| 1        | THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  |
|----------|--|
| 2        | OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA   |
| 3        | =======================================                                  |
| 4        | IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION HP14-001 OF TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE PIPELINE, |
| 5        | LP FOR ORDER ACCEPTING CERTIFICATION OF PERMIT ISSUED IN DOCKET HP09-001 |
| 6        | TO CONSTRUCT THE KEYSTONE XL<br>PIPELINE                                 |
| 7        |  |
| 8        | Transcript of Proceedings  |
| 9        | April 30, 2015<br>9 o'clock a.m.   |
| 10       | =======================================                                  |
| 11       | BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION                                   |
| 12       | CHRIS NELSON, CHAIRMAN   |
| 13<br>14 | KRISTIE FIEGEN, VICE CHAIRMAN<br>GARY HANSON, COMMISSIONER               |
| 15       | COMMISSION STAFF   |
| 16       | John Smith<br>Kristen Edwards  |
| 17       | Greg Rislov<br>Brian Rounds  |
| 18       | Darren Kearney<br>Katlyn Gustafson                                       |
| 19       | Raciyii Gustaisoii   |
|          |  |
| 20       |  |
| 21       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       | Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR                             |
| 25       |  |

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol Building, Room 413, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of April, 2015. 

CHAIRMAN NELSON: HP14-001, In the Matter of the Petition of TransCanada Keystone Pipeline for Order Accepting Certification of Permit Issued in Docket HP09-001 to Construct the Keystone XL Pipeline.

2.3

On April 24, 2015, the Commission received from Dakota Rural Action, Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Yankton Sioux Tribe, Indigenous Environmental Network, and BOLD Nebraska a Joint Motion to Vacate or in the Alternative to Clarify or Amend Protective Order.

And so the question is shall the Commission grant that Joint Order? And then also before we are done today we will deal with an Amended Procedural Schedule.

With that, who is going to present on behalf of the folks who filed the Motion?

MR. MARTINEZ: That would be me, your Honor. Robert Martinez on behalf of Dakota Rural Action.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Go ahead.

MR. MARTINEZ: Members of the Commission, I think maybe the way to preface this particular Motion is to indicate that we really have, I think, two issues.

One is just the pure procedural issue of the Commission's own rules with respect to how documents are to be designated as confidential. And then the second category gets to whether or not those documents truly are

confidential, which I don't think we want to spend as much time on today because what we really need is, I think, a serious look at whether or not TransCanada has actually followed the rules that are set out for designation, the confidentiality designations.

2.3

And, second, the actual language of the Order itself that was issued that, I believe, Dakota Rural Action and the other Intervenors have all taken the position that it is unduly restrictive.

Now we've had some negotiations with Mr. Taylor who's been acting on behalf of TransCanada. He's, I think, made some concessions that are reasonable. I don't think that they go quite far enough, but that's something we'd like to get into.

Now to really start with this, you know, the Commission's rules for what is designated or how documents are designated as confidential is actually pretty clear. You've got Administrative Rule 20:10:01:41, which just lays out the procedure pretty crystal clear.

It says that if confidential treatment of information is sought, the party that is -- that seeks to have that confidential needs to, one, identify each of those documents or even the portion of those documents for which confidentiality is requested. They need to

submit a request stating the length of time for which that confidentiality is requested and how to handle it.

2.3

They need to add the name, address, and phone number of the persons contacted regarding that request. And provide specifically -- and this is one where, I think, TransCanada has fallen fairly flat on, at least until we got Mr. Taylor's responsive Brief, the statutory or common law grounds -- rules under which confidentiality is requested. And then finally the factual basis that qualifies the information for confidentiality.

Now it's interesting that none of those rules were followed by TransCanada prior to the Order being entered. And, you know, on that basis I think the Intervenors were left with a question of, okay, are the rules applicable to TransCanada or not? And that's, I think, a fair question to ask.

Now looking at the -- and I think on that basis, if you just purely look at whether or not those rules have been followed, to us it seems like there's no basis for the Order then until TransCanada actually does comply with those rules.

Now the important part of this is -- and this is what I think is rather interesting, is that you've got a second administrative regulation, the 20:10:01:42 that

has a very specific sentence in there that I think is
pretty -- is at least from my standpoint very
interesting.

And it says that "The party requesting confidentiality has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the information qualifies as confidential."

2.3

2.4

And it wasn't until we received, I think,

Mr. Taylor's Response Brief that we finally wound up with
an Affidavit from TransCanada's in-house counsel that
says we think these items are confidential for the
following reasons and I think a little more detail at
that point.

But that's information that, frankly,

TransCanada should have provided on the front end. And
on top of that, they should have -- what they've
essentially done by engaging in this is they have tried
to shift the burden of arguing over confidentiality back
to the individual Intervenors as opposed to assuming that
burden themselves, which is what the rules say that they
have to do.

And so when we look at those two factors we thought that there was substantial grounds to go ahead and vacate that Protective Order.

Now that's one issue. The second issue that we

have relates, I think, primarily to the actual content of that Order itself. And we had a number of -- we've got a number of concerns.

2.3

Specifically, if you look at in their Section 3 of that Order, it states that all confidential documents that are provided as a result of the Motions to Compel that you've, you know, granted from the prior hearing are going to be "viewable only by attorneys of record or parties to the Motion to Compel."

Now if we have a strict reading of that, that means only the counsel. That does not mean our Staff.

That does not mean our witnesses. That does not mean our clients. It becomes very, very difficult then for us then as Intervenors to use any of these records to prepare for a hearing if only the attorneys can look at it and we can't go and get advice or input from our expert witnesses, from potentially nondesignated expert witnesses or consultants who might be helping us.

For instance, I'm not an engineer. You know, if I take a look at some of the operations reports and maintenance manuals or, for instance, their Hazardous Liquid Integrity Management Program, you know, that's going to be largely brief to me. I'm going to need input from experts, from engineers, from folks that we may have that are going to help us out to help us understand what

the actual meaning and import of those documents are and if there are any flaws in that that provide -- you know, that pose risks in terms of how the pipeline is constructed, operated, or maintained. The way the Order is worded we can't really do that.

2.3

Now Mr. Taylor had agreed and, in fact, I think in Exhibit A to his Affidavit he indicated that experts can review them, provided each agrees to be bound. Well, that leaves open the question are we talking about only the experts that are designated, that are going to testify, or does that include consultants, for instance, that we may have that we're not planning on using as experts but, you know, who we are going to rely on to try to understand the meaning of these documents.

I think there needs to be a real clarification to that, and I think the Commission needs to really expand the scope of any order, if you're going to enter one, to permit us to be to engage in that type of review.

That's not the only issue with the Order. I look at, you know, another section, Section 9, for instance. It talks about "Counsel shall not make disclosure of any confidential fact or assertion, except to other counsel of record."

Once again, that's an incredible limitation, you

know, that doesn't really help us very well in terms of being able to prepare for the hearing, and I think it really limits our ability to get prepared.

2.3

So when you look, you know, at those factors I think they're ample grounds for us to go ahead and either on one hand vacate the Order because TransCanada did not follow the procedural requirements that are clearly spelled out in your rules, or, alternatively, if you are going to go ahead and enter an order, in fact, there may be some documents that qualify for confidentiality.

Number one, you know, make sure that TransCanada clearly meets the burden, which we think that they have not met to date, of demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence that that's the case and deserves confidential treatment. And, second, go ahead and take a look at the actual language and make sure that the order is worded in a way to where it's actually usable by us.

Like I said, I think Mr. Taylor certainly agreed to a few items. For instance, he talked about the ability of our experts to go ahead and review these, and then I believe he extended a couple of points to where one of the witnesses for one -- nonexpert witness for one of the Intervenors would also be allowed to look at them.

But at this point we can't even show documents to our clients for comment. And that's a real problem

for us. And that's why we went ahead, and that's why we filed this Joint Motion to resolve these issues.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Before we go to any of the others, I'm going to ask a question, maybe two, and maybe my fellow Commissioners have a question.

In looking at your Motion, page 9 under the section Relief Sought By Intervenors, in the second paragraph how does what you have asked for in the second paragraph -- and that's the paragraph that begins with the word "Alternatively."

How does that differ from the agreement that you have already entered into with Keystone?

MR. MARTINEZ: Well, what we're asking for is is really an expansion of that. We would like the attorneys -- not only the attorneys of record but other parties besides the attorneys of record.

Now Keystone's already agreed to that. They've agreed to that in terms of some potential experts. But we still can't, for instance, show the documents to our clients.

You know, there's potentially a question as to, you know, how do you define expert? Are those only experts, for instance, who have submitted prefiled testimony and are going to testify, or are they consulting experts that we may be using who will not

1 testify but are there to essentially help us get a better 2 understanding of the documents. And that's essentially what we're asking for. 3 4 We're asking for that to be read as expansively as 5 possible to build in enough flexibility to allow us to do 6 our work. 7 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. So I want to drill in 8 on the language that's in that paragraph. When you say "by persons working under the supervision of Intervenors' 10 counsel of record to include expert and fact witnesses," 11 when you talk about "working under the supervision of," 12 does that include clients? 13 MR. MARTINEZ: I don't believe I intended that 14 to be that, but, yeah, I would also ask that be extend 15 today clients as well. 16 CHAIRMAN NELSON: So essentially it would be 17 anybody that you deem to be working under your 18 supervision; is that correct? 19 MR. MARTINEZ: That is correct. 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. That's all the 21 questions I have at this point. 22 Any others? 2.3 With that, we will go to the other counsel that

joined in this Motion for any new information you might

24

25

have.

Mr. Rappold.

2.3

2.4

MR. RAPPOLD: Commissioner Nelson, I had to get my phone off of mute there.

Matt Rappold on behalf of the Rosebud Sioux

Tribe. We echo Mr. Martinez in our concerns regarding
the issuance of the Protective Order and scope and
support our other parties' issue here.

The other thing that we're concerned with -- and I believe this was discussed so I'll keep it brief but being able to have experts and other witnesses and clients be able to properly access the information in order to determine its veracity as far as evidentiary value for the trial.

Additional concern that we had, sometimes there is a designation of confidential information that may not, in fact, be entirely confidential. And our experts have agreed that they would sign nondisclosure agreements. However, they don't want to be restricted to not being able to disclose anything that may already, in fact, be in the public record -- or the public domain, rather.

So when addressing the Motion today and deciding what, if anything, to do we would ask that you take those additional concerns into account.

Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Mr. Capossela.

2.3

MR. CAPOSSELA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'd just like to make two points. One describes kind of the black letter law confidentiality and disclosure. And I would also like to discuss Standing Rock's experience with this issue.

But Rule 26 permits far-reaching discovery, but it tempers that by authorizing "for good cause shown to protect a party or person from annoying, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense" a protective order and designation of confidentiality for "a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information. Until good cause is required for the confidentiality designation, the courts have generally required a particular and specific demonstration of fact that distinguishes stereotypical and conclusory statements."

There really does have to be a demonstration of injury. And that demonstration I don't think has been met for most of the documents designated as confidential. I think the long and short of it is is for something to be a trade secret it has to affect the bottom line of the parties seeking to prevent disclosure. And few, if any, of the documents which have been designated as

confidential by TransCanada meet that description, and I think that really is what's creating the burden that we're discussing this morning.

But that's the law on the burden that

TransCanada has for each document that it seeks to

designate as confidential and to be covered by the

Protective Order.

2.3

With respect to the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, as TransCanada articulated in its responsive filing to the Motion, we did make some progress for sharing documents with the Standing Rock Tribal Historic Preservation Office, documents that relate to cultural resources, and we're going through that process now.

And what the Historic Preservation Office has determined is the documents designated by TransCanada as confidential and to be seen only -- initially only by the attorneys, they already have and you have most of those documents for three or four years. They got them from the State Department.

And so documents designated by TransCanada as confidential are already out there. And now folks are being asked to sign agreements to maintain the confidentiality of documents that they've had in their files for three or four years. So there's a little bit of absurdity to the extent that TransCanada has

designated documents as confidential, and that's why this

Motion is clearly germane and we need to work through

this together so the parties can access documents which

really do not have the color of confidentiality under the

South Dakota rules.

Thank you.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Cheyenne River. Mr. Clark.

MR. CLARK: I would just reiterate the arguments that have been already made by my colleagues here.

Cheyenne River supports the Motion. We hope that you vote for it.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Yankton Sioux Tribe, who now has two representatives in front of us. And your choice.

MS. BAKER: Thank you, Commissioner. This is Jennifer Baker.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Go ahead.

MS. BAKER: Yankton Sioux Tribe joins the arguments previously presented on this matter and again would like to stress that TransCanada has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence that exhibits or documents qualify as confidential, and this burden has not been met. And as a practical matter it can't be met without first providing those documents to the Commission

to review.

2.3

That's why the rule that applies specifically to the Commission regarding confidential treatment is in place and provides very specific measures and standards for requesting confidential treatment.

TransCanada has alleged in its response that it would be patently unfair to vacate the Protection Order.

On the contrary, what's patently unfair is for TransCanada to be given carte blanche to mark anything it wishes confidential without properly requesting confidential treatment and meeting its burden of proof under the law.

It's patently unfair that the burden has been placed instead on the Intervenors to show the documents are not confidential. As demonstrated in the Intervenors' Motion, TransCanada has clearly violated the standard of good faith that was imposed on it by the Commission's Order for marking documents as confidential, and that nullifies any equitable argument Keystone might attempt to make regarding fairness.

Keystone also mentioned that despite the numbers provided by Intervenors regarding the size and quantity of documents, there were only 10 categories. I'd suggest that that is irrelevant to the scope and quantity. There is a massive amount of documents that requires access to

support Staff to be able to filter through those documents in any kind of purposeful, meaningful manner.

2.3

In addition, there are some clear examples where information was improperly designated as confidential.

The Biological Survey Reports mentioned in the response cite the Endangered Species Act, but they don't actually cite that the law itself would be violated. That's a requirement for confidential treatment.

As far as the cultural survey record, the South Dakota Statute is referenced, but that statute doesn't apply to private individuals or corporations. It actually applies to the State Archeologists and whether the State Archeologists can release certain information. And the Paleontological Monitoring Plan, which was requested, TransCanada's opposition there also again cites no law.

We'd also like to reiterate that the agreement reached between the parties is still not adequate because there are fact witnesses that may be necessary to review some of these documents, not just expert witnesses. We do have these fact witnesses who have unique skills and unique knowledge and background to be able to assess the potential usefulness of some of the documents requested at the hearing. And so it is vital to our interests that those witnesses be provided access to the relevant

documents.

2.3

In Staff's response, despite Staff's assertion, the Intervenors did not only account for the provisions of ARSD 20:10:01:41, but that is, in fact, what we're asking the Commission to apply.

Staff also made reference to the FSEIS suggesting that it provides adequate information for the PUC to ascertain whether or not Keystone continues to meet conditions upon which the permit was granted.

If that was the case, if this matter could be decided solely on the FSEIS, there would be no sense in having parties at all. It would be a matter of just reviewing the record. But that's clearly not what's intended by the State Legislature when the appropriate laws were passed, and that's simply not enough to make a informed decision on this matter.

It's, therefore, not ample justification for confidential treatment being provided to Keystone without Keystone having met its burden.

And, finally, I note in the interest of the pro se Intervenors, if represented Intervenors are entitled to certain rights and privileges with respect to these documents for the purposes of preparation for trial and cross-examination, which they are, then the same rights should apply to pro se Intervenors. They should

not be granted limited ability to participate due to the fact that they're not represented by counsel.

With that, we would request again that the Commission vacate its Order due to its noncompliance with the Commission's law and South Dakota State law, and in the alternative we request that the Order be amended in order to account for the relief requested by our Motion.

Thank you.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Indigenous Environmental Network. Ms. Craven.

MS. CRAVEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to -- I just got some feedback there, and there's an echo. Sorry about that.

So I would like to echo what my colleagues have already said. And also urge you now that we have additional time to conduct this discovery to make it — to vacate the previous Order and make it more equitable for the attorneys who are involved in this to really use the information that they're finding out by allowing expert witnesses and their clients to also and other attorneys to have access to the discovery.

And I hope that was coherent because I kept hearing this echo the entire time I talked to you.

That's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And you did come

1 across here. Not a problem. 2 MS. CRAVEN: Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: BOLD Nebraska. Mr. Blackburn. 4 MR. BLACKBURN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 5 have a bad echo. I think maybe a microphone is on 6 somewhere in the room. We've found it. 7 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 8 MR. BLACKBURN: Three general areas I want to 9 talk about here. 10 The first is that there's been an argument that 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

because TransCanada and the parties agreed to certain understandings of the Protective Order that, therefore, that sufficiently doesn't -- there's no requirement for Commission action on the Protective Order.

And that -- although it's great that the parties are able and been able to agree to certain elements of this, where the plain language of the Order is -- where the agreement of the parties is simply in opposition of the plain language of the Order, not in accordance with the plain language of the Order, the Order would need to be changed to comply with -- or to adopt the understanding of the parties.

So there's certain elements there that we need actual language changes in the Order.

The second point I'd like to make -- and BOLD

Nebraska is particularly concerned about paragraph 3 in the second and third sentences. And Mr. Martinez discussed some aspects of this, but there's one particular aspect that applies to BOLD Nebraska and maybe some other parties a bit differently than it does to Dakota Rural Action.

2.3

2.4

Specifically, that language says, and I'll just repeat it here, "All confidential documents provided as a result of any Motion to Compel granted by the Commission shall be viewable only by attorneys of record to the party or the parties to the Motion to Compel. Those attorneys shall abide by the Protective Order and execute the Nondisclosure Agreement as referenced above."

BOLD Nebraska was not a party to a Motion to Compel. Therefore, under this language BOLD Nebraska apparently is not eligible to view any of the confidential documents. And if that is the Commission's understanding, I would request that the Commission clarify that.

On the other hand, TransCanada did, in fact, apparently not anticipate that or did not understand that restriction and provided me, as attorney for BOLD Nebraska, with a password to access confidential information.

Because of this language, because BOLD Nebraska

is not a party to a Motion to Compel, I have not viewed that confidential language, even though apparently TransCanada believes it's acceptable for me to view it. So, therefore, BOLD requests that that language be changed to not require that a party -- only parties to a Motion to Compel -- to a particular Motion to Compel view that information.

2.3

I'd also like to point out that that language is so specific that it says at the end of it "may be viewable only by the attorney of record for the party or parties to the Motion to Compel." Using the definite article there, the word "the" indicates that if it's read as restrictively as it could be, that only the information — that only the attorneys who requested specific information through a Motion to Compel could receive — could view that information. And I think that's, again, too restrictive.

My understanding is the -- all parties of record should have access to confidential information, not just those who submitted a Motion to Compel. That's my second point.

My third point is about the scope of disclosure related to how many individuals and what individuals can see material from a party.

And I'd like to point out that, first, allowing

more individuals than just the attorneys of record to see information wouldn't open up the floodgates willy-nilly to allow anybody at any party or any member of any organization to view material. Because in order to view material one must have signed a nondisclosure agreement.

2.3

So the confidentiality provisions apply to individuals, not to -- they are not a blanket -- not a blanket authorization for anybody within an organization to view materials. It's a personal obligation, and it imposes, as I understand it, personal liability.

In that regard I'd like to suggest a solution to that, and I'll turn to your sister Public Utilities

Commission in Minnesota, that they have three categories of individuals who may see confidential documents.

The first is attorneys employed or retained by a party in proceedings. And by using the word "attorneys" we're generally -- that isn't the attorney of record, but that means anybody within the law firm's office, which is normal practice.

The second category is outside experts, retained consultants and employees of the party who need access to the material to assist the party in the proceedings, provided that the assent of the disclosing party has been received. In other words, that they allow longer -- or more people than just the attorneys, but the disclosing

party, in this case TransCanada, would have the right to essentially veto individuals who they don't think are eligible.

2.3

So that would help from keeping the flood gates from gushing open and allowing anybody to use the material. And there needs to be some standards there for reasons that it would not be unreasonably refused.

The third category is other additional employees or other categories of people. But there they have to sign a nondisclosure agreement, and, again, the disclosing party, in this case TransCanada, would have the ability to veto them. And then there would be an opportunity -- if TransCanada did unreasonably reject somebody who a party thought should see information, then there would be a way for that to be appealed.

So those are the categories. Again, I think it's reasonable. It provides adequate safeguards. It allows only a limited number of individuals to see confidential information. And that could provide some solution here.

And then, finally, what happens sometimes in other utility proceedings is that there are two categories of confidential information. There's things that are generally confidential, but then there's also things that are considered extremely confidential.

1 Specifically it usually relates to critical 2 trade secret or critical commercial information and most of the time would be a two-tiered approach where certain 3 4 documents can be designated by a party as being extremely confidential, and there the restrictions are much more 6 limited usually to just the attorneys of record and 7 sometimes to consultants. But, again, that provides more 8 protection. But that isn't applied in general; that's applied only to a very small set of very highly 10 confidential information. 11 So I will leave my comments at that. Again, we request that the Order be amended as our Motion has 12 13 suggested and request, if not amendments, a clarification 14 about whether or not BOLD Nebraska may see confidential 15 information at all. 16 Thank you for your time today. 17 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Any of the other 18 Intervenors that may have new information? 19 I'm not hearing anything. I'm going to go to Staff. Ms. Edwards. 20 21 MR. RAPPOLD: Commissioner Nelson? 22 CHAIRMAN NELSON: We're on to Staff. Go ahead, 2.3 Commissioner Edwards. 2.4 MR. RAPPOLD: This is Rappold. I had to get my

phone off mute. I just wanted to add a follow that up

25

1 | would take just a moment prior to Ms. Edwards.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: You know, let's hold that until we come back around to rebuttal.

Go ahead, Ms. Edwards.

2.3

MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. Kristen Edwards for Staff.

The Commission has always treated every party the same when dealing with confidential information so it appears the Commission is being fair to everyone by treating this Docket the same as everyone it has in the past.

Staff takes a very conservative view of the Administrative Rules, and when the rule requires submission of material but does not specify that submission must be in writing, Staff does not believe that should be inferred.

And at the April 14 meeting it was discussed, I believe in length, that there would be some confidential information, and TransCanada submitted it as such.

I won't go into anymore detail because we did submit a Brief, and we would rely on that Brief.

However, if the Commission decided they would like to go into in-camera session at some point to review that, just yesterday the South Dakota Supreme Court did release an opinion underscoring how important the in-camera session

is to the -- to privileged information in the discovery process, and Staff would support that.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Before we go to TransCanada, I want to ask Ms. Edwards one question.

And I'm going to refer to some things that
Mr. Martinez said. And, Mr. Martinez, I'm going to come
back around to you ultimately and ask the same question.
But, Kristen, I'd like to get your thoughts.

Mr. Martinez talked about some of the

Administrative Rules that he felt were applicable here.

And as I look at 20:10:01:39, which is the definition of confidential information, it appears that this -- and these rules apply to information filed with the

Commission. I mean, in the first sentence of that it says "in the possession of the Commission."

And it appears to me that this whole body of rule applies to things that are filed with the Commission, not things that are turned over through discovery. Am I wrong in that? Help me out.

MS. EDWARDS: Our interpretation of that rule is that it would necessarily apply to discovery as well because any information submitted through the discovery process can be submitted as an exhibit. So unless we apply it to discovery as well, it could then be turned

around by another party and submitted nonconfidentially.

So if we're going to protect their rights under that

rule, they have to protect them under discovery as well.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. With that, Keystone.

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Commissioners.

William Taylor appearing for Keystone. With me today is my partner James Moore, and Mr. Jim White, Associate General Counsel for TransCanada, is here in the room with us.

First of all, I want to talk about the circumstances and remind the Commission of the circumstances under which this Order that is challenged today was filed.

We concluded a 12-hour hearing on the 14th of April at about 9:00 p.m. Mr. Moore and I returned to Sioux Falls and engaged Mr. White and TransCanada's Staff the next morning in locating and categorizing documents to be provided responsive to your -- with all due respect to the Commission -- liberal approach to the Order that you entered requiring production of documents.

We started out with this philosophy with respect to documents which we would declare as protected: Three categories, those which were statutorily protected, those documents which are proprietary -- and by proprietary I mean of significant commercial importance to the

company -- and the third category was those documents which had been previously recognized by a Commission or some other regulatory agency or body as confidential. So having that in mind, we started out responding to your directive.

2.3

About noon on Thursday knowing that 5 o'clock Friday was our deadline we began a rump discussion about how the confidential documents would be managed. We had not yet seen an order. Nor had we discussed the issue with Mr. Smith.

So we decided Thursday afternoon that our approach would be to suggest to Mr. Smith that there be some confidentiality provisions in the Order, and, alternatively, if that were not going to happen, that we were not going to produce the documents unless -- the confidential documents, unless represented counsel, parties to the motions, including Mr. Blackburn and Mr. Rappold, both of whom had asked to see other people's discovery -- we were going to ask them -- give them access to the documents provided they agreed that they would retain them as confidential until we could get these issues straightened out with this Commission.

I composed that e-mail to send to the parties and had it ready to go 3 o'clock-ish Friday afternoon.

Ms. Edwards told us at some time on Friday that there was

a confidential order in the works.

2.3

4:00 p.m. Friday afternoon we at that -- we were within 15 minutes of having the FTP sites ready and the passwords prepared to send out along with the e-mail that I just described, Mr. Smith's Order came out. Your Order came out authored by Mr. Smith.

We read the Order and at 4:40, 45 minutes or so later, we decided that the Order was sufficient to provide us with the protection for those three categories of documents that were included, and so we sent passwords to the FTP sites to all those interested persons.

Now Mr. Martinez raises the question of is this procedurally accurate? He wants procedural precision, and he wants procedural precision on the penalty that your Order will be dissolved.

If your Order is dissolved, then those three categories of documents that we in good faith provided pursuant to this Order become part of the public domain. Free for anybody in the world to look at.

Mr. White in his Affidavit has described the proprietary nature of the categories of the documents and has said how important those are to the company.

We had a very serious debate before we posted some of those documents about whether we would post them at all. But we decided in good faith in the face of this

Order, despite their extraordinary value to the company, that we would post them.

2.3

2.4

Mr. White is here. Mr. White is prepared to take an oath and answer any questions that this Commission wants to ask about the nature of those documents right here, right now. He came from Washington, D.C. late last night for that very purpose.

So the first order of business, we strongly resist the idea that this Order will be dissolved and that these documents will be allowed to be in the public domain.

Second order of business, as to those categories of documents that are statutory or previously statutorily imposed with confidentiality provision or otherwise recognized by a Commission or other body, it's beyond our capacity to decide as a company that we will ignore the requirements of a statute or ignore the requirements that another regulatory agency who may have regulatory supervision far different than yours has imposed on these documents. So we have no choice but to post them in a confidential manner.

I am readily available. Many of the Intervenors know my cellphone number. All of the Intervenors know my office number. As is demonstrated by what happened on the Monday following our Friday release, we will be

reasonable in our approach to management of this.

2.3

On the Monday following our release of the documents and a long conference call, I suggested we will make the documents -- you can have the documents. You can show them to your experts. All we ask is you identify the expert and that the expert endorse the requirements of the Order. And that is that the expert will sign on to keep the documents confidential.

This is nothing new in the litigation business. This goes on all the time. The protocol that

Mr. Blackburn describes that's within the Minnesota PUC regulations -- and I'm familiar with that. I've been in front of the Minnesota PUC -- is more or less akin to the common practice among lawyers with confidential documents, particularly the categorization of documents into general and critical confidential documents. There are commonly used confidentiality agreements that reach to all of those things.

So here's what we did. On Monday we said you can show these documents to your experts. All we want to know is who they are and get them to endorse the Order.

Number two, we don't think there's any issue with attorneys and Staff in your law firms seeing the documents. We think that they are bound by the same ethical standards that the lawyers are bound by who are

parties to the agreement.

2.3

The third thing we did, Mr. Capossela had asked if the HPO from Standing Rock could look at the cultural surveys. We asked him to do one thing. We asked him to verify for us that Standing Rock, which it later turned out to be, is the first Tribe in the Nation who had a designated HPO officer within the scope of the federal statute. And he was very prompt about doing that. I think the next day he sent us a copy of the designation, and we very promptly said that's fine.

He has told us subsequently that he's also showing the documents to a consultant to his HPO, and that's fine with us also. All we ask is that the consultant sign on to the confidentiality provisions.

The third question was asked of us -
Ms. Real Bird asked if Faith Spotted Eagle, who is one of
her witnesses, could examine the cultural surveys. We
said yes, even though she is not technically designated
as an expert.

Ms. Real Bird has said that she has expert characteristics. Whether or not she renders an opinion in the classical expert fashion, we don't know. So we agreed to that.

We also suggested that hearing -- in that discussion that anyone who wanted to talk about any of

these issues dial the phone and that we would address
them. So far there have been no calls. Only this

2.3

Now the world changed a little bit a couple of days ago when you decided that the hearing would be continued and some of the urgency to resolve questions of confidentiality, both the scope of the document production that we made and the mechanism for protecting confidentiality is no longer quite as urgent.

There have been some very reasoned suggestions made by the Intervenors today about arriving at modifications to your Order. We are perfectly amenable to discuss modifications to the Order, much as we did a week ago on our own volition.

We're perfectly amenable to work with Mr. Smith, to work with the Intervenors, to arrive at amendments to the Order that make implementation of the Order and trial preparation more amenable.

But there are some issues, some categories, that we will not agree to, and I may as well just tell you those right now so that we know what the scope is.

First of all, it is agreeable for us that the lawyers and expert witnesses see the confidential documents. And here's the reason why: Every lawyer who appears in this case is admitted pro hac vice in

South Dakota. They have solicited and obtained an Order of Circuit Court admitting them to practice in South Dakota for a limited basis in this proceeding.

2.3

They are, by so doing, underneath the jurisdiction and disciplinary powers of the Supreme Court. And the disciplinary powers of the Supreme Court can be draconian. The Supreme Court has the power to suspend the right to practice in South Dakota and has the power to extend that suspension to other disciplinary boards in other states.

Very important to lawyers, obviously, if your license is challenged for breach of the rules. And lawyers are very familiar with that and understand how to live and work within the scope of confidentiality agreements.

Most professional experts, testimonial experts, are also very familiar with the process. We're not concerned about experts because experts are retained by the lawyers, and the ethical cloak that applies to the lawyers also applies to the experts so we're willing to let that extension be had so long as the expert says who he is so that if we want, we can challenge whether or not that expert is truly an expert.

So, number one, he has to say who he is and, number two, endorse the agreement. We're perfectly happy

with that.

2.3

Now beyond the borders of attorney represented parties, this Commission has very limited authority. When you really get down to the bottom line, the nonrepresented parties, the only real remedy that TransCanada has of a nonrepresented party chooses to publish information is to sue them. And that isn't much of a remedy once the horse is out of the barn.

We are not opposed to -- if a particular Intervenor, nonrepresented, wants to make a case for why they should see the confidential documents, we are willing to listen. And I think this Commission knows that we have tried to avoid bringing issues like this before the Commission.

We have been liberal in our approach. We have come to know many of the Intervenors personally through these hearings. And if lay persons want to see the confidential documents, we're perfectly happy to listen to their reasons and see if we can arrive at a mutual accord that will allow for that.

But we do not think that this Commission should enter an order that makes these documents, these confidential documents, available to everybody who happened to join in a Petition to intervene in this case.

Here's one of the problems: Many of the Intervenors are groups. BOLD Nebraska, for example, is an Intervenor. Now BOLD Nebraska is represented by Mr. Blackburn, who I have known for years, and we're confident in our dealings with BOLD Nebraska.

2.3

The Rosebud Sioux Tribe is a political body. We are confident in dealing with the Rosebud Sioux Tribe's attorney.

But the Rosebud Sioux Tribe is not a single person, and if you grant some blanket order that allows the Rosebud Sioux Tribe to see all of these documents, how do we control who those people are and what dissemination of those documents is made? And so on and so on.

So for that reason we support this outcome for this hearing today.

If there is to be an amendment to the Protective Order, it shouldn't be negotiated in front of the Commission here today. Very difficult to accomplish.

How it should be negotiated is through a written proposal. Mr. Smith, I don't like to increase his workload, but he is the natural referee if there are to be amendments to the Protective Order. We'll do our best to accommodate in the most reasonable fashion that we possibly can.

In the meantime, the Protective Order as written should be allowed to stay in place as written. I'll concede to Mr. Blackburn's observation of the last phrase of the second sentence of paragraph 3 presents a problem for him.

2.3

It does say "viewable only by attorneys of record for the party or parties to the Motion to Compel," and he was not a party to a Motion to Compel, I don't think, was he? No. So we're willing to adjust that to allow Mr. Blackburn to look at the documents, even though he was not a party to compel.

