270:3 yesterday [9] - 65:21, 265:16, 266:6, 266:9, 292:17, 311:2, 313:4, 318:14, 318:15 yielded [1] - 170:5 York [2] - 255:22 yourself [2] - 131:23, 275:18