
From: Tony Pay[S [REDACTED]]
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2015 7:29:24 PM
To: PUC
Subject: RE: For No KXL address added
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Public Utilities Commission as a whole,

Let me start out by saying that I believe this issue is bigger than your governing body and the final decision will be made somewhere else. I've heard both sides of the issue and I believe that the Keystone XL pipeline is a step in the wrong direction regardless of who's land you build it on. There is a general consensus in the scientific community about Global Warming. Regardless of all that I'm excited to work with people in the area on this issue. Taking up the cause of environmental stewardship and social justice.

I'm frustrated that this issue progressed so far before I even got knowledge of it the idea being around since 2010 or when fracking started. Another frustration is the idea the President put foreword of the new energy economy which fell out of favor with the faltering economy and never materialized. We have made progress locally in recycling and recycled goods with the trouble at the landfill being the motivating factor. Also I know there's incentives out there to build energy efficient buildings and to add green spaces. Any move away from oil will be a slow one and as far as I know the oil and gas industry is heavily subsidized. The big argument that favors the pipeline is that we wouldn't have to move the oil by truck; the jobs, and it would be *"the safest pipeline ever built."*

Not to turn this into the Al Gore movie *An Inconvenient Truth* but the risky things we're doing to get oil have a high environmental price. Take the Gulf Oil Spill for instance after the amount of time it took to stop and clean up that they went right back out there to drill the gulf. When you're fracking your basically making sections of the earth explode which even bothers me from a religious point of view. I don't believe these resources would get us far and we would be making short term gains in jobs and energy that in the long term would hurt us.

The Keystone Pipeline is something I would like to see stopped. Members of my family have been involved in stopping strip mining, and maintaing Spearfish Kanyon in it's natural beauty. Also a chemical waste dump a Edgemont, and I am hoping to gain some knowledge regardless of the outcome. Maybe I'll even see some suces from being involved with NO KXL.

Tony Pay

[REDACTED]
Sioux Falls SD 57105

005058

From: PUC

Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2015 7:45 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: HP14-001

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission

www.puc.sd.gov

005059

From: Joan Thomson [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2015 6:29:22 PM
To: PUC
Subject: XL PIPELINE
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Please, stop this disaster from happening here. All pipelines leak . We can't afford this.

Joan Thomson

[REDACTED]
Sioux Falls, SD. 57106

005060

From: PUC

Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2015 7:49 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: HP14-001

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission

www.puc.sd.gov

005061

From: Teryl Cruse [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2015 7:40:22 AM
To: PUC
Subject: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. TransCanada has shown time and time again they are unable to build a safe pipeline. They have lied to the people of South Dakota about the benefits of the pipeline, while ignoring completely the harmful impacts of tarsands mining and the risk to our land and water from inevitable spills.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Teryl Cruse
[REDACTED]
Pierre, SD 57501
[REDACTED]

005062

From: PUC

Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2015 7:53 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: HP14-001

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission

www.puc.sd.gov

005063