The reason we gave him a password is because only the Rosebud Sioux Tribe and Mr. Rappold on behalf of the Rosebud Sioux Tribe and Mr. Blackburn on behalf of BOLD Nebraska sent us an Interrogatory or document production request saying produce everybody else's answers and responses to our discovery materials. That's the reason that we gave him a password, the reason we gave Mr. Rappold a password.

So, accordingly, we're willing to adjust that sentence here and now to allow Mr. Blackburn to look at those documents subject to the other strictures of the Order as it currently stands.

I want to make a couple of points -- or one point with respect to Mr. Capossela's comment about the

Cheyenne River's inquiry.

2.3

Mr. Capossela said that he is concerned that the documents that we have offered are not truly confidential because the HPO for the Tribe has seen the cultural surveys some time ago.

Well, that may well be true. As a qualified HPO and a participant in the processes, those documents may well have come from the Department of State.

Now as to the things like the paleontological survey, I'll concede we designated as confidential the paleontological approach and the appendix. It's the appendix that everybody's worried about because that tells you where the bones are.

And the appendix must remain confidential. If it does not, Commissioner Hanson was with us in Harding County when the paleontologist from Hot Springs showed up at our public hearing and suggested that all of this paleontological information should be in the public domain and we know exactly why those types of suggestions are made.

Same with the cultural survey materials. Those do not belong in the public domain, and the reason they don't belong in the public domain is to protect the confidentiality of the materials and their locus. We don't have any concerns about the HPOs seeing those, and,

frankly, we don't have any concern about the lawyers of the Tribe seeing those.

2.3

2.4

So it's a little disingenuous to argue that because a qualified HPO had seen some of these documents that, therefore, they are no longer covered by the strictures imposed by the Department of State.

So we continue to stand on the fact that we do what the Department of State tells us, we do what the statutes tell us, and we do what other commissions and bodies tell us until those commissions tell us otherwise, and until those statutes are changed, we're going to continue to do that.

One last issue, and that's the proprietary nature of the documents that are listed in Mr. White's Affidavit. I cannot emphasize strongly enough the value of those documents to the business that TransCanada runs and operates.

As Mr. White said in his Affidavit, millions and millions and millions of dollars and years and years and years of engineering expertise developed by the company result in the information that's contained in those documents. And the company treats them as confidential. The company treats them as trade secrets.

The Federal Rules and the State Rules of Discovery recognize the nature of trade secrets. It

happens every day in civil litigation.

2.3

So having said all of that, I'll conclude by saying we're agreeable to change the second sentence of paragraph 3, that beyond that, the Order should stay in place. If there are serious issues to be discussed, we're perfectly willing to discuss those just as we negotiated them a week ago.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Appreciate your comments. I'm going to ask questions and there may be others and we'll go back around for rebuttal.

Mr. Taylor, I want to dig into -- and, again,
I'm looking at the Motion, page 9, the second paragraph
under Relief Sought By Intervenors where they are talking
about including expert and fact witnesses.

And I think there was some discussion about what you have allowed. Are you allowing access only to experts who will be testifying or other experts that may be retained to review data by the Intervenors? How are you defining expert and fact witnesses? How far should that go in your mind?

MR. TAYLOR: In 2010 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure with respect to experts were amended. And the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure with respect to experts now identify two types of experts.

One is sort of a consulting expert, and the

other is a testimonial expert. We said experts in our e-mail. You know, if they have a consulting expert that they want to look at the documents, tell us who that is so that we can satisfy ourselves it's an expert, and that's fine. So long as that expert signs on to the confidentiality provisions.

2.3

2.4

Same thing with testimonial experts it. Doesn't matter to us. We just want to know who it is and what the circumstances are.

Second part of your question, fact witnesses engaged by counsel to assist in review of scientific or technical information. I don't know what that means.

A fact witness is a person who knows of facts specific to this case. I saw the car wreck happen. That's a fact witness. An expert witness who testifies is a person who says I have engaged in this body of information and this body of information and based on my skill and training I have an opinion and I am going to tell you what that opinion is.

I don't know what a fact witness is that would assist in review or scientific technical information.

Now maybe that's an IT person who says their electronic computation is flawed, our math is bad. Who knows.

If Mr. Martinez has a fact witness who needs to review this scientific or technical information, tell me

that. Give me a chance to understand what his position is, and if I say no and he disagrees, the Commission's right here, and he can come and make his Motion and we can decide whether I'm right or he's right or whether there's a middle ground we can reach.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

2.3

2.4

I guess the only other question at least at this point is so you would agree with Mr. Blackburn that we should amend our Order to include some of these provisions that you've just talked about? That would be the appropriate way to handle this?

MR. TAYLOR: I think, yes. And I think those are imminently discussable among the lawyers. You know, we get along with -- we're fairly easy to get along with, and we haven't found any of the Intervenors' lawyers difficult to get along with.

And Mr. Smith, in the 40 some years I've known him, has never been intractable, that I remember. We can work that out.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Other questions from the Commission at this point?

If not, we're going to go around for some brief rebuttal.

Mr. Martinez.

1 MR. CAPOSSELA: Excuse me. This is 2 Peter Capossela. And I apologize for interjecting, but I 3 have a prior engagement and I didn't want to just hang up 4 the phone without informing you that I'm going to have to 5 leave the hearing this morning. I wanted to interject, 6 and I apologize. 7 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And I appreciate 8 you interjecting.

Go ahead, Mr. Martinez.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

What I think is remarkable about what we've heard is actually -- probably for one of the first times coming before you we're actually not that far apart.

I'm really encouraged by what Mr. Taylor has In fact, I'm going to guote him that we're not terribly difficult to work with. That's good to hear. And, frankly, I think that I am somewhat heartened by his willingness to be flexible.

But he did say something that I think is really important, and that was how important the confidentiality rules and adhering to those are for all of us lawyers. That's something that we're just steeped in from day one, and those are, you know, the ethical guidelines that we have to abide by.

And that's to a large degree why we're in front

of you today is is because the wording of the Order is such that we believed and I think all of the counsel for the Intervenors believed and took the position that we needed to strictly adhere to that.

2.3

Unfortunately, a strict adherence to that poses a number of problems that we've raised today for us. So that's really I think why this issue needs to be addressed.

The second thing I'd want to point out, I think a lot of this -- and after hearing what Mr. Taylor said today, I think a lot of this frankly could have been avoided had we had the opportunity to have been looped in to the discussions that Mr. Taylor and Mr. Smith apparently had about the wording of the Confidentiality Order before it was issued.

I think, you know, had they picked up the phone, looped us in on that, we could have avoided some of those issues and hashed that out, but we didn't do that. I would encourage maybe on a going forward basis that if we are going to have discussions with your counsel and with Mr. Taylor and his team, that everybody -- that all the Intervenors' counsel be looped in as well so we can avoid having to go through this type of a fracas in the future. So that's one thing.

Now the other issue that I guess I have and what

I'm troubled by a little bit is Mr. Taylor's distinction about unrepresented parties. And, you know, since I don't represent those parties, obviously, I sort of feel like they still have to have a little bit of a voice.

2.3

And what troubles me is that the way these proceedings are now set up, if they're not given access to the documents that the other parties are given to -- are given, to a certain degree you're then putting individual citizens in the role of essentially being second class citizens when it comes to these proceedings.

And I don't have an answer for that. I just -I'm troubled by it, and I think it's highly problematic.
So I would encourage you to take seriously -- take a serious look at addressing a way to deal with that, as far as the unrepresented parties go.

And, you know, Mr. Taylor made a suggestion that he's certainly willing to talk with them, and if they can make a case for it, he's willing to grant access. But, once again, that actually flips the burden. The burden is really on TransCanada to show why that should be confidential. It shouldn't be on individuals who then have to essentially ask TransCanada for access to what they are entitled to under the rules. And I guess that's the problem I have with that.

In terms of this distinction that was raised between fact versus expert witnesses, well, let me give you a great example right now. We have Mr. Evan Vokes as a fact witness concerning TransCanada's -- what we allege, their shoddy construction practices and shoddy engineering practices.

2.3

He's a fact witness. He was an inside employee at TransCanada and saw this stuff going on. We haven't designated him as an expert witness, but I sure want to be able to show him the documents that TransCanada's produced to be able to verify, you know, and bolster his testimony. So that I think is a pretty good example of where that distinction lies.

And, consequently, I'm not really sure that we can really make that distinction in these proceedings as readily as Mr. Taylor thinks is the case.

So, you know, to sum that all up, you're not going to get any disagreement from me that there are certainly some categories of documents that should be deemed confidential. You know, we deal with confidential information all the time.

What we're largely talking about here is the mechanism and who gets access, and on that basis we really do need to have some sort of an amendment to this order if you're not going to go and simply vacate it.

1 Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And before we go to others involved in the 3 4 Motion, I want to make very clear my understanding is 5 that the only discussion between Mr. Smith and Mr. Taylor 6 in regard to the Order was as to the timing of when it 7 would come out, not the content of the Order. 8 Mr. Taylor, is that your understanding also? 9 MR. TAYLOR: I called Mr. Smith and I should 10 have looked in my telephone log but I think it was 11 Thursday afternoon and I said we are concerned about 12 confidentiality. What are you doing about an order? Не 13 said we're working on an order. 14 I said overnight I will send you a suggestion on 15 confidentiality issues that concern us, which I did the 16 next morning, which I can also say he did not incorporate 17 one word of into his Order. That was it. 18 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. I felt it was 19 important that we all understand exactly what 20 communication did and did not take place at that point. 21 Okay. With that, let's go down the list of 22 others that were involved in this Motion for brief

MR. RAPPOLD: Thank you, Commissioners.

We'll go to Rosebud. Mr. Rappold.

2.3

2.4

rebuttal.

Matt Rappold on behalf of the Rosebud Sioux Tribe.

2.3

Initially, I think the major problem here is the process in which the Protective Order issued and the resulting designation of confidential documents by TransCanada which has led to what others have referred to as burden shifting.

And I would agree that we're in a situation here where the burden has been shifted to the -- are you seeking the information -- or to rather not seeking the confidential information but seeking to not have information that's already been designated as confidential, deemed confidential.

That burden should be initially on the resisting party, which in this case would be TransCanada, to show that the documents are, in fact, confidential and order protection. That leads us to what we've called burden shifting.

An example that I'd like to bring to the Commission's attention regards the treatment of high consequence areas as confidential. It doesn't appear from the Affidavit that -- not the Affidavit. Rather, the Applicant's opposition to the Motion that the document that lists high consequence areas is contained in the Applicant's response on page number 2 in the second paragraph where they identified the following

documents as being confidential. I could be mistaken, but I don't believe that the high consequence area document is on there.

2.3

But if you go back and look into the documents that were provided and the confidential documents, there is a rather large document in there, and I don't recall what it's named off the top of my head, but it lists high consequence areas and it's designated as confidential.

Consistent with the Protective Order and the terms of it and an obvious understanding of our need to comply with that Order, until such time as I were to bring it in front of the Commission for resolution, none of that information was shared by myself with anyone else.

But I would also point to the Commission that in the 2009 Docket, the original proceeding, TransCanada responded to very similar questions through
Interrogatories that were posed by the Staff, PUC Staff, asking for a list of high consequence areas. On the Docket of the information, the response is on there. And I think there's about 12 sites that are listed as high consequence areas.

So it causes me some concern as to whether or not the designation of documents is proper following the way in which TransCanada was given permission to

designate documents as confidential.

2.3

Our response when we asked those similar questions was that high consequence areas are confidential, and we can't share them with you. I would state that what's in the public record now and TransCanada's previous responses, same or similar questions, conflicts.

So it seems to me that a proper of way of dealing with this is actually requiring the rules to be followed for determining what is confidential information. Now I would agree that some of the information that they've designated as confidential probably merits confidential treatment.

So that leaves us with the situation that if the Order is vacated, all of this information may already be out, released, and then I think we're kind of in a quandary there as to what to do about that. We'll leave that with the discretion of the Commission as to how to fix that issue.

Also I wanted to respond to -- I think

Mr. Taylor already addressed this as to why Rosebud and

BOLD Nebraska was provided the same information as the

other parties, and that was addressed when he stated that

we both asked questions in our Interrogatories and

Requests for Production of Documents that TransCanada

1 provide us with all of their answers to the other And so that should clear up why we were parties. provided with this information. 3

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

There is other documents that were designated that we would say we still have need for, whether they're ultimately determined to be confidential or not. would be the Cultural Survey Reports, the Integrity Management Plan, the O&M Manual, the Worst Case Discharge Calculations. Page 4 the response indicates that these are not confidential, certain aspects of them are not confidential, rather Appendix P to the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement is confidential and redacted on the Department of State's website.

And the last thing I just wanted to clarify, Mr. Taylor indicated that all of the parties were admitted pro hac to practice in this case, and I don't believe that's entirely accurate. Myself and I can't speak for Mr. Ellison, but I believe that he's a member of the South Dakota State Bar as well as myself.

I will yield to my colleagues.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Very good. Thank you.

Cheyenne River. Mr. Clark.

MR. CLARK: Frankly, Mr. Chairman, I don't know what else I can add substantively that hasn't been said by my colleague so I'm just going to close with that and ask that you grant the Motion.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Great wisdom in your brevity.

Yankton Sioux Tribe. Ms. Baker.

MS. BAKER: Yes. Thank you.

I would just like to reiterate the importance of following the rules in this process. Nothing in Mr. Taylor's comments addressed the rules or why they shouldn't apply. And, in fact, they should and we ask that they be applied so that justice can be observed and simply require the submission of a request, a proper request by TransCanada, and then a determination by the Commission.

And I would also note that the rules that I was just referencing which do apply to the PUC do require a showing of a law that would be violated. It's not just adequate that there's a good argument, but there does actually have to be a statute for common law and potentially also supportive administrative law to show that confidential is imposed legally.

With that, I would just ask the Commission to please consider our request in the interest of fairness and the importance of the application of the rules, and I would also suggest that the parties don't have any interest in making every single document that's been marked as confidential at this point open to the general

1 public necessarily. 2 I mean, speaking for Yankton, we would be 3 satisfied if perhaps the Commission were to extend 4 treatment to those documents as if a request for confidential treatment had been made so that they are 6 entitled to those protections temporarily until such time as Keystone first executes and enters an actual request 7 under the regulations and, secondly, the Commission makes a determination under the regulations about whether each 10 item is, in fact, confidential. 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 13 Ms. Craven. 14 MS. CRAVEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'll also 15 be brief. 16 I just want to support what my colleagues had 17 said, and I urge you to grant our Motion. 18 Thank you very much. 19 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 20 Mr. Blackburn. 21 MR. BLACKBURN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 22 I only have one quick point, and that is about 2.3 whether or not a Commission can discuss the 24 confidentiality or lack therefore of any particular

document today that was suggested by Mr. Taylor. At some

25

point that will need to be resolved for certain

documents, but since it's not on the agenda today the

actual confidentiality or lack thereof any particular

document cannot be decided by the Commission today.

And should you wish some language to modify paragraph 3, I'd be happy to provide some suggested language.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Any of the other Intervenors that are on the telephone line that have anything in the line of rebuttal?

MS. HILDING: This is Nancy Hilding. Can you hear me?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. Go ahead.

MS. HILDING: I would like to join the Motion of the other parties and support it. And as a person without an attorney, I would like there to be an avenue somehow for those of us to fairly and appropriately with respect to good confidentiality law have maybe access to these -- to something if somehow it becomes appropriate for us to do so.

And I would point out that, you know, they're talking about all of these motions and stuff in the future. In the Staff's suggested order there was a

```
deadline at the end of next week to file motions.
1
2
     you're going to allow for motions to go forward to argue
     about confidentiality, then the Friday the 8th deadline
 3
 4
     for no more motions is inappropriate.
 5
              Thank you.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
7
              Others?
8
              Hearing nobody else on the phone, we do have
     someone in the room.
10
              Mr. Taylor, if you'd just step back for a
11
     moment.
12
              MS. BRAUN: (Speaks Lakota.)
13
              My name is Joye Braun. I'm from Cheyenne River
14
     Sioux Tribe, individual tribal member. I'm an
15
     Intervenor.
16
              First I have a piece of information for you
     guys. I was deeply concerned when the lawyer said that
17
18
     the tribal -- it's not just the Tribal Council's -- it's
19
     the whole Tribe if you give that confidential information
     to them.
20
              Now I regularly go to Tribal Council up at
21
22
     Cheyenne River, and I regularly get kicked out because of
2.3
     confidential information.
24
              These lawyers are smart. And they know better.
```

They know that our tribal councils, when they're dealing

25

with this kind of stuff, that they'll go into executive session, and us tribal members are kicked out. We don't hear what goes on in there. That's between the lawyers and those tribal councils.

2.3

So if you let them have that information, then those tribal councils can tell their lawyers, well, this is what we need based on this information. And that's between the client and them. I'm just letting you know. This is what happens not only at Cheyenne River but Standing Rock, Rosebud, Oglala, wherever you go.

So don't listen to them when they're saying that. That's wrong. It's erroneous information because I get kicked out all the time.

The other thing I want to tell you -- I'm going to ask you guys something. I'm going to ask you to do something. We live here in South Dakota, and there's two very distinct cultures. There's our South Dakota culture, which we are all a part of, but there's also the Lakota, Dakota, Nakota culture, which you guys call the Sioux culture. We call it tetuwon [phonetic].

In that culture there's something called opahe [phonetic] where you take something and give that to them, usually tobacco or chin chasha [phonetic], and they ask you to do something. And then if you take that, you agree to do it.

The reason why I am an Intervenor is because my elders -- there's an elders council up at Cheyenne River called Lakota Advocates. They asked me to be an Intervenor for them. Those elders are asking me to do something. I have to do it. It doesn't matter how sick I am. I tried to call in the last time you guys had a meeting, the 12-hour meeting you guys all had, because I had heard that us individual Intervenors were going to be sanctioned in some way.

2.3

I had just gotten out of the hospital, just that day. And I called in, and I stayed on the phone. I tried to get your guys' attention to give you my perspective, and I couldn't get it. I had to keep putting my phone on mute because I was coughing too hard. I didn't want you guys to hear my coughing. I'm still really sick, but I'm here.

When those elders ask you to do something, you do it.

Now there's been reference to individual Intervenors, me not having this confidential information. Now I have no problem talking to these lawyers and asking them for some of that information, and I might do it after this meeting. I have no problem doing that as an individual. Because those elders asked me to represent them.

Now I'm a grandma. I have three grandkids. But I'm not really an elder because I'm only 46, you know. I don't consider myself an elder.

2.3

But I do think because as an individual Intervenor we can question TransCanada when that hearing comes up when you guys have that hearing. We need to have some of that information. And I have no problem signing a letter of confidentiality.

Now I used to be a reporter or journalist. I've written for Washington Post. I've written for New York Times. I used to be a photojournalist. I used to be all kinds of stuff. Google Joye LeBeau, Joye Braun, and you'll find my stuff all over the place. So I have no problem doing that, and I'm sure other Intervenors have no problem too.

So I'm going to ask you when you're doing all of this finagling, you know, we have the right to ask those questions. And they opahe'd [phonetic] me. They asked me so I have to on behalf of those elders. If I don't, I'm going to hear about it.

The other thing, this thing about -- the other thing I'm going to ask you guys is, like I said, these lawyers are really smart, and they've been doing law here in South Dakota for a very long time. They've been working -- they've worked with our lawyers, tribal

lawyers, and whatnot.

2.3

Being that, they have made little dings, little snipes at my culture sitting in front of you guys. And I'm catching you guys. I can hear it. But I see you guys shaking your heads because you don't see it. One of those that they're doing is HPO. It's not HPO. It's THPO, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer. Not HPO. THPO. Remember, Tribes have been here thousands of years before South Dakota ever was. THPO.

Another one of those things that they've done is, like I said, this little finger thing and saying about our tribal councils not being able to handle confidentiality, but then they also said something about witnesses, about Faith.

Faith is a member of the Ihanktonwan Treaty

Council. Treaty Council. That's like those senators

over there. That's kind of a big thing. Those treaty

councils have been around for over -- you know, since

1880s. But before it was a treaty council it was a

council. So those councils have been around for over 10,

15,000 years. She's a part of that. That makes her a

pretty big witness.

So when we bring witnesses and because of me being sick and whatnot since January in and out of the hospital I wasn't able to turn in and say, yes, I want

Chief Brings Plenty here. I do want him to come here,

but I don't think I can anymore. But I think he might be

able to say something at the public meeting.

I do want Chief Brings Plenty here. I do want Chief In The Woods here. I do want Ed Wittle [phonetic] to come. There's other headsmen, other chiefs. We still have those chiefs. I said those are like those Senators, Congressmen, people over there. Those are ours.

We have our IRA government, which these guys deal with, but we still have our traditional government. So remember those are big people. And that's what I wanted to remind you guys and let you guys know that.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Thank you.

Questions from the Commission.

Mr. Taylor, I do have one for you.

MR. TAYLOR: Yes, sir.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And it goes back to this issue of the individual Intervenors. And I fully understand your position in that if we were to grant them access to confidential information, we have little to no oversight over them or that.

But here's what I'm wrestling with. When we get to a hearing, and we are going to do a hearing this summer, how do we handle those individual Intervenors when we come to confidential information?

Is there going to be an opportunity to allow them to sit in on that? Or how would you propose we handle that?

MR. TAYLOR: You mean presentation of confidential information in the hearing?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Exactly.

2.3

2.4

MR. TAYLOR: Well, first of all, at some point standard practice in civil litigation is is that if there are items that are designated lawyers' eyes only, which is very common, then there has to be a process to decide that information -- how that information that's lawyers' eyes only will be handled in the trial.

And they're fairly common mechanisms for doing that. Sometimes information's redacted. Sometimes summarizations are made of information, and then that is what becomes the public part of the record. And there can be sealed documents that are included in the private part of the record. We'll just have to cross those bridges when we get there.

The question we have now is this is a cart and the horse circumstance. We put those documents out based on reliance in this Order, and there are documents that we would not have put out had that Order not been there.

The cultural surveys, for example, we would have put those up probably without the Order. But the

```
proprietary documents of the company, never. So we'll
2
     just have to deal with that as we get there.
                                                   And it's
 3
     not new ground.
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you. It's probably new
 5
     ground for this Commissioner.
 6
              MR. TAYLOR:
                           True.
7
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: So I appreciate your answer.
8
              Other questions from the Commission for any of
     the folks who have spoken today?
10
              Seeing no questions, is there a Motion?
11
              Commissioner Hanson.
12
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, in
     Hydrocarbon Pipeline Docket 14-001 I move that the
13
14
     Commission deny the Joint Motion to Vacate and in the
15
     Alternative to Clarify or Amend the Protective Order.
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion.
17
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, there's a
18
     number of reasons why I took the position that I did.
19
     I take the position that I do.
20
              I do not believe that the Commission erred by
21
     issuing the Protective Order.
                                    The assertions failed to
22
     take into account the fact that the Commission's own
2.3
     Administrative Rules rather than merely the Rules of
2.4
     Civil Procedure are to be adhered to during any
25
     proceeding before the Commission, and that is in
```

ARSD 20:10:01:41.

2.3

During the Commission meeting we will all remember that Keystone clearly stated -- I'm referring to the April 14 hearings -- that there would be information which was confidential and that some of that confidential information would be designated not just by Keystone but by law itself and by other government bodies.

And when the Commission moved to grant the Motions to Compel the method of dealing with confidential information was addressed by us. We were clearly aware of it. We contemplated the way in which we wished to deal with confidential information.

And so from that standpoint our Order was -should not be surprising to anyone. The terms of the
Protective Order, I believe, are appropriate. No party
is prejudiced by the issuance of the Protective Order.
Confidential information is accessible by all the
necessary persons, including the attorneys are privy to
confidential information as well as Staff. The expert
witnesses, their co-counsel, can all view the
confidential information, provided they execute the
Protective Agreement.

We have an Affidavit from Mr. Taylor and testimony in which he has agreed to an appropriate compromise. I don't believe that -- well, I believe that

access to the confidential information by the pro se

Intervenors would be -- would be very, very much to the
detriment of the company. It would be highly irregular
for this Commission to do that.

2.3

The information that was deemed confidential is 10 areas of -- areas that when I looked over them they certainly should be confidential. Those 10 areas are part of 2,508 files in 222 folders. I think we're all aware of that. Approximately 35.7 gigabytes of information.

So those 10 areas certainly do not comprise the majority. They may be significant pieces of information, but, again, they're available. They're available to the parties so that they can look through them, the parties that requested this information and felt it was important to have it.

So I just -- I think it would be very irregular for a Commission to grant this type of a Motion.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion.

If I could ask Commissioner Hanson a question.

I'm inclined to support your Motion, but I guess my

concern is our Order did not contain an allowance for

expert witnesses. It did not contain an allowance for

attorneys and staff in the law firms and the counsel of

record. It did not contain provisions for some of these

others such as Standing Rock, Tribal Historic
Preservation Office, and Faith Spotted Eagle that
Keystone has extended these opportunities to view.

2.3

- It would seem to me that it would be appropriate to amend our Order to include those folks. Your thoughts on that.
- COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, the reason, as I had stated, that I did not include those is that we have the Affidavit from Mr. Taylor, and we have testimony from here today stating in addition to items in which we have an Affidavit from him that he will share that information.
  - And absent of -- I think he -- I think Keystone is dealing in good faith here, and I believe that they will continue to do so in this respect. But the Motion went far, far beyond what -- and, frankly, I heard from his testimony today that they would be sharing information to those who should properly receive it.

    That's why I did not include additional.
  - CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yeah. And I get your points.

    I guess I'm just concerned -- and let me just say,

    Mr. Taylor, I appreciate the fact that you very quickly

    worked with the other parties to carve out these

    exceptions to help move things along. I'm just concerned

    that those are -- I mean, technically if you look at it,

are in violation of our Order. And I'd like to have an order on record that specifically allows for the types of things that they're already doing so that they're not kind of flying outside of the radar, even though at this point that may be working.

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Could I address that?
CHAIRMAN NELSON: Certainly.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I don't believe that

Keystone electing to share information that they deem

confidential with other persons requires the Commission's

permission to do so. If that's what you're troubled

with.

They have the right to share confidential information with whomever they want to, whether they're a party to this or not a party to this. They don't need to come to the South Dakota PUC to say can we share this information with someone.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to break protocol here for just a moment, and I want to ask Mr. Taylor a question.

So it's my understanding that you are prepared to allow confidential information to be shared with any expert witness.

MR. TAYLOR: Yes. What I said on April 21 was Keystone agrees that experts may review the confidential

1 documents, provided that each agrees to be bound by the 2 terms of the extant orders to the extent that they govern 3 document management and confidential security, use and 4 return of the documents. Keystone requires that you 5 identify the experts to whom the documents will be shown 6 in advance and that the experts make their commitment in 7 writing and that Keystone receive a signed copy of the 8 commitment. And I'll stand by that, order or no order. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 10 Additional discussion. 11 Commissioner Fiegen. 12 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: For expert witnesses those 13 are expert witnesses with attorneys; correct? 14 MR. TAYLOR: Yes. Both categories. Consulting 15 and testimonial experts. 16 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion. 18 MR. ELLISON: Mr. Chairman, this is Bruce 19 Ellison, Dakota Rural Action. If I may just add a word. 20 As a South Dakota attorney, unless you change 21 your Order, I am bound by your Order regardless of what 22 Mr. Taylor has agreed to. And I agree they were good 2.3 agreements, but as a South Dakota Lawyer I cannot violate 24 your Order because counsel from the other side or another 25 side says it's okay. So we need to have the Order

1 amended at least. 2 Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion. 3 4 I'm going to move to --5 MR. BLACKBURN: Mr. Chairman, Paul Blackburn. 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. 7 MR. BLACKBURN: If I may, I would also echo 8 Mr. Ellison's comment that as a member of the South Dakota Bar I will not view those documents unless the 10 Order is amended. It's simply I cannot through my 11 ethical obligations view those documents without 12 violating the express language of that Order. I takes 13 the confidentiality that TransCanada has requested very 14 seriously, and I believe it should be something that's 15 crystal clear by the Commission about who can view these 16 and who cannot view these documents. 17 It is ongoing ambiguity that would not serve the 18 Commission's interest, and I would urge clarity about 19 this and not just have it be, well, TransCanada agrees. 20 The fact is that TransCanada's actually 21 requesting the Commission's protection through a 22 Protective Order. Therefore, the fact that it's willing 2.3 to disclose information to people pursuant to a 24 nondisclosure agreement, if it did it without the

Protective Order, it wouldn't have the Commission's

25

protection.

2.3

So, therefore, it cannot be agreed to strictly between TransCanada and the parties because that's not what TransCanada's requesting, and that's not how the law works.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: We are going to close the discussion to Commissioners at this point.

I'm going to move to amend Commissioner Hanson's Motion. I'm not sure that's even appropriate.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: If I may, I would like to hear from counsel, at least our counsel, as to how -- if that's their opinion as well, that it can't be shared, and how it might be amended in order to resolve that issue.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

I agree with that because I'm not sure what I was going to propose is accurate.

MR. SMITH: Yeah. I mean, I certainly -- it -- although I do appreciate what Keystone has done, if I were in the position of Mr. Blackburn or whomever, it would be of concern to me to have an order that explicitly would prohibit what TransCanada's willing to let happen.

And I think we should think seriously about

amending the language of the Order. Again, when I drafted the language I did it with some consultation with the Chairman. And but the discussion the Commission had that day was very limiting.

2.3

COMMISSIONER HANSON: My question is how should it be amended?

MR. SMITH: I don't know. I think some thoughts might be -- I did not mind, as I heard it anyway, the suggestion that Mr. Taylor seemed to make and Mr. -- I think it was Mr. Blackburn as well about maybe using the Minnesota language as a starting point, something like that.

I mean, the other thing we could do and what I thought I heard Mr. Taylor recommend at one point is that he and I together with one or more people from the Intervenor side of the fence have sort of a cooperative process of trying to come up with language that seems to satisfy TransCanada's opinions about adequate protection and yet affords people kind of what I'd call traditional treatment of confidential information with respect to witnesses, et cetera.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, do we need to defer action on this and allow all counsel to discuss this and come up with a -- with amenable language?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I appreciate that question.

And I know I said we were confined to Commissioner 2 discussion, but I want to go back to Mr. Blackburn. 3 If we were to pursue what Mr. Mr. Smith has 4 just said, perhaps a conference with you, Mr. Ellison, 5 Mr. Taylor, Mr. Smith to work out appropriate language, 6 would that be timely, or do you think we need to do that 7 today? 8 MR. BLACKBURN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 I think it would be timely. There is enough 10 time to resolve that. If you wanted to try to work it 11 out today, it might take some more of the Commissioners' time because it would be sort of drafting by committee 12 13 and group. 14 I would be happy to help. As Mr. Taylor said, 15 this is not unusual, and the language can probably be 16 agreed to relatively easily. 17 Thank you. 18 MS. BAKER: Mr. Chairman, this is Jennifer. 19 I speak on behalf of Yankton? 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. 21 MS. BAKER: I would like to request that Yankton 22 be allowed in the discussions as well as we also have an 2.3 interest in the outcome of the discussions.

Thank you.

Commissioner Hanson, do you want to verbalize?

CHAIRMAN NELSON:

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, Mr. Chairman, we have in the past when we have run into situations like this allowed the parties a few hours to work out the situation and come up with some type of verbiage. And if we recessed for three or four hours or something of that nature, I would certainly imagine that they could come up with something between them.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Taylor.

2.3

2.4

MR. TAYLOR: If I could -- if a short-term cure is to fix the second sentence of paragraph 3 for Mr. Blackburn and to adopt my e-mail, paragraphs 4 and 5 and 6 -- 4, 5, and 6, that says that we'll let the experts see it, that Staff can see it, and that Ms. Spotted Eagle can see the cultural surveys, if we can adopt those three points, we can be done with this in 15 minutes, and then we can address the issue later.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Frankly, that would be my preference, given the fact we've got some other things going on today.

