
From: Peggy Hoogestraat[SMTP:GARDENGALPEGGY@GMAIL.COM]
Sent: Monday, November 30, 2015 7:49:06 AM
To: PUC Docket Filings
Subject: HP14-002 Clarification
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Attn: Commissioner Chris Nelson

Yesterday, you and I were included in a KSFY interview. To clarify a statement that I made to the reporter, I have sent you the words that I have since put on Facebook and am sending to others:

"Just so folks know-when I referred to the fact that the neighbors would not be reimbursed for damages, I was not referring to damages as a result of an oil spill. I was referring to damages as a result of downstream water issues resulting from damaged agricultural tiles upstream. I was also thinking of property value loss with a large pipeline right next to the neighbor's property. Just wanted to clarify this."

I do not blame the reporter for how it appeared in the clip shown. The reporter and I discussed a lot on Sunday and "clips" don't always say the whole story.

I do understand that neighbors as well as communities would have some protection in the case of an oil spill.

Thank you once again for your time and service.

Peggy Hoogestraat
27575 462nd Ave
Chancellor, SD 57015