




EL02-004

City Of Beresford



101 N. Third  
Beresford, S.D. 57004-1796  
PHONE: (605) 763-2008  
FAX: (605) 763-2329

RECEIVED

MAR 18 2002

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION

March 14, 2002

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission  
Attn: Deb Elosfson  
Capitol Building, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
500 East Capitol Avenue  
Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Dear Deb,

Please find enclosed a Petition for Declaratory Ruling for the City of Beresford's proposed 115 kV transmission line.

If you have any questions, feel free to give me a call.

Sincerely,  
City of Beresford

*Jerry Zeimetz*  
Jerry Zeimetz  
City Administrator

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
PETITION FOR DECLARATORY RULING

EL 02-004  
RECEIVED

MAR 18 2002

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Pursuant to the provisions of SDCL 1-26-15, I, Howard Kennedy, of 405 South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, Beresford, South Dakota, am Mayor of the City of Beresford, and do hereby petition the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission for its declaratory ruling in regard to the following:

(1) **The state statute in question is: SDCL 49-41B-2.1**

49-41B-2.1. Transmission facility defined. For the purposes of this chapter, a transmission facility is:

- (1) An electric transmission line and associated facilities with a design of two hundred fifty kilovolts or more;
- (2) An electric transmission line and associated facilities with a design of one hundred fifteen to two hundred fifty kilovolts, if more than one mile in length of the transmission line does not follow section lines, property lines, roads, highways or railroads, or is not reconstruction or modification of existing transmission lines and existing associated facilities located on abandoned railroad rights-of-way; or
- (3) A gas or liquid transmission line and associated facilities designed for or capable of transporting coal, gas, liquid hydrocarbons, or liquid hydrocarbon products, excluding any gas or liquid transmission lines or associated facilities which meet any of the following criteria:
  - (a) Lines or facilities that are used exclusively for distribution or gathering;
  - (b) Steel pipe and associated facilities operated at a hoop stress of less than twenty percent of specified minimum yield strength as defined by 49 CFR 192.3 as of January 1, 1994, or plastic pipe and associated facilities which operate at less than fifty percent of the design pressure as determined by the formula specified in 49 CFR 192.121 as of January 1, 1994; or
  - (c) Pipe which has nominal diameter under four inches and not more than one mile of the entire line is constructed outside of public right-of-way.

(2) **The facts and circumstances which give rise to the issue to be answered by the Commission:**

Beresford Municipal Utilities, City of Beresford, South Dakota (BMU), is proposing to construct a new 115 KV electric transmission line source from the existing Western Area Power Administration substation located north of Beresford, South Dakota. BMU plans to deliver electrical energy to its customers using this new transmission line and a new BMU substation. The new line will be approximately 3.25 miles in length sourcing a new substation to be built in the City of Beresford. The proposed new transmission line will be installed on private property within an existing easements and Lincoln County Pleasant Township public ROW. Currently, the City of Beresford occupies the west ROW of 471<sup>st</sup> Ave with a 12.47 kV distribution line. It is proposed that the new transmission line would be built along the west ROW with the distribution line underbuild on the new transmission structures. The proposed line route is shown in Figure 1. The typical proposed structure with a distribution underbuild is shown in Figure 2. The transmission structures would be placed two (2) feet on the west side of the ROW line as shown in Figure 3. The project also includes the construction of a new 115 KV to 12.5 KV low-profile electric power substation on the west side of Beresford. Construction of these facilities is planned for the late 2002 and completion by 2005.

**(3) The precise issue to be answered by the commission's declaratory ruling is:**

Define whether or not the above proposed project falls within the SDCL 49-41B-2.1 definition of a Transmission line and associated facility, thereby requiring a SDPUC permit.