And so I think what -- here's how I'd like to proceed. We're going to vote on your Motion,

Commissioner Hanson. Then I may have a Motion following that, and then we'll see where we are.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, I'm going to withdraw my Motion because I think that they should be

We are in

```
allowed to have at least a half-hour to -- I don't have
2
     all of that information in front of me that Mr. Taylor
 3
     just explained. And I think that's a good resolution to
 4
     this process, provided that it resolved the challenges
     for the others.
 6
              Yes, I know we have a very, very crammed
7
     schedule today but --
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: So recess for a half-hour
     would be -- I think would be sufficient. I mean, I've
10
     read your e-mail, and I'm fully in concurrence with
11
     what's been proposed here so if it would just take some
12
     time here.
13
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: I'd like to see that in
14
     front of me, and I'd like all of the others to concur and
15
     have that discussion.
16
              I'll move to recess for one-half hour.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: If this Motion to Recess
18
     passes, we will come back at 11:45 central time.
19
              All of those in favor of recess will vote aye.
20
     Those opposed, nay.
21
              Commissioner Hanson.
22
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Aye.
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
2.4
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                     Aye.
25
```

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.

```
1
     recess for a half an hour.
2
                         (A recess is taken)
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to call us back into
 3
 4
     session. We had Commissioner Hanson's Motion on the
5
     table to deny the Motion to Vacate or Clarify or Amend
 6
     the Protective Order.
7
              Further discussion on that Motion.
8
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: I withdrew that Motion,
    Mr. Chairman.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. That Motion has been
11
    withdrawn.
12
              Further motions.
13
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Do we have a resolution
14
     from the parties?
15
              MR. MARTINEZ: I believe we're close,
16
     Mr. Commissioner.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to make a Motion.
18
     I'm going to move that we deny the Joint Motion to
19
     Vacate -- or to Vacate and that we clarify the Protective
20
     Order as has been proposed in writing in the e-mail that
21
     just came from Kristen Edwards. She has a proposed
22
     paragraph 3.
2.3
              But I want to make a change in that paragraph as
24
     I think some folks have just talked about. In the second
25
     to last sentence, and this is the sentence that begins
```

"All confidential documents" and refers to BOLD Nebraska and Rosebud Sioux Tribe.

I would change that to -- as part of my main

Motion to read "All confidential documents provided as a result of any Motion to Compel granted by the Commission shall be viewable as provided herein for BOLD Nebraska and the Rosebud Sioux Tribe."

Discussion on the Motion.

2.3

I'm going to exercise the first right of discussion. Let me just say I concur with the comments of Commissioner Hanson earlier that I think the Motion to Vacate is not appropriate, that we do need to leave those protections in place.

But I think we all understand that we were under some time pressure during our April 14 meeting. I knew at that time when we had the Protective Order that we probably weren't going to get it exactly right, and I think we all agree that we didn't, and, therefore, we need to make these appropriate changes.

I think this gives access to those confidential documents to those folks that need it. And, importantly, it gives anyone that is not specifically mentioned here the ability to go to the Applicant and say, hey, I need access to this and here is why and the Applicant can then deal with those requests. It leaves that door open, and

certainly if there are further disputes, they can come before the Commission.

2.3

So I believe this resolves the questions that need to be resolved today.

Further discussion on the Motion.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, I would just like to say I appreciate all the parties working together and the time constraint in order to come up with what appears to be an amenable answer to the perplexing challenge that we're faced with.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion.

MS. CRAVEN: This is Kimberly Craven. I'd like to weigh in before we vote too.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Let me finish discussion with our Commissioners just a moment.

Commissioner Fiegen.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, first of all, I want to thank TransCanada for having that very first meeting because our Protective Order was pretty tight. We understood that.

As a Commission we absolutely believe in confidentiality. And we are going to be very conservative with confidentiality just like we are with discovery, which I know TransCanada didn't necessarily agree with some of our compels for discovery.

But, yeah, we gave a lot of liberal discovery motions and we approved those but confidentiality is the same thing. We believe in being conservative and protecting TransCanada.

I believe TransCanada -- we had a lot of discussion on April 14 on confidentiality. And, in fact, I even gave them a recess at one time and asked them to tell me what was confidential and why and et cetera in the April 14 hearing. So I certainly appreciate that. TransCanada certainly has a right, and they have -- we need to be fair as a Commission and protect the interest of fairness but protect the interests of confidentiality.

So I appreciate the Motion, and I appreciate that TransCanada will meet with people individually like they always have and continue to make sure that people have the information that they need under confidentiality issues, though.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Here's what I'm going to do very briefly. If anyone has a comment on the specific language -- we're not going into any other issues. We're going into the language of the Motion.

Ms. Craven.

MS. CRAVEN: Well, yes. You know, discovery's ongoing, and since we do have an opportunity to -- since the hearing has been postponed, I don't want us to have

Can

1 any kind of language that is limiting to other 2 Intervenors or other attorneys such as myself who might want to see documents. 3 4 I, in fact, intend to put a request in to 5 TransCanada for additional information about one of the 6 change conditions as to -- as rebuttal testimony. And I 7 don't want us to be limited by not being called out --8 and I think I also included Interrogatories, but I'd like to see all the other documents that have been made 10 available since I'm preparing rebuttal testimony to the 11 change conditions. 12 So I would request then that IEN be included in the list along with BOLD Nebraska and Rosebud to see the 13 14 other documents that everyone else has requested. 15 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Any other comments? 16 Mr. Taylor. Mr. Taylor. 17 MR. TAYLOR: All due respect to Ms. Craven, I 18 don't know how we can do that. I'm certainly happy to 19 talk to her and try and work out some arrangement off the 20 record, but we can't make an adjustment to this Order to 21 accommodate that today. 22 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 2.3 Any other comments?

MS. HILDING: Yeah. This is Nancy Hilding.

24

25

you hear me?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes.

2.3

MS. HILDING: I think that the attorneys there were trying to put an additional sentence in there to address concerns of pro se Intervenors. This excludes pro se Intervenors who don't have an attorney.

I don't know. Somebody had a sentence that they were going to tack in.

MR. ELLISON: Yes. There had been a suggestion, I believe, from Paul allowing for Intervenors who want to see confidential documents to first approach TransCanada, and if there was no agreement, then the Intervenor could approach the PUC for resolution. I think that was part of the proposal.

The thing about this language that's as proposed in paragraph 3 is that it doesn't include Intervenors who are pro se. The other thing is that it states in the sentence in red "In addition, nonexpert witnesses may be entitled to access if the Applicant agrees to such access."

I would like to go back to Mr. Taylor's original proposal whereby attorneys for a party can show anyone as long as that person signs a confidentiality agreement. I don't want to create more issues. I'd like to suggest that attorneys be given latitude as long as their witness, expert or not, signs a confidentiality agreement

- 1 | rather than going to TransCanada for each person first.
- 2 And that there should be a mechanism for these
- 3 | nonrepresented Intervenors to be able to approach
- 4 TransCanada for initially and see -- with request for
- 5 | particular access. And if there's no disagreement, then
- 6 some language that then that the matter be brought to the
- 7 PUC so that there's a mechanism in place.
- 8 But that shouldn't have to apply to attorneys at
- 9 this point because I think we're covered by enough things
- 10 | that we should just be able to do our work as long as the
- 11 person signs a confidentiality.
- 12 CHAIRMAN NELSON: At this point I want to ask
- 13 Mr. Taylor a question.
- In relation to Ms. Hilding's comment in the
- 15 third to the last sentence that begins "In addition,
- 16 | nonexpert witnesses." If we were to insert after that
- 17 | the words "or any Intervenor," that would seem to solve
- 18 the issue.
- 19 Can I get a response from you on that?
- 20 MR. TAYLOR: Yeah. I'm handicapped by my
- 21 | scrivener's handwriting.
- 22 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay.
- MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Start over again and tell me
- 24 where we are, please.
- 25 CHAIRMAN NELSON: The third to the last sentence

```
that begins "In addition, nonexpert witnesses."
1
2
              MR. TAYLOR: "In addition, nonexpert witnesses
    may be entitled."
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. And if after the word
 4
 5
     "nonexpert witnesses" we included "or any Intervenor."
 6
              MS. HILDING: This is Nancy Hilding. You might
7
     say pro se Intervenor.
8
              MR. TAYLOR: My sense -- I'll just tell you what
    my sense of this is. Leave the sentence the way it's
10
    written. If there are Intervenors unrepresented, lay
11
     Intervenors who want to access the confidential
12
     information, ask them to call us. Ask them to e-mail us.
13
    We'll take the question up with them. If we can't come
14
     to an agreement with them, we'll come back to you.
15
              MR. ELLISON: Mr. Taylor, do you agree that that
16
     language should be inserted into this Order?
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Excuse me. Who is this
17
18
     speaking?
              MR. ELLISON: I'm sorry. Bruce Ellison.
19
20
     sorry.
              I was asking Mr. Taylor if he'd agree that that
21
22
    would actually be incorporated into the Order so we don't
2.3
    have to keep coming back and trying to redefine that.
2.4
              MS. HILDING: This is Nancy Hilding. That you
```

put in what you just said in here, that if we want

```
1
     something, we come to you, and we try to work it out.
2
     And if we work it out, we come back to the Commission.
                                                              Ι
     think that's what you've just said.
 3
 4
              MR. TAYLOR:
                          Nobody's asked me yet.
 5
              MS. HILDING: Well, I'm asking you.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Okay. At this point
7
     Mr. Dorr is in the room. He'd like to speak -- do you
8
     want to speak to this language specifically?
9
              And then we're going to move into Commission
10
     discussion.
                  We need to move along.
              MR. DORR: First off, I'd like to start off with
11
12
     an objection. You opened that consultation that this
13
     took place to counselors and TransCanada. You did not
14
     include pro se Intervenors.
15
              I did not participate in that. I participated a
16
     little bit to let them know that you did not open that
17
     consultation to pro se Intervenors. You said counselors
18
     and TransCanada.
              I want the pro se Intervenors included in the
19
20
     language, and I want them included in the discussion
21
     today. I do agree that that language should be in there.
22
              Thank you.
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
24
              We're closing to committee discussion on my
```

25

Motion.

1 I am going to move to amend my Motion by 2 inserting -- after the word "nonexpert witnesses" inserting the words "or any Intervenor." 3 4 Discussion on the amendment. Let me just say, I mean, I hear what 5 6 Mr. Taylor's saying. It's in good faith. But my feeling 7 is if it's not part of this Order, we're going to end up 8 back here dealing with this question again, and I'd just as soon have that dealt with up front. 10 Now I'd be open -- if Intervenor isn't the right 11 word, if we need to have pro se Intervenor, I'm open to 12 language. And I'm looking to Mr. Smith. 13 MR. SMITH: I think Intervenor includes pro se 14 Intervenor. 15 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I would agree. 16 MR. SMITH: One question here, though. Could 17 the Motion -- should the Motion, and opinion would be 18 good from anyone, do we need to amend paragraph 9 then to conform to this if we need to? 19 Could we be authorized to do that? 20 21 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yeah. I mean, if there's 22 language elsewhere that needs to conform, I think that 2.3 would be understood. 24 MR. SMITH: And I want to have a little time to

look at it carefully, but it may need to be changed.

```
1
              Otherwise, it says -- because that says except
2
     to other counsel of record. Well, it says -- what if we
 3
     just except in accordance and it's got procedures but the
 4
     provisions of this Protective Order and then cross out
     the rest. Or leave it in but something like that.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yeah. Certainly we want the
7
     rest of it to conform to whatever we're doing here, and
8
     that would be understood.
              Discussion on the Motion to Amend to add the
10
     words "or any Intervenor."
11
              Commissioner Hanson.
12
              MS. BAKER: Jennifer Baker from Yankton.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: We are confined to Commission
14
     discussion at this point.
15
              MS. BAKER: Oh, I'm so sorry.
16
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair, would you
17
     repeat the first change that appears after the words
     "shall be viewable."
18
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. "Shall be viewable" and
19
20
     then I would strike "only by attorneys of record for the
21
     party or parties to the Motion to Compel and attorneys"
22
     and I would insert in lieu of the words "as provided
2.3
    herein."
2.4
              So it would say "shall be viewable as provided
```

herein for BOLD Nebraska and the Rosebud Sioux Tribe."

```
COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you.
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion on the
3
    Motion to Amend.
 4
              Seeing none, all those in favor of the Motion to
5
    Amend will say aye. Those opposed, nay.
 6
              Commissioner Hanson.
7
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Fiegen votes aye.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye. The Motion
11
    carries. The Motion is amended.
12
              Further discussion on the main Motion, which
    would be to deny the Joint Motion to Vacate and to amend
13
14
    the Protective Order as shown and as amended.
15
              Commissioner Hanson?
16
              No further discussion.
17
              All those in favor will vote aye. Those
18
    opposed, nay.
19
              Commissioner Hanson.
20
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Aye.
21
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
22
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Fiegen votes ave.
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye. The Motion
24
    carries. The Joint Motion is denied, and the Procedure
25
    Order is hereby amended.
```

That brings us to the last question, and that is how are we going to set a schedule to play this out?

Ms. Edwards stepped forward late yesterday with some possible suggestions, and at this point I'm going to turn it over to her.

MS. EDWARDS: Thank you, Chairman and Commission.

2.3

As directed by the Commission at the last hearing, Staff did come up with a proposal, and we e-mailed it out late yesterday. And I apologize for all the typos. There is no option 3, as many of you noticed. When I was first trying to work it out I tried to work in an option 3, and that didn't work out.

The dates I came to -- for example, the dates for outstanding motions, May 26, that's a regularly scheduled Commission meeting. And the due date for motions, May 8, I came to that because we've had a lot of motions filed on less than 10 days' notice. And the burden that places on all the parties and I'm sure the Commission as well is incredibly taxing, and I was just hoping we could come to a date where we wouldn't be under that much of a time crunch to respond to motions.

So counting back 10 days, which is the time provided by law, put us at -- if they were heard on the 26th, put us at about I believe the 12th or 11th of May

for motions to be due. But I thought to be safe it might be nice to have that weekend in there too to look at them and to file responses.

2.3

But for the 10 days' notice I think by law it was the 11th or 12th of May that those would need to be due.

The reason that I did go with the May 26

Commission meeting was because should there be any new information to come out as a result of any motions filed, the prefiled -- the rebuttal date that I proposed was June 9 or June 18, and I didn't want to end up in the same crunch we've had. And we've heard about several times already that people don't have time to review that information so I wanted to back that up far enough.

And the rebuttal date that we proposed was in response to a Commissioner's suggestion that they wanted a full month or so to review the rebuttal. So that's where those dates kind of came from. And I would be willing to answer any questions that you might have.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: At this point this is going to be a little bit difficult, but here's what I think I'm going to do. I'm going to simply go down the list of folks that are involved here, and I'd like to hear from you two things, which is your preferred option, 1, 2, or 4 and with your preferred option, if there's anything

1 that concerns you with those dates. 2 I'm going to go to Keystone first. The only option that works for us 3 MR. TAYLOR: 4 is option 1. One of our critical witnesses is out of the 5 country I think August 1. 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And I'm going to 7 just go down my telephone list. 8 Bob Gough. Not hearing from Bob. 10 Kimberly Craven. 11 MS. CRAVEN: I would go with option number 2, but I don't like the dates to have the rebuttal testimony 12 13 filed and the witness lists filed. I think that's 14 cutting it too close for a hearing that doesn't take 15 place until August. That gives you a whole month of kind 16 of lag time where we could be using that for discovery. 17 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 18 Mr. Rappold. 19 MR. RAPPOLD: I would prefer an option that 20 goes with the last week of July. I would say the 27th 21 through the 31st without a break over the weekend I 22 believe is one of the options recommended, three days the 2.3 last week in July and four days the first week of August. 24 I did have some company coming from out of town

the first week of August, family members, but I just

think a schedule that goes through an entire week without interruptions would be most productive and beneficial for the Commission.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Ellison.

2.3

MR. ELLISON: I guess my feeling is that I am seeing that Commissioner Fiegen is not available Friday the last week in July.

With all due respect, I believe that it would be important for all Commissioners to be present during all of the testimony as opposed to trying to catch up in writing.

I believe that Commissioner Hanson at one point talked about possibly going into the next week, and I'm not sure how to resolve Mr. Rappold's conflict issues. I think it's a good idea to have more than four days, and I guess what I would like to recommend is two weeks be set aside and we have that full following week, five days, subject to Mr. Rappold's scheduling issues.

And I'd also like to see the Motion deadline pushed back. I think that next week is way, way too soon for motions. I'd like to propose several weeks after that for motions and motion in limines filed a few weeks before the actual hearing itself. Because then we will have gotten through all the evidence, and we will know what we will try and limine out if that will be an

1 appropriate approach. 2 But I think we need more than just four days, 3 and that would allow us to really proceed in a good, 4 orderly way that allows for the best presentation and the best hearing of the evidence. 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 7 Ms. Baker. 8 MS. BAKER: I concur with Mr. Gough's statements regarding the Motion. And we would prefer option 1, but 10 we are flexible. 11 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Ms. Hilding. 12 MS. HILDING: Can you hear me? 13 The first comment is I actually have a question. 14 At one point in time Keystone I think was arguing that 15 you had to decide this within a year so you had to decide 16 everything by the date in September and then the 17 attorneys for the Intervenors argued that recertification 18 was not specifically referenced in that statute so that 19 statute doesn't apply. 20 Well, my question is are we looking at 21 everything has to be finished by some week in September 22 or not? Or have you figured that out? 2.3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I don't believe that's the 24 case, no.

So we don't have to have it

MS. HILDING:

1 figured out by sometime in September. 2 CHAIRMAN NELSON: That's my understanding. 3 MS. HILDING: Okay. So I had another question. 4 And I did ask Kristen Edwards this, but if she answered me, I didn't see the answer. 6 So I had talked with her previously, and I said 7 where in this schedule is an opportunity for us to brief 8 arguments. You know, this is just about having experts come and testify in front of you, which is different than 10 citing law and rule that's being violated and all of 11 that. 12 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Ms. Hilding, typically that 13 comes after the evidentiary hearing. 14 MS. HILDING: So we don't have the September 15 deadline so after this evidentiary hearing we will have 16 an opportunity to submit argument briefs which will be 17 set on the hearing date? 18 CHAIRMAN NELSON: That is the option of the 19 Commission, and typically that is what happens. 20 MS. HILDING: All right. Well, I mean, that 21 would affect how I think about this. 22 While it doesn't make any difference for me

personally, the Rally starts -- actually people start

arriving the weekend before August 3. That's when the

Rally starts. You know, 400 or 500,000 --

2.3

24

```
1
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Ms. Hilding, could you tell me
     if you prefer 1, 2, or 4, please.
2
                            In terms of the dates of the
 3
              MS. HILDING:
 4
     hearing, I don't care. In terms of the motions -- the
 5
     deadlines for motions filed, I think that is too soon in
 6
     every single instance. And in terms of the date for
7
     rebuttal testimony being filed, I think that's too soon
8
     in every instance, and in terms of witness lists, exhibit
     lists filed, I think that's too soon in every instance.
10
              So I don't care about the hearing date. I do
11
     care about the deadlines for motions, rebuttal, and
12
     witnesses, exhibit lists. And I would like to see those
     moved further into the future.
13
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
15
              MS. HILDING: And another one of the people like
16
     2,000 or something addressed that also.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
18
              Mr. Blackburn.
19
              MR. BLACKBURN: I would speak in support of
     Mr. Ellison's comments.
20
21
              I think having especially the Motion being
22
     deferred by May 8 is just a week away, and that's
2.3
     potentially going to create additional problems. Since
24
     there's not a rush on those particular motions this
```

should be at least three weeks to a month to have those

```
1
     motions be due.
2
              Thank you.
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
 4
              Mr. Clark.
 5
              MR. CLARK:
                          Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 6
              Cheyenne River doesn't have any preference
7
     regarding which option is selected for the hearing date.
8
     But I would just, I guess, support what Mr. Ellison
     talked about with the motions dates. But other than
10
     that, we have no preference regarding option 1, 2, or 4.
11
                                Thank you. And --
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
12
              MR. GOUGH: Mr. Commissioner, Bob Gough. I was
     on mute when you first --
13
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Go ahead, Bob.
15
              MR. GOUGH: We would prefer 4, option 1 as a
16
     fall back. And with Bruce we would join for moving
17
     motion dates further back.
18
              Thank you.
19
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
20
              Ms. Braun.
21
              And, Mr. Dorr, you'll be next if you have any
22
     comments.
2.3
              MS. BRAUN: This is Joye Braun.
                                                I don't care
24
     about the dates as far as the evidentiary hearing.
25
     doesn't matter to me. I can move anything around.
```

1 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 2 The other dates, those need to be MS. BRAUN: 3 moved up. 4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 5 Mr. Dorr. 6 MR. DORR: This is Mr. Dorr. I support 7 everything that Bruce Ellison had said, and I'm amenable 8 to any date. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 10 Ms. Edwards, anything to add? 11 MS. EDWARDS: I suppose if the Commission wanted to move the motions dates back, I guess Staff wouldn't 12 13 have a problem with that so long as everybody realizes 14 that that does not preclude motions from being filed 15 beforehand and, if they're preferred, now. If there's 16 information they think they're going to get out of a 17 Motion they want time to review, it might be prudent to 18 do so, file that Motion sooner rather than later so we 19 can get on the 26th agenda. 20 That being said, Staff's -- what we would 21 consider one of our critical witnesses is not available 22 that first week of July so that would present a 2.3 difficulty but -- and I just don't know if we're going to 24 be able to get it done in four days so that's a 25 consideration.

Ι

Thank you.

2.3

2.4

2 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Questions from the Commission. And I'm going to start with some questions for Ms. Edwards.

With option number 4 would there be a reason not to start with the 27th on Monday?

MS. EDWARDS: No reason at all. I just went with the Tuesday because that's when the Commission typically starts hearings. But it might actually be prudent to start on Monday.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Second question.

Dealing again with option 4, you've got rebuttal testimony filed no later than June 9. And, I mean, I was just surprised when I saw that because we've just, I think, dramatically extended the amount of time that folks have to deal with all of their discovery and to process that and to incorporate it into their rebuttal testimony, and then we've set the deadline for that or proposed the deadline that takes about half of that time away from them.

Why would we not make that like July 6, which would give folks as much time to deal with their discovery and their evidence and still give the Commission about three weeks to read through it?

MS. EDWARDS: I'm certainly amenable to that.

```
1
     was just trying to give the Commission as much time as
2
     they thought they needed given the amount of rebuttal
 3
     testimony I foresee. But certainly anything that the
 4
     Commission feels is enough time -- I think July 6, that
     works for Staff.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional Commissioner
7
     questions.
8
              Commissioner Fiegen.
9
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: For TransCanada on the
10
     rebuttal testimony will you do surrebuttal? So do you
11
     have enough time?
12
              Because it appears to me you're going to -- I
13
     mean, we're all going to have a lot of rebuttal testimony
14
     to read because of the new discovery that has been
15
     released. Can you give me a viewpoint on --
16
              MR. TAYLOR: Surrebuttal is conceivable, and I
17
     would guess pretty likely. Just don't know yet.
18
     don't know how far the net is going to be cast.
19
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                   Okay.
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional questions.
21
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Mr. Chairman.
22
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Let me just ask a follow up.
2.3
              Ms. Edwards, on the deadline for filing motions
24
     as being May 8, that just strikes me as being too early.
25
              Let me ask you this: Would it be problematic if
```

```
we did not establish a firm -- because there's going to be a number of -- I mean, we've had a number of different motions that have just been mentioned. If we didn't set a deadline but also made it very clear that we are going to need 10 days in order to notice these properly and get responses and then kind of let things flow from there, would that be problematic?
```

2.3

MS. EDWARDS: I don't see it being problematic.
No.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And I do appreciate your comments about the fact that, you know, we've been dealing with motions on very short time frames and we need to get back to, you know, a 10-day notice, and so thank you.

Additional questions, Commissioner Hanson.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: That last question really threw me off. I find it extremely problematic for myself, and I'm very concerned about not having a date certain for filing for motions.

Mr. Taylor, you had stated that one of your expert witnesses would not be available for a certain time frame.

What time frame is that?

MR. TAYLOR: My understanding is she leaves the country, August 1, and that the arrangements for her trip

```
1
    have been made for a long time.
2
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. August 1. And so
 3
     it would have to be prior -- do you anticipate that
 4
    person to participate in the entire process, or is it
     just a presentation at some point?
 6
              MR. TAYLOR: Entire process. Former Chief
7
    Engineer Meera Kothari. Critical witness for us.
8
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Thank you.
9
              MS. HILDING: This is Nancy Hilding. Can I ask
10
    a question?
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Very briefly, please. We are
12
    up against a 12:30 deadline.
13
              MS. HILDING: Some folks have asked if they can
14
    have their witnesses attend by teleconference or
15
    videoconference.
16
              Have you figured that out generically?
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I don't believe so. I'm going
17
18
    to ask -- I don't believe so.
              Ms. Edwards.
19
20
              MS. EDWARDS: I believe what TransCanada had
21
     agreed to was that notice would need to be submitted, and
22
     then TransCanada would have what, the opportunity to
2.3
    object but otherwise as long as they submitted notice as
24
     Staff did that there was no problem.
25
              MS. HILDING: So do you have the capacity to do
```

```
videoconferencing like Skype with witnesses, or are you
     only doing telephone audio conferencing?
 2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: You know, I'm not sure we need
 3
 4
     to go there at this point, Ms. Hilding.
 5
              Additional Commissioner questions.
 6
              Mr. Taylor.
7
              MR. TAYLOR: On the issue of motion deadlines,
8
     there should be a motion deadline for substantive
     motions, and then there could be a second motion deadline
10
     for limine motions, limine motions meaning evidentiary
11
     limiting only. Substantive motion deadline's got to get
12
     out of the way, and it's got to get out of the way fairly
13
     soon. Limine motion deadline different story.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
15
              Additional Commissioner questions.
16
              I'd remind everybody on the phone to put your
17
     phones on mute.
18
              Thank you.
              Motions from the Commission.
19
20
              Commissioner Smith -- Mr. Smith.
21
              MR. SMITH: With Meera's travel plans, if
22
     necessary would her rebuttal potentially be able to be
2.3
     done telephonically? If she was -- you know, if she
24
     could telephonically -- where is she going to be?
25
              MR. TAYLOR: John, we just don't know the answer
```

to that. I know that she -- when I spoke to her about
this, about the possibility of running into August, she
made it very clear -- I don't know if it's a business
trip or a pleasure trip. She made it very clear that she
was out of the country, and she did not think she would

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Excuse me. Mr. Chairman, could I ask Mr. Taylor a question?

He had made a suggestion regarding two different types of motions. And what was that suggestion again?

That primary motions --

MR. TAYLOR: Substantive.

be readily accessible.

2.3

We just got a text from Meera who said I can push to the 4th if I have to, but that is as far as I can go.

Back to the question at hand. It was substantive motions versus motions in limine.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Motions in limine and you were referring to those as being ones which could be --

MR. TAYLOR: Typically motions in limine are motions that deal with refined questions of evidentiary -- of how evidence will be handled in the case. And typically those can be heard in fairly close proximity to the start of the case.

Substantive motions, motions that deal with all

the matters that we're concerned about in this case, should be heard a ways away so that you have an opportunity to formulate how your final presentation's going to go.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you.

2.3

Mr. Chairman, could we incorporate suggestions of that nature so that we could obtain -- in your motion so that we could obtain --

CHAIRMAN NELSON: At this point we don't have a motion so you can go for it.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I thought you made a motion. I was trying to figure out all of these dates marking down what everyone's time frame was, and it appears that we're not going to be able to make everyone happy.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I move that we move the evidentiary hearing to the week of July 27, move that we have substantive motions submitted by May 26, heard on June 11, move that we have rebuttal testimony filed by June 26, and that the witness list is filed by July 10.

What I tried to do, Mr. Chairman, is move back that motion. I think I'm missing maybe one more motion that TransCanada needs on -- I don't even know if you said limiting or --

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Limine.
2
              MR. TAYLOR: It's a Latin word. Limine.
 3
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So we may need to have
 4
     that amended. But what I looked at -- I do have a
 5
     commitment, but I'm going to try to work around that.
 6
    do believe this hearing is extremely important and five
7
     days -- it will be a long five days, I'm sure, or four
8
     days or whatever.
              MR. TAYLOR: If you set a limine motion deadline
10
     that would fall fairly shortly after the witness list is
11
     filed, then that would be fairly workable. Could
    probably do it before the final witness list is filed,
12
13
    but, you know, it's conceivable that one limine motion
14
    could be that this witness is not permitted to testify
15
     for reason X.
16
              I would think you could do limine motions on
17
     less than 10 days' notice too.
18
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Let me look at my July 10
19
    witness list and see when our Commission meetings are
     that --
20
21
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Ms. Edwards, did you indicate
22
     that one of your witnesses is not available that week?
2.3
              MS. EDWARDS: Yes. Our consultant is not
24
    available that week.
25
                                Not available telephonically
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
```

either? 2 MS. EDWARDS: No. MR. GOUGH: Mr. Commissioner, this is Bob Gough, 3 4 InterTribal COUP. Option 4, the very last line seems to 5 preclude rebuttal to anyone but Keystone. And not 6 withstanding your Motion objecting to our presenting 7 rebuttal witnesses and moving to exclude, I would ask 8 that rebuttal be broader than just Keystone. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yeah. Those issues will be 11 dealt with later. 12 MR. GOUGH: Thank you. 13 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: You know, Mr. Chairman, I 14 thought your witness was available by phone so I'm sorry. 15 I didn't realize that. 16 So does your witness have to be here all five 17 days and how -- because it sounds like TransCanada's 18 witness is their main witness. It's their engineering 19 person. So, I mean, they have to be here all five days. Could you --20 21 MS. EDWARDS: I don't think -- given the fact 22 that we are -- and I haven't run this by him obviously, 2.3 but given the fact that we broadcast live on the 24 internet, I think that he would not have to be here live

all five days because he could listen in and still know

1 what's going on. 2 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So is he your main consultant? 3 4 MS. EDWARDS: Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN NELSON: If we were to -- Ms. Edwards. 6 MS. EDWARDS: Brian pointed out that, I mean, if 7 he's not available, he wouldn't even be available to 8 I was just thinking could he listen outside the room. I didn't consider whether or not he would be able 10 to listen from wherever he's at. 11 MR. ELLISON: This is Bruce Ellison on behalf of 12 I would just like to interpose an objection to any 13 TransCanada or Staff witnesses appearing telephonically. 14 I think even with teleprompters or -- teleconferencing it 15 would be extremely difficult for cross-examination. 16 I think it's incredibly important that the 17 Commission actually see and hear the witnesses and 18 evaluate their credibility. And at least as far as DRA 19 is concerned any TransCanada or Staff witnesses fit into 20 that category. 21 We are not suggesting in any way that we're 22 going to not challenge the credibility of any witnesses

or their testimony. So I would object to anything other

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

than live testimony.

2.3

2.4

1 Mr. Taylor. 2 MR. TAYLOR: What's good for the goose is good 3 for the gander. If you want to do that, then it's all 4 the way across the board, and we're game. 5 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I understand, yes. 6 MS. HILDING: This is Nancy Hilding. There is 7 videoconferencing now --8 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Ms. Hilding. MS. HILDING: I'll shut up. 10 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 11 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I have a question of 12 Staff. 13 You gave us these three options, but they're not 14 really three options. Or you are offering these options 15 because you think that the Commission can decide among 16 these three options even though your main expert is not 17 available or your main consultant? 18 Right. I figured if it presents a MS. EDWARDS: 19 challenge for Staff and I have to go back and see if 20 there's anybody else in the office that can adopt his 21 testimony, I'll do that. 22 But I didn't want to put the Commission in a 2.3 position where they had nothing to decide from because 24 one of Staff's witnesses was unavailable so I had to put

it out there and then just let the Commission decide.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Excuse me. Commissioner
2
    Fiegen. Would you go through -- I have a lot of notes on
 3
    my paper here, and I'm trying to figure out the date.
 4
     You said evidentiary hearing the week of July 27 through
 5
    the 31st and any new substantive motions to be filed no
 6
     later than --
7
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: May 26, being heard
8
    June 11.
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: With responses due --
10
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Rebuttal testimony
11
     June 26. Witness list --
12
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Just a minute. Okay.
13
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Witness list July 10, and
14
     I'm certainly open to moving that up a little in case we
15
    need to hear different motions about witness lists.
16
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: And then motions in
17
     limine, would you place those just after the witness
18
     lists?
19
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    I am open to that
20
    amendment, correct.
21
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: I'll let you amend your
22
    own rather than doing it formally, if you're so inclined.
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: While Commissioner Fiegen
24
     looks at that I'm going to ask Ms. Edwards another
25
     question.
```

```
1
              I think at some point the week of August 10 had
2
     been thrown out as a possibility, but I see that was not
 3
     on your options.
 4
              Is it an option, or do you know of conflicts
 5
     with that week?
 6
              MS. EDWARDS: I'm not aware of conflicts with
7
     that week. I thought maybe one of the Commissioners had
8
     a conflict, but I don't know for sure.
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: As I recall, I sent an
10
     e-mail stating that I was open for all three.
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Okay.
12
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: All three of the options.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. But you don't know
14
     about the week of the 10th. I'm going to look at
15
     TransCanada. Is the week of the 10th any better?
16
              MR. TAYLOR: We just sent a text to Meera.
17
     We'll find out here in a second.
18
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
19
              Staff, I'm looking at you all.
20
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I know originally you had
21
     some Dakota Access filings --
22
              MS. EDWARDS: Dakota Access rebuttal testimony
2.3
     is due August [sic] 14, but we know far enough out that I
24
     feel we could make accommodations and get our testimony
25
     in ahead of time.
```

```
1
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. I'm going to ask -- at
2
    my peril I'm going to ask for any of the folks on the
 3
    phone do you have any serious conflicts with the week of
 4
    August the 10th?
 5
              MS. REAL BIRD:
                              This is Thomasina for the
 6
    Yankton Sioux Tribe. I'm attorney of record in a case
7
     that's set for trial that week in northern Montana.
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
              MS. REAL BIRD: Thank you.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                And by that do I take it then
     that Ms. Baker would be able to cover for you in this
11
12
     case?
13
              MS. REAL BIRD: I'm attorney of record in this
14
    case as well. I also supported her pro hac, and I am not
15
     comfortable sending just Ms. Baker. She's a very
16
     qualified attorney, but, no. I'm going to be involved in
17
    this trial as well.
18
              MS. EDWARDS: Can I interject? It might help.
19
              I did hear back from that witness, and he would
20
    be able to call in as long as he could call in and get a
21
     specific period of time to call in for the week of the
22
     27th. If that helps, and I sincerely hopes it does.
2.3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. I need to follow up
24
    with that.
```

Does this witness need to listen to all of the

25

```
1
    proceeding, or do they simply need to testify for you?
2
              MS. EDWARDS: We're in agreement he just needs
 3
    to testify. And, I mean, if there's any prefiled
 4
     testimony we need to send to him, we'll certainly do
     that.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. If we were to extend
7
     Commissioner Fiegen's Motion to include August 3 and 4,
8
    would that allow your witness then to testify on the 3rd
    or the 4th?
10
              MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I actually did contact him,
     though, and he could make that week of the 27th work as
11
12
     long as he had a specific time.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I understand. But we've got
14
    an objection from one of the parties to doing that.
15
              MS. EDWARDS: My understanding is he could be
16
    here that first week.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Oh, that first week.
18
              MS. EDWARDS: That week of August 4.
19
              MR. GOUGH: This is Bob Gough. I have
20
     commitments the 10th through the 13th.
21
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you. I think that is
22
    off the table based on Ms. Real Bird's objection.
23
              Commissioner Fiegen, did you find a date for the
24
    deadline for motions in limine?
```

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I would, Mr. Chairman,

25

move to amend the witness list to be July 7 and then
July 10 to be the other motions.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I think we'll just consider that a friendly amendment as part of your main Motion.

