

Exhibit WRE-1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

SOUTHERN DIVISION

* * * * *

Case Civ. 10-4110

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P.,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

NATIVE AMERICAN TELECOM, LLC;
B.J. JONES, in his official capacity
as Special Judge of Tribal Court; and
CROW CREEK SIOUX TRIBAL COURT,

Defendants.

U.S. District Courthouse
Sioux Falls, SD
March 3, 2011, 9:00 a.m.

* * * * *

MOTION HEARING

* * * * *

BEFORE: The Honorable Karen E. Schreier
U.S. District Court Judge

APPEARANCES:

Mr. Scott G. Knudson
Briggs & Morgan
2200 IDS Center
80 S. Eighth St.
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2157

-and-

Mr. Tommy Drake Tobin
Attorney at Law
PO Box 730
Winner, SD 57580

for the Plaintiff

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Do you want to keep your relationship that you have --
3 do you want to keep NAT's relationship with
4 FreeConferenceCall?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Why?

7 A. Well, if it wasn't for FreeConferenceCall, there
8 really wouldn't be a NAT.

9 Q. Because --

10 A. Because of the world marketing they do, and they do
11 all the work.

12 Q. So without FCC, zero percent of zero would be zero.
13 Is that right?

14 A. Exactly.

15 Q. Peter, you touched on this previously back in October,
16 but I want to have you update the Court on NAT's efforts on
17 the Reservation.

18 A. Okay.

19 Q. Share with the Court the benefits that NAT provides to
20 your Tribal members.

21 A. There's a number of different benefits. Because of
22 the poverty there and the close to 90 percent unemployment,
23 a lot of our people cannot provide a phone or pay for a
24 phone. Because of NAT, you know, them services are
25 available, also with the Internet.