Dated at Beresford SD, this 14th day of March, 2002.  
(City and State)

Signed, Howard S. Kennedy

Howard Kennedy  
Honorable Mayor of the City of Beresford



EXISTING WAPA  
115 KV SOURCE  
SUBSTATION

CONCEPTUAL ROUTE  
FOR 115 KV LINE

ALTERNATE CITY  
SUBSTATION SITES


PROPOSED CITY  
SUBSTATION SITE



CONCEPTUAL ROUTE  
115 KV SOURCE PROJECT  
CITY OF BERESFORD  
BERESFORD, SOUTH DAKOTA

**DCR** DeWid Grant Recker & Assoc. Co.  
Consulting Engineers  
Rock, Florida, Iowa

Drawn By: CLW Approved By: P. H. A. Date: 1-1-73 Project Number: 457417



TYPICAL TANGENT STRUCTURE PROPOSED 115KV TRANSMISSION LINE

FIG.  
2




DeWild Grant Reckert & Assoc. Co.  
Consulting Engineers  
Rock Rapids, Iowa

Date  
Drawn By  
Approved  
Revised

2-02  
JRS  
DJH

BERESFORD MUNICIPAL UTILITIES  
BERESFORD, SOUTH DAKOTA



TYPICAL POLE INSTALLATION  
PROPOSED 115 KV TRANSMISSION LINE

BERESFORD MUNICIPAL UTILITIES  
BERESFORD, SOUTH DAKOTA

	DeWid Grant Reckert & Assoc. Co. Consulting Engineers Rock Rapids, Iowa			Sheet:
	Drawn By: JRS	Approved By: DJH	Date: 2-02	Project Number: 417407

FIG. 3

**South Dakota Public Utilities Commission**  
**WEEKLY FILINGS**  
**For the Period of March 14, 2002 through March 20, 2002**

If you need a complete copy of a filing faxed, overnight expressed, or mailed to you, please contact Delaine Kolbo within five business days of this report. Phone: 605-773-3705 Fax: 605-773-3809

**CONSUMER COMPLAINTS**

**CT02-008 In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Tyler and Dee Haugen, Sturgis, South Dakota, against Qwest Corporation Regarding Unauthorized Billing for Services and Poor Quality of Customer Service.**

On January 8, 2002, Complainants contacted Qwest and requested Qwest's Smart Pac calling plan. On February 11, 2002, Complainants contacted Qwest after receiving a bill from Qwest charging them long distance rates for calls that should be toll free by having the Smart Pac calling plan. The representative issued a credit in the amount of \$103.75 and the long distance calls were rerated to .10 per minute. Complainants were also informed that the Smart Pac plan was not properly added to their account. On February 14, 2002, Complainants' long distance service was disconnected. On February 15, 2002, Complainants were told that nothing could be done to restore their service until after the weekend. On February 18, 2002, Complainants were told that their account was red flagged because of the high volume of long distance calls. Complainants requested that service be restored and Qwest stated it would take 72 hours. Long distance service was restored on February 20th. On February 26, 2002, Complainants received a bill from Qwest for \$1,327.82. Complainants contacted Qwest about the incorrect billing as they were being charged for calls that should be in their extended area calling plan. On February 27, 2002, Complainants were told that they were not able to have the Smart Pac plan and that they would need to have the value choice plan. Complainants request that their bills be revised and that appropriate credit be issued for the calling plan they agreed to.

Staff Analyst: Mary Healy  
Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier  
Date Docketed: 3/14/02  
Intervention Deadline: N/A

**CT02-009 In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Donald L. Beaner, Parker, South Dakota, against Excel Telecommunications, Inc., MCIWorldCom and Sioux Valley Telephone Company Regarding Unauthorized Billing for Services and Failure to Switch Providers.**

Complainant states that on September 5, 2001, he contacted MCI and signed up for

service with them. He also contacted Sioux Valley to advise them of his wish to change providers to MCI. Several days later, Complainant states that he verified with Sioux Valley that he had been switched to MCI. On November 6, 2001, Complainant became aware that his service was still with Excel and he had not been switched to MCI. Because Complainant was not switched over to MCI, the rates he was charged by Excel were much higher than what he would have paid to MCI. Complainant has offered to pay Excel the amount he would have paid MCI. Excel has not accepted his offer. Complainant requests that the Commission determine which company should be responsible to pay the outstanding Excel bill as he believes that it was an error on the part of one of the companies.

Staff Analyst: Mary Healy  
Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer  
Date Docketed: 3/18/02  
Intervention Deadline: N/A

### **ELECTRIC**

**EL02-004** In the Matter of the Petition for Declaratory Ruling of the City of Beresford on Whether a Proposed Transmission Line Meets the Definition of SDCL 49-41B-2.1.

On March 18, 2002, the City of Beresford filed a Petition for Declaratory Ruling regarding a proposed 115 kV transmission line. Beresford Municipal Utilities, City of Beresford is proposing to construct a new 115 kV electric transmission line source from the existing Western Area Power Administration substation located north of Beresford, South Dakota. The new line will be approximately 3.25 miles in length.

Staff Analyst: Michele Farris  
Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer  
Date Docketed : 03/18/02  
Intervention Deadline: 03/27/02

### **GAS AND ELECTRIC**

**GE02-001** In the Matter of the Filing by MidAmerican Energy Company for Approval of Revised Residential and Commercial Customer Information Pamphlet.

MidAmerican Energy Company has requested approval of its Customer Information Pamphlet for residential and commercial customers. MidAmerican has updated the gas portion of the combination pamphlets to include the recent gas tariff rate changes approved in Docket NG01-011 and the purchased gas adjustment factor based on the 12-months average ending December 2001.

Staff Analyst: Heather Forney

Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier  
Date Docketed: 03/18/02  
Intervention Deadline: 04/05/02

## NATURAL GAS

### **NG02-001 In the Matter of the Filing by MidAmerican Energy Company for Approval of Tariff Revisions.**

Application by MidAmerican Energy Company to update the listing of communities served natural gas service in South Dakota.

Staff Analyst: Dave Jacobson  
Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier  
Date Docketed: 3/20/02  
Intervention Deadline: 3/28/02


You may receive this listing and other PUC publications via our website or via internet e-mail. You may subscribe or unsubscribe to the PUC mailing lists at <http://www.state.sd.us/puc>












EXISTING WAPA  
115 KV SOURCE  
SUBSTATION

TRANSMISSION LINE  
ON PRIVATE PROPERTY  
WITH EXISTING EASEMENT

CONCEPTUAL ROUTE  
FOR 115 KV LINE

PROPOSED CITY  
SUBSTATION SITES



**PROPOSED ROUTE  
115 KV TRANSMISSION LINE**

CITY OF BERESFORD  
BERESFORD, SOUTH DAKOTA

	DelWid Grant Recker & Assoc. Co. Consulting Engineers Rock Rapids, Iowa		Sheet: <b>FIG. 1</b>
	Drawn By: SMW	Approved By: DJH	



Consulting Engineers and Land Surveyors

# Memo

**TO:** Beresford Municipal Utilities  
Jay Nordquist  
101 North 3rd Street  
Beresford, SD 57004

**FROM:** Dennis Haselhoff *DJH*

**DATE:** March 22, 2002

**RE:** Beresford 115 kV Transmission Line  
DGR Project No. 410706

**RECEIVED**

**MAR 26 2002**

**SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION**

Enclosed is the revised Petition for Declaratory Ruling for the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission. Please have the Mayor sign the form and send it to the PUC at the following address:

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission  
Capitol Building, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
500 East Capitol Avenue  
Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Attn. Deb Elofson

If you have any questions please let me know. Thanks.

*3/25/02 - Please call with any questions.*

*Jay Nordquist  
763-5501.*

RECEIVED

MAR 26 2002

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
PETITION FOR DECLARATORY RULING

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Pursuant to the provisions of SDCL 1-26-15, I, Howard Kennedy, of 405 South 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Beresford, South Dakota, am Mayor of the City of Beresford, and do hereby petition the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission for its declaratory ruling in regard to the following:

(1) **The state statute in question is: SDCL 49-41B-2.1**

49-41B-2.1. Transmission facility defined. For the purposes of this chapter, a transmission facility is:

- (1) An electric transmission line and associated facilities with a design of two hundred fifty kilovolts or more;
- (2) An electric transmission line and associated facilities with a design of one hundred fifteen to two hundred fifty kilovolts, if more than one mile in length of the transmission line does not follow section lines, property lines, roads, highways or railroads, or is not reconstruction or modification of existing transmission lines and existing associated facilities located on abandoned railroad rights-of-way; or
- (3) A gas or liquid transmission line and associated facilities designed for or capable of transporting coal, gas, liquid hydrocarbons, or liquid hydrocarbon products, excluding any gas or liquid transmission lines or associated facilities which meet any of the following criteria:
  - (a) Lines or facilities that are used exclusively for distribution or gathering;
  - (b) Steel pipe and associated facilities operated at a hoop stress of less than twenty percent of specified minimum yield strength as defined by 49 CFR 192.3 as of January 1, 1994, or plastic pipe and associated facilities which operate at less than fifty percent of the design pressure as determined by the formula specified in 49 CFR 192.121 as of January 1, 1994; or
  - (c) Pipe which has nominal diameter under four inches and not more than one mile of the entire line is constructed outside of public right-of-way.

(2) **The facts and circumstances which give rise to the issue to be answered by the Commission:**

Beresford Municipal Utilities, City of Beresford, South Dakota (BMU), is proposing to construct a new 115 KV electric transmission line source from the existing Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) substation located approximately 2.5 miles north of Beresford, South Dakota. BMU plans to deliver electrical energy to its customers using this new transmission line and a new BMU substation. The proposed route for the new line begins at the WAPA substation approximately 2.5 miles north of Beresford, runs parallel to Interstate Highway 29 on private right-of-way (ROW) for approximately ½ mile to the south and then turns east on the north side of 295<sup>th</sup> St for approximately ½ mile. The route turns south on the west side of 471<sup>st</sup> Ave for approximately 1.6 miles and terminate at a proposed new 115 to 12.5 KV, 10 MVA, single transformer substation to be built concurrent with the new transmission line.

The proposed new transmission line will be installed on private property for approximately ½ mile south of the WAPA substation within an existing easement, and Lincoln County Pleasant Township public ROW on 295<sup>th</sup> St and 471<sup>st</sup> Ave. Currently, the City of Beresford occupies the west ROW of 471<sup>st</sup> Ave with a 12.47 kV distribution line. It is proposed that the new

transmission line would be built along the west ROW with the distribution line underbuild on the new transmission structures. The proposed line route is shown in Figure 1. The typical proposed structure with a distribution underbuild is shown in Figure 2. The transmission structures on 295<sup>th</sup> St and 471<sup>st</sup> Ave would be placed one (1) foot inside of the ROW line (on public ROW) as shown in Figures 3 and 4. The City of Beresford has existing easements to accomodate the overhang of the transmission and distribution conductors along the entire route of the line. Copies of these easements are attached for your reference. The project also includes the construction of a new 115 KV to 12.5 KV low-profile electric power substation north of Beresford. Construction of these facilities is planned for the late 2002 and completion by 2005.

Attached to this filing is a supporting document showing that the City of Beresford has approval from Pleasant Township Officers to place the transmission structures in the public ROW near the property line.


**(3) The precise issue to be answered by the commission's declaratory ruling is:**

Define whether or not the above proposed project falls within the SDCL 49-41B-2.1 definition of a Transmission line and associated facility, thereby requiring a SDPUC permit.

Dated at Beresford S.D., this 25 day of March, 2002.  
(City and State)

Signed, Howard L. Kennedy

Howard Kennedy  
Honorable Mayor of the City of Beresford




EXISTING WAPA  
115 KV SOURCE  
SUBSTATION


TRANSMISSION LINE  
ON PRIVATE PROPERTY  
WITH EXISTING EASEMENT

CONCEPTUAL ROUTE  
FOR 115 KV LINE



PROPOSED CITY  
SUBSTATION SITES



<b>PROPOSED ROUTE 115 KV TRANSMISSION LINE</b>	
CITY OF BERESFORD BERESFORD, SOUTH DAKOTA	
	Sheet <b>FIG. 1</b>
Drawn By: CLM Approved By: [Signature] Date: 3/03 Project Number: 417-072	



TYPICAL TANGENT STRUCTURE PROPOSED 115KV TRANSMISSION LINE




NOTE

1. THE PROPOSED TRANSMISSION LINE IS APPROXIMATELY 1.6 MILES IN LENGTH ALONG 471ST AVE.

<b>PROPOSED POLE INSTALLATION</b>			
<b>115 KV TRANSMISSION LINE</b>			
BERESFORD MUNICIPAL UTILITIES			
BERESFORD, SOUTH DAKOTA			
		DeWid Grant Reckert & Assoc. Co. Consulting Engineers Rock Rapids, Iowa	
Drawn By: JRS	Approved By: DJH	Date: 3-02	Project Number: 417407

FIG. 3



NOTE

1. THE PROPOSED TRANSMISSION LINE IS APPROXIMATELY 0.5 MILES IN LENGTH ALONG 295TH ST.

<b>PROPOSED POLE INSTALLATION 115 KV TRANSMISSION LINE</b>			
BERESFORD MUNICIPAL UTILITIES BERESFORD, SOUTH DAKOTA			
<b>UDGAR</b>	DeWild Grant Rackert & Assoc. Co. Consulting Engineers Rock Rapids, Iowa		
	Drawn By: JRS	Approved By: DJH	Date: 3-02
			Project Number: 417407
			Sheet: FIG. 4

Pleasant Township  
Allen Voegeli, President  
RR2, Box 122  
Beresford, SD 57004

March 4, 2002

Mr. Jerry Zeimet  
Beresford City Administrator

Dear Mr. Zeimet,

We, the officers of Pleasant Township, acknowledge receipt of your letter and materials dated February 20, 2002. We applaud your efforts to improve the electric system for the city of Beresford.

We have discussed the project as a board. The plan to use township right of way on the north side of 295th street is acceptable to us, in preference to the alternate use of the south side.

The plan for the west side of the 471 avenue right of way does cause us some concern, however. As we understand the drawings submitted, the poles would be located six (6) feet inside the west edge of the ROW. The poles for the existing line seem to be located closer to the right of way line, and we would request that the new poles be placed in similar fashion, if possible. The reason for this is to preserve access to and use of this part of the ROW for anticipated road improvement needs. Ten years ago the township re-graded 471 avenue between 295 and 296 streets. Finish work on the west side backslope was limited somewhat by the electric line poles as the planned grade would have removed dirt such that the poles would have become unstable. The mile of 471 avenue between highway 46 and 296 street carries a heavy traffic load and we expect that as the road continues to be compacted and the shoulders spread out there will come a time when re-grading of part or all of this mile will also be necessary. Full access to the entire right of way is essential for such operations, hence our request to place the poles as near to the edge of the right of way as possible.

We thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Pleasant Township officers

Charles Eide President  
Allen Voegeli  
The Village Tavern  
Marvin Hazel





RIGHT-OF-WAY EASEMENT.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that the undersigned \_\_\_\_\_

DEANE FAHLBERG and MAYE FAHLBERG, Husband and Wife,  
for a good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, does hereby grant unto the City of Beresford, a Municipal Corporation whose postoffice address is Beresford, South Dakota, and to its successors or assigns, the right to enter upon the lands of the undersigned, situated in the County of Lincoln, State of South Dakota, and more particularly described as follows:

The Northeast Quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ) of Section Thirty-one (31),  
Township Ninety-six (96), Range Fifty (50), Lincoln County,  
South Dakota,

and to place, construct, operate, repair, maintain, relocate and replace thereon and in or upon the streets, roads or highways abutting said lands an electric transmission or distribution line or system, and to cut and trim trees and shrubbery to the extent necessary to keep them clear of said electric line or system and to cut down from time to time all dead, weak, leaning or dangerous trees that are tall enough to strike the wires in falling.

In granting this easement it is understood that at pole locations, only a single pole and appurtenances thereon will be used, and that the location of the poles will be such as to form the least possible interference to farm operations, so long as it does not materially increase the cost of construction.

The undersigned covenant that they are the owners of the above described lands.

It is further understood that wherever necessary, words used in this instrument in the singular should be construed to read in the plural and that words used in the masculine gender shall be construed to read in the feminine.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have set their hands and seals this 16 day of January, 1978.

Deane Fahlberg  
Maye Fahlberg

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA )  
  ) SS  
COUNTY OF UNION        )

On this the 16 day of January, 1978, before me, Carroll E. Hansen, the undersigned officer, personally appeared

Deane Fahlberg and Maye Fahlberg, Husband and Wife, whose names are subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged that they executed the same for the purposes therein contained.

In Witnes Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal.

Carroll E. Hansen  
City Auditor..



**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

<b>IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION FOR )</b>	<b>DECLARATORY RULING</b>
<b>DECLARATORY RULING OF THE CITY OF )</b>	
<b>BERESFORD ON WHETHER A PROPOSED )</b>	<b>EL02-004</b>
<b>TRANSMISSION LINE MEETS THE )</b>	
<b>DEFINITION OF SDCL 49-41B-2.1 )</b>	

On March 18, 2002, the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) received a Petition for Declaratory Ruling from the City of Beresford, South Dakota (City). According to the Petition, the City is proposing to construct a new 115 kV electric transmission line. The City plans to deliver electrical energy to its customers using this new transmission line and new municipal substation. Based on the March 26, 2002, revised filing, the proposed new transmission line will be installed on private property for approximately ½ mile south of the Western substation within an existing easement, and Lincoln County Pleasant Township public ROW on 295th Street and 471st Avenue. Currently, the City of Beresford occupies the west ROW of 471st Avenue with a 12.47 kV distribution line. It is proposed that the new transmission line will be built along the west ROW with the distribution line underbuilt on the new transmission structures. Based on the figures submitted with the revised filing, the transmission structures on 295th Street and 471st Avenue will be placed one foot inside the ROW line (on public ROW). The City of Beresford has existing easements to accommodate the overhang of the transmission and distribution conductors along the entire route of the line. The City asked that a determination be made as to whether or not the proposed project falls within the SDCL 49-41B-2.1 definition of a transmission line and associated facility, thereby requiring a SDPUC permit.

On March 21, 2002, the Commission electronically transmitted notice of the filing and the intervention deadline of March 27, 2002. No petitions to intervene or comments were filed. On March 28, 2002, at its regularly scheduled meeting, the Commission considered the matter. Commission Staff recommended that the Commission find that the proposed project does not meet the definition of a transmission line and associated facility and therefore is not subject to the Commission's siting jurisdiction. The Commission finds that it has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to SDCL 49-41B, specifically, 49-41B-2.1(2) which states that a 115 kV transmission line is subject to the Commission's siting jurisdiction "if more than one mile in length of the transmission line does not follow section lines, property lines, roads, highways or railroads, or is not reconstruction or modification of existing transmission lines and existing associated facilities located on abandoned railroad rights-of-way. . . ." The Commission finds that the proposed project does not meet the definition of a transmission line and associated facility and therefore is not subject to the Commission's siting jurisdiction. It is therefore

ORDERED, that the City's proposed 115 kV transmission line is exempt from the Commission's siting jurisdiction because less than one mile is outside of the existing public right of way.

Dated at Pierre, South Dakota, this 4<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2002.

<b>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b>	
The undersigned hereby certifies that this document has been served today upon all parties of record in this docket, as listed on the docket service list, by first class mail, in properly addressed envelopes, with charges prepaid thereon.	
By:	<u>Aldine Kolbo</u>
Date:	<u>4/5/02</u>
(OFFICIAL SEAL)	

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

James A. Burg  
JAMES A. BURG, Chairman

Pam Nelson  
PAM NELSON, Commissioner

Robert K. Sahr  
ROBERT K. SAHR, Commissioner