I would move to amend Commissioner Fiegen's Motion by extending the dates of the hearing to include August 3 and 4.

Discussion on the amendment?

If I have absorbed everything correctly, I think that would allow for testimony from all of the experts that need to be -- they won't be able to be in the room the whole time, but at least we will be able to avail ourselves of their testimony.

I also wrestle with the question of whether or not we can accomplish what we need to in five days. I don't know if the answer to that is yes or no. And perhaps having two extra days wouldn't be harmful.

Further discussion on the Motion to Amend? Commissioner Fiegen.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So, Mr. Chairman, are we also stating then that all people that participate, witnesses, need to be here in person?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I don't think that's -- that's not part of my Motion. I think that's something that can be -- there's apparently already -- they've had a

```
1
     conference on that issue, and I think that issue will be
 2
     handled outside of our purview.
 3
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So your Motion is just
 4
     because you think seven days is better than five?
                                And in order to allow Staff's
 5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
 6
     witness to be present in the room, to add those August
7
     dates, yes.
              Additional discussion on the Motion to Amend.
              Seeing none, all those in favor of the Motion to
10
     Amend by adding August 3 and 4 as hearing dates will say
11
     aye. Those opposed, nay.
              Commissioner Hanson.
12
13
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Aye.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Commissioner Fiegen.
15
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                     Fiegen votes no.
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
17
              Motion carries. We have added those two dates.
18
              We are now back to Commissioner Fiegen's Motion.
19
     And I'm going to reread it just to make sure that
     everybody's on the same page.
20
21
              As amended, the evidentiary hearing would run
22
     July 27 through the 31st and the 3rd and the 4th of
2.3
              Motions would be required to be filed by May 26.
2.4
     Those outstanding motions would be heard at the June 11
25
     meeting. Rebuttal testimony would be filed no later than
```

```
1
     June 26. Witness lists and exhibit lists would be filed
2
     by July 7. Motions in limine would be filed by July 10.
              Commissioner Fiegen; is that correct?
 3
 4
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Yes.
                                          That's correct.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion.
 5
 6
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, do we have a
7
     date for written responses due from the new -- the
8
     substantive motions?
              We have -- as I understand, the Motion states
10
     that any new substantive motions to be filed no later
11
     than May 26. But when are the written responses due?
12
     Would that be 10 or 12 days later?
13
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Or June 11. Right?
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I would -- I mean, I'm looking
15
     at Ms. Edwards.
                      I'd propose -- well, what would you
16
     propose, Ms. Edwards?
17
              MS. EDWARDS: I'm thinking -- because this is
18
     off the top of my head I might have to turn to one of the
19
     other lawyers, but I think by law it's five days before a
20
     hearing. 10 days to respond and -- am I wrong?
21
              I would say five days after a Motion's filed
22
     would be safe.
2.3
              MR. MOORE: Yes.
2.4
              MR. SMITH: Five business days?
25
              MS. EDWARDS:
                            Yes.
```

```
1
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: So you said five business days
2
     after the 26th; is that correct?
 3
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: After the 26th of May?
 4
              MS. EDWARDS: Yes.
 5
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: That would be the 2nd of
 6
    June?
7
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Correct.
8
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: So if we could incorporate
    that as part of the Motion, I would be --
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen, are you
11
    amenable to that?
12
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Yes.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Additional discussion
14
    on the Motion?
15
              I guess I will simply say as I look around the
16
     room there's a lot of -- nobody's happy. We'll put it
17
     that way. But that's the -- I guess maybe the penalty
18
     that we pay for having changed our plan and given folks
19
     some more time to dig into the facts and the evidence in
20
    the case. And so I think this may be the best that we
21
    can accomplish.
22
              Additional discussion?
23
              Seeing none, all those in favor of the Motion as
24
    amended will vote aye. Those opposed, nay.
```

Commissioner Hanson.

25

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye.
 2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
 4
 5
              The Motion carries.
              Is there anything else for the good of the
 6
 7
     order?
             (The proceeding is concluded at 12:42 p.m.)
 8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| 1  | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)   |
|----|--|
| 2  | :SS CERTIFICATE  |
| 3  | COUNTY OF SULLY )  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered  |
| 6  | Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and                               |
| 7  | Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:                                  |
| 8  | DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed   |
| 9  | shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings                              |
| 10 | had in the above-entitled matter on the 30th day of                                  |
| 11 | April, 2015, and that the attached is a true and correct                             |
| 12 | transcription of the proceedings so taken.   |
| 13 | Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 2nd day of   |
| 14 | June, 2015.  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | Cheri McComsey Wittler,  |
| 19 | Notary Public and<br>Registered Professional Reporter<br>Certified Realtime Reporter |
| 20 | Certified Realtime Reporter  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |

|                                  | 1                              | T                               | 1   | T                             |   |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| 1                                | 102:21, 107:7,                 | 7                               | accommodations [1]                          | 63:23                         | 1 |
|                                  | 107:11, 112:23,                |                                 | - 108:24                                    | administrative [2] -          | _ |
|                                  | 113:1, 113:11                  |                                 | accomplish [3] -                            | 5:25, 53:18                   |   |
| <b>1</b> [9] - 88:24, 89:4,      | 26th [4] - 87:25, 95:19,       | <b>7</b> [2] - 111:1, 113:2     | 37:19, 111:15,                              | admitted [2] - 34:25,         |   |
| 89:5, 91:9, 93:2,                | 114:2, 114:3                   |                                 | 114:21                                      | 52:16                         |   |
| 94:10, 94:15, 98:25,             | <b>27</b> [3] - 102:18, 107:4, | 8                               | accord [1] - 36:20                          | admitting [1] - 35:2          |   |
| 99:2                             | 112:22                         |                                 | accordance [2] -                            | adopt [4] - 20:21,            |   |
| <b>10</b> [18] - 16:23, 60:20,   | 27th [4] - 89:20, 96:6,        |                                 | 20:19, 85:3                                 | 73:11, 73:15, 106:20          |   |
| 65:6, 65:7, 65:11,               | 109:22, 110:11                 | <b>8</b> [3] - 87:17, 93:22,    | *   |                               |   |
| 87:18, 87:23, 88:4,              | i ·                            | 97:24                           | accordingly [1] -                           | advance [1] - 68:6            |   |
| 98:5, 102:21,                    | <b>2nd</b> [2] - 114:5, 116:13 | 8th [1] - 56:3                  | 38:20                                       | advice [1] - 7:16             |   |
| 103:17, 103:18,                  |                                |                                 | account [4] - 12:24,                        | Advocates [1] - 58:3          |   |
| 107:13, 108:1,                   | 3                              | 9                               | 18:3, 19:7, 63:22                           | affect [2] - 13:23,           |   |
| 111:2, 113:2,                    |                                | 1                               | accurate [3] - 30:13,                       | 92:21                         |   |
| 113:12, 113:20                   | <b>3</b> [15] - 7:4, 21:1,     |                                 | 52:17, 70:18                                | <b>Affidavit</b> [10] - 6:10, |   |
| <b>10-day</b> [1] - 98:13        | 29:24, 38:4, 41:4,             | <b>9</b> [7] - 1:9, 8:21, 10:6, | Act [1] - 17:6                              | 8:7, 30:20, 40:15,            |   |
| 10th [4] - 108:14,               | 55:6, 73:10, 75:22,            | 41:12, 84:18, 88:11,            | acting [1] - 4:11                           | 40:18, 49:21, 64:23,          |   |
| 108:15, 109:4,                   | 80:15, 87:11, 87:13,           | 96:13                           | <b>Action</b> [5] - 3:6, 3:17,              | 66:9, 66:11                   |   |
| 110:20                           | 92:24, 110:7, 111:7,           | <b>9:00</b> [1] - 28:15         | 4:8, 21:6, 68:19                            | <b>affords</b> [1] - 71:19    |   |
|                                  | 112:10                         |                                 | action [2] - 20:14,                         | afternoon [4] - 29:11,        |   |
| <b>11</b> [4] - 102:20, 107:8,   | <b>30</b> [1] - 1:9            | Α                               | 71:23                                       | 29:24, 30:2, 48:11            |   |
| 112:24, 113:13                   | <b>30th</b> [2] - 2:4, 116:10  |                                 | actual [8] - 4:6, 7:1,                      | agency [2] - 29:3,            |   |
| <b>11:45</b> [1] - 74:18         | 31st [3] - 89:21, 107:5,       | 4.0                             | 8:1, 9:16, 20:24,                           | 31:18                         |   |
| 11th [2] - 87:25, 88:5           | 112:22                         | <b>a.m</b> [1] - 1:9            | 54:7, 55:3, 90:23                           | agenda [2] - 55:2,            |   |
| <b>12</b> [2] - 50:21, 113:12    |                                | abide [2] - 21:12,              | add [7] - 5:3, 25:25,                       | 95:19                         |   |
| <b>12-hour</b> [2] - 28:14,      | <b>35.7</b> [1] - 65:9         | 44:24                           | 52:24, 68:19, 85:9,                         | ago [4] - 34:5, 34:14,        |   |
| 58:7                             | 3rd [2] - 110:8, 112:22        | <b>ability</b> [5] - 9:3, 9:20, | 95:10, 112:6                                | 39:5, 41:7                    |   |
| <b>12:30</b> [1] - 99:12         |                                | 19:1, 24:12, 76:23              | added [1] - 112:17                          | agree [14] - 20:16,           |   |
| <b>12:42</b> [1] - 115:8         | 4                              | <b>able</b> [23] - 9:2, 12:10,  | adding [1] - 112:10                         | 34:20, 43:8, 49:7,            |   |
| <b>12th</b> [2] - 87:25, 88:5    |                                | 12:11, 12:19, 17:1,             | addition [6] - 17:3,                        | 51:11, 57:25, 68:22,          |   |
| <b>13th</b> [1] - 110:20         | <b>4</b> [14] - 52:9, 73:11,   | 17:22, 20:16, 47:10,            | 66:10, 80:17, 81:15,                        | 70:17, 76:18, 77:25,          |   |
| <b>14</b> [6] - 26:17, 64:4,     | 73:12, 88:25, 93:2,            | 47:11, 60:12, 60:25,            | 82:1, 82:2                                  | 82:15, 82:21, 83:21,          |   |
| 76:15, 78:6, 78:9,               | 94:10, 94:15, 96:5,            | 61:3, 81:3, 81:10,              | additional [21] -                           | 84:15                         |   |
| 108:23                           | 96:12, 104:4, 110:7,           | 95:24, 100:22,                  | 12:14, 12:24, 19:16,                        | agreeable [2] - 34:22,        |   |
| <b>14-001</b> [1] - 63:13        | 110:18, 111:7,                 | 102:14, 105:9,                  | 24:8, 66:19, 68:10,                         | 41:3                          |   |
| 14th [1] - 28:14                 | 112:10                         | 109:11, 109:20,                 | 68:17, 69:3, 77:11,                         | agreed [13] - 8:6, 9:18,      |   |
| <b>15</b> [2] - 30:3, 73:16      | <b>40</b> [1] - 43:17          | 111:11, 111:12                  | 79:5, 80:3, 86:2,                           | 10:17, 10:18, 12:17,          |   |
| <b>15,000</b> [1] - 60:21        | <b>400</b> [1] - 92:25         | above-entitled [2] -            | 93:23, 97:6, 97:20,                         | 20:11, 29:20, 33:23,          |   |
| <b>18</b> [1] - 88:11            | <b>413</b> [1] - 2:3           | 2:2, 116:10                     | 98:15, 100:5,                               | 64:24, 68:22, 70:2,           |   |
| <b>1880s</b> [1] - 60:19         | <b>45</b> [1] - 30:7           | absent [1] - 66:13              | 100:15, 112:8,                              | 72:16, 99:21                  |   |
|                                  | 1                              | absolutely [1] - 77:21          | 114:13, 114:22                              | agreement [15] -              |   |
| 2                                | <b>46</b> [1] - 59:2           | absorbed [1] - 111:9            | address [5] - 5:3,                          | 10:11, 17:17, 20:18,          |   |
|                                  | <b>4:00</b> [1] - 30:2         | absurdity [1] - 14:25           | 34:1, 67:6, 73:16,                          | 21:13, 23:5, 24:10,           |   |
|                                  | <b>4:40</b> [1] - 30:7         | acceptable [1] - 22:3           | 80:4  | 33:1, 35:25, 64:22,           |   |
| <b>2</b> [5] - 49:24, 88:24,     | 4th [3] - 101:14, 110:9,       | <b>ACCEPTING</b> [1] - 1:5      | addressed [6] - 45:8,                       | 69:24, 80:11, 80:22,          |   |
| 89:11, 93:2, 94:10               | 112:22                         | accepting [1] - 3:3             | 51:21, 51:23, 53:7,                         | 80:25, 82:14, 110:2           |   |
| <b>2,000</b> [1] - 93:16         | _                              | Access [2] - 108:21,            | 64:10, 93:16                                | agreements [5] -              |   |
| <b>2,508</b> [1] - 65:8          | 5                              | 108:22                          | addressing [2] -                            | 12:18, 14:22, 32:17,          |   |
| <b>2009</b> [1] - 50:16          |                                | access [23] - 12:11,            | 12:22, 46:15                                | 35:15, 68:23                  |   |
| <b>2010</b> [1] - 41:21          | <b>5</b> [3] - 29:6, 73:11,    | 15:3, 16:25, 17:25,             | adequate [5] - 17:18,                       | agrees [5] - 8:8,             |   |
| <b>2015</b> [5] - 1:9, 2:4, 3:5, | 73:12                          | 19:21, 21:23, 22:19,            | 18:7, 24:17, 53:16,                         | 67:25, 68:1, 69:19,           |   |
| 116:11, 116:14                   | <b>500</b> [1] - 2:3           | 23:21, 29:20, 41:16,            | 71:18                                       | 80:18                         |   |
| <b>20:10:01:39</b> [1] - 27:12   | <b>500,000</b> [1] - 92:25     | 46:6, 46:19, 46:23,             | adhere [1] - 45:4                           | ahead [14] - 3:18,            |   |
| <b>20:10:01:41</b> [3] - 4:19,   |                                | 47:23, 55:20, 61:19,            | adhered [1] - 63:24                         | 6:23, 9:5, 9:9, 9:15,         |   |
| 18:4, 64:1                       | 6                              | 65:1, 76:20, 76:24,             | adherence [1] - 45:5                        | 9:20, 10:1, 15:18,            |   |
| <b>20:10:01:42</b> [1] - 5:25    | 6                              | 80:18, 80:19, 81:5,             | adhering [1] - 44:21                        | 25:22, 26:4, 44:9,            |   |
| <b>21</b> [1] - 67:24            |                                | 82:11                           |   | 55:15, 94:14, 108:25          |   |
| <b>222</b> [1] - 65:8            | <b>6</b> [4] - 73:12, 96:21,   | accessible [2] - 64:17,         | adjust [2] - 38:9,                          | akin [1] - 32:13              |   |
| <b>24</b> [1] - 3:5              | 97:4                           | 101:6                           | 38:20                                       | allege [1] - 47:5             |   |
| <b>26</b> [10] - 13:8, 87:15,    |                                | accommodate [2] -               | adjustment [1] - 79:20 Administrative [4] - | alleged [1] - 16:6            |   |
| 88:7, 102:19,                    |                                | 37:24, 79:21                    |   | allow [14] - 11:5, 23:3,      |   |
|                                  |                                |                                 | 4:18, 26:13, 27:11,                         | anow [14] - 11.0, 20.0,       |   |

23:24, 36:20, 38:10, apologize [3] - 44:2, authorization [1] -3:17, 4:11, 12:4, argument [4] - 16:19, 38:21, 56:2, 62:1, 44:6, 87:10 20:10, 53:16, 92:16 23:8 38:13, 38:14, 49:1, 67:22, 71:23, 91:3, appealed [1] - 24:15 arguments [3] - 15:9, authorized [1] - 84:20 59:19, 72:19, 105:11 110:8, 111:10, 112:5 15:20. 92:8 believes [1] - 22:3 appear [1] - 49:20 authorizing [1] - 13:9 allowance [2] - 65:22, arrangement [1] belong [2] - 39:22, appearing [2] - 28:6, avail [1] - 111:12 65:23 105:13 79:19 39:23 available [15] - 31:22, allowed [7] - 9:23, Appendix [1] - 52:11 arrangements [1] -36:23, 65:13, 79:10, beneficial [1] - 90:2 31:10, 38:2, 41:16, appendix [3] - 39:11, 98:25 90:6, 95:21, 98:21, best [4] - 37:23, 91:4, 72:22, 73:3, 74:1 39:12, 39:14 arrive [2] - 34:16, 103:22, 103:24, 91:5, 114:20 allowing [5] - 19:19, applicable [2] - 5:16, 36:19 103:25, 104:14, better [4] - 11:1, 22:25, 24:5, 41:16, arriving [2] - 34:11, 105:7, 106:17 56:24, 108:15, 112:4 27.11 80:9 92:24 Avenue [1] - 2:3 between [7] - 17:18, Applicant [3] - 76:23, allows [4] - 24:18, ARSD [2] - 18:4, 64:1 avenue [1] - 55:18 47:2, 48:5, 57:3, 76:24, 80:18 37:10, 67:2, 91:4 Applicant's [2] article [1] - 22:12 avoid [2] - 36:13, 57:8, 70:3, 73:7 alternative [3] - 3:9, beyond [4] - 31:15, 49:22, 49:24 articulated [1] - 14:9 45:22 19:6, 63:15 ascertain [1] - 18:8 avoided [2] - 45:12, 36:2, 41:4, 66:16 application [1] - 53:22 alternatively [3] - 9:8, 45:17 big [3] - 60:17, 60:22, applied [3] - 25:8, aside [1] - 90:17 10:10, 29:14 61:11 25:9, 53:9 aware [3] - 64:10, aspect [1] - 21:4 ambiguity [1] - 69:17 biological [1] - 17:5 applies [6] - 16:2, 65:9, 108:6 aspects [2] - 21:3, amenable [8] - 34:12, Bird [2] - 33:16, 33:20 17:12, 21:4, 27:18, aye [19] - 74:19, 74:22, 52:10 34:15, 34:18, 71:24, 74:24, 74:25, 86:5, BIRD [3] - 109:5, 35:19, 35:20 assent [1] - 23:23 77:9, 95:7, 96:25, apply [11] - 17:11, 86:7, 86:9, 86:10, 109:9, 109:13 assertion [2] - 8:23, 114.11 86:17, 86:20, 86:22, 18:5, 18:25, 23:6, Bird's [1] - 110:22 amend [11] - 43:9, 27:14, 27:22, 27:25, 86:23, 112:11, bit [7] - 14:24, 21:5, assertions [1] - 63:21 63:15, 66:5, 70:9, 53:8, 53:14, 81:8, 112:13, 112:16, 34:4, 46:1, 46:4, assess [1] - 17:22 75:5, 84:1, 84:18, 91:19 114:24, 115:1, 83:16, 88:21 assist [3] - 23:22, 86:13, 107:21, appointed [1] - 116:8 115:3, 115:4 black [1] - 13:5 42:11, 42:21 111:1, 111:5 appreciate [11] - 41:8, Blackburn [14] - 20:3, Associate [1] - 28:7 Amend [7] - 3:10, 44:7, 63:7, 66:22, В 29:17, 32:11, 37:4, assuming [1] - 6:19 85:9, 86:3, 86:5, 70:20. 71:25. 77:7. 38:10, 38:14, 38:21, attached [1] - 116:11 111:18, 112:8, 78:9, 78:13, 98:11 43:8. 54:20. 69:5. attempt [1] - 16:20 background [1] -112:10 70:21, 71:10, 72:2, approach [10] - 25:3, attend [1] - 99:14 17:22 amended [13] - 19:6, 73:11 28:19, 29:12, 32:1, attention [2] - 49:19, bad [2] - 20:5, 42:23 25:12, 41:22, 69:1, 36:15, 39:11, 80:10, blackburn [1] - 93:18 58:12 **baker** [1] - 53:3 69:10, 70:14, 71:6, 80:12, 81:3, 91:1 BLACKBURN [7] attorney [11] - 21:22, **BAKER** [8] - 15:16, 86:11, 86:14, 86:25, appropriate [11] -22:10, 23:17, 36:2, 20:4, 20:8, 54:21, 15:19, 53:4, 72:18, 103:4, 112:21, 18:14, 43:11, 55:21, 69:5, 69:7, 72:8, 37:8, 55:18, 68:20, 72:21, 85:12, 85:15, 114:24 64:15, 64:24, 66:4, 93:19 80:5, 109:6, 109:13, Amended [1] - 3:13 70:10, 72:5, 76:12, **Blackburn's** [1] - 38:3 109:16 Baker [5] - 15:17, amending [1] - 71:1 76:19, 91:1 blanche [1] - 16:9 attorneys [29] - 7:8, 85:12, 91:7, 109:11, amendment [6] appropriately [1] blanket [3] - 23:7, 7:15, 10:15, 10:16, 109:15 37:17, 47:24, 84:4, 55:19 23:8, 37:10 14:17, 19:18, 19:21, **Bar** [1] - 69:9 107:20, 111:4, 111:8 approved [1] - 78:2 board [1] - 106:4 21:10, 21:12, 22:14, bar[1] - 52:19 amendments 131 -April [11] - 1:9, 2:4, boards [1] - 35:10 23:1, 23:15, 23:16, barn [1] - 36:8 25:13, 34:16, 37:23 3:5, 26:17, 28:15, Bob [6] - 89:8, 89:9, 23:25, 25:6, 32:23, based [4] - 42:17, amount [3] - 16:25, 64:4, 67:24, 76:15, 94:12, 94:14, 104:3, 38:6, 64:18, 65:24, 57:7, 62:21, 110:22 96:15, 97:2 78:6, 78:9, 116:11 110:19 68:13, 79:2, 80:2, basis [7] - 5:10, 5:14, ample [2] - 9:5, 18:17 Archeologists [1] bodies [2] - 40:10, 80:21, 80:24, 81:8, 5:18, 5:20, 35:3, annoying [1] - 13:10 17:12 64:7 85:20, 85:21, 91:17 45:19, 47:23 answer [8] - 31:4, archeologists [1] audio [1] - 100:2 **body** [6] - 27:17, 29:3, become [1] - 30:18 46:12, 63:7, 77:9, 17:13 August [17] - 89:5, 31:15, 37:6, 42:16, becomes [3] - 7:13, 88:19, 92:5, 100:25, area [1] - 50:2 89:15, 89:23, 89:25, 42.17 55:21, 62:16 111:16 areas [11] - 20:8, 92:24, 98:25, 99:2, **BOLD** [19] - 3:8, 20:3, **BEFORE** [1] - 1:11 answered [1] - 92:4 49:20, 49:23, 50:8, 20:25, 21:4, 21:14, 101:2, 108:1, beforehand [1] answers [2] - 38:17, 50:19, 50:22, 51:3, 21:15, 21:22, 21:25, 108:23, 109:4, 95:15 52:1 65:6, 65:7, 65:11 22:4, 25:14, 37:2, 110:7, 110:18, began [1] - 29:7 anticipate [2] - 21:21, argue [2] - 40:3, 56:2 37:3, 37:5, 38:15, 111:7, 112:6, begins [4] - 10:9, 99:3 argued [1] - 91:17 112:10, 112:23 51:22, 76:1, 76:6, 75:25, 81:15, 82:1 anyway [1] - 71:8 arguing [2] - 6:18, 79:13, 85:25 authored [1] - 30:6 behalf [10] - 3:14, apart [1] - 44:13 91:14 authority [1] - 36:3 bolster [1] - 47:11

bones [1] - 39:13 borders [1] - 36:2 33:2, 39:2, 44:2 CAPOSSELA [2] bottom [2] - 13:23, 13:3 44:1 36:4 Capossela's [1] bound [5] - 8:8, 32:24, 32:25, 68:1, 68:21 38:25 **BRAUN** [3] - 56:12, car [1] - 42:14 94:23, 95:2 care [4] - 93:4, 93:10, Braun [4] - 56:13, 93:11, 94:23 59:12, 94:20, 94:23 carefully [1] - 84:25 breach [1] - 35:12 carries [4] - 86:11, break [2] - 67:18, 89:21 cart [1] - 62:20 brevity [1] - 53:2 carte [1] - 16:9 Brian [1] - 105:6 carve [1] - 66:23 brian [1] - 1:17 bridges [1] - 62:19 brief [10] - 5:7, 6:9, 7:23, 12:9, 26:21, 43:23, 48:22, 54:15, 92:7 101:23, 101:24, briefly [2] - 78:19, 102:1, 107:14, 99:11 109:6, 109:12, 109:14, 114:20 briefs [1] - 92:16 cast [1] - 97:18 bring [3] - 49:18, catch [1] - 90:10 50:12, 60:23 catching [1] - 60:4 **bringing** [1] - 36:13 categories [13] brings [1] - 87:1 Brings [2] - 61:1, 61:4 broadcast [1] - 104:23 broader [1] - 104:8 brought [1] - 81:6 68:14 Bruce [5] - 68:18, categorization [1] -82:19, 94:16, 95:7, 32:15 105:11 categorizing [1] **build** [1] - 11:5 28:17 Building [1] - 2:3 category [5] - 3:25, burden [19] - 6:5, 23:20, 24:8, 29:1, 6:18, 6:20, 9:12, 105:20 13:11, 14:2, 14:4, causes [1] - 50:23 15:21, 15:23, 16:11, 16:13, 18:19, 46:20, central [1] - 74:18 49:6, 49:8, 49:13, certain [11] - 17:13, 49:16, 87:19 business [7] - 31:8, 20:23, 25:3, 46:8, 31:12, 32:9, 40:16, 52:10, 55:1, 98:19, 101:3, 113:24, 114:1 98.21 certainly [17] - 9:18, C 46:18, 47:19, 65:7, calculations [1] - 52:9 73:6, 77:1, 78:9,

camera [2] - 26:23, 26:25 cannot [6] - 40:15, 55:4, 68:23, 69:10, 69:16, 70:2 capacity [2] - 31:16, 99:25 Capitol [2] - 2:2, 2:3

116:2

**CERTIFICATION** [1] -

certification [1] - 3:3

Capossela [4] - 13:2, 86:24, 112:17, 115:5 case [22] - 9:14, 18:10, 24:1, 24:11, 34:25, 36:10, 36:25, 42:14, 46:19, 47:16, 49:14, 52:8, 52:16, 91:24, 16:23, 23:13, 24:9, 24:16, 24:23, 28:23, 30:9. 30:17. 30:21. 31:12, 34:19, 47:19, cellphone [1] - 31:23 18:22, 20:11, 20:16, 65:11, 67:7, 70:19, 78:10, 79:18, 85:6, 96:25, 97:3, 107:14, 110:4 CERTIFICATE [1] -

Certified [2] - 116:6, 116:19 **CERTIFY** [1] - 116:8 cetera [2] - 71:21, 78:8 chair [2] - 20:4, 85:16 Chairman [28] - 13:3, 19:11, 44:10, 52:23, 54:14, 54:21, 63:12, 63:17, 68:18, 69:5, 71:3, 71:22, 72:8, 72:18, 73:1, 75:9, 77:6, 77:17, 87:6, 94:5, 97:21, 101:7, 102:6, 102:22, 104:13, 110:25, 111:20, 113:6 CHAIRMAN [150] -1:12, 1:13, 3:1, 3:18, 10:3, 11:7, 11:16, 11:20, 13:1, 15:7, 15:13, 15:18, 19:9, 19:25, 20:3, 20:7, 25:17, 25:22, 26:2, 27:4, 28:4, 41:8, 43:6, 43:20, 44:7, 48:2. 48:18. 52:21. 53:2. 54:12. 54:19. 55:9, 55:15, 56:6, 61:13, 61:17, 62:6, 63:4, 63:7, 63:16, 65:19, 66:20, 67:7, 67:18, 68:9, 68:17, 69:3, 69:6, 70:7, 70:16, 71:25, 72:20, 72:24, 73:8, 73:17, 74:8, 74:17, 74:23, 74:25, 75:3, 75:10, 75:17, 77:11, 77:14, 78:18, 79:15, 79:22, 80:1, 81:12, 81:22, 81:25, 82:4, 82:17, 83:6, 83:23, 84:15, 84:21, 85:6, 85:13, 85:19, 86:2, 86:8, 86:10, 86:21, 86:23, 88:20, 89:6, 89:17, 90:4, 91:6, 91:11, 91:23, 92:2, 92:12, 92:18, 93:1, 93:14, 93:17, 94:3, 94:11, 94:14, 94:19, 95:1, 95:4, 95:9, 96:2, 96:11, 97:6, 97:20, 97:22, 98:10, 99:11, 99:17, 100:3, 100:14, 102:9, 102:16, 103:21, 103:25, 104:10, 105:5, 105:25, 106:5, 106:8,

106:10, 107:23, 108:11, 108:13, 108:18, 109:1, 109:8, 109:10, 109:23, 110:6, 110:13, 110:17, 110:21, 111:3, 111:23, 112:5, 112:14, 112:16, 113:5, 113:14, 114:1, 114:7, 114:10, 114:13, 115:2, 115:4 challenge [4] - 35:22, 77:10, 105:22, 106:19 challenged [2] -28:12, 35:12 challenges [1] - 74:4 chance [1] - 43:1 change [7] - 41:3, 68:20, 75:23, 76:3, 79:6, 79:11, 85:17 changed [6] - 20:21, 22:5, 34:4, 40:11, 84:25. 114:18 changes [2] - 20:24, 76:19 characteristics [1] -33:21 chasha [1] - 57:23 Cheri [2] - 1:24, 116:18 **CHERI** [1] - 116:5 Cheyenne [10] - 3:7, 15:8, 15:11, 39:1, 52:22, 56:13, 56:22, 57:9, 58:2, 94:6 Chief [3] - 61:1, 61:4, 99:6 chief [1] - 61:5 chiefs [2] - 61:6, 61:7 chin [1] - 57:23 choice [2] - 15:15, 31:20 **chooses** [1] - 36:6 CHRIS [1] - 1:12 Circuit [1] - 35:2 circumstance [1] -62:21 circumstances [3] -28:11, 28:12, 42:9 cite [2] - 17:6, 17:7 cites [1] - 17:16 citing [1] - 92:10 citizens [2] - 46:9, 46:10 civil [2] - 41:1, 62:8

Civil [3] - 41:21,

41:23, 63:24

clarification [2] - 8:15, 3 25:13 Clarify [1] - 3:10 clarify [5] - 21:19, 52:14, 63:15, 75:5, 75:19 clarity [1] - 69:18 Clark [3] - 15:8, 52:22, 94:4 **CLARK** [3] - 15:9, 52:23, 94:5 class [1] - 46:10 classical [1] - 33:22 clear [9] - 4:18, 4:20, 17:3, 48:4, 52:2, 69:15, 98:4, 101:3, 101:4 clearly [7] - 9:7, 9:12, 15:2, 16:16, 18:13, 64:3, 64:10 client [1] - 57:8 clients [7] - 7:13, 9:25, 10:20, 11:12, 11:15, 12:11, 19:20 cloak [1] - 35:19 close [5] - 52:25, 70:7, 75:15, 89:14, 101:23 closing [1] - 83:24 co [1] - 64:20 co-counsel [1] - 64:20 coherent [1] - 19:22 colleague [1] - 52:25 colleagues [4] -15:10, 19:14, 52:20, 54:16 color [1] - 15:4 comfortable [1] -109:15 coming [3] - 44:13, 82:23, 89:24 comment [6] - 9:25, 38:25, 69:8, 78:19, 81:14, 91:13 comments [9] - 25:11, 41:9, 53:7, 76:10, 79:15, 79:23, 93:20, 94:22, 98:11 commercial [3] -13:14, 25:2, 28:25 Commission [76] -3:5, 3:11, 3:19, 8:16, 15:25, 16:3, 18:5, 19:4, 20:14, 21:9, 21:18, 23:13, 26:7, 26:9, 26:22, 27:15, 27:16, 27:19, 28:11, 28:19, 29:2, 29:22, 31:5, 31:15, 36:3, 36:12, 36:14, 36:21, 37:19, 43:21, 50:12,

50:15, 51:18, 53:12, 107:1, 107:7, 107:9, 65:22, 70:22 64:9, 64:12, 64:17, consultation [3] -53:20, 54:3, 54:8, 107:10, 107:12, concerned [11] - 12:8, 64:19, 64:21, 65:1, 71:2, 83:12, 83:17 54:23, 55:4, 61:14, 107:13, 107:16, 21:1, 35:18, 39:2, 65:5, 65:7, 67:10, consulting [4] - 10:25, 63:8, 63:14, 63:20, 107:19, 107:21, 48:11, 56:17, 66:21, 67:13, 67:22, 67:25, 41:25, 42:2, 68:14 63:25, 64:2, 64:8, 108:9, 108:12, 66:24, 98:18, 102:1, 68:3, 71:20, 76:1, contact [1] - 110:10 65:4, 65:18, 69:15, 108:20, 110:25, 105:19 76:4, 76:20, 78:8, contacted [1] - 5:4 71:3, 76:5, 77:2, 111:20, 112:3, 80:10, 82:11 concerning [1] - 47:4 contain [3] - 65:22, 77:21. 78:11. 83:2. 112:13. 112:15. Confidentiality [1] concerns [6] - 7:3, 65:23, 65:25 83:9, 85:13, 87:7, 113:4, 113:6, 12:5, 12:24, 39:25, 45:14 contained [2] - 40:21, 87:8, 87:16, 87:20, 113:13, 114:3, 80:4. 89:1 confidentiality [42] -49:23 88:8, 90:3, 92:19, 114:5, 114:8, 4:5, 4:25, 5:2, 5:9, concessions [1] contemplated [1] -114:12, 115:1, 115:3 5:11, 6:5, 6:18, 9:10, 95:11, 96:3, 96:8, 4:12 64:11 Commissioner's [1] -96:24, 97:1, 97:4, conclude [1] - 41:2 13:5, 13:12, 13:15, content [2] - 7:1, 48:7 100:19, 103:19, 88:16 concluded [2] - 28:14, 14:23, 15:4, 23:6, continue [4] - 40:7, 105:17, 106:15, Commissioners [7] -29:13, 31:14, 32:17, 40:12, 66:15, 78:15 115:8 106:22, 106:25 10:5, 28:5, 48:25, 33:14, 34:7, 34:9, conclusory [1] - 13:18 **continued** [1] - 34:6 COMMISSION [3] -70:8, 77:15, 90:9, 35:14, 39:24, 42:6, concur[3] - 74:14, **continues** [1] - 18:8 1:1, 1:11, 1:14 108.7 76:10, 91:8 44:20, 48:12, 48:15, **contrary** [1] - 16:8 Commission's [12] -Commissioners' [1] -54:24, 55:3, 55:20, concurrence [1] control [1] - 37:12 3:23, 4:16, 16:18, 72:11 56:3, 59:8, 60:13, 74:10 cooperative [1] -19:5, 21:17, 43:2, commissions [2] -69:13, 77:22, 77:23, conditions [3] - 18:9, 71:16 49:19, 63:22, 67:10, 40:9, 40:10 78:2, 78:6, 78:12, 79:6, 79:11 copy [2] - 33:9, 68:7 69:18, 69:21, 69:25 commitment [3] -78:16, 80:22, 80:25, conduct [1] - 19:16 corporations [1] -Commissioner [49] -68:6, 68:8, 103:5 81:11 conference [3] - 32:3, 17:11 12:2, 15:16, 25:21, commitments [1] confined [2] - 72:1, 72:4, 112:1 correct [9] - 11:18, 25:23, 39:15, 63:5, 85:13 110:20 conferencing [1] -11:19, 68:13, 63:11, 65:20, 68:11, conflict [2] - 90:14, committee [2] - 72:12, 100:2 107:20, 113:3, 70:9, 72:1, 72:25, 83:24 108:8 confident [2] - 37:5, 113:4, 114:2, 114:7, 73:22, 74:21, 74:23, conflicts [4] - 51:7, common [5] - 5:8, 37:7 116:11 75:4, 75:16, 76:11, 108:4, 108:6, 109:3 32:14, 53:17, 62:10, confidential [115] **correctly** [1] - 111:9 77:16, 85:11, 86:6, 62:13 conform [3] - 84:19, 3:24, 4:1, 4:17, 4:21, coughing [2] - 58:14, 86:8, 86:15, 86:19, 84:22, 85:7 commonly [1] - 32:17 4:23, 6:7, 6:11, 7:5, 58:15 86:21, 90:6, 90:12, Congressmen [1] communication [1] -8:23. 9:15. 12:15. Council [1] - 56:21 94:12, 97:6, 97:8, 61:8 48:20 12:16, 13:13, 13:21, council [5] - 58:2, 98:15, 100:5, consequence [7] company [10] - 29:1, 14:1, 14:6, 14:16, 60:16, 60:19, 60:20 100:15, 100:20, 49:20, 49:23, 50:2, 30:22, 31:1, 31:16, 14:21, 15:1, 15:23, Council's [1] - 56:18 102:16, 104:3, 50:8, 50:19, 50:22, 40:20, 40:22, 40:23, 16:3, 16:5, 16:10, councils [6] - 56:25, 107:1, 107:23, 51:3 63:1, 65:3, 89:24 16:11, 16:15, 16:18, 57:4. 57:6. 60:12. 110:7, 110:23, compel [1] - 38:11 consequently [1] -17:4, 17:8, 18:18, 60:18.60:20 111:5, 111:19, 47:14 Compel [16] - 7:6, 7:9, 21:8, 21:17, 21:23, Counsel [1] - 28:8 112:12, 112:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:15, conservative [3] -22:2, 22:19, 23:14, counsel [19] - 6:10, 112:18, 113:3, 26:12, 77:23, 78:3 22:1, 22:6, 22:11, 24:19, 24:23, 24:24, 7:11, 8:22, 8:24, 114:10, 114:25, consider [5] - 53:21, 22:15, 22:20, 38:7, 24:25, 25:5, 25:10, 11:10, 11:23, 19:2, 115:2 59:3, 95:21, 105:9, 38:8, 64:9, 76:5, 25:14, 26:8, 26:18, 29:16, 42:11, 45:2, **COMMISSIONER** [69] 85:21 111:3 27:13, 29:3, 29:8, 45:20, 45:22, 64:20, - 1:13, 63:12, 63:17, consideration [1] compels [1] - 77:25 29:16, 29:21, 30:1, 65:24, 68:24, 70:12, 66:7, 67:6, 67:8, 95:25 comply [3] - 5:21, 31:21, 32:8, 32:14, 71:23, 85:2 68:12, 68:16, 70:11, considered [1] - 24:25 20:21, 50:11 32:16, 34:23, 36:11, counselors [2] -71:5, 71:22, 73:1, consistent [1] - 50:9 composed [1] - 29:23 36:18, 36:23, 39:3, 83:13, 83:17 73:24, 74:13, 74:22, 39:10, 39:14, 40:22, constraint [1] - 77:8 comprise [1] - 65:11 counting [1] - 87:23 74:24, 75:8, 75:13, compromise [1] -46:22, 47:20, 49:4, CONSTRUCT [1] - 1:6 country [3] - 89:5, 77:6, 77:17, 85:16, 49:10, 49:12, 49:15, 64:25 construct [1] - 3:4 98:25, 101:5 86:1, 86:7, 86:9, 49:20, 50:1, 50:5, constructed [1] - 8:4 computation [1] -**COUNTY** [1] - 116:3 86:20, 86:22, 97:9, 42:23 50:8, 51:1, 51:4, construction [1] -County [1] - 39:16 97:19, 97:21, 98:16, 51:10, 51:12, 51:13, concede [2] - 38:3, 47:5 **COUP** [1] - 104:4 99:2, 99:8, 101:7, 52:6, 52:10, 52:11, 39:10 consultant [5] - 33:12, couple [3] - 9:21, 101:18, 102:5, 52:12, 53:19, 53:25, 33:14, 103:23, conceivable [2] -34:4, 38:24 102:11, 102:17, 54:5, 54:10, 56:19, 105:3, 106:17 97:16, 103:13 Court [5] - 26:24, 103:1, 103:3, 56:23, 58:20, 61:20, consultants [4] - 7:18, concern [6] - 12:14, 35:2, 35:6, 35:7 103:18, 104:13, 61:25, 62:5, 64:5, 8:11, 23:21, 25:7 40:1, 48:15, 50:23, courts [1] - 13:15 105:2, 106:11,

cover[1] - 109:11 covered [3] - 14:6, 40:5, 81:9 crammed [1] - 74:6 **CRAVEN** [6] - 19:11, 20:2, 54:14, 77:12, 78:23, 89:11 Craven [6] - 19:10, 54:13, 77:12, 78:22, 79:17, 89:10 create [2] - 80:23, 93:23 creating [1] - 14:2 credibility [2] -105:18, 105:22 critical [6] - 25:1, 25:2, 32:16, 89:4, 95:21, 99:7 cross [4] - 18:24, 62:18, 85:4, 105:15 cross-examination [2] - 18:24, 105:15 CRR [1] - 1:24 crunch [2] - 87:22, 88:12 crystal [2] - 4:20, 69:15 cultural [9] - 14:12, 17:9, 33:3, 33:17, 39:4, 39:21, 52:7, 62:24, 73:14 **culture** [5] - 57:18, 57:19, 57:20, 57:21, 60:3 cultures [1] - 57:17 cure [1] - 73:9 cutting [1] - 89:14

## D

**D.C** [1] - 31:7 **DAKOTA** [2] - 1:2, 116:1 Dakota [28] - 2:2, 2:4, 3:6, 3:17, 4:7, 15:5, 17:10, 19:5, 21:6, 26:24, 35:1, 35:3, 35:8, 52:19, 57:16, 57:17, 57:19, 59:24, 60:9, 67:16, 68:19, 68:20, 68:23, 69:9, 108:21, 108:22, 116:7, 116:13 Darren [1] - 1:17 data [1] - 41:18 date [15] - 9:13, 87:16, 87:21, 88:10, 88:15, 91:16, 92:17, 93:6, 93:10, 94:7, 95:8,

98:18, 107:3, 110:23, 113:7 Dated [1] - 116:13 dates [16] - 87:14, 88:18, 89:1, 89:12, 93:3, 94:9, 94:17, 94:24, 95:2, 95:12, 102:12, 111:6, 112:7, 112:10, 112:17 days [24] - 34:5, 87:23, 89:22, 89:23, 90:15, 90:17, 91:2, 95:24, 98:5, 103:7, 103:8, 104:17, 104:19, 104:25, 111:15, 111:17, 112:4, 113:12, 113:19, 113:20, 113:21, 113:24, 114:1 days' [3] - 87:18, 88:4, 103:17 deadline [15] - 29:7, 56:1, 56:3, 90:19, 92:15, 96:18, 96:19, 97:23, 98:4, 99:12, 100:8, 100:9, 100:13, 103:9, 110:24 deadline's [1] - 100:11 deadlines [3] - 93:5, 93:11, 100:7 deal [11] - 3:13, 46:15, 47:20, 61:10, 63:2, 64:12, 76:25, 96:16, 96:22, 101:21, 101:25 dealing [9] - 26:8, 37:7, 51:9, 56:25, 64:9, 66:14, 84:8, 96:12, 98:12 dealings [1] - 37:5 dealt [2] - 84:9, 104:11 debate [1] - 30:23 decide [8] - 31:16, 43:4, 62:10, 91:15, 106:15, 106:23, 106:25 decided [7] - 18:11, 26:22, 29:11, 30:8, 30:25, 34:5, 55:4 deciding [1] - 12:22

decision [1] - 18:16

deem [2] - 11:17, 67:9

deemed [3] - 47:20,

deeply [1] - 56:17

defer [1] - 71:23

49:12, 65:5

declare [1] - 28:22

deferred [1] - 93:22 define [1] - 10:22 defining [1] - 41:19 definite [1] - 22:11 **definition** [1] - 27:12 degree [2] - 44:25, 46:8 demonstrated [2] -16:15, 31:24 demonstrating [1] -9:13 demonstration [3] -13:17, 13:19, 13:20 denied [1] - 86:24 deny [4] - 63:14, 75:5, 75:18, 86:13 Department [5] -14:19, 39:8, 40:6, 40:8, 52:13 described [2] - 30:5, 30:20 describes [2] - 13:4, 32:11 description [1] - 14:1 deserves [1] - 9:14 designate [2] - 14:6, 51:1 designated [21] - 3:24, 4:16, 4:17, 8:10, 13:21, 13:25, 14:15, 14:20, 15:1, 17:4, 25:4, 33:7, 33:18, 39:10, 47:9, 49:11, 50:8, 51:12, 52:4, 62:9, 64:6 designation [7] - 4:5, 12:15, 13:12, 13:15, 33:9, 49:4, 50:24 designations [1] - 4:5 despite [3] - 16:21, 18:2, 31:1 detail [2] - 6:12, 26:20 determination [2] -53:11, 54:9 determine [1] - 12:12 determined [2] -14:15, 52:6 determining [1] -51:10 detriment [1] - 65:3 developed [1] - 40:20 development [1] -13:13 dial [1] - 34:1 differ [1] - 10:11 difference [1] - 92:22 different [6] - 31:19, 92:9, 98:2, 100:13, 101:9, 107:15 differently [1] - 21:5

difficult [6] - 7:13, 37:19, 43:16, 44:16, 88:21, 105:15 difficulty [1] - 95:23 dig [2] - 41:11, 114:19 dings [1] - 60:2 directed [1] - 87:8 directive [1] - 29:5 disagreement [2] -47:18, 81:5 disagrees [1] - 43:2 discharge [1] - 52:8 disciplinary [3] - 35:5, 35:6, 35:9 disclose [2] - 12:19, 69:23 disclosing [3] - 23:23, 23:25, 24:11 disclosure [4] - 8:23, 13:6, 13:24, 22:22 discovery [19] - 13:8, 19:16, 19:21, 27:1, 27:20, 27:22, 27:23, 27:25, 28:3, 29:19, 38:17, 40:25, 77:24, 77:25, 78:1, 89:16, 96:16, 96:23, 97:14 discovery's [1] - 78:23 discretion [1] - 51:18 discuss [5] - 13:6, 34:13, 41:6, 54:23, 71:23 discussable [1] -43:13 discussed [5] - 12:9, 21:3, 26:17, 29:9, 41:5 discussing [1] - 14:3 discussion [35] - 29:7, 33:25, 41:15, 48:5, 63:16, 65:19, 68:10, 68:17, 69:3, 70:8, 71:3, 72:2, 74:15, 75:7, 76:8, 76:10, 77:5, 77:11, 77:14, 78:6, 83:10, 83:20, 83:24, 84:4, 85:9, 85:14, 86:2, 86:12, 86:16, 111:8, 111:18, 112:8, 113:5, 114:13, 114:22 discussions [4] -45:13, 45:20, 72:22, 72:23 disingenuous [1] -40:3 disputes [1] - 77:1 dissemination [1] -

37:13

dissolved [3] - 30:15, 5 30:16, 31:9 distinct [1] - 57:17 **distinction** [4] - 46:1, 47:1, 47:13, 47:15 distinguishes [1] -13:17 **DO**[1] - 116:8 **DOCKET** [1] - 1:5 Docket [5] - 3:3, 26:10, 50:16, 50:20, 63:13 document [10] - 14:5, 34:7. 38:15. 49:23. 50:3, 50:6, 53:24, 54:25, 55:4, 68:3 documents [111] -3:23, 3:25, 4:17, 4:24, 7:5, 8:1, 8:14, 9:10, 9:24, 10:19, 11:2, 13:21, 13:25, 14:11, 14:12, 14:15, 14:18, 14:20, 14:23, 15:1, 15:3, 15:23, 15:25, 16:14, 16:18, 16:23, 16:25, 17:2, 17:20, 17:23, 18:1, 18:23, 21:8, 21:17, 23:14, 25:4, 28:17, 28:20, 28:22, 28:24, 29:1, 29:8, 29:15, 29:16, 29:20, 30:10, 30:17, 30:21, 30:24, 31:6, 31:10, 31:13, 31:20, 32:3, 32:4, 32:8, 32:15, 32:16, 32:20, 32:24, 33:12, 34:24, 36:11, 36:18, 36:22, 36:23, 37:11, 37:13, 38:10, 38:22, 39:3, 39:7, 40:4, 40:14, 40:16, 40:22, 42:3, 46:7, 47:10, 47:19, 49:4, 49:15, 50:1, 50:4, 50:5, 50:24, 51:1, 51:25, 52:4, 54:4, 55:2, 62:17, 62:21, 62:22, 63:1, 68:1, 68:4, 68:5, 69:9, 69:11, 69:16, 76:1, 76:4, 76:21, 79:3, 79:9, 79:14, 80:10 dollars [1] - 40:19 domain [6] - 12:20, 30:18, 31:11, 39:19, 39:22, 39:23 done [7] - 3:12, 6:17, 60:10, 70:20, 73:15, 95:24, 100:23

| <b>door</b> [1] - 76:25   | 92:4, 95:10, 96:4,   | entitled [7] - 2:2,  | 19:20, 32:6, 32:7,  | 114:19   |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Dorr [4] - 83:7, 94:21,   | 97:23, 99:19,  | 18:22, 46:24, 54:6,  | 33:19, 33:20, 33:22,  | factual [1] - 5:10   |
| 95:5, 95:6  | 103:21, 105:5,   | 80:18, 82:3, 116:10  | 34:23, 35:21, 35:23,  | failed [1] - 63:21   |
| DORR [2] - 83:11,   | 107:24, 113:15,  | Environmental [3] -  | 41:14, 41:19, 41:25,  | fair [3] - 5:17, 26:9,   |
| 95:6  | 113:16   | 3:8, 19:10, 52:12  | 42:1, 42:2, 42:4,   | 78:11  |
| down [5] - 36:4, 48:21,   | either [2] - 9:5, 104:1  | equitable [2] - 16:19,   | 42:5, 42:15, 47:2,  | fairly [8] - 5:6, 43:14,   |
| 88:22, 89:7, 102:13   | elder [2] - 59:2, 59:3   | 19:17  | 47:9, 64:19, 65:23,   | 55:19, 62:13,  |
| DRA [2] - 105:12,   | elders [6] - 58:2, 58:4,   | erred [1] - 63:20  | 67:23, 68:12, 68:13,  | 100:12, 101:23,  |
| 105:18  | 58:17, 58:24, 59:19  | erroneous [1] - 57:12  | 80:25, 98:21, 106:16  | 103:10, 103:11   |
| draconian [1] - 35:7  | electing [1] - 67:9  | especially [1] - 93:21   | expertise [1] - 40:20   | fairness [3] - 16:20,  |
| drafted [1] - 71:2  | electronic [1] - 42:22   | essentially [7] - 6:17,  | experts [32] - 7:24,  | 53:21, 78:12   |
| drafting [1] - 72:12  | elements [2] - 20:16,  | 11:1, 11:3, 11:16,   | 8:7, 8:10, 8:13, 9:20,  | Faith [2] - 33:16, 66:2  |
| dramatically [1] -  | 20:23  | 24:2, 46:9, 46:23  | 10:18, 10:23, 10:25,  | faith [7] - 16:17,   |
| 96:15   | eligible [2] - 21:16,  | establish [1] - 98:1   | 12:10, 12:16, 23:20,  | 30:17, 30:25, 60:14,   |
| drill [1] - 11:7  | 24:3   | et [2] - 71:21, 78:8   | 32:5, 32:20, 35:16,   | 60:15, 66:14, 84:6   |
| due [13] - 19:1, 19:4,  | <b>ELLISON</b> [6] - 68:18,  | ethical [4] - 32:25,   | 35:18, 35:20, 41:17,  | fall [2] - 94:16, 103:10   |
| 28:18, 79:17, 87:16,  | 80:8, 82:15, 82:19,  | 35:19, 44:23, 69:11  | 41:22, 41:23, 41:24,  | fallen [1] - 5:6   |
| 88:1, 88:6, 90:8,   | 90:5, 105:11   | evaluate [1] - 105:18  | 42:1, 42:7, 67:25,  | Falls [1] - 28:16  |
| 94:1, 107:9, 108:23,  | Ellison [8] - 52:18,   | Evan [1] - 47:3  | 68:5, 68:6, 68:15,  | familiar [3] - 32:12,  |
| 113:7, 113:11   | 68:19, 72:4, 82:19,  | evidence [8] - 6:6,  | 73:13, 92:8, 111:10   | 35:13, 35:17   |
| duly [1] - 116:8  | 90:4, 94:8, 95:7,  | 9:14, 15:22, 90:24,  | explained [1] - 74:3  | family [1] - 89:25   |
| duly-appointed [1] -  | 105:11   | 91:5, 96:23, 101:22,   | explicitly [1] - 70:23  | far [17] - 4:13, 12:12,  |
| 116:8   | <b>Ellison's</b> [2] - 69:8,   | 114:19   | express [1] - 69:12   | 13:8, 17:9, 31:19,   |
| during [4] - 63:24,   | 93:20  | evidentiary [9] -  | extant [1] - 68:2   | 34:2, 41:19, 44:13,  |
| 64:2, 76:15, 90:9   | <b>elsewhere</b> [1] - 84:22   | 12:12, 92:13, 92:15,   | extend [4] - 11:14,   | 46:16, 66:16, 88:14,   |
| _   | embarrassment [1] -  | 94:24, 100:10,   | 35:9, 54:3, 110:6   | 94:24, 97:18,  |
| E   | 13:10  | 101:22, 102:18,  | extended [3] - 9:21,  | 101:14, 105:18,  |
|   | emphasize [1] - 40:15  | 107:4, 112:21  | 66:3, 96:15   | 108:23   |
| e-mail [8] - 29:23,   | employed [1] - 23:15   | exactly [4] - 39:19,   | extending [1] - 111:6<br>extension [1] - 35:21  | far-reaching [1] - 13:8  |
| 30:4, 42:2, 73:11,  | employee [1] - 47:7  | 48:19, 62:6, 76:17   | extent [2] - 14:25,   | fashion [2] - 33:22,   |
| ,   |  |  |   |  |
| 74:10, 75:20, 82:12,  | employees [2] - 23:21,   | examination [2] -  |   | 37:24  |
| 74:10, 75:20, 82:12,<br>108:10  | 24:8   | 18:24, 105:15  | 68:2  | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,   |
|   | 24:8<br>encourage [2] - 45:19,   | 18:24, 105:15<br>examine [1] - 33:17   | 68:2<br>extra [1] - 111:17  | <b>favor</b> [5] - 74:19, 86:4, 86:17, 112:9, 114:23   |
| 108:10  | 24:8<br>encourage [2] - 45:19,<br>46:14  | 18:24, 105:15<br><b>examine</b> [1] - 33:17<br><b>example</b> [6] - 37:2,  | 68:2<br>extra [1] - 111:17<br>extraordinary [1] -   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,  |
| 108:10<br>e-mailed [1] - 87:10  | 24:8<br>encourage [2] - 45:19,<br>46:14<br>encouraged [1] -  | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18,  | 68:2<br>extra [1] - 111:17<br>extraordinary [1] -<br>31:1   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,<br>40:24   |
| 108:10<br>e-mailed [1] - 87:10<br>Eagle [3] - 33:16,  | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14   | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14   | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25,   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,<br>40:24<br>Federal [2] - 41:21,   |
| 108:10<br><b>e-mailed</b> [1] - 87:10<br><b>Eagle</b> [3] - 33:16,<br>66:2, 73:14   | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9,   | 18:24, 105:15  examine [1] - 33:17  example [6] - 37:2,  47:3, 47:12, 49:18,  62:24, 87:14  examples [1] - 17:3  | 68:2<br>extra [1] - 111:17<br>extraordinary [1] -<br>31:1   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,<br>40:24<br>Federal [2] - 41:21,<br>41:23  |
| 108:10<br>e-mailed [1] - 87:10<br>Eagle [3] - 33:16,<br>66:2, 73:14<br>early [1] - 97:24  | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11   | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1,  | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15  | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,<br>40:24<br>Federal [2] - 41:21,<br>41:23<br>feedback [1] - 19:12  |
| 108:10  e-mailed [1] - 87:10  Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14  early [1] - 97:24  easily [1] - 72:16   | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6   | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3   | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6,   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,<br>40:24<br>Federal [2] - 41:21,<br>41:23<br>feedback [1] - 19:12<br>fellow [1] - 10:5   |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3  | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6,   | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24  | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,<br>40:24<br>Federal [2] - 41:21,<br>41:23<br>feedback [1] - 19:12<br>fellow [1] - 10:5<br>felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18,   |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5,   | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25  | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7  | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15  | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,<br>40:24<br>Federal [2] - 41:21,<br>41:23<br>feedback [1] - 19:12<br>fellow [1] - 10:5<br>felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18,<br>65:15  |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7  | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18  | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4  | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,<br>40:24<br>Federal [2] - 41:21,<br>41:23<br>feedback [1] - 19:12<br>fellow [1] - 10:5<br>felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18,   |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5  | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25  | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7  | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25  | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,<br>40:24<br>Federal [2] - 41:21,<br>41:23<br>feedback [1] - 19:12<br>fellow [1] - 10:5<br>felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18,<br>65:15<br>fence [1] - 71:16   |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5,   | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16,   | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1,   | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10  | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,<br>40:24<br>Federal [2] - 41:21,<br>41:23<br>feedback [1] - 19:12<br>fellow [1] - 10:5<br>felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18,<br>65:15<br>fence [1] - 71:16<br>few [4] - 9:19, 13:24,   |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11,   | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16  | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1   | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23,   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,<br>40:24<br>Federal [2] - 41:21,<br>41:23<br>feedback [1] - 19:12<br>fellow [1] - 10:5<br>felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18,<br>65:15<br>fence [1] - 71:16<br>few [4] - 9:19, 13:24,<br>73:3, 90:22  |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8,  | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16 engagement [1] - 44:3  | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1 execute [2] - 21:12,  | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:9, 11:10, 12:16,  | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4,<br>86:17, 112:9, 114:23<br>federal [2] - 33:7,<br>40:24<br>Federal [2] - 41:21,<br>41:23<br>feedback [1] - 19:12<br>fellow [1] - 10:5<br>felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18,<br>65:15<br>fence [1] - 71:16<br>few [4] - 9:19, 13:24,<br>73:3, 90:22<br>FIEGEN [28] - 1:13,   |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8, 99:20, 103:23,   | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16 engagement [1] - 44:3 engaging [1] - 6:17  | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1 execute [2] - 21:12, 64:21  | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:9, 11:10, 12:16, 12:20, 13:17, 17:19,   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4, 86:17, 112:9, 114:23 federal [2] - 33:7, 40:24 Federal [2] - 41:21, 41:23 feedback [1] - 19:12 fellow [1] - 10:5 felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18, 65:15 fence [1] - 71:16 few [4] - 9:19, 13:24, 73:3, 90:22 FIEGEN [28] - 1:13, 68:12, 68:16, 74:24,   |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8, 99:20, 103:23, 104:2, 104:21,  | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16 engagement [1] - 44:3 engaging [1] - 6:17 Engineer [1] - 99:7  | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1 execute [2] - 21:12, 64:21 executes [1] - 54:7  | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:9, 11:10, 12:16, 12:20, 13:17, 17:19, 17:21, 18:4, 19:2,  | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4, 86:17, 112:9, 114:23 federal [2] - 33:7, 40:24 Federal [2] - 41:21, 41:23 feedback [1] - 19:12 fellow [1] - 10:5 felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18, 65:15 fence [1] - 71:16 few [4] - 9:19, 13:24, 73:3, 90:22 FIEGEN [28] - 1:13, 68:12, 68:16, 74:24, 77:17, 86:9, 86:22,   |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8, 99:20, 103:23, 104:2, 104:21, 105:4, 105:6,  | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16 engagement [1] - 44:3 engaging [1] - 6:17 Engineer [1] - 99:7 engineer [1] - 7:19  | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1 execute [2] - 21:12, 64:21 executes [1] - 54:7 executive [1] - 57:1   | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:9, 11:10, 12:16, 12:20, 13:17, 17:19, 17:21, 18:4, 19:2, 21:20, 40:7, 41:14,  | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4, 86:17, 112:9, 114:23 federal [2] - 33:7, 40:24 Federal [2] - 41:21, 41:23 feedback [1] - 19:12 fellow [1] - 10:5 felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18, 65:15 fence [1] - 71:16 few [4] - 9:19, 13:24, 73:3, 90:22 FIEGEN [28] - 1:13, 68:12, 68:16, 74:24, 77:17, 86:9, 86:22, 97:9, 97:19, 102:17, 103:3, 103:18, 104:13, 105:2,  |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8, 99:20, 103:23, 104:2, 104:21, 105:4, 105:6, 106:18, 108:6,   | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16 engagement [1] - 44:3 engaging [1] - 6:17 Engineer [1] - 99:7 engineer [1] - 7:19 engineering [3] - 40:20, 47:6, 104:18 engineers [1] - 7:24   | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1 execute [2] - 21:12, 64:21 executes [1] - 54:7 executive [1] - 57:1 exercise [1] - 76:9   | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:9, 11:10, 12:16, 12:20, 13:17, 17:19, 17:21, 18:4, 19:2, 21:20, 40:7, 41:14, 41:19, 42:10, 42:13,   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4, 86:17, 112:9, 114:23 federal [2] - 33:7, 40:24 Federal [2] - 41:21, 41:23 feedback [1] - 19:12 fellow [1] - 10:5 felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18, 65:15 fence [1] - 71:16 few [4] - 9:19, 13:24, 73:3, 90:22 FIEGEN [28] - 1:13, 68:12, 68:16, 74:24, 77:17, 86:9, 86:22, 97:9, 97:19, 102:17, 103:3, 103:18, 104:13, 105:2, 106:11, 107:7,   |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8, 99:20, 103:23, 104:2, 104:21, 105:4, 105:6, 106:18, 108:6, 108:22, 109:18,   | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16 engagement [1] - 44:3 engaging [1] - 6:17 Engineer [1] - 99:7 engineer [1] - 7:19 engineering [3] - 40:20, 47:6, 104:18 engineers [1] - 7:24 enter [3] - 8:17, 9:9,  | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1 execute [2] - 21:12, 64:21 executes [1] - 54:7 executive [1] - 57:1 exercise [1] - 76:9 exhibit [4] - 27:24, 93:8, 93:12, 113:1 Exhibit [1] - 8:7   | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:9, 11:10, 12:16, 12:20, 13:17, 17:19, 17:21, 18:4, 19:2, 21:20, 40:7, 41:14, 41:19, 42:10, 42:13, 42:15, 42:20, 42:24,  | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4, 86:17, 112:9, 114:23 federal [2] - 33:7, 40:24 Federal [2] - 41:21, 41:23 feedback [1] - 19:12 fellow [1] - 10:5 felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18, 65:15 fence [1] - 71:16 few [4] - 9:19, 13:24, 73:3, 90:22 FIEGEN [28] - 1:13, 68:12, 68:16, 74:24, 77:17, 86:9, 86:22, 97:9, 97:19, 102:17, 103:3, 103:18, 104:13, 105:2, 106:11, 107:7, 107:10, 107:13,   |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8, 99:20, 103:23, 104:2, 104:21, 105:4, 105:6, 106:18, 108:6, 108:22, 109:18, 110:2, 110:10,  | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16 engagement [1] - 44:3 engaging [1] - 6:17 Engineer [1] - 99:7 engineer [1] - 7:19 engineering [3] - 40:20, 47:6, 104:18 engineers [1] - 7:24 enter [3] - 8:17, 9:9, 36:22  | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1 execute [2] - 21:12, 64:21 executes [1] - 54:7 executive [1] - 57:1 exercise [1] - 76:9 exhibit [4] - 27:24, 93:8, 93:12, 113:1 Exhibit [1] - 8:7 exhibits [1] - 15:22  | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:9, 11:10, 12:16, 12:20, 13:17, 17:19, 17:21, 18:4, 19:2, 21:20, 40:7, 41:14, 41:19, 42:10, 42:13,   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4, 86:17, 112:9, 114:23 federal [2] - 33:7, 40:24 Federal [2] - 41:21, 41:23 feedback [1] - 19:12 fellow [1] - 10:5 felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18, 65:15 fence [1] - 71:16 few [4] - 9:19, 13:24, 73:3, 90:22 FIEGEN [28] - 1:13, 68:12, 68:16, 74:24, 77:17, 86:9, 86:22, 97:9, 97:19, 102:17, 103:3, 103:18, 104:13, 105:2, 106:11, 107:7, 107:10, 107:13, 107:19, 108:20,   |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8, 99:20, 103:23, 104:2, 104:21, 105:4, 105:6, 106:18, 108:6, 108:22, 109:18, 110:2, 110:10, 110:15, 110:18,  | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16 engagement [1] - 44:3 engaging [1] - 6:17 Engineer [1] - 99:7 engineer [1] - 7:19 engineering [3] - 40:20, 47:6, 104:18 engineers [1] - 7:24 enter [3] - 8:17, 9:9, 36:22 entered [3] - 5:14,  | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1 execute [2] - 21:12, 64:21 executes [1] - 54:7 executive [1] - 57:1 exercise [1] - 76:9 exhibit [4] - 27:24, 93:8, 93:12, 113:1 Exhibit [1] - 8:7 exhibits [1] - 15:22 expand [1] - 8:17  | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:9, 11:10, 12:16, 12:20, 13:17, 17:19, 17:21, 18:4, 19:2, 21:20, 40:7, 41:14, 41:19, 42:10, 42:13, 42:15, 42:20, 42:24, 44:15, 47:2, 47:4,   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4, 86:17, 112:9, 114:23 federal [2] - 33:7, 40:24 Federal [2] - 41:21, 41:23 feedback [1] - 19:12 fellow [1] - 10:5 felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18, 65:15 fence [1] - 71:16 few [4] - 9:19, 13:24, 73:3, 90:22 FIEGEN [28] - 1:13, 68:12, 68:16, 74:24, 77:17, 86:9, 86:22, 97:9, 97:19, 102:17, 103:3, 103:18, 104:13, 105:2, 106:11, 107:7, 107:10, 107:13, 107:19, 108:20, 110:25, 111:20,   |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8, 99:20, 103:23, 104:2, 104:21, 105:4, 105:6, 106:18, 108:6, 108:22, 109:18, 110:2, 110:10, 110:15, 110:18, 113:17, 113:25,                            | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16 engagement [1] - 44:3 engaging [1] - 6:17 Engineer [1] - 99:7 engineer [1] - 7:19 engineering [3] - 40:20, 47:6, 104:18 engineers [1] - 7:24 enter [3] - 8:17, 9:9, 36:22 entered [3] - 5:14, 10:12, 28:20                                       | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1 execute [2] - 21:12, 64:21 executes [1] - 54:7 executive [1] - 57:1 exercise [1] - 76:9 exhibit [4] - 27:24, 93:8, 93:12, 113:1 Exhibit [1] - 8:7 exhibits [1] - 15:22 expand [1] - 8:17 expansion [1] - 10:14                        | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:9, 11:10, 12:16, 12:20, 13:17, 17:19, 17:21, 18:4, 19:2, 21:20, 40:7, 41:14, 41:19, 42:10, 42:13, 42:15, 42:20, 42:24, 44:15, 47:2, 47:4, 47:7, 49:15, 53:8,  | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4, 86:17, 112:9, 114:23 federal [2] - 33:7, 40:24 Federal [2] - 41:21, 41:23 feedback [1] - 19:12 fellow [1] - 10:5 felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18, 65:15 fence [1] - 71:16 few [4] - 9:19, 13:24, 73:3, 90:22 FIEGEN [28] - 1:13, 68:12, 68:16, 74:24, 77:17, 86:9, 86:22, 97:9, 97:19, 102:17, 103:3, 103:18, 104:13, 105:2, 106:11, 107:7, 107:10, 107:13, 107:19, 108:20, 110:25, 111:20, 112:3, 112:15,                              |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8, 99:20, 103:23, 104:2, 104:21, 105:4, 105:6, 106:18, 108:6, 108:22, 109:18, 110:2, 110:10, 110:15, 110:18, 113:17, 113:25, 114:4                      | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16 engagement [1] - 44:3 engaging [1] - 6:17 Engineer [1] - 99:7 engineer [1] - 7:19 engineering [3] - 40:20, 47:6, 104:18 engineers [1] - 7:24 enter [3] - 8:17, 9:9, 36:22 entered [3] - 5:14, 10:12, 28:20 enters [1] - 54:7                     | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1 execute [2] - 21:12, 64:21 executes [1] - 54:7 executive [1] - 57:1 exercise [1] - 76:9 exhibit [4] - 27:24, 93:8, 93:12, 113:1 Exhibit [1] - 8:7 exhibits [1] - 15:22 expand [1] - 8:17 expansion [1] - 10:14 expansively [1] - 11:4 | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:9, 11:10, 12:16, 12:20, 13:17, 17:19, 17:21, 18:4, 19:2, 21:20, 40:7, 41:14, 41:19, 42:10, 42:13, 42:15, 42:20, 42:24, 44:15, 47:2, 47:4, 47:7, 49:15, 53:8, 54:10, 63:22, 66:22,   | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4, 86:17, 112:9, 114:23 federal [2] - 33:7, 40:24 Federal [2] - 41:21, 41:23 feedback [1] - 19:12 fellow [1] - 10:5 felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18, 65:15 fence [1] - 71:16 few [4] - 9:19, 13:24, 73:3, 90:22 FIEGEN [28] - 1:13, 68:12, 68:16, 74:24, 77:17, 86:9, 86:22, 97:9, 97:19, 102:17, 103:3, 103:18, 104:13, 105:2, 106:11, 107:7, 107:10, 107:13, 107:19, 108:20, 110:25, 111:20, 112:3, 112:15, 113:4, 113:13,               |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8, 99:20, 103:23, 104:2, 104:21, 105:4, 105:6, 106:18, 108:6, 108:22, 109:18, 110:2, 110:10, 110:15, 110:18, 113:17, 113:25, 114:4 Edwards [20] - 1:16, | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16 engagement [1] - 44:3 engaging [1] - 6:17 Engineer [1] - 99:7 engineer [1] - 7:19 engineering [3] - 40:20, 47:6, 104:18 engineers [1] - 7:24 enter [3] - 8:17, 9:9, 36:22 entered [3] - 5:14, 10:12, 28:20 enters [1] - 54:7 entire [4] - 19:23, | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1 execute [2] - 21:12, 64:21 executes [1] - 54:7 executive [1] - 57:1 exercise [1] - 76:9 exhibit [4] - 27:24, 93:8, 93:12, 113:1 Exhibit [1] - 8:7 exhibits [1] - 15:22 expand [1] - 8:17 expansion [1] - 10:14 expense [1] - 13:11    | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:9, 11:10, 12:16, 12:20, 13:17, 17:19, 17:21, 18:4, 19:2, 21:20, 40:7, 41:14, 41:19, 42:10, 42:13, 42:15, 42:20, 42:24, 44:15, 47:2, 47:4, 47:7, 49:15, 53:8, 54:10, 63:22, 66:22, 69:20, 69:22, 73:18,                    | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4, 86:17, 112:9, 114:23 federal [2] - 33:7, 40:24 Federal [2] - 41:21, 41:23 feedback [1] - 19:12 fellow [1] - 10:5 felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18, 65:15 fence [1] - 71:16 few [4] - 9:19, 13:24, 73:3, 90:22 FIEGEN [28] - 1:13, 68:12, 68:16, 74:24, 77:17, 86:9, 86:22, 97:9, 97:19, 102:17, 103:3, 103:18, 104:13, 105:2, 106:11, 107:7, 107:10, 107:13, 107:19, 108:20, 110:25, 111:20, 112:3, 112:15, 113:4, 113:13, 114:12, 115:3 |
| 108:10 e-mailed [1] - 87:10 Eagle [3] - 33:16, 66:2, 73:14 early [1] - 97:24 easily [1] - 72:16 East [1] - 2:3 easy [1] - 43:14 echo [6] - 12:5, 19:13, 19:14, 19:23, 20:5, 69:7 Ed [1] - 61:5 EDWARDS [24] - 26:5, 27:21, 87:6, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8, 99:20, 103:23, 104:2, 104:21, 105:4, 105:6, 106:18, 108:6, 108:22, 109:18, 110:2, 110:10, 110:15, 110:18, 113:17, 113:25, 114:4                      | 24:8 encourage [2] - 45:19, 46:14 encouraged [1] - 44:14 end [5] - 6:15, 22:9, 56:1, 84:7, 88:11 Endangered [1] - 17:6 endorse [3] - 32:6, 32:21, 35:25 engage [1] - 8:18 engaged [3] - 28:16, 42:11, 42:16 engagement [1] - 44:3 engaging [1] - 6:17 Engineer [1] - 99:7 engineer [1] - 7:19 engineering [3] - 40:20, 47:6, 104:18 engineers [1] - 7:24 enter [3] - 8:17, 9:9, 36:22 entered [3] - 5:14, 10:12, 28:20 enters [1] - 54:7                     | 18:24, 105:15 examine [1] - 33:17 example [6] - 37:2, 47:3, 47:12, 49:18, 62:24, 87:14 examples [1] - 17:3 except [3] - 8:23, 85:1, 85:3 exceptions [1] - 66:24 exclude [1] - 104:7 excludes [1] - 80:4 excuse [4] - 44:1, 82:17, 101:7, 107:1 execute [2] - 21:12, 64:21 executes [1] - 54:7 executive [1] - 57:1 exercise [1] - 76:9 exhibit [4] - 27:24, 93:8, 93:12, 113:1 Exhibit [1] - 8:7 exhibits [1] - 15:22 expand [1] - 8:17 expansion [1] - 10:14 expansively [1] - 11:4 | 68:2 extra [1] - 111:17 extraordinary [1] - 31:1 extremely [5] - 24:25, 25:4, 98:17, 103:6, 105:15 eyes [2] - 62:9, 62:12  F  face [1] - 30:25 faced [1] - 77:10 fact [37] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:9, 11:10, 12:16, 12:20, 13:17, 17:19, 17:21, 18:4, 19:2, 21:20, 40:7, 41:14, 41:19, 42:10, 42:13, 42:15, 42:20, 42:24, 44:15, 47:2, 47:4, 47:7, 49:15, 53:8, 54:10, 63:22, 66:22, 69:20, 69:22, 73:18, 78:6, 79:4, 98:11, | favor [5] - 74:19, 86:4, 86:17, 112:9, 114:23 federal [2] - 33:7, 40:24 Federal [2] - 41:21, 41:23 feedback [1] - 19:12 fellow [1] - 10:5 felt [3] - 27:11, 48:18, 65:15 fence [1] - 71:16 few [4] - 9:19, 13:24, 73:3, 90:22 FIEGEN [28] - 1:13, 68:12, 68:16, 74:24, 77:17, 86:9, 86:22, 97:9, 97:19, 102:17, 103:3, 103:18, 104:13, 105:2, 106:11, 107:7, 107:10, 107:13, 107:19, 108:20, 110:25, 111:20, 112:3, 112:15, 113:4, 113:13,               |

10:22, 11:10, 17:20,

86:9, 86:21, 86:22,

52:17

| 00.0 07.0 400.40  |
|---|
| 90:6, 97:8, 102:16,   |
| 107:2, 107:23,  |
| 110:23, 111:19,   |
| 112:14, 112:15,   |
| 113:3, 114:10,  |
| 115:2, 115:3  |
| Fiegen's [3] - 110:7,   |
| 111:5, 112:18   |
| figure [2] - 102:12,  |
| •   |
| 107:3   |
| figured [4] - 91:22,  |
| 92:1, 99:16, 106:18   |
| file [3] - 56:1, 88:3,  |
| 95:18   |
| filed [26] - 3:15, 10:2,  |
| 27:14, 27:18, 28:13,  |
| 87:18, 88:9, 89:13,   |
|   |
| 90:22, 93:5, 93:7,  |
| 93:9, 95:14, 96:13,   |
| 102:20, 102:21,   |
| 103:11, 103:12,   |
| 107:5, 112:23,  |
| 112:25, 113:1,  |
| 113:2, 113:10,  |
| 113:21  |
| files [2] - 14:24, 65:8   |
|   |
| filing [3] - 14:9, 97:23,   |
| 98:19   |
| filings [1] - 108:21  |
| filter [1] - 17:1   |
| finagling [1] - 59:17   |
| final [3] - 52:11, 102:3,   |
| 103:12  |
| finally [4] - 5:9, 6:9,   |
| 18:20, 24:21  |
|   |
| fine [3] - 33:10, 33:13,  |
| 42:5  |
| finger [1] - 60:11  |
| finish [1] - 77:14  |
| finished [1] - 91:21  |
| firm [1] - 98:1   |
|   |
|   |
| firm's [1] - 23:18  |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,  |
| <b>firm's</b> [1] - 23:18 <b>firms</b> [2] - 32:23, 65:24   |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,<br>65:24<br>first [29] - 15:25,  |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,<br>65:24<br>first [29] - 15:25,<br>20:10, 22:25, 23:15,  |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,<br>65:24<br>first [29] - 15:25,<br>20:10, 22:25, 23:15,<br>27:15, 28:10, 31:8,   |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,<br>65:24<br>first [29] - 15:25,<br>20:10, 22:25, 23:15,  |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,<br>65:24<br>first [29] - 15:25,<br>20:10, 22:25, 23:15,<br>27:15, 28:10, 31:8,   |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,<br>65:24<br>first [29] - 15:25,<br>20:10, 22:25, 23:15,<br>27:15, 28:10, 31:8,<br>33:6, 34:22, 44:12,<br>54:7, 56:16, 62:7,  |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,<br>65:24<br>first [29] - 15:25,<br>20:10, 22:25, 23:15,<br>27:15, 28:10, 31:8,<br>33:6, 34:22, 44:12,<br>54:7, 56:16, 62:7,<br>76:9, 77:17, 77:19,   |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,<br>65:24<br>first [29] - 15:25,<br>20:10, 22:25, 23:15,<br>27:15, 28:10, 31:8,<br>33:6, 34:22, 44:12,<br>54:7, 56:16, 62:7,<br>76:9, 77:17, 77:19,<br>80:10, 81:1, 83:11,  |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,<br>65:24<br>first [29] - 15:25,<br>20:10, 22:25, 23:15,<br>27:15, 28:10, 31:8,<br>33:6, 34:22, 44:12,<br>54:7, 56:16, 62:7,<br>76:9, 77:17, 77:19,<br>80:10, 81:1, 83:11,<br>85:17, 87:12, 89:2,   |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,<br>65:24<br>first [29] - 15:25,<br>20:10, 22:25, 23:15,<br>27:15, 28:10, 31:8,<br>33:6, 34:22, 44:12,<br>54:7, 56:16, 62:7,<br>76:9, 77:17, 77:19,<br>80:10, 81:1, 83:11,<br>85:17, 87:12, 89:2,<br>89:23, 89:25, 91:13,   |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,<br>65:24<br>first [29] - 15:25,<br>20:10, 22:25, 23:15,<br>27:15, 28:10, 31:8,<br>33:6, 34:22, 44:12,<br>54:7, 56:16, 62:7,<br>76:9, 77:17, 77:19,<br>80:10, 81:1, 83:11,<br>85:17, 87:12, 89:2,<br>89:23, 89:25, 91:13,<br>94:13, 95:22,  |
| firm's [1] - 23:18<br>firms [2] - 32:23,<br>65:24<br>first [29] - 15:25,<br>20:10, 22:25, 23:15,<br>27:15, 28:10, 31:8,<br>33:6, 34:22, 44:12,<br>54:7, 56:16, 62:7,<br>76:9, 77:17, 77:19,<br>80:10, 81:1, 83:11,<br>85:17, 87:12, 89:2,<br>89:23, 89:25, 91:13,<br>94:13, 95:22,<br>110:16, 110:17                            |
| firm's [1] - 23:18 firms [2] - 32:23, 65:24 first [29] - 15:25, 20:10, 22:25, 23:15, 27:15, 28:10, 31:8, 33:6, 34:22, 44:12, 54:7, 56:16, 62:7, 76:9, 77:17, 77:19, 80:10, 81:1, 83:11, 85:17, 87:12, 89:2, 89:23, 89:25, 91:13, 94:13, 95:22, 110:16, 110:17 fit [1] - 105:19  |
| firm's [1] - 23:18 firms [2] - 32:23, 65:24 first [29] - 15:25, 20:10, 22:25, 23:15, 27:15, 28:10, 31:8, 33:6, 34:22, 44:12, 54:7, 56:16, 62:7, 76:9, 77:17, 77:19, 80:10, 81:1, 83:11, 85:17, 87:12, 89:2, 89:23, 89:25, 91:13, 94:13, 95:22, 110:16, 110:17 fit [1] - 105:19 five [12] - 90:17,                               |
| firm's [1] - 23:18 firms [2] - 32:23, 65:24 first [29] - 15:25, 20:10, 22:25, 23:15, 27:15, 28:10, 31:8, 33:6, 34:22, 44:12, 54:7, 56:16, 62:7, 76:9, 77:17, 77:19, 80:10, 81:1, 83:11, 85:17, 87:12, 89:2, 89:23, 89:25, 91:13, 94:13, 95:22, 110:16, 110:17 fit [1] - 105:19 five [12] - 90:17, 103:6, 103:7,                 |
| firm's [1] - 23:18 firms [2] - 32:23, 65:24 first [29] - 15:25, 20:10, 22:25, 23:15, 27:15, 28:10, 31:8, 33:6, 34:22, 44:12, 54:7, 56:16, 62:7, 76:9, 77:17, 77:19, 80:10, 81:1, 83:11, 85:17, 87:12, 89:2, 89:23, 89:25, 91:13, 94:13, 95:22, 110:16, 110:17 fit [1] - 105:19 five [12] - 90:17, 103:6, 103:7, 104:16, 104:19, |
| firm's [1] - 23:18 firms [2] - 32:23, 65:24 first [29] - 15:25, 20:10, 22:25, 23:15, 27:15, 28:10, 31:8, 33:6, 34:22, 44:12, 54:7, 56:16, 62:7, 76:9, 77:17, 77:19, 80:10, 81:1, 83:11, 85:17, 87:12, 89:2, 89:23, 89:25, 91:13, 94:13, 95:22, 110:16, 110:17 fit [1] - 105:19 five [12] - 90:17, 103:6, 103:7,                 |

| 112:4, 113:19,<br>113:21, 113:24,                   |
|---|
| 114:1<br><b>fix</b> [2] - 51:19, 73:10              |
| flat [1] - 5:6                                      |
| flawed [1] - 42:23<br>flaws [1] - 8:2               |
| flexibility [1] - 11:5                              |
| flexible [2] - 44:18,<br>91:10                      |
| flips [1] - 46:20                                   |
| flood [1] - 24:4<br>floodgates [1] - 23:2           |
| flow [1] - 98:6                                     |
| flying [1] - 67:4<br>folders [1] - 65:8             |
| folks [13] - 3:15, 7:24,                            |
| 14:21, 63:9, 66:5,<br>75:24, 76:21, 88:23,          |
| 96:16, 96:22, 99:13,<br>109:2, 114:18               |
| follow [4] - 9:7, 25:25,                            |
| 97:22, 109:23<br>followed [4] - 4:4,                |
| 5:13, 5:20, 51:10                                   |
| <b>following</b> [8] - 6:12, 31:25, 32:2, 49:25,    |
| 50:24, 53:6, 73:22,                                 |
| 90:17<br><b>FOR</b> [1] - 1:5                       |
| foresee [1] - 97:3<br>formally [1] - 107:22         |
| former [1] - 99:6                                   |
| formulate [1] - 102:3<br>forward [3] - 45:19,       |
| 56:2, 87:3  |
| <b>four</b> [8] - 14:18, 14:24, 73:5, 89:23, 90:15, |
| 91:2, 95:24, 103:7                                  |
| fracas [1] - 45:23<br>frame [3] - 98:22,            |
| 98:23, 102:13                                       |
| frames [1] - 98:12<br>frankly [7] - 6:14,           |
| 40:1, 44:17, 45:11,<br>52:23, 66:16, 73:17          |
| free [1] - 30:19                                    |
| <b>Friday</b> [7] - 29:7, 29:24, 29:25, 30:2,       |
| 31:25, 56:3, 90:6                                   |
| friendly [1] - 111:4<br>front [11] - 6:15,          |
| 15:15, 32:13, 37:18,<br>44:25, 50:12, 60:3,         |
| 74:25, 50:12, 60:3,<br>74:2, 74:14, 84:9,           |
| 92:9 <b>FSEIS</b> [2] - 18:6, 18:11                 |
| FTP [2] - 30:3, 30:11                               |
| full [2] - 88:17, 90:17                             |

fully [2] - 61:18, 74:10

future [3] - 45:23, 55:25, 93:13 G game [1] - 106:4 gander [1] - 106:3 **GARY** [1] - 1:13 gates [1] - 24:4 general [4] - 20:8, 25:8, 32:16, 53:25 General [1] - 28:8 generally [3] - 13:16, 23:17, 24:24 generically [1] - 99:16 germane [1] - 15:2 gigabytes [1] - 65:9 given [11] - 16:9, 46:6, 46:7, 46:8, 50:25, 73:18, 80:24, 97:2, 104:21, 104:23, 114:18 Google [1] - 59:12 goose [1] - 106:2 **GOUGH** [5] - 94:12, 94:15, 104:3, 104:12, 110:19 Gough [4] - 89:8, 94:12, 104:3, 110:19 Gough's [1] - 91:8 govern [1] - 68:2 government [3] - 61:9, 61:10, 64:7 grandkids [1] - 59:1 grandma [1] - 59:1 grant [8] - 3:12, 37:10, 46:19, 53:1, 54:17, 61:19, 64:8, 65:18 granted [5] - 7:7, 18:9, 19:1, 21:9, 76:5 great [3] - 20:15, 47:3, 53:2 Greg [1] - 1:16 ground [3] - 43:5, 63:3, 63:5 grounds [3] - 5:8, 6:23, 9:5 group [1] - 72:13 groups [1] - 37:2 guess [12] - 43:7, 45:25, 46:24, 65:21, 66:21, 90:5, 90:16, 94:8, 95:12, 97:17, 114:15, 114:17 guidelines [1] - 44:23 gushing [1] - 24:5

Gustafson [1] - 1:18

57:15, 57:19, 58:6,

guys [14] - 56:17,

58:7, 58:15, 59:6, 59:22, 60:3, 60:4, 60:5, 61:9, 61:12 guys' [1] - 58:12

# Н

hac [3] - 34:25, 52:16, 109:14 half [5] - 74:1, 74:8, 74:16, 75:1, 96:19 half-hour [2] - 74:1, 74:8 hand [3] - 9:6, 21:20, 101:16 handicapped [1] -81:20 handle [5] - 5:2, 43:11, 60:12, 61:24, 62:3 handled [3] - 62:12, 101:22, 112:2 handwriting [1] -81:21 hang [1] - 44:3 Hanson [15] - 39:15, 63:11, 65:20, 72:25, 73:22, 74:21, 76:11, 85:11, 86:6, 86:15, 86:19, 90:12, 98:15, 112:12, 114:25 **HANSON** [42] - 1:13, 63:12, 63:17, 66:7, 67:6, 67:8, 70:11, 71:5, 71:22, 73:1, 73:24, 74:13, 74:22, 75:8, 75:13, 77:6, 85:16, 86:1, 86:7, 86:20, 97:21, 98:16, 99:2, 99:8, 101:7, 101:18, 102:5, 102:11, 103:1, 107:1, 107:9, 107:12, 107:16, 107:21, 108:9, 108:12, 112:13, 113:6, 114:3, 114:5, 114:8, 115:1 Hanson's [2] - 70:9, 75:4 happy [7] - 35:25, 36:18, 55:6, 72:14, 79:18, 102:15, 114:16 hard [1] - 58:14 Harding [1] - 39:16 harmful [1] - 111:17 hashed [1] - 45:18

Hazardous [1] - 7:21

**head** [2] - 50:7, 113:18 7 heads [1] - 60:5 headsmen [1] - 61:6 hear [14] - 44:16, 55:14, 57:3, 58:15, 59:20, 60:4, 70:12, 79:25, 84:5, 88:23, 91:12, 105:17, 107:15, 109:19 heard [12] - 44:12, 58:8, 66:16, 71:8, 71:14, 87:24, 88:12, 101:23, 102:2, 102:19, 107:7, 112:24 hearing [40] - 7:7, 7:15, 9:2, 17:24, 19:23, 25:19, 28:14, 33:24, 34:5, 37:16, 39:17, 44:5, 45:10, 56:8, 59:5, 59:6, 61:23, 62:5, 78:9, 78:25, 87:9, 89:9, 89:14, 90:23, 91:5, 92:13, 92:15, 92:17, 93:4. 93:10. 94:7. 94:24. 102:18. 103:6, 107:4, 111:6, 112:10, 112:21, 113:20 hearings [3] - 36:17, 64:4, 96:9 heartened [1] - 44:17 held [1] - 2:1 help [9] - 7:25, 9:1, 11:1, 24:4, 27:20, 66:24, 72:14, 109:18 helping [1] - 7:18 helps [1] - 109:22 hereby [1] - 86:25 HEREBY [1] - 116:8 herein [3] - 76:6, 85:23, 85:25 high [7] - 49:19, 49:23, 50:2, 50:7, 50:19, 50:21, 51:3 highly [3] - 25:9, 46:13, 65:3 HILDING [19] - 55:13, 55:16, 79:24, 80:2, 82:6, 82:24, 83:5, 91:12, 91:25, 92:3, 92:14, 92:20, 93:3, 93:15, 99:9, 99:13, 99:25, 106:6, 106:9 Hilding [11] - 55:13, 79:24, 82:6, 82:24,

91:11, 92:12, 93:1,

99:9, 100:4, 106:6,

106:8

Hilding's [1] - 81:14 Historic [4] - 14:11, 14:14, 60:7, 66:1 hold [1] - 26:2 Honor [1] - 3:16 hope [2] - 15:11, 19:22 hopes [1] - 109:22 hoping [1] - 87:21 horse [2] - 36:8, 62:21 hospital [2] - 58:10, 60:25 Hot [1] - 39:16 hour [4] - 74:1, 74:8, 74:16, 75:1 hours [2] - 73:3, 73:5 house [1] - 6:10 **HP09-001** [2] - 1:5, 3:4 HP14-001 [2] - 1:4, 3:1 **HPO** [9] - 33:3, 33:7. 33:12, 39:4, 39:6, 40:4, 60:6, 60:7 **HPOs** [1] - 39:25 hydrocarbon [1] -63:13

idea [2] - 31:9, 90:15 identified [1] - 49:25 identify [4] - 4:23, 32:6, 41:24, 68:5 IEN [1] - 79:12 ignore [2] - 31:16, 31:17 Ihanktonwan [1] -60:15 imagine [1] - 73:6 **imminently** [1] - 43:13 Impact [1] - 52:12 implementation [1] -34:17 import [1] - 8:1 importance [3] -28:25, 53:5, 53:22 important [11] - 5:23, 26:25, 30:22, 35:11, 44:20, 48:19, 65:15, 90:9, 103:6, 105:16 **importantly** [1] - 76:21 imposed [5] - 16:17, 31:14, 31:19, 40:6, 53:19 **imposes** [1] - 23:10 improperly [1] - 17:4 **IN** [2] - 1:4, 1:5 in-camera [2] - 26:23, 26:25

in-house [1] - 6:10

107:22 include [11] - 8:11, 11:10, 11:12, 43:9, 66:5, 66:8, 66:19, 80:15, 83:14, 110:7, 111:6 included [7] - 30:10, 62:17, 79:8, 79:12, 82:5, 83:19, 83:20 includes [1] - 84:13 including [3] - 29:17, 41:14, 64:18 incorporate [4] -48:16, 96:17, 102:6, 114:8 incorporated [1] -82:22 increase [1] - 37:21 incredible [1] - 8:25 incredibly [2] - 87:20, 105:16 indicate [2] - 3:21, 103:21 indicated [2] - 8:7, 52:15 indicates [2] - 22:12, 52:9 Indigenous [2] - 3:8, 19:10 individual [9] - 6:19, 46:9. 56:14. 58:8. 58:19, 58:24, 59:4, 61:18, 61:24 individually [1] -78:14 individuals [9] -17:11, 22:23, 23:1, 23:7, 23:14, 24:2, 24:18, 46:22 inferred [1] - 26:16 information [93] -4:22, 5:10, 6:6, 6:14, 11:24, 12:11, 12:15, 13:14, 17:4, 17:13, 18:7, 19:19, 21:24, 22:7, 22:14, 22:15, 22:16, 22:19, 23:2, 24:14, 24:19, 24:23, 25:2, 25:10, 25:15, 25:18, 26:8, 26:19, 27:1, 27:13, 27:14, 27:23, 36:7, 39:18, 40:21, 42:12, 42:17, 42:21, 42:25, 47:21, 49:9, 49:10, 49:11, 50:13, 50:20, 51:11, 51:12, 51:15, 51:22,

inappropriate [1] -

inclined [2] - 65:21,

56:4

52:3, 56:16, 56:19, 56:23, 57:5, 57:7, 57:12, 58:20, 58:22, 59:7, 61:20, 61:25, 62:5, 62:11, 62:15, 64:4, 64:6, 64:10, 64:12, 64:17, 64:19, 64:21. 65:1. 65:5. 65:10, 65:12, 65:15, 66:12, 66:18, 67:9, 67:14, 67:17, 67:22, 69:23, 71:20, 74:2, 78:16, 79:5, 82:12, 88:9, 88:14, 95:16 information's [1] -62:14 informed [1] - 18:16 **informing** [1] - 44:4 injury [1] - 13:20 input [2] - 7:16, 7:23 inquiry [1] - 39:1 insert [2] - 81:16, 85:22 inserted [1] - 82:16 inserting [2] - 84:2, 84:3 inside [1] - 47:7 instance [10] - 7:19, 7:21, 8:11, 8:22, 9:19, 10:19, 10:23, 93:6, 93:8, 93:9 instead [1] - 16:14 integrity [1] - 52:7 Integrity [1] - 7:22 intend [1] - 79:4 intended [2] - 11:13, 18:14 interest [6] - 18:20, 53:21, 53:24, 69:18, 72:23, 78:11 interested [1] - 30:11 interesting [3] - 5:12, 5:24, 6:3 interests [2] - 17:24, 78:12 interject [2] - 44:5, 109:18 interjecting [2] - 44:2, 44.8 internet [1] - 104:24 interpose [1] - 105:12 interpretation [1] -27:21 Interrogatories [3] -50:18, 51:24, 79:8 Interrogatory [1] -38:15 interruptions [1] -

90:2

**InterTribal** [1] - 104:4

intervenor [2] - 80:11, 84:10 Intervenor [15] -36:10, 37:3, 56:15, 58:1, 58:4, 59:5, 71:16, 81:17, 82:5, 82:7, 84:3, 84:11, 84:13, 84:14, 85:10 intervenors [1] - 81:3 Intervenors [40] - 4:8, 5:15, 6:19, 7:14, 9:23, 10:7, 16:14, 16:22, 18:3, 18:21, 18:25, 25:18, 31:22, 31:23, 34:11, 34:16, 36:16, 37:2, 41:13, 41:18, 45:3, 55:10, 58:8, 58:20, 59:14, 61:18, 61:24, 65:2, 79:2, 80:4, 80:5, 80:9, 80:15, 82:10, 82:11, 83:14, 83:17, 83:19, 91:17 Intervenors' [4] - 11:9, 16:16. 43:15. 45:22 intractable [1] - 43:18 involved [5] - 19:18, 48:3, 48:22, 88:23, 109:16 **IRA**[1] - 61:9 irregular [2] - 65:3, 65:17 irrelevant [1] - 16:24 ish [1] - 29:24 issuance [2] - 12:6, 64:16 issue [19] - 3:22, 6:25, 8:20, 12:7, 13:7, 29:9, 32:22, 40:13, 45:7, 45:25, 51:19, 61:17, 70:15, 73:16, 81:18, 100:7, 112:1 issued [4] - 3:3, 4:7, 45:15, 49:3 **ISSUED** [1] - 1:5 issues [15] - 3:21, 10:2, 29:22, 34:1, 34:19, 36:13, 41:5, 45:18, 48:15, 78:17, 78:21, 80:23, 90:14, 90:18, 104:10 issuing [1] - 63:21 IT [1] - 42:22 item [1] - 54:10 items [4] - 6:11, 9:19, 62:9, 66:10 itself [5] - 4:7, 7:2,

17:7, 64:7, 90:23

intervene [1] - 36:24

James [1] - 28:7 January [1] - 60:24 Jennifer [3] - 15:17, 72:18, 85:12 Jim [1] - 28:7 John [2] - 1:15, 100:25 join [3] - 36:24, 55:16, 94:16 joined [1] - 11:24 joins [1] - 15:19 Joint [6] - 3:9, 10:2, 63:14, 75:18, 86:13, 86:24 joint [1] - 3:12 journalist [1] - 59:9 Joye [4] - 56:13, 59:12, 94:23 July [16] - 89:20, 89:23, 90:7, 95:22, 96:21, 97:4, 102:18, 102:21, 103:18, 107:4, 107:13, 111:1, 111:2, 112:22, 113:2 June [12] - 88:11, 96:13, 102:20, 102:21, 107:8, 107:11, 112:24, 113:1, 113:13, 114:6, 116:14 jurisdiction [1] - 35:5 justice [1] - 53:9 justification [1] -18:17

## Κ

Katlyn [1] - 1:18

Kearney [1] - 1:17 keep [4] - 12:9, 32:8, 58:13, 82:23 keeping [1] - 24:4 kept [1] - 19:22 **KEYSTONE** [2] - 1:4, 1:6 Keystone [24] - 3:2, 3:4, 10:12, 16:19, 16:21, 18:8, 18:18, 18:19, 28:4, 28:6, 54:7, 64:3, 64:6, 66:3, 66:13, 67:9, 67:25, 68:4, 68:7, 70:20, 89:2, 91:14, 104:5, 104:8 Keystone's [1] - 10:17

kicked [3] - 56:22, 57:2, 57:13 Kimberly [2] - 77:12, 89:10 kind [11] - 13:5, 17:2, 51:16, 57:1, 60:17, 67:4, 71:19, 79:1, 88:18, 89:15, 98:6 kinds [1] - 59:12 knowing [1] - 29:6 knowledge [1] - 17:22 known [2] - 37:4, 43:17 knows 131 - 36:12. 42:13, 42:23 Kothari [1] - 99:7 Kristen [5] - 1:16, 26:5, 27:9, 75:21, 92:4 **KRISTIE** [1] - 1:13

## L

lack [2] - 54:24, 55:3 lag [1] - 89:16 **Lakota** [3] - 56:12, 57:19, 58:3 language [34] - 4:6, 9:16, 11:8, 20:17, 20:19, 20:20, 20:24, 21:7, 21:15, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:8, 55:5, 55:7, 69:12, 71:1, 71:2, 71:11, 71:17, 71:24, 72:5, 72:15, 78:20, 78:21, 79:1, 80:14, 81:6, 82:16, 83:8, 83:20, 83:21, 84:12, 84:22 large [2] - 44:25, 50:6 largely [2] - 7:23, 47.22 last [15] - 31:7, 38:3, 40:13, 52:14, 58:6, 75:25, 81:15, 81:25, 87:1, 87:8, 89:20, 89:23, 90:7, 98:16, 104:4 late [3] - 31:7, 87:3, 87:10 Latin [1] - 103:2 latitude [1] - 80:24 law [22] - 5:8, 13:5, 14:4, 16:12, 17:7, 17:16, 19:5, 23:18, 32:23, 53:15, 53:17, 53:18, 55:20, 59:23, 64:7, 65:24, 70:4, 87:24, 88:4, 92:10,

113:19 laws [1] - 18:15 lawyer [3] - 34:24, 56:17. 68:23 lawyers [19] - 32:14, 32:25, 34:23, 35:11, 35:13, 35:19, 35:20, 40:1, 43:13, 43:15, 44:21, 56:24, 57:3, 57:6, 58:21, 59:23, 59:25, 60:1, 113:19 lawyers' [2] - 62:9, 62:11 lay [2] - 36:17, 82:10 lays [1] - 4:19 leads [1] - 49:16 least [9] - 5:6, 6:2, 43:7, 69:1, 70:12, 74:1, 93:25, 105:18, 111:12

85:5 leaves [4] - 8:9, 51:14, 76:25, 98:24 LeBeau [1] - 59:12 led [1] - 49:5 left [1] - 5:15 legally [1] - 53:19 Legislature [1] - 18:14 length [2] - 5:1, 26:18 less [3] - 32:13, 87:18, 103:17 letter [2] - 13:5, 59:8

leave [6] - 25:11, 44:5,

51:17, 76:12, 82:9,

liability [1] - 23:10 liberal [3] - 28:19, 36:15, 78:1 license [1] - 35:12 lies [1] - 47:13 lieu [1] - 85:22 likely [1] - 97:17

**letting** [1] - 57:8

limine [15] - 90:25, 100:10, 100:13, 101:17, 101:18, 101:20, 103:1,

103:2, 103:9, 103:13, 103:16, 107:17, 110:24,

113:2 limines [1] - 90:22 limitation [1] - 8:25 limited [6] - 19:1, 24:18, 25:6, 35:3, 36:3, 79:7

limiting [4] - 71:4, 79:1, 100:11, 102:25 **limits** [1] - 9:3

line [5] - 13:23, 36:4,

55:11, 104:4

Liquid [1] - 7:22 list [12] - 48:21, 50:19, 79:13, 88:22, 89:7, 102:21, 103:10, 103:12, 103:19, 107:11, 107:13, 111:1 listed [2] - 40:14, 50.21 listen [8] - 36:12, 36:18, 57:11, 104:25, 105:8, 105:10, 109:25 lists [10] - 49:23, 50:7, 89:13, 93:8, 93:9, 93:12, 107:15, 107:18, 113:1 litigation [3] - 32:9, 41:1, 62:8 live [5] - 35:14, 57:16, 104:23, 104:24, 105:24 locating [1] - 28:17 locus [1] - 39:24 log [1] - 48:10 look [25] - 4:3, 5:19, 6:22, 7:4, 7:15, 7:20, 8:21, 9:4, 9:16, 9:23,

27:12, 30:19, 33:3, 38:10, 38:21, 42:3, 46:15, 50:4, 65:14, 66:25, 84:25, 88:2, 103:18, 108:14, 114:15 looked [3] - 48:10, 65:6, 103:4

10:6, 41:12, 84:12, 91:20, 108:19, 113:14

looks [1] - 107:24 looped [3] - 45:12, 45:17, 45:22

looking [7] - 5:18,

**LP** [1] - 1:5

M

mail [8] - 29:23, 30:4. 42:2, 73:11, 74:10, 75:20, 82:12, 108:10 mailed [1] - 87:10 main [7] - 76:3, 86:12, 104:18, 105:2, 106:16, 106:17, 111:4 maintain [1] - 14:22 maintained [1] - 8:4 maintenance [1] -7:21

major [1] - 49:2 majority [1] - 65:12 managed [1] - 29:8 management [3] -32:1, 52:8, 68:3 Management [1] -7:22 manner [2] - 17:2, 31:21 Manual [1] - 52:8 manuals [1] - 7:21 mark [1] - 16:9 marked [1] - 53:25 marking [2] - 16:18, 102:13 **MARTINEZ** [7] - 3:16, 3:19, 10:13, 11:13, 11:19, 44:10, 75:15 Martinez [10] - 3:17, 12:5, 21:2, 27:7, 27:10, 30:12, 42:24, 43:25, 44:9 massive [1] - 16:25 material [6] - 22:24, 23:4, 23:5, 23:22, 24:6, 26:14 materials [4] - 23:9, 38:17, 39:21, 39:24 math [1] - 42:23 Matt [2] - 12:4, 49:1 **MATTER** [1] - 1:4 matter [12] - 2:2, 3:1, 15:20, 15:24, 18:10, 18:12, 18:16, 42:8, 58:5, 81:6, 94:25, 116:10 matters [1] - 102:1 MCCOMSEY [1] -116:5 McComsey [2] - 1:24, 116:18 mean [21] - 7:11, 7:12, 27:15, 28:25, 54:2, 62:4, 66:25, 70:19, 71:13, 74:9, 84:5, 84:21, 92:20, 96:13, 97:13, 98:2, 104:19, 105:6, 110:3, 113:14 meaning [3] - 8:1, 8:14, 100:10 meaningful [1] - 17:2 means [3] - 7:11, 23:18, 42:12

meantime [1] - 38:1

measures [1] - 16:4

47:23, 81:2, 81:7

mechanisms [1] -

**Meera** [3] - 99:7,

62:13

mechanism [4] - 34:8,

28:15

morning [4] - 14:3,

most [6] - 13:21,

28:17, 44:5, 48:16

101:13, 108:16 Meera's [1] - 100:21 meet [3] - 14:1, 18:9, 78:14 meeting [12] - 16:11, 26:17, 58:7, 58:23, 61:3, 64:2, 76:15, 77:19, 87:16, 88:8, 112:25 meetings [1] - 103:19 meets [1] - 9:12 member [5] - 23:3, 52:18, 56:14, 60:15, 69:8 members [3] - 3:19, 57:2, 89:25 mentioned [4] - 16:21, 17:5, 76:22, 98:3 merely [1] - 63:23 merits [1] - 51:13 met [5] - 9:13, 13:21, 15:24, 18:19 method [1] - 64:9 microphone [1] - 20:5 middle [1] - 43:5 might [16] - 7:18, 11:24, 16:19, 58:22, 61:2, 70:14, 71:8, 72:11, 79:2, 82:6, 88:1, 88:19, 95:17, 96:9, 109:18, 113:18 millions [3] - 40:18, 40:19 mind [3] - 29:4, 41:20, 71:8 Minnesota [4] - 23:13, 32:11, 32:13, 71:11 minute [1] - 107:12 minutes [3] - 30:3, 30:7, 73:16 missing [1] - 102:23 mistaken [1] - 50:1 modifications [2] -34:12, 34:13 modify [1] - 55:5 moment [4] - 26:1, 56:11, 67:19, 77:15 Monday [5] - 31:25, 32:2, 32:19, 96:6, 96:10 Monitoring [1] - 17:14 Montana [1] - 109:7 month [3] - 88:17, 89:15, 93:25 MOORE [1] - 113:23 Moore [2] - 28:7,

14:17, 25:2, 35:16, 37:24, 90:2 motion [14] - 90:22, 94:17, 100:7, 100:8, 100:9, 100:11, 100:13. 102:7. 102:10. 102:12. 102:23. 103:9. 103:13 Motion [96] - 3:9, 3:15, 3:20, 7:9, 10:2, 10:6, 11:24, 12:22, 14:10, 15:2, 15:11, 16:16, 19:7, 21:9, 21:11, 21:14, 22:1, 22:6, 22:11, 22:15, 22:20, 25:12, 34:3, 38:7, 38:8, 41:12, 43:3, 48:4, 48:22, 49:22, 53:1, 54:17, 55:16, 63:10, 63:14, 63:16, 65:18, 65:19, 65:21, 66:15, 70:10, 73:21, 73:22, 73:25, 74:17, 75:4, 75:5, 75:7, 75:8, 75:10, 75:17, 75:18, 76:4, 76:5, 76:8, 76:11, 77:5, 78:13, 78:21, 83:25, 84:1, 84:17, 85:9, 85:21, 86:3, 86:4, 86:10, 86:11, 86:12, 86:13, 86:23, 86:24, 90:19, 91:9, 93:21, 95:17, 95:18, 104:6, 110:7, 111:4, 111:6, 111:18, 111:24, 112:3, 112:8, 112:9, 112:17, 112:18, 113:5, 113:9, 114:9, 114:14, 114:23, 115:5 Motion's [1] - 113:21 motions [50] - 29:17, 55:24, 56:1, 56:2, 56:4, 75:12, 78:2, 87:15, 87:17, 87:18, 87:22, 88:1, 88:9, 90:21, 90:22, 93:4, 93:5, 93:11, 93:24, 94:1, 94:9, 95:12, 95:14, 97:23, 98:3, 98:12, 98:19, 100:9, 100:10, 100:19, 101:10, 101:11, 101:17, 101:20, 101:21, 101:25, 102:19, 103:16, 107:5, 107:15, 107:16, 110:24,

111:2, 112:23,

112:24, 113:8, 113:10 Motions [4] - 7:6, 64:9, 101:18, 113:2 move [18] - 63:13, 66:24, 69:4, 70:9, 74:16, 75:18, 83:9, 83:10, 84:1, 94:25, 95:12, 102:17, 102:18, 102:20, 102:22, 111:1, 111:5 moved [3] - 64:8, 93:13, 95:3 moving [3] - 94:16, 104:7, 107:14 MR [74] - 3:16, 3:19, 10:13, 11:13, 11:19, 12:2, 13:3, 15:9, 20:4, 20:8, 25:21, 25:24, 28:5, 41:21, 43:12, 44:1, 44:10, 48:9, 48:25, 52:23, 54:21, 61:16, 62:4, 62:7, 63:6, 67:24, 68:14, 68:18, 69:5, 69:7, 70:19, 71:7, 72:8, 73:9, 75:15, 79:17, 80:8, 81:20, 81:23, 82:2, 82:8, 82:15, 82:19, 83:4, 83:11, 84:13, 84:16, 84:24, 89:3, 89:19, 90:5, 93:19, 94:5, 94:12, 94:15, 95:6, 97:16, 98:24, 99:6, 100:7, 100:21, 100:25, 101:12, 101:20, 103:2, 103:9. 104:3. 104:12, 105:11, 106:2, 108:16, 110:19, 113:23, 113:24 MS [63] - 15:16, 15:19, 19:11, 20:2, 26:5, 27:21, 53:4, 54:14, 55:13, 55:16, 56:12, 72:18, 72:21, 77:12, 78:23, 79:24, 80:2, 82:6, 82:24, 83:5, 85:12, 85:15, 87:6, 89:11, 91:8, 91:12, 91:25, 92:3, 92:14, 92:20, 93:3, 93:15, 94:23, 95:2, 95:11, 96:7, 96:25, 98:8, 99:9, 99:13, 99:20,

99:25, 103:23,

104:2, 104:21,

105:4, 105:6, 106:6,

106:9, 106:18, 108:6, 108:22, 109:5, 109:9, 109:13, 109:18, 110:2, 110:10, 110:15, 110:18, 113:17, 113:25, 114:4 must [3] - 23:5, 26:15, 39:14 mute [5] - 12:3, 25:25, 58:14, 94:13, 100:17 mutual [1] - 36:19

#### Ν

Nakota [1] - 57:19 name [2] - 5:3, 56:13 named [1] - 50:7 Nancy [6] - 55:13, 79:24, 82:6, 82:24, 99:9, 106:6 nation [1] - 33:6 natural [1] - 37:22 nature [6] - 30:21, 31:5, 40:14, 40:25, 73:6, 102:7 nay [5] - 74:20, 86:5, 86:18, 112:11, 114:24 Nebraska [18] - 3:9, 20:3, 21:1, 21:4, 21:14, 21:15, 21:23, 21:25, 25:14, 37:2, 37:3, 37:5, 38:15, 51:22, 76:1, 76:6, 79:13, 85:25 necessarily [3] -27:22, 54:1, 77:24 necessary [3] - 17:19, 64:18, 100:22 need [46] - 4:2, 4:25, 5:3, 7:23, 15:2, 20:20, 20:23, 23:21, 47:24, 50:10, 52:5, 55:1, 57:7, 59:6, 67:15, 68:25, 71:22, 72:6, 76:12, 76:19, 76:21, 76:23, 77:4, 78:11. 78:16. 83:10. 84:11, 84:18, 84:19, 84:25, 88:5, 91:2, 95:2, 98:5, 98:13, 99:21, 100:3, 103:3, 107:15, 109:23, 109:25, 110:1, 110:4, 111:11, 111:15, 111:22 needed [2] - 45:4, 97:2

needs [9] - 4:23, 8:15, 8:16, 24:6, 42:24, 45:7, 84:22, 102:24, 110.2 negotiated [3] - 37:18, 37:20.41:7 negotiations [1] - 4:10 **NELSON** [149] - 1:12, 3:1, 3:18, 10:3, 11:7, 11:16, 11:20, 13:1, 15:7, 15:13, 15:18, 19:9, 19:25, 20:3, 20:7, 25:17, 25:22, 26:2, 27:4, 28:4, 41:8, 43:6, 43:20, 44:7, 48:2, 48:18, 52:21, 53:2, 54:12, 54:19, 55:9, 55:15, 56:6, 61:13, 61:17, 62:6, 63:4, 63:7, 63:16, 65:19, 66:20, 67:7, 67:18, 68:9, 68:17, 69:3, 69:6, 70:7, 70:16, 71:25, 72:20, 72:24, 73:8, 73:17, 74:8, 74:17, 74:23, 74:25, 75:3, 75:10, 75:17, 77:11, 77:14, 78:18, 79:15, 79:22, 80:1, 81:12, 81:22, 81:25, 82:4, 82:17, 83:6, 83:23, 84:15, 84:21, 85:6, 85:13, 85:19, 86:2, 86:8, 86:10, 86:21, 86:23, 88:20, 89:6, 89:17, 90:4, 91:6, 91:11, 91:23, 92:2, 92:12, 92:18, 93:1, 93:14, 93:17, 94:3, 94:11, 94:14, 94:19, 95:1, 95:4, 95:9, 96:2, 96:11, 97:6, 97:20, 97:22, 98:10, 99:11, 99:17, 100:3, 100:14, 102:9, 102:16, 103:21, 103:25, 104:10, 105:5, 105:25, 106:5, 106:8, 106:10, 107:23, 108:11, 108:13, 108:18, 109:1, 109:8, 109:10, 109:23, 110:6, 110:13, 110:17, 110:21, 111:3, 111:23, 112:5, 112:14, 112:16, 113:5, 113:14, 114:1, 114:7,

10 114:10, 114:13, 115:2, 115:4 Nelson [7] - 12:2, 25:21, 74:25, 86:10, 86:23, 112:16, 115:4 net [1] - 97:18 Network [2] - 3:8, 19:10 never [2] - 43:18, 63:1 new [10] - 11:24, 25:18, 32:9, 63:3, 63:4, 88:8, 97:14, 107:5, 113:7, 113:10 New [1] - 59:10 next [7] - 28:17, 33:9, 48:16, 56:1, 90:13, 90:20, 94:21 nice [1] - 88:2 night [1] - 31:7 nilly [1] - 23:2 **nobody** [1] - 56:8 nobody's [2] - 83:4, 114:16 noncompliance [1] -19:4 nonconfidentially [1] - 28:1 nondesignated [1] -7:17 nondisclosure [5] -12:17, 21:13, 23:5, 24:10, 69:24 none [5] - 5:12, 50:12, 86:4, 112:9, 114:23 nonexpert [7] - 9:22, 80:17, 81:16, 82:1, 82:2, 82:5, 84:2 nonrepresented [4] -36:5, 36:6, 36:10, 81:3 noon [1] - 29:6 normal [1] - 23:19 northern [1] - 109:7 Notary [2] - 116:7, 116.18 note [2] - 18:20, 53:13 **notes** [1] - 107:2 nothing [3] - 32:9, 53:6, 106:23 notice [7] - 87:18, 88:4, 98:5, 98:13, 99:21, 99:23, 103:17 noticed [1] - 87:11 nullifies [1] - 16:19 number [17] - 5:4, 7:2, 7:3, 9:11, 24:18, 31:23, 31:24, 32:22, 35:24, 35:25, 45:6, 49:24, 63:18, 89:11, 96:5, 98:2

numbers [1] - 16:21

## 0

**O&M** [1] - 52:8 o'clock [3] - 1:9, 29:6, 29:24 o'clock-ish [1] - 29:24 oath [1] - 31:4 object [2] - 99:23, 105:23 objecting [1] - 104:6 objection [4] - 83:12, 105:12, 110:14, 110:22 obligation [1] - 23:9 obligations [1] - 69:11 observation [1] - 38:3 observed [1] - 53:9 obtain [2] - 102:7, 102:8 **obtained** [1] - 35:1 obvious [1] - 50:10 obviously [3] - 35:11, 46:3, 104:22 **OF** [8] - 1:2, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5, 2:1, 116:1, 116:3 offered [1] - 39:3 offering [1] - 106:14 office [3] - 23:18, 31:24, 106:20 Office [3] - 14:12, 14:14, 66:2 Officer [1] - 60:7 officer [1] - 33:7 Oglala [1] - 57:10 once [3] - 8:25, 36:8, 46:20 one [49] - 3:22, 4:23, 5:5, 6:25, 8:18, 9:6, 9:11, 9:22, 13:4, 21:3, 23:5, 27:5, 33:4, 33:16, 35:24, 37:1, 38:24, 40:13, 41:25, 44:12, 44:22, 45:24, 48:17, 54:22, 60:5, 60:10, 61:15, 71:14, 71:15, 74:16, 78:7, 79:5, 84:16, 89:4, 89:22, 90:12, 91:14, 93:15, 95:21, 98:20, 102:23, 103:13, 103:22, 106:24, 108:7, 110:14, 113:18 one-half [1] - 74:16 ones [1] - 101:19 ongoing [2] - 69:17,

78:24 Onida [1] - 116:13 opahe [1] - 57:21 opahe'd [1] - 59:18 open [11] - 8:9, 23:2, 24:5, 53:25, 76:25, 83:16, 84:10, 84:11, 107:14, 107:19, 108:10 opened [1] - 83:12 operated [1] - 8:4 operates [1] - 40:17 operations [1] - 7:20 opinion [6] - 26:25, 33:21, 42:18, 42:19, 70:13, 84:17 opinions [1] - 71:18 opportunities [1] -66:3 opportunity [8] -24:13, 45:12, 62:1, 78:24, 92:7, 92:16, 99:22, 102:3 opposed [8] - 6:19, 36:9, 74:20, 86:5, 86:18, 90:10, 112:11, 114:24 opposition [3] - 17:15, 20:18, 49:22 oppression [1] - 13:11 option [17] - 87:11, 87:13, 88:24, 88:25, 89:3, 89:4, 89:11, 89:19, 91:9, 92:18, 94:7, 94:10, 94:15, 96:5, 96:12, 104:4, 108:4 options [7] - 89:22, 106:13, 106:14, 106:16, 108:3, 108:12 Order [38] - 5:13, 8:4, 9:6, 19:6, 20:12, 20:14, 21:12, 25:12, 28:19, 30:7, 30:8, 32:21, 34:13, 34:17, 37:18, 37:23, 38:1, 41:4, 45:15, 49:3, 50:9, 51:15, 62:25, 63:15, 63:21, 64:15, 64:16, 68:25, 69:22, 69:25, 75:6, 75:20, 76:16, 77:19, 82:16, 82:22, 85:4, 86:14 order [82] - 3:2, 3:10, 3:12, 4:6, 5:21, 6:24, 7:2, 7:5, 8:17, 8:20, 9:9, 9:16, 12:6, 12:12, 13:12, 14:7,

16:7, 16:18, 19:4,

19:7, 19:17, 20:17, 20:19, 20:20, 20:24, 23:4, 28:12, 29:9, 29:13, 30:1, 30:5, 30:15, 30:16, 30:18, 31:1, 31:8, 31:9, 31:12, 32:7, 34:12, 34:17. 35:1. 36:22. 37:10, 38:23, 43:9, 45:1, 47:25, 48:6, 48:7, 48:12, 48:13, 48:17, 49:15, 50:11, 55:25, 62:22, 62:23, 64:13, 65:22, 66:5, 67:1, 67:2, 68:8, 68:21, 68:24, 69:10, 69:12, 70:14, 70:22, 71:1, 77:8, 79:20, 84:7, 86:25, 98:5, 112:5, 115:7 **ORDER** [1] - 1:5 orderly [1] - 91:4 orders [1] - 68:2 organization [2] -23:4, 23:8 original [2] - 50:16, 80:20 originally [1] - 108:20 otherwise [4] - 31:14, 40:10, 85:1, 99:23 ourselves [2] - 42:4, 111:13 outcome [2] - 37:15, 72:23 outside [4] - 23:20, 67:4, 105:8, 112:2 outstanding [2] -87:15, 112:24 overnight [1] - 48:14 oversight [1] - 61:20 own [4] - 3:23, 34:14, 63:22, 107:22

# Ρ

p.m [3] - 28:15, 30:2, 115:8 page [5] - 10:6, 41:12, 49:24, 52:9, 112:20 paleontological [4] -17:14, 39:9, 39:11, 39:18 paleontologist [1] -39:16 paper [1] - 107:3 paragraph [15] - 10:8, 10:9, 11:8, 21:1, 38:4, 41:4, 41:12, 49:25, 55:6, 73:10,

75:22, 75:23, 80:15, 84.18 paragraphs [1] - 73:11 part [14] - 5:23, 30:18, 42:10, 57:18, 60:21, 62:16, 62:18, 65:8, 76:3, 80:12, 84:7, 111:4, 111:24, 114:9 participant [1] - 39:7 participate [4] - 19:1, 83:15, 99:4, 111:21 participated [1] -83:15 particular [9] - 3:20, 13:16, 21:4, 22:6, 36:9, 54:24, 55:3, 81:5, 93:24 particularly [2] - 21:1, 32:15 parties [40] - 7:9, 10:16, 13:24, 15:3, 17:18, 18:12, 20:11, 20:15, 20:18, 20:22, 21:5, 21:11, 22:5, 22:11, 22:18, 29:17, 29:23, 33:1, 36:3, 36:5, 38:7, 46:2, 46:3, 46:7, 46:16, 51:23, 52:2, 52:15, 53:23, 55:17, 65:14, 66:23, 70:3, 73:3, 75:14, 77:7, 85:21, 87:19, 110:14 parties' [1] - 12:7 partner [1] - 28:7 party [30] - 4:22, 6:4, 13:10, 21:11, 21:14, 22:1, 22:5, 22:10, 22:24, 23:3, 23:16, 23:21, 23:22, 23:23, 24:1, 24:11, 24:14, 25:4, 26:7, 28:1, 36:6, 38:7, 38:8, 38:11, 49:14, 64:15, 67:15, 80:21, 85:21 passed [1] - 18:15 passes [1] - 74:18 password [4] - 21:23, 38:12, 38:18, 38:19 passwords [2] - 30:4, 30:10 past [2] - 26:11, 73:2 patently [3] - 16:7, 16:8, 16:13 Paul [2] - 69:5, 80:9 pay [1] - 114:18 penalty [2] - 30:14, 114:17

people [14] - 23:25,

24:9, 37:12, 61:8,

61:11, 69:23, 71:15, 11 71:19, 78:14, 78:15, 88:13, 92:23, 93:15, 111:21 people's [1] - 29:18 perfectly [5] - 34:12, 34:15, 35:25, 36:18, 41.6 perhaps [3] - 54:3, 72:4, 111:17 peril [1] - 109:2 period [1] - 109:21 permission [2] -50:25, 67:11 **PERMIT** [1] - 1:5 permit [3] - 3:3, 8:18, 18:9 permits [1] - 13:8 permitted [1] - 103:14 perplexing [1] - 77:9 person [12] - 13:10, 37:10, 42:13, 42:16, 42:22, 55:17, 80:22, 81:1, 81:11, 99:4, 104:19, 111:22 personal [2] - 23:9, 23:10 personally [2] - 36:16, 92:23 persons [6] - 5:4, 11:9. 30:11. 36:17. 64:18.67:10 perspective [1] -58:13 Peter [1] - 44:2 Petition [2] - 3:2, 36:24 **PETITION** [1] - 1:4 philosophy [1] - 28:21 phone [12] - 5:3, 12:3, 25:25, 34:1, 44:4, 45:16, 56:8, 58:11, 58:14, 100:16, 104:14, 109:3 phones [1] - 100:17 phonetic [3] - 57:22, 57:23, 59:18 phonetic] [1] - 57:20 photojournalist [1] -59:11 phrase [1] - 38:3 picked [1] - 45:16 piece [1] - 56:16 pieces [1] - 65:12 Pierre [1] - 2:3 **PIPELINE** [2] - 1:4, 1:6 **Pipeline** [1] - 3:2 pipeline [3] - 3:4, 8:3, 63:13 place [10] - 16:4, 38:2,

41:5, 48:20, 59:13, 76:13, 81:7, 83:13, 89:15, 107:17 placed [1] - 16:14 places [1] - 87:19 plain [3] - 20:17, 20:19, 20:20 plan [3] - 17:14, 52:8, 114:18 **planning** [1] - 8:12 plans [1] - 100:21 play [1] - 87:2 pleasure [1] - 101:4 Plenty [2] - 61:1, 61:4 point [36] - 6:13, 9:24, 11:21, 20:25, 22:8, 22:21, 22:22, 22:25, 26:23, 38:25, 43:8, 43:22, 45:9, 48:20, 50:15, 53:25, 54:22, 55:1, 55:23, 62:7, 67:5, 70:8, 71:11, 71:14, 81:9, 81:12, 83:6, 85:14, 87:4, 88:20, 90:12, 91:14, 99:5, 100:4, 102:9, 108:1 pointed [1] - 105:6 points [5] - 9:21, 13:4, 38:24, 66:20, 73:15 political [1] - 37:6 portion [1] - 4:24 pose [1] - 8:3 posed [1] - 50:18 poses [1] - 45:5 **position** [8] - 4:9, 43:1, 45:3, 61:19, 63:18, 63:19, 70:21, 106:23 possession [1] -27:16 possibility [2] - 101:2, 108:2 possible [2] - 11:5, 87:4 possibly [2] - 37:25, 90.13 post [3] - 30:24, 31:2, 31:20 Post [1] - 59:10 posted [1] - 30:23 postponed [1] - 78:25 potential [2] - 10:18, 17:23 potentially [5] - 7:17, 10:21, 53:18, 93:23, 100:22 power [2] - 35:7, 35:9 powers [2] - 35:5, 35:6

practical [1] - 15:24 practice [6] - 23:19, 32:14, 35:2, 35:8, 52:16. 62:8 practices [2] - 47:5, 47.6 precision [2] - 30:13, 30:14 preclude [2] - 95:14, 104.5 preface [1] - 3:20 prefer [4] - 89:19, 91:9, 93:2, 94:15 preference [3] - 73:18, 94:6, 94:10 preferred [3] - 88:24, 88:25, 95:15 prefiled [3] - 10:23, 88:10, 110:3 prejudiced [1] - 64:16 preparation [2] -18:23, 34:18 prepare [2] - 7:15, 9:2 prepared [4] - 9:3, 30:4, 31:3, 67:21 preparing [1] - 79:10 preponderance [3] -6:6, 9:13, 15:22 present [4] - 3:14, 90:9, 95:22, 112:6 presentation [3] -62:4, 91:4, 99:5 presentation's [1] -102:3 presented [1] - 15:20 presenting [1] - 104:6 presents [2] - 38:4, 106:18 Preservation [4] -14:12, 14:14, 60:7, 66:2 pressure [1] - 76:15 pretty [7] - 4:18, 4:19, 6:2, 47:12, 60:22, 77:19, 97:17 prevent [1] - 13:24 previous [2] - 19:17, 51:6 previously [4] - 15:20, 29:2, 31:13, 92:6 primarily [1] - 7:1 primary [1] - 101:11 private [2] - 17:11, 62:17 privileged [1] - 27:1 privileges [1] - 18:22 privy [1] - 64:18 pro [15] - 18:21, 18:25, 34:25, 52:16, 65:1,

80:4, 80:5, 80:16,

82:7, 83:14, 83:17, 83:19, 84:11, 84:13, 109.14 problem [12] - 9:25, 20:1. 38:4. 46:25. 49:2. 58:21. 58:23. 59:7, 59:14, 59:15, 95:13. 99:24 problematic [5] -46:13, 97:25, 98:7, 98:8, 98:17 problems [3] - 37:1, 45:6, 93:23 procedural [4] - 3:22, 9:7, 30:13, 30:14 **Procedural** [1] - 3:13 procedurally [1] -30:13 procedure [2] - 4:19, 86:24 Procedure [3] - 41:22, 41:23. 63:24 procedures [1] - 85:3 proceed [2] - 73:21, 91:3 proceeding [5] - 35:3, 50:16, 63:25, 110:1, 115:8 proceedings [8] -23:16, 23:22, 24:22, 46:6, 46:11, 47:15, 116:9, 116:12 Proceedings [1] - 1:8 PROCEEDINGS [1] -2:1 process [12] - 14:13, 27:2, 27:24, 35:17, 49:3, 53:6, 62:10, 71:17, 74:4, 96:17, 99:4. 99:6 processes [1] - 39:7 produce [2] - 29:15, 38:16 produced [1] - 47:11 production [4] -28:20, 34:8, 38:16, 51:25 productive [1] - 90:2 professional [1] -35:16 Professional [2] -116:6, 116:19 Program [1] - 7:22 progress [1] - 14:10 **prohibit** [1] - 70:23 prompt [1] - 33:8 promptly [1] - 33:10 proof [2] - 15:22,

16:11

**proper** [3] - 50:24,

16:10, 66:18, 98:5 proposal [4] - 37:21, 80:13, 80:21, 87:9 propose [5] - 62:2, 70:18, 90:21, 113:15, 113:16 proposed [7] - 74:11, 75:20, 75:21, 80:14, 88:10, 88:15, 96:19 proprietary [5] -28:24, 30:21, 40:13, 63:1 protect [6] - 13:10, 28:2, 28:3, 39:23, 78:11, 78:12 protected [2] - 28:22, 28:23 protecting [2] - 34:8, 78:4 protection [7] - 16:7, 25:8, 30:9, 49:16, 69:21, 70:1, 71:18 protections [2] - 54:6, 76:13 protective [6] - 3:10, 6:24, 12:6, 13:11, 14:7, 64:22 Protective [20] -20:12, 20:14, 21:12, 37:17, 37:23, 38:1, 49:3, 50:9, 63:15, 63:21, 64:15, 64:16, 69:22, 69:25, 75:6, 75:19, 76:16, 77:19, 85:4, 86:14 protocol [2] - 32:10, 67:18 provide [6] - 5:5, 8:2, 24:19, 30:9, 52:1, 55:6 provided [23] - 6:15, 7:6, 8:8, 16:22, 17:25, 18:18, 21:8, 21:22, 23:23, 28:18, 29:20, 30:17, 50:5, 51:22, 52:3, 64:21, 68:1, 74:4, 76:4, 76:6, 85:22, 85:24, 87:24 provides [4] - 16:4, 18:7, 24:17, 25:7 providing [1] - 15:25 proving [1] - 6:5 provision [1] - 31:14 provisions [8] - 18:3, 23:6, 29:13, 33:14, 42:6, 43:10, 65:25, 85:4

51:8, 53:10

properly [4] - 12:11,

proximity [1] - 101:24 1 2 prudent [2] - 95:17, 96:10 public [12] - 12:20, 30:18, 31:10, 39:17, 39:18, 39:22, 39:23, 51:5, 54:1, 61:3, 62:16 Public [3] - 23:12, 116:7, 116:18 **PUBLIC** [2] - 1:1, 1:11 publish [1] - 36:7 PUC [8] - 18:8, 32:11, 32:13, 50:18, 53:14, 67:16, 80:12, 81:7 pure [1] - 3:22 purely [1] - 5:19 purpose [1] - 31:7 purposeful [1] - 17:2 purposes [1] - 18:23 pursuant [2] - 30:18, 69:23 pursue [1] - 72:3 purview [1] - 112:2 push [1] - 101:14 pushed [1] - 90:20 put [12] - 62:21, 62:23, 62:25, 79:4, 80:3, 82:25, 87:24, 87:25, 100:16, 106:22, 106:24, 114:16 putting [2] - 46:8, 58:14 O

qualified [3] - 39:6, 40:4, 109:16 qualifies [2] - 5:10, 6:7 qualify [2] - 9:10, 15:23 quandary [1] - 51:17 quantity [2] - 16:22, 16:24 questions [23] - 11:21, 31:4, 34:6, 41:9, 43:21, 50:17, 51:3, 51:7, 51:24, 59:18, 61:14, 63:8, 63:10, 77:3, 88:19, 96:3, 96:4, 97:7, 97:20, 98:15, 100:5, 100:15, 101:21 quick [1] - 54:22 quickly [1] - 66:22 quite [2] - 4:13, 34:9 quote [1] - 44:15

#### R

radar [1] - 67:4 raised [2] - 45:6, 47:1 raises [1] - 30:12 rally [2] - 92:23, 92:25 Rappold [9] - 12:1, 12:4, 25:24, 29:18, 38:13, 38:19, 48:24, 49:1, 89:18 **RAPPOLD** [5] - 12:2, 25:21, 25:24, 48:25, 89.19 Rappold's [2] - 90:14, 90:18 rather [10] - 5:24, 12:21, 49:9, 49:21, 50:6, 52:11, 63:23, 81:1, 95:18, 107:22 reach [2] - 32:17, 43:5 reached [1] - 17:18 reaching [1] - 13:8 read [7] - 11:4, 22:12, 30:7, 74:10, 76:4, 96:24. 97:14 readily [3] - 31:22, 47:16, 101:6 reading [1] - 7:10 ready [2] - 29:24, 30:3 **REAL** [3] - 109:5, 109:9, 109:13 real [3] - 8:15, 9:25, 36:5 Real [3] - 33:16, 33:20, 110:22 realize [1] - 104:15 realizes [1] - 95:13 really [26] - 3:21, 4:2, 4:15, 8:5, 8:16, 9:1, 9:3, 10:14, 13:19, 14:2, 15:4, 19:18, 36:4, 44:14, 44:19, 45:7, 46:21, 47:14, 47:15, 47:24, 58:16, 59:2, 59:23, 91:3, 98:16, 106:14 **Realtime** [2] - 116:6, 116:19 reason [12] - 34:24, 37:15, 38:12, 38:18, 39:22, 58:1, 66:7, 88:7, 96:5, 96:7, reasonable [4] - 4:12, 24:17, 32:1, 37:24 reasoned [1] - 34:10 reasons [4] - 6:12, 24:7, 36:19, 63:18

rebuttal [26] - 26:3,

41:10, 43:24, 48:23, 55:12, 79:6, 79:10, 88:10, 88:15, 88:17, 89:12, 93:7, 93:11, 96:12, 96:17, 97:2, 97:10, 97:13, 100:22, 102:20, 104:5. 104:7. 104:8. 107:10, 108:22, 112:25 receive [3] - 22:16, 66:18, 68:7 received [3] - 3:5, 6:8, 23:24 recertification [1] -91:17 recess [7] - 74:8, 74:16, 74:17, 74:19, 75:1, 75:2, 78:7 recessed [1] - 73:5 recognize [1] - 40:25 recognized [2] - 29:2, 31:15 recommend [2] -71:14, 90:16 recommended [1] -89.22 record [25] - 7:8, 8:24, 10:15, 10:16, 11:10, 12:20, 17:9, 18:13, 21:10, 22:10, 22:18, 23:1, 23:17, 25:6, 38:7, 51:5, 62:16, 62:18, 65:25, 67:2, 79:20, 85:2, 85:20, 109:6, 109:13 records [1] - 7:14 red [1] - 80:17 redacted [2] - 52:13, 62:14 redefine [1] - 82:23 refer [1] - 27:6 referee [1] - 37:22 reference [2] - 18:6, 58:19 referenced [3] - 17:10, 21:13, 91:18 referencing [1] - 53:14 referred [1] - 49:5 referring [2] - 64:3, 101:19 refers [1] - 76:1 refined [1] - 101:21 refused [1] - 24:7 regard [2] - 23:11, 48:6 regarding [9] - 5:4, 12:5, 16:3, 16:20, 16:22, 91:9, 94:7,

94:10, 101:9

regardless [1] - 68:21 regards [1] - 49:19 Registered [2] -116:5, 116:19 regularly [3] - 56:21, 56:22, 87:15 regulation [1] - 5:25 regulations [3] -32:12, 54:8, 54:9 regulatory [3] - 29:3, 31.18 reiterate [3] - 15:9, 17:17, 53:5 reject [1] - 24:13 relate [1] - 14:12 related [1] - 22:23 relates [2] - 7:1, 25:1 relation [1] - 81:14 relatively [1] - 72:16 release [4] - 17:13, 26:24, 31:25, 32:2 released [2] - 51:16, 97:15 relevant [1] - 17:25 reliance [1] - 62:22 relief [3] - 10:7, 19:7, 41:13 rely [2] - 8:13, 26:21 remain [1] - 39:14 remarkable [1] - 44:11 remedy [2] - 36:5, 36:8 remember [4] - 43:18, 60:8, 61:11, 64:3 remind [3] - 28:11, 61:12, 100:16 renders [1] - 33:21 repeat [2] - 21:8, 85:17 Reported [1] - 1:24 Reporter [4] - 116:6, 116:19, 116:19 reporter [2] - 59:9, 116:9 reports [3] - 7:20. 17:5, 52:7 represent [2] - 46:3, 58:24 representatives [1] -15:15 represented [5] -18:21, 19:2, 29:16, 36:2, 37:3 request [17] - 5:1, 5:4, 19:3, 19:6, 21:18, 25:12, 25:13, 38:16, 53:10, 53:11, 53:21, 54:4, 54:7, 72:21, 79:4, 79:12, 81:4 requested [10] - 4:25,

5:2, 5:9, 17:15, 17:23, 19:7, 22:14, 65:15, 69:13, 79:14 requesting [5] - 6:4, 16:5, 16:10, 69:21, 70:4 requests [3] - 22:4, 51:25. 76:25 require [3] - 22:5, 53:10, 53:14 required [3] - 13:14, 13:16, 112:23 requirement [2] -17:8, 20:13 requirements [4] -9:7, 31:17, 32:7 requires [4] - 16:25, 26:13, 67:10, 68:4 requiring [2] - 28:20, 51:9 reread [1] - 112:19 research [1] - 13:13 resist [1] - 31:9 resisting [1] - 49:13 resolution [4] - 50:12, 74:3, 75:13, 80:12 resolve [5] - 10:2, 34:6, 70:14, 72:10, 90.14 resolved [3] - 55:1, 74:4. 77:4 resolves [1] - 77:3 resources [1] - 14:13 respect [13] - 3:23, 14:8, 18:22, 28:18, 28:21, 38:25, 41:22, 41:23, 55:20, 66:15, 71:20, 79:17, 90:8 respond [3] - 51:20, 87:22, 113:20 responded [1] - 50:17 responding [1] - 29:4 response [10] - 6:9, 16:6, 17:5, 18:2, 49:24, 50:20, 51:2, 52:9, 81:19, 88:16 responses [7] - 38:17, 51:6, 88:3, 98:6, 107:9, 113:7, 113:11 responsive [3] - 5:7, 14:9, 28:18 rest [2] - 85:5, 85:7 restricted [1] - 12:18 restriction [1] - 21:22 restrictions [1] - 25:5 restrictive [2] - 4:9, 22:17 restrictively [1] -22:13

result [5] - 7:6, 21:9,

40:21, 76:5, 88:9 resulting [1] - 49:4 retain [1] - 29:21 retained [4] - 23:15, 23:20, 35:18, 41:18 return [1] - 68:4 returned [1] - 28:15 review [14] - 8:8, 8:19, 9:20, 16:1, 17:19, 26:23, 41:18, 42:11, 42:21, 42:25, 67:25, 88:13, 88:17, 95:17 reviewing [1] - 18:13 rights 131 - 18:22. 18:25, 28:2 risks [1] - 8:3 **Rislov** [1] - 1:16 River [9] - 3:7, 15:8, 15:11, 52:22, 56:13, 56:22, 57:9, 58:2, 94:6 River's [1] - 39:1 Robert [1] - 3:17 Rock [7] - 3:6, 14:8, 14:11, 33:3, 33:5, 57:10, 66:1 Rock's [1] - 13:7 role [1] - 46:9 room [8] - 20:6, 28:8, 56:9, 83:7, 105:9, 111:11, 112:6, 114:16 **Room** [1] - 2:3 Rosebud [16] - 3:6, 12:4, 37:6, 37:7, 37:9, 37:11, 38:13, 38:14, 48:24, 49:1, 51:21, 57:10, 76:2, 76:7, 79:13, 85:25 Rounds [1] - 1:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [6] - 16:2, 26:13, 27:18, 27:21, 28:3, 92:10 Rule [2] - 4:18, 13:8 Rules [6] - 26:13, 27:11, 41:21, 41:23, 63:23 rules [22] - 3:23, 4:4, 4:16, 5:8, 5:12, 5:16, 5:19, 5:22, 6:20, 9:8, 15:5, 27:14, 35:12, 40:24, 44:21, 46:24, 51:9, 53:6, 53:7, 53:13, 53:22 rump [1] - 29:7 run [3] - 73:2, 104:22, 112:21 running [1] - 101:2 runs [1] - 40:16

spend [1] - 4:1

statutes [2] - 40:9,

Rural [5] - 3:6, 3:17, 4:7, 21:6, 68:19 rush [1] - 93:24

#### S

safe [2] - 88:1, 113:22 safeguards [1] - 24:17 **sanctioned** [1] - 58:9 **satisfied** [1] - 54:3 satisfy [2] - 42:4, 71:18 saw [3] - 42:14, 47:8, 96:14 schedule [4] - 74:7, 87:2, 90:1, 92:7 **Schedule** [1] - 3:13 scheduled [1] - 87:16 scheduling [1] - 90:18 scientific [3] - 42:11, 42:21, 42:25 scope [8] - 8:17, 12:6, 16:24, 22:22, 33:7, 34:7, 34:21, 35:14 scrivener's [1] - 81:21 se [12] - 18:21, 18:25, 65:1, 80:4, 80:5, 80:16, 82:7, 83:14, 83:17, 83:19, 84:11, 84:13 sealed [1] - 62:17 second [24] - 3:24, 4:6, 5:25, 6:25, 9:15, 10:7, 10:8, 20:25, 21:2, 22:20, 23:20, 31:12, 38:4, 41:3, 41:12, 42:10, 45:9, 46:10, 49:25, 73:10, 75:24, 96:11, 100:9, 108:17 secondly [1] - 54:8 **secret** [3] - 13:13, 13:23, 25:2 secrets [2] - 40:23, 40:25 Section [2] - 7:4, 8:21 section [2] - 8:21, 10:7 security [1] - 68:3 see [32] - 22:24, 23:1, 23:14, 24:14, 24:18, 25:14, 29:18, 34:23, 36:11, 36:17, 36:19, 37:11, 60:4, 60:5, 73:13, 73:14, 73:23, 74:13, 79:3, 79:9, 79:13, 80:10, 81:4,

90:19, 92:5, 93:12,

**short** [3] - 13:22, 73:9,

Smith [15] - 1:15,

98:8, 103:19,

105:17, 106:19, 98:12 108:2 seeing [8] - 32:23, 39:25, 40:2, 63:10, 86:4, 90:6, 112:9, show [10] - 9:24, 114:23 seeking [4] - 13:24, 49:9, 49:10 seeks [2] - 4:22, 14:5 seem [2] - 66:4, 81:17 selected [1] - 94:7 53:15 senators [2] - 60:16, 86:14 send [4] - 29:23, 30:4, **shut** [1] - 106:9 48:14, 110:4 sic [1] - 108:23 sending [1] - 109:15 sense [3] - 18:11, 60:24 82:8, 82:9 sent [5] - 30:10, 33:9, 71:16 38:15, 108:9, 108:16 sentence [14] - 6:1, 27:15, 38:4, 38:21, 41:3, 73:10, 75:25, 80:3, 80:6, 80:17, 65:12 81:15, 81:25, 82:9 sentences [1] - 21:2 September [4] - 91:16, 80:25, 81:11 91:21, 92:1, 92:14 serious [5] - 4:3, 51:2, 51:6 30:23, 41:5, 46:15, 109:3 seriously [3] - 46:14, 69:14. 70:25 114:15 serve [1] - 69:17 session [4] - 26:23, **single** [3] - 37:9, 26:25, 57:2, 75:4 53:24, 93:6 set [10] - 4:4, 25:9, 46:6, 87:2, 90:16, 92:17, 96:18, 98:3, 103:9, 109:7 seven [1] - 112:4 several [2] - 88:12, 90:21 shaking [1] - 60:5 109:6 shall [8] - 3:11, 8:22, sister [1] - 23:12 21:10, 21:12, 76:6, sit [1] - 62:2 85:18, 85:19, 85:24 share [5] - 51:4, 50.21 66:11, 67:9, 67:13, sitting [1] - 60:3 67:16 **shared** [3] - 50:13, 51:14, 73:4 67:22, 70:13 **sharing** [2] - 14:10, size [1] - 16:22 66:17 skill [1] - 42:18 shift [1] - 6:18 skills [1] - 17:21 shifted [1] - 49:8 Skype [1] - 100:1 shifting [2] - 49:6, small [1] - 25:9 49:17 smart [2] - 56:24, shoddy [2] - 47:5 59.23

40:11 101:8, 106:1 15 suggesting [2] - 18:7, tetuwon [1] - 57:20 town [1] - 89:24 statutorily [2] - 28:23, 105:21 **TAYLOR** [29] - 28:5, text [2] - 101:13, trade [5] - 13:12, 41:21, 43:12, 48:9, 13:23, 25:2, 40:23, 31:13 suggestion [7] -108:16 46:17. 48:14. 71:9. 61:16, 62:4, 62:7, **THE** [6] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 40:25 **statutory** [2] - 5:7, 31.13 80:8, 88:16, 101:9, 63:6, 67:24, 68:14, traditional [2] - 61:10, 1:6, 1:11 stay [2] - 38:2, 41:4 101:10 73:9, 79:17, 81:20, themselves [1] - 6:20 71:19 81:23, 82:2, 82:8, stayed [1] - 58:11 suggestions [4] therefore [9] - 18:17, training [1] - 42:18 83:4, 89:3, 97:16, steeped [1] - 44:22 34:10, 39:19, 87:4, 20:12, 21:15, 22:4, TransCanada [63] -98:24, 99:6, 100:7, step [1] - 56:10 102:6 40:5, 54:24, 69:22, 3:2, 4:3, 4:11, 5:6, 100:25, 101:12, stepped [1] - 87:3 **SULLY** [1] - 116:3 70:2, 76:18 5:13, 5:16, 5:21, 101:20, 103:2, stereotypical [1] sum [1] - 47:17 thereof [1] - 55:3 6:15, 9:6, 9:11, 14:1, 103:9, 106:2, 108:16 summarizations [1] -14:5, 14:9, 14:15, 13:17 they've [9] - 6:16, Taylor's [6] - 5:7, 6:9, 14:20, 14:25, 15:21, still [9] - 10:19, 17:18, 10:17, 14:23, 51:12, 46:1, 53:7, 80:20, 46:4, 52:5, 58:15, summer [1] - 61:24 59:23, 59:24, 59:25, 16:6, 16:9, 16:16, 84.6 20:11, 21:20, 22:3, 61:6, 61:10, 96:23, **supervision** [4] - 11:9, 60:10, 111:25 team [1] - 45:21 24:1, 24:11, 24:13, 11:11, 11:18, 31:19 thinking [2] - 105:8, 104:25 technical [3] - 42:12, 26:19, 27:4, 28:8, 113:17 supplemental [1] story [1] - 100:13 42:21, 42:25 36:6, 40:16, 46:21, 52:11 thinks [1] - 47:16 straightened [1] technically [2] - 33:18, 46:23, 47:8, 49:5, third [8] - 21:2, 22:22, support [10] - 12:7, 29:22 66:25 49:14, 50:16, 50:25, 17:1, 27:2, 37:15, 24:8, 29:1, 33:2, stress [1] - 15:21 teleconference [1] -51:25, 53:11, 59:5, 54:16, 55:17, 65:21, 33:15, 81:15, 81:25 strict [2] - 7:10, 45:5 99.14 69:13, 69:19, 70:3, 93:19, 94:8, 95:6 **Thomasina** [1] - 109:5 stricters [2] - 38:22, teleconferencing [1] -77:18, 77:24, 78:4, 40:6 supported [1] thoughts [3] - 27:9, 78:5, 78:10, 78:14, 105:14 109:14 66:5, 71:7 strictly [2] - 45:4, 70:2 79:5, 80:10, 81:1, telephone [4] - 48:10, supportive [1] - 53:18 thousands [1] - 60:8 strike [1] - 85:20 55:11, 89:7, 100:2 81:4, 83:13, 83:18, THPO [3] - 60:7, 60:8, supports [1] - 15:11 strikes [1] - 97:24 97:9, 99:20, 99:22, suppose [1] - 95:11 telephonically [4] -60.9 strongly [2] - 31:8, 102:24, 105:13, Supreme [4] - 26:24, 100:23, 100:24, three [18] - 14:18, 40:15 105:19, 108:15 35:5, 35:6, 35:7 103:25, 105:13 14:24, 20:8, 23:13, stuff [5] - 47:8, 55:24, TRANSCANADA [1] teleprompters [1] surprised [1] - 96:14 28:22, 30:9, 30:16, 57:1, 59:12, 59:13 1:4 105:14 59:1. 73:5. 73:15. subject [2] - 38:22, **surprising** [1] - 64:14 TransCanada's [11] tempers [1] - 13:9 89:22, 93:25, 96:24, surrebuttal [2] -90:18 6:10, 17:15, 28:16, temporarily [1] - 54:6 106:13. 106:14. 97:10, 97:16 submission [3] -47:4, 47:10, 51:6, term [1] - 73:9 106:16, 108:10, survey [5] - 17:5, 17:9, 26:14, 26:15, 53:10 69:20, 70:4, 70:23, terms [11] - 8:3, 9:1, 108:12 submit [3] - 5:1, 39:10, 39:21, 52:7 71:18, 104:17 10:18, 47:1, 50:10, threw [1] - 98:17 surveys [5] - 33:4, 26:21, 92:16 Transcript [1] - 1:8 64:14, 68:2, 93:3, 33:17, 39:5, 62:24, thrown [1] - 108:2 submitted [9] - 10:23, TRANSCRIPT [1] - 2:1 93:4, 93:6, 93:8 Thursday [3] - 29:6, 22:20. 26:19. 27:23. 73:14 transcription [1] terribly [1] - 44:16 suspend [1] - 35:8 29:11, 48:11 27:24. 28:1. 99:21. 116:12 testifies [1] - 42:15 tiered [1] - 25:3 99:23, 102:19 suspension [1] - 35:9 travel [1] - 100:21 testify [8] - 8:11, tight [1] - 77:20 subsequently [1] treated [1] - 26:7 10:24, 11:1, 92:9, timely [2] - 72:6, 72:9 33:11 Т treating [1] - 26:10 103:14, 110:1, timing [1] - 48:6 substantial [1] - 6:23 treatment [12] - 4:21, 110:3, 110:8 **TO**[1] - 1:6 substantive [9] table [2] - 75:5, 110:22 9:15, 16:3, 16:5, testifying [1] - 41:17 tobacco [1] - 57:23 100:8, 100:11, tack [1] - 80:7 16:11, 17:8, 18:18, testimonial [4] -101:12, 101:17, today [26] - 3:13, 4:2, talks [1] - 8:22 49:19, 51:13, 54:4, 35:16, 42:1, 42:7, 101:25, 102:19, 11:15, 12:22, 25:16, taxing [1] - 87:20 54:5, 71:20 68:15 107:5, 113:8, 113:10 28:6, 28:13, 34:11, treats [2] - 40:22, **Taylor** [38] - 4:10, 8:6, testimony [26] - 10:24, substantively [1] -37:16, 37:19, 45:1, 9:18, 28:6, 41:11, 40:23 47:12, 64:24, 66:9, 45:6, 45:11, 54:25, 52:24 44:14, 45:10, 45:13, treaty [4] - 60:15, 66:17, 79:6, 79:10, 55:2, 55:4, 63:9, sue [1] - 36:7 45:21, 46:17, 47:16, 60:16, 60:17, 60:19 89:12, 90:10, 93:7, sufficient [2] - 30:8, 66:10, 66:17, 72:7, 48:5, 48:8, 51:21, trial [6] - 12:13, 18:23, 96:13, 96:18, 97:3, 74:9 72:11, 73:19, 74:7, 52:15, 54:25, 56:10, 97:10, 97:13, 34:17, 62:12, 109:7, 77:4, 79:21, 83:21 sufficiently [1] - 20:13 61:15, 64:23, 66:9, 109:17 102:20, 105:23, together [3] - 15:3, suggest [5] - 16:23, 66:22, 67:19, 68:22, tribal [8] - 56:14, 105:24, 106:21, 71:15, 77:7 23:11, 29:12, 53:23, 71:9, 71:14, 72:5, 107:10, 108:22, 56:18, 56:25, 57:2, took [4] - 45:3, 63:18, 80.23 72:14, 73:8, 74:2, 108:24, 110:4, 57:4, 57:6, 59:25, 83:13, 116:9 suggested [7] - 25:13, 79:16, 81:13, 82:15, 111:10, 111:13, 60.12 top [3] - 6:16, 50:7, 32:3, 33:24, 39:17, 82:21, 98:20, 100:6, 112:25 Tribal [5] - 14:11, 113:18 54:25, 55:6, 55:25

56:18, 56:21, 60:7, 66.1 Tribe [24] - 3:6, 3:7, 3:8, 12:5, 14:8, 15:14, 15:19, 33:6, 37:6, 37:9, 37:11, 38:13. 38:14. 39:4. 40:2, 49:1, 53:3, 56:14, 56:19, 76:2, 76:7, 85:25, 109:6 Tribe's [1] - 37:7 Tribes [1] - 60:8 tried [6] - 6:17, 36:13, 58:6, 58:12, 87:12, 102:22 trip [3] - 98:25, 101:4 troubled [3] - 46:1, 46:13, 67:11 troubles [1] - 46:5 true [3] - 39:6, 63:6, 116:11 truly [3] - 3:25, 35:23, 39:3 **try** [6] - 8:13, 72:10, 79:19, 83:1, 90:25, 103:5 trying [8] - 71:17, 80:3, 82:23, 87:12, 90:10, 97:1, 102:12, 107:3 Tuesday [1] - 96:8 turn [4] - 23:12, 60:25, 87:5, 113:18 turned [3] - 27:19, 27:25, 33:5 two [16] - 3:21, 6:22, 10:5, 13:4, 15:14, 24:22, 25:3, 32:22, 35:25, 41:24, 57:16, 88:24, 90:16, 101:9, 111:17, 112:17 two-tiered [1] - 25:3 type [4] - 8:18, 45:23, 65:18, 73:4 types [4] - 39:19, 41:24, 67:2, 101:10 typically [5] - 92:12, 92:19, 96:9, 101:20, 101:23 typos [1] - 87:11 U

15:4, 16:12, 21:15, 28:2, 28:3, 28:12, 41:13, 46:24, 54:8, 54:9, 76:14, 78:16, 87:21 underneath [1] - 35:4 underscoring [1] -26:25 understandings [1] -20:12 understood [3] -77:20, 84:23, 85:8 undue [1] - 13:11 unduly [1] - 4:9 unfair [3] - 16:7, 16:8, 16:13 unfortunately [1] -45:5 unique [2] - 17:21, 17:22 unless [5] - 27:24, 29:15, 29:16, 68:20, 69:9 unreasonably [2] -24:7, 24:13 unrepresented [3] -46:2, 46:16, 82:10 unusual [1] - 72:15 up [31] - 6:9, 23:2, 25:25, 39:17, 44:3, 45:16, 46:6, 47:17, 52:2. 56:21. 58:2. 59:6. 62:25. 71:17. 71:24, 73:4, 73:6, 77:8, 82:13, 84:7, 84:9, 87:9, 88:11, 88:14, 90:10, 95:3, 97:22, 99:12, 106:9, 107:14, 109:23 urge [3] - 19:15, 54:17, 69:18 urgency [1] - 34:6 urgent [1] - 34:9 usable [1] - 9:17 usefulness [1] - 17:23 **Utilities** [1] - 23:12 **UTILITIES** [2] - 1:1, 1:11 utility [1] - 24:22

#### V

ultimately [2] - 27:8, 52:6 unavailable [1] -106:24 under [18] - 5:8, 10:6, 11:9, 11:11, 11:17,

vacate [13] - 3:9, 6:24, 9:6, 16:7, 19:4, 19:17, 47:25, 63:14, 75:5. 75:19. 76:12. 86:13

vacated [1] - 51:15 value [3] - 12:13, 31:1, veracity [1] - 12:12 verbalize [1] - 72:25 verbiage [1] - 73:4 verify [2] - 33:5, 47:11 versus [2] - 47:2, 101:17 veto [2] - 24:2, 24:12 vice [1] - 34:25 VICE [1] - 1:13 videoconference [1] -99:15 videoconferencing [2] - 100:1, 106:7 view [14] - 21:16, 22:3, 22:6, 22:16, 23:4, 23:9, 26:12, 64:20, 66:3, 69:9, 69:11, 69:15, 69:16 viewable [8] - 7:8, 21:10, 22:10, 38:6, 76:6, 85:18, 85:19, 85:24 viewed [1] - 22:1 viewpoint [1] - 97:15 violate [1] - 68:23 violated [4] - 16:16, 17:7, 53:15, 92:10 violating [1] - 69:12 violation [1] - 67:1 vital [1] - 17:24 voice [1] - 46:4 Vokes [1] - 47:3 volition [1] - 34:14 vote [6] - 15:12, 73:21, 74:19, 77:13, 86:17, 114:24 votes [9] - 74:25, 86:9, 86:10, 86:22, 86:23, 112:15. 112:16. 115:3, 115:4

40:15

#### W

wants [4] - 30:13, 30:14, 31:5, 36:10 Washington [2] -31:7, 59:10 ways [1] - 102:2 website [1] - 52:13 week [31] - 34:14, 41:7, 56:1, 89:20, 89:23, 89:25, 90:1, 90:7, 90:13, 90:17, 90:20, 91:21, 93:22, 95:22, 102:18, 103:22, 103:24, 107:4, 108:1, 108:5, 68:13, 71:21, 80:17, 108:7, 108:14, 81:16, 82:1, 82:2,

108:15, 109:3, 109:7, 109:21, 110:11, 110:16, 110:17, 110:18 weekend [3] - 88:2, 89:21. 92:24 weeks [5] - 90:16, 90:21, 90:22, 93:25, 96:24 weigh [1] - 77:13 whatnot [2] - 60:1, 60:24 whereby [1] - 80:21 White [6] - 28:7, 28:16, 30:20, 31:3, 40:18 White's [1] - 40:14 whole [4] - 27:17, 56:19, 89:15, 111:12 William [1] - 28:6 willing [10] - 35:20, 36:12, 38:9, 38:20, 41:6, 46:18, 46:19, 69:22, 70:23, 88:19 willingness [1] - 44:18 willy [1] - 23:2 willy-nilly [1] - 23:2 wisdom [1] - 53:2 wish [1] - 55:5 wished [1] - 64:11 wishes [1] - 16:10 withdraw [1] - 73:25 withdrawn [1] - 75:11 withdrew [1] - 75:8 withstanding [1] -104.6 witness [34] - 9:22, 42:13, 42:15, 42:20, 42:24, 47:4, 47:7, 47:9, 60:22, 67:23, 80:25, 89:13, 93:8, 99:7, 102:21, 103:10, 103:12,

104:14, 104:16, 104:18, 107:11, 107:13, 107:15, 107:17, 109:19, 109:25, 110:8, 111:1, 112:6, 113:1 witnesses [44] - 7:12, 7:17, 7:18, 9:22, 11:10, 12:10, 17:19, 17:20, 17:21, 17:25, 19:20, 33:17, 34:23, 41:14, 41:19, 42:10, 47:2, 60:14, 60:23, 64:20, 65:23, 68:12,

103:14, 103:19,

82:5, 84:2, 89:4, 16 93:12, 95:21, 98:21, 99:14, 100:1, 103:22, 104:7, 105:13, 105:17, 105:19, 105:22, 106:24, 111:22 Wittle [1] - 61:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 116.18 **WITTLER** [1] - 116:5 woods [1] - 61:5 word [9] - 10:10, 22:12, 23:16, 48:17, 68:19, 82:4, 84:2, 84:11, 103:2 worded [2] - 8:5, 9:17 wording [2] - 45:1, 45:14 words [6] - 23:24, 81:17, 84:3, 85:10, 85:17, 85:22 workable [1] - 103:11 workload [1] - 37:22 works [4] - 30:1, 70:5, 89:3, 97:5 world [2] - 30:19, 34:4 worried [1] - 39:12 worst[1] - 52:8 wound [1] - 6:9 wreck [1] - 42:14 wrestle [1] - 111:14 wrestling [1] - 61:22 writing [4] - 26:15, 68:7, 75:20, 90:11 written [8] - 37:20, 38:1, 38:2, 59:10,

## X

82:10, 113:7, 113:11

XL [2] - 1:6, 3:4

## Υ

Yankton [9] - 3:7,

15:14, 15:19, 53:3, 54:2, 72:19, 72:21, 85:12, 109:6 year [1] - 91:15 years [9] - 14:18, 14:24, 37:4, 40:19, 40:20, 43:17, 60:8, 60:21 yesterday [3] - 26:24, 87:3, 87:10 yield [1] - 52:20 York [1] - 